

## A RECURRENCE SUGGESTED BY A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM

LEONARD CARLITZ

*Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706*

### SECTION 1

Recurrences of the following kind occur in connection with a certain combinatorial problem (see §5 below). Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be non-negative integers and  $q$  a parameter. Consider the recurrence

$$F(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n q^{jN} F(e_1 - \delta_{1j}, \dots, e_n - \delta_{nj}), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$N = e_1 + \dots + e_n, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (i = j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

$$F(0, \dots, 0) = 1 \quad (1.4)$$

and  $F(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 0$  if any  $e_i < 0$ .

Note that, for  $q = 1$ , (1.1) reduces to

$$F(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n F(e_1 - \delta_{1j}, \dots, e_n - \delta_{nj})$$

and  $F(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  becomes the multinomial coefficient

$$\frac{(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n)!}{e_1! e_2! \dots e_n!}.$$

If we put

$$\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n), \quad \delta_j = (\delta_{1j}, \dots, \delta_{nj}), \quad (1.5)$$

then (1.1) becomes

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{j=1}^n q^{jN} F(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j). \quad (1.6)$$

For  $n = 1$ , the recurrence (1.1) is simply

$$F(N) = q^N F(N - 1), \quad F(0) = 1. \quad (1.7)$$

The solution of (1.7) is immediate, namely

$$F(N) = q^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)}. \quad (1.8)$$

For  $n = 2$ , the situation is less simple. We take

$$F(e_1, e_2) = q^{NF}(e_1 - 1, e_2) + q^{2N}F(e_1, e_2)(N = e_1 + e_2). \quad (1.9)$$

Iteration of (1.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(e_1, e_2) &= q^{2N-1}F(e_1-2, e_2) + q^{3N-2}(1+q)F(e_1-1, e_2-1) + q^{4N-2}F(e_1, e_2-2) \\ &= q^{3N-3}F(e_1-3, e_2) + q^{4N-5}(1+q+q^2)F(e_1-2, e_2-1) \\ &\quad + q^{5N-6}(1+q+q^2)F(e_1-1, e_2-2) + q^{6N-6}F(e_1, e_2-3). \end{aligned}$$

It is helpful to isolate the exponents as indicated in the following table.

$\begin{matrix} r \\ m \end{matrix}$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1					
1	$N$	$2N$				
2	$2N - 1$	$3N - 2$	$4N - 2$			
3	$3N - 3$	$4N - 5$	$5N - 6$	$6N - 6$		
4	$4N - 6$	$5N - 9$	$6N - 11$	$7N - 12$	$8N - 12$	
5	$5N - 10$	$6N - 14$	$7N - 17$	$8N - 19$	$9N - 20$	$10N - 20$

The special results above suggest that generally, for  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$F(e_1, e_2) = \sum_{r+s=m} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(m+r)N - m(m-1) + \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r), \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - q^{m-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{m-r+1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^r)}. \quad (1.11)$$

It follows from (1.11) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^r + \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.12)$$

For  $m = 1$ , (1.10) reduces to (1.9). Assume that (1.10) holds for all  $m \leq M$ . Then by (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
F(e_1, e_2) &= \sum_{r+s=M} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r)N-M(M-1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r) \\
&= \sum_{r+s=M} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r)N-M(M-1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \left\{ q^{N-r-s} F(e_1 - s - 1, e_2 - r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q^{2(N-r-s)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r - 1) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{r+s=M} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r+1)N-M^2+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s - 1, e_2 - r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r+2)N-M(M+1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r - 1) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{r+s=M+1} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r+1)N-M^2+\frac{1}{2}(s-1)(s-2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r+1)N-M(M+1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{r+s=M+1} q^{(M+r+1)N-M(M+1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{M-s+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} M \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r) \\
&= \sum_{r+s=M+1} \begin{bmatrix} M+1 \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(M+r+1)N-M(M+1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} F(e_1 - s, e_2 - r),
\end{aligned}$$

by (1.12). Thus (1.10) holds for  $M+1$  and therefore for all  $m \geq 0$ .  
For  $m = N$ , (1.10) reduces to

$$F(s, r) = q^{(2r+s)(r+s)-(r+s)(r+s-1)+\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \begin{bmatrix} r+s \\ r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Simplifying and interchanging  $r$  and  $s$ , we get

$$F(r, s) = q^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)+(r+s)(s+1)} \begin{bmatrix} r+s \\ r \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.13)$$

