THE COEFFICIENTS OF A TRUNCATED FIBONACCI POWER SERIES

YUFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give a short proof of the fact that the coefficients of the polynomial

$$A_n(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\cdots(1-x^{F_n})(1-x^{F_{n+1}})$$

are all equal to -1,0 or 1, where F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number. This improves the previous result that the coefficients of $\prod_{n>2} (1-x^{F_n})$ are all equal to -1,0 or 1.

Consider the infinite product

$$A(x) = \prod_{n \ge 2} (1 - x^{F_n}) = (1 - x)(1 - x^2)(1 - x^3)(1 - x^5)(1 - x^8) \cdots$$
$$= 1 - x - x^2 + x^4 + x^7 - x^8 + x^{11} - x^{12} - x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{18} + \cdots$$

regarded as a formal power series, where F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number. There is a very simple combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of A(x), namely, the coefficient of x^m is $r_E(m) - r_O(m)$, where $r_E(m)$ (respectively $r_O(m)$) is the number of ways to write *m* as a sum of an even (respectively odd) number of distinct positive Fibonacci numbers. Robbins [2] showed that the coefficients of A(x) are all equal to -1, 0 or 1, and Ardila [1] gave a simple recursive description of the coefficients of A(x).

In this note, we give a short proof of a somewhat stronger result. Namely, we show that any partial product of A(x), considered as a polynomial, also has coefficients -1, 0, 1.

Proposition 1. Let n be a positive integer. The coefficients of the polynomial

$$A_n(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\cdots(1-x^{F_n})(1-x^{F_{n+1}})$$

are all equal to -1, 0 or 1.

For instance, the first few partial products are

$$\begin{split} A_1(x) &= 1 - x \\ A_2(x) &= 1 - x - x^2 + x^3 \\ A_3(x) &= 1 - x - x^2 + x^4 + x^5 - x^6 \\ A_4(x) &= 1 - x - x^2 + x^4 + x^7 - x^9 - x^{10} + x^{11} \\ A_5(x) &= 1 - x - x^2 + x^4 + x^7 - x^8 + x^{11} - x^{12} - x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{18} - x^{19} \\ A_6(x) &= 1 - x - x^2 + x^4 + x^7 - x^8 + x^{11} - x^{12} - x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{18} - x^{19} \\ &- x^{20} + x^{21} - x^{24} + x^{25} + x^{28} - x^{30} - x^{31} + x^{32}. \end{split}$$

Combinatorially, this is equivalent to saying that if we are only allowed to use distinct parts taken from the set $\{F_2, F_3, \ldots, F_n\}$, then the number of partitions of m into an odd number of parts differs by, at most, one from the number of partitions of m into an even number of parts.

FEBRUARY 2008/2009

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

Note that Proposition 1 implies the result that the coefficients of A(x) are -1, 0 and 1, since the terms of $A_n(x)$ agree with A(x) until at least up to the term $x^{F_{n+1}-1}$. Thus, by choosing n arbitrarily large, our result implies the result about A(x).

Proof of Proposition 1. We say that a polynomial is *timid* if each of its coefficients is -1, 0 or 1. Let us construct the auxiliary polynomials

$$B_n(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\cdots(1-x^{F_n})(1-x^{F_{n+1}}-x^{F_{n+2}}),$$

and $C_n(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\cdots(1-x^{F_n})(1+x^{F_n}-x^{F_{n+2}}).$

In the n = 1 case, we define $B_1(x) = 1 - x^{F_2} + x^{F_3}$ and $C_1(x) = 1 + x^{F_1} - x^{F_3}$. We will show by induction that the polynomials A_n, B_n, C_n are all timid for all positive integer n.

We can check the base cases (n = 1, 2) manually. Now suppose that we know that A_k, B_k, C_k are all timid for all k < n. We want to prove that A_n, B_n, C_n are all timid as well.

First, we show that A_n is timid. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x) &= A_{n-3}(x)(1-x^{F_{n-1}})(1-x^{F_n})(1-x^{F_{n+1}}) \\ &= A_{n-3}(x)(1-x^{F_{n-1}}-x^{F_n}-x^{F_{n+1}}+x^{F_{n-1}+F_n}+x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}+x^{F_n+F_{n+1}}-x^{F_{n-1}+F_n+F_{n+1}}) \\ &= A_{n-3}(x)(1-x^{F_{n-1}}-x^{F_n}+x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}+x^{F_{n-2}+F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}-x^{F_{n-1}+F_n+F_{n+1}}) \\ &= A_{n-3}(x)(1-x^{F_{n-1}}-x^{F_n})+x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}A_{n-3}(x)(1+x^{F_{n-2}}-x^{F_n}) \\ &= B_{n-2}(x)+x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}C_{n-2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that the degree of $B_{n-2}(x)$ is $F_2 + F_3 + \cdots + F_{n-2} + F_n = 2F_n - F_3$, and we have $F_{n-1} + F_{n+1} > 2F_n - F_3$ since $(F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}) - (2F_n - F_3) = F_{n-3} + F_3 > 0$. Informally, this means that when we add the two polynomials $B_{n-2}(x)$ and $x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}C_{n-2}(x)$, the terms "don't mix." Then, the fact that B_{n-2} and C_{n-2} are both timid implies that $A_n(x) = B_{n-2}(x) + x^{F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}}C_{n-2}(x)$ is timid as well.