By a familiar identity, (1.13) gives

$$\sum_{r=0}^m q^{-m(m-r+1)} F(r, m-r) x^r = (1+x)(1+qx) \cdots (1+q^{m-1}x). \quad (1.14)$$

## SECTION 2

The case  $n = 3$  of (1.1) is more difficult. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= q^N F(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3) + q^{2N} F(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{3N} F(e_1, e_2, e_3 - 1).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Iteration gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= q^N(q^{N-1}F(e_1 - 2, e_2, e_3) + q^{2N-2}F(e_1 - 1, e_2 - 1, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{3N-3}F(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3 - 1)) + q^{2N}(q^{N-1}F(e_1 - 1, e_2 - 1, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{2N-2}F(e_1, e_2 - 2, e_3) + q^{3N-3}F(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3 - 1)) \\
&\quad + q^{3N}(q^{N-1}F(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3 - 1) + q^{2N-2}F(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3 - 1) \\
&\quad + q^{3N-3}F(e_1, e_2, e_3 - 2)) \\
&= q^{2N-1}F(e_1 - 2, e_2, e_3) + q^{3N-2}(1 + q)F(e_1 - 1, e_2 - 1, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{4N-3}(1 + q)F(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3 - 1) + q^{4N-2}F(e_1, e_2 - 2, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{5N-3}(1 + q)F(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3 - 1) + q^{6N-3}F(e_1, e_2, e_3 - 2).
\end{aligned}$$

A second iteration gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= q^{3N-3}F(e_1 - 3, e_2, e_3) + q^{6N-6}F(e_1, e_2 - 3, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{9N-9}F(e_1, e_2, e_3 - 3) \\
&\quad + q^{6N-8}(1 + 2q + 2q^3 + q^4)F(e_1 - 1, e_2 - 1, e_3 - 1) \\
&\quad + q^{4N-5}(1 + q + q^2)F(e_1 - 2, e_2 - 1, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{5N-7}(1 + q^2 + q^4)F(e_1 - 2, e_2, e_3 - 1) \\
&\quad + q^{5N-6}(1 + q^2)F(e_1 - 1, e_2 - 2, e_3) \\
&\quad + q^{7N-9}(1 + q^2 + q^4)F(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3 - 2) \\
&\quad + q^{7N-8}(1 + q + q^2)F(e_1, e_2 - 2, e_3 - 1) \\
&\quad + q^{8N-9}(1 + q + q^2)F(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3 - 2).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above that

$$F(1, 0, 0) = q, F(0, 1, 0) = q^2, F(0, 0, 1) = q^3, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} F(2, 0, 0) = q^3, F(0, 2, 0) = q^6, F(0, 0, 2) = q^9 \\ F(1, 1, 0) = q^4(1+q), F(1, 0, 1) = q^5(1+q^2), F(0, 1, 1) = q^7(1+q), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} F(3, 0, 0) = q^6, F(0, 3, 0) = q^{12}, F(0, 0, 3) = q^{18} \\ F(2, 1, 0) = q^7(1+q+q^2), F(2, 0, 1) = q^8(1+q^2+q^4) \\ F(0, 2, 1) = q^{13}(1+q+q^2), F(1, 2, 0) = q^9(1+q+q^2) \\ F(1, 0, 2) = q^{12}(1+q^2+q^4), F(0, 1, 2) = q^{15}(1+q+q^2) \\ F(1, 1, 1) = q^{10}(1+2q+2q^3+q^4). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

It is convenient to write (2.1) in operational form. Define the operators  $E_1^{-1}, E_2^{-1}, E_3^{-1}$  by means of

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1^{-1}\phi(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= \phi(e_1 - 1, e_2, e_3), E_2^{-1}\phi(e_1, e_2, e_3) \\
&= \phi(e_1, e_2 - 1, e_3), E_3^{-1}\phi(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \phi(e_1, e_2, e_3 - 1)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and put

$$\Omega = q^N E_1^{-1} + q^{2N} E_2^{-1} + q^{3N} E_3^{-1} \quad (N = e_1 + e_2 + e_3). \quad (2.6)$$

Then (2.1) becomes

$$F(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \Omega F(e_1, e_2, e_3) \quad (N > 0). \quad (2.7)$$

For  $m \geq 0$  we may write

$$\Omega^m = \sum_{r+s+t=m} q^{(r+2s+3t)N} C(r, s, t) E_1^{-r} E_2^{-s} E_3^{-t}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $C(r, s, t)$  is independent of  $N$ . Moreover

$$C(0, 0, 0) = 1 \quad (2.9)$$

and  $C(r, s, t) = 0$  if any one of  $r, s, t = 0$ .

By (2.7) and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} F(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= \Omega^N F(e_1, e_2, e_3) \\ &= \sum_{r+s+t=N} q^{(e_1+2e_2+3e_3)N} C(r, s, t) F(e_1-r, e_2-s, e_3-t), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F(e_1, e_2, e_3) = q^{(e_1+2e_2+3e_3)N} C(e_1, e_2, e_3). \quad (2.10)$$

Hence (2.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^m &= \sum_{r+s+t=m} q^{(r+2s+3t)(N-m)} F(r, s, t) E_1^{-r} E_2^{-s} E_3^{-t} \\ &\quad (N = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, 0 \leq m \leq N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Since

$$F(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \Omega^m F(e_1, e_2, e_3) \quad (0 \leq m \leq N),$$

it therefore follows from (2.11) that

$$F(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \sum_{r+s+t=m} q^{(r+2s+3t)(N-m)} F(r, s, t) F(e_1-r, e_2-s, e_3-t). \quad (2.12)$$

This may be written in a more symmetrical form:

$$F(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{r+r'=a \\ s+s'=b \\ t+t'=c \\ r+s+t=m}} q^{(r+2s+3t)(r'+s'+t')} F(r, s, t) F(r', s', t') \quad (2.13)$$

$$(0 \leq m \leq a + b + c),$$

with  $a, b, c, m$  fixed.

For example, with  $\alpha = b = c = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ , (2.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(1, 1, 1) &= q^5 F(0, 1, 1) F(1, 0, 0) + q^4 F(1, 0, 1) F(0, 1, 0) + q^3 F(1, 1, 0) F(0, 0, 1) \\ &= q^{13} (1+q) + q^{11} (1+q^2) + q^{10} (1+q) \\ &= q^{10} (1+2q+2q^3+q^4). \end{aligned}$$

Note that with  $\alpha = b = c = 1$ ,  $m = 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(1, 1, 1) &= q^2 F(1, 0, 0) F(0, 1, 1) + q^4 F(0, 1, 0) F(1, 0, 1) + q^6 F(0, 0, 1) F(1, 1, 0) \\ &= q^{10} (1+q) + q^{11} (1+q^2) + q^{13} (1+q) \\ &= q^{10} (1+2q+2q^3+q^4). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed (2.13) is not completely symmetrical in appearance. If we put  $m' = r' + s' + t'$ , then (2.13) yields

$$F(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{r'+r=a \\ s'+s=b \\ t'+t=c \\ r'+s'+t'=m}} q^{(r'+2s'+3t')(r+s+t)} F(r', s', t') F(r, s, t). \quad (2.14)$$

The equivalence of (2.13) and (2.14) can be verified directly by merely interchanging the roles of the primed and unprimed letters in (2.13).

By means of (2.13) a number of special values are easily computed. For example we have

$$\begin{cases} F(a, 0, a) = q^{a-1} F(1, 0, 0) F(a-1, 0, 0) \\ F(0, b, 0) = q^{2(b-1)} F(0, 1, 0) F(0, b-1, 0) \\ F(0, 0, c) = q^{3(c-1)} F(0, 0, 1) F(0, 0, c-1). \end{cases}$$

It then follows that

$$f(a, 0, 0) = q^{\frac{1}{2}a(a+1)}, \quad f(0, b, 0) = q^{b(b+1)}, \quad f(0, 0, c) = q^{\frac{3}{2}c(c+1)}. \quad (2.15)$$

As another example

$$F(a, 1, 0) = q^a F(1, 0, 0) F(-1, 1, 0) + q^{2a} F(0, 1, 0) F(a, 0, 0)$$

and we find that

$$F(a, 1, 0) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(a^2+3a+4)} (1+q+\dots+q^a). \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly

$$F(0, 1, a) = q^{2a} F(0, 1, 0) F(0, 0, a) + q^{3a} F(0, 0, 1) F(0, 1, a-1),$$

which gives

$$F(0, 1, a) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(a+1)(3a+4)} (1+q+\dots+q^a). \quad (2.17)$$