Next, we show that B_n is timid. We have

$$B_{n}(x) = A_{n-2}(x)(1-x^{F_{n}})(1-x^{F_{n+1}}-x^{F_{n+2}})$$

= $A_{n-2}(x)(1-x^{F_{n+1}}-x^{F_{n+2}}-x^{F_{n}}+x^{F_{n}+F_{n+1}}+x^{F_{n}+F_{n+2}})$
= $A_{n-2}(x)(1-x^{F_{n}}-x^{F_{n+1}}) + A_{n-2}(x)x^{F_{n}+F_{n+2}}$
= $B_{n-1}(x) + A_{n-2}(x)x^{F_{n}+F_{n+2}}.$

Now we argue as before. Since the degree of B_{n-1} is $2F_{n+1} - F_3$, which is less than $F_n + F_{n+2}$, the fact that B_{n-1} and A_{n-2} are both timid implies that B_n is timid as well.

Finally, we show that C_n is timid. We have

$$C_{n}(x) = A_{n-2}(x)(1 - x^{F_{n}})(1 + x^{F_{n}} - x^{F_{n+2}})$$

= $A_{n-2}(x)(1 + x^{F_{n}} - x^{F_{n+2}} - x^{F_{n}} - x^{2F_{n}} + x^{F_{n}+F_{n+2}})$
= $A_{n-2}(x)(1 - x^{2F_{n}+F_{n-1}} - x^{2F_{n}} + x^{2F_{n}+F_{n+1}})$
= $A_{n-2}(x) - x^{2F_{n}}A_{n-2}(x)(1 + x^{F_{n-1}} - x^{F_{n+1}})$
= $A_{n-2}(x) - x^{2F_{n}}C_{n-1}(x).$

The degree of A_{n-2} is $F_2 + F_3 + \cdots + F_{n-1} = F_{n+1} - F_3$, and $F_{n+1} - F_3$ is less than $2F_n$ since $2F_n - (F_{n+1} - F_3) = F_{n-2} + F_3 > 0$. Therefore, since A_{n-2} and C_{n-1} are both timid, C_n is timid as well.

VOLUME 46/47, NUMBER 1

We have completed our proof that A_n, B_n, C_n are timid for all n. The proposition follows.

This proof also allows us to make a slightly more general conclusion.

Proposition 2. Let t_1, t_2, \ldots be a sequence of positive integers satisfying $t_1 < t_2$ and $t_{n+2} = t_{n+1} + t_n$ for all positive integers n. Then the coefficients of the polynomial $(1 - x^{t_1})(1 - x^{t_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{t_n})$ are all equal to -1, 0 or 1 for all positive integers n.

To prove Proposition 2, we simply have to replace every occurrence of F_n with t_{n-1} in the proof of Proposition 1.

For instance, for any positive integers m < n, the coefficients of polynomials $\prod_{k=m+1}^{n} (1 - x^{F_k})$ and $\prod_{k=m}^{n} (1 - x^{L_k})$ are all equal to -1, 0 or 1. Here L_k is the kth Lucas number.

Note that while $\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^n)$ has coefficients -1, 0 and 1 due to Euler's pentagonal number theorem, we cannot say the same thing about its partial products, as $\prod_{n=1}^{4}(1-x^n) =$ $1-x-x^2+2x^5-x^8-x^9+x^{10}$. Also, if a sequence of positive integers (t_n) satisfies $t_{n+1} > t_n + t_{n-1} + \cdots + t_1$ for all n, then the polynomial $\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1-x^{t_k})$ clearly always has coefficients -1, 0 or 1. It would be interesting to characterize all sequences that have similar properties.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Richard Stanley for suggesting the problem.

References

F. Ardila, *The Coefficients of a Fibonacci Power Series*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 42.3 (2004), 202–204.
N. Robbins, *Fibonacci Partitions*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 34.4 (1996), 306–313.

MSC2000: 05A17, 11B39, 11P81.

STUDENT, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 3 AMES ST., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142 *E-mail address:* yufeiz@mit.edu