Also

$$\begin{cases} F(\alpha, 0, 1) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 4)} (1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{2\alpha}) \\ F(1, 0, \alpha) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)(3\alpha+2)} (1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{2\alpha}) \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{cases} F(1, \alpha, 0) = q^{(\alpha+1)^2} (1 + q + \cdots + q^\alpha) \\ F(0, \alpha, 1) = q^{\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 3} (1 + q + \cdots + q^\alpha). \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

Note that it follows from (2.16), (2.17), (2.18), and (2.19) that

$$\begin{cases} F(0, 1, \alpha) = q^{\alpha(\alpha+2)} F(\alpha, 1, 0) \\ F(1, 0, \alpha) = q^{\alpha(\alpha-1)} F(\alpha, 0, 1) \\ F(0, \alpha, 1) = q^{\alpha(\alpha+2)} F(1, \alpha, 0). \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

### SECTION 3

It is evident from (2.1) that  $F(\alpha, b, c)$  is a polynomial in  $q$  with non-negative integral coefficients. Put

$$f(\alpha, b, c) = \deg F(\alpha, b, c), \quad (3.1)$$

the degree of  $F(\alpha, b, c)$ . To evaluate  $f(\alpha, b, c)$  we use (2.1):

$$F(\alpha, b, c) = q^N F(\alpha-1, b, c) + q^{2N} F(\alpha, b-1, c) + q^{3N} F(\alpha, b, c-1) \quad (N = \alpha + b + c).$$

Then

$$f(\alpha, b, c) = \max \{ N + f(\alpha-1, b, c), 2N + f(\alpha, b-1, c), 3N + f(\alpha, b, c-1) \}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular

$$f(\alpha, b, c) \geq 3N + f(\alpha, b, c-1) \quad (c > 0),$$

so that

$$f(\alpha, b, c) \geq 3N + (N-1) + \cdots + 3(N-c+1) + f(\alpha, b, 0).$$

Since, by (3.2),

$$f(\alpha, b, 0) \geq 2(\alpha+b) + f(\alpha, b-1, 0),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha, b, c) \geq 3N + 3(N-1) + \cdots + 3(N-c+1) + 2(N-c) + 2(N-c-1) \\ + \cdots + 2(N-c-b+1) + f(\alpha, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.15)

$$f(a, b, c) \geq \frac{1}{2}a(a+1) + b(b+1) + \frac{3}{2}c(c+1) + 2ab + 3ac + 3bc. \quad (3.3)$$

We shall prove that, in fact,

$$f(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2}a(a+1) + b(b+1) + \frac{3}{2}c(c+1) + 2ab + 3ac + 3bc. \quad (3.4)$$

This is evidently true for  $a+b+c = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Assume that (3.4) holds for  $a+b+c < M$ . By (3.4), with  $a+b+c = M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c-1) + a + b + c - f(a, b-1, c) &= c \\ f(a, b-1, c) + a + b + c - f(a-1, b, c) &= b + c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.2) reduces to

$$f(a, b, c) = 3(a+b+c) + f(a, b, c-1)$$

and it follows that (3.4) holds for  $a+b+c = M$ .

This completes the proof of (3.4).

The formulas (2.20) suggest the possibility of a relation of the following kind

$$F(a, b, c) = q^{d(a, b, c)} F(c, b, a), \quad (3.5)$$

for some integer  $d(a, b, c)$ . In view of (3.4)

$$d(a, b, c) = f(a, b, c) - f(c, b, a).$$

By (3.4) this gives

$$d(a, b, c) = (c-a)(a+b+c+1). \quad (3.6)$$

Thus (3.5) becomes

$$q^{a(N+1)} F(a, b, c) = q^{c(N+1)} F(c, b, a), \quad N = a + b + c. \quad (3.7)$$

We shall show below (§5) by a combinatorial argument that (3.7) is indeed correct.

#### SECTION 4

Turning now to the general situation (1.6), we define the operators  $E_1^{-1}$ ,  $E_2^{-1}$ , ...,  $E_n^{-1}$  by means of

$$E_j^{-1} \phi(\mathbf{e}) = \phi(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j), \quad (4.1)$$

where the notation is that in (1.5). We also put

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^n q^{jN} E_j^{-1} \phi(\mathbf{e}) \quad (N = e_1 + \cdots + e_n), \quad (4.2)$$

so that (1.6) becomes



$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \Omega F(\mathbf{e}) \quad (N > 0). \quad (4.3)$$

Iteration of (4.3) gives

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \Omega^m F(\mathbf{e}) \quad (0 \leq m \leq N). \quad (4.4)$$

Generalizing (2.8), we write

$$\Omega^m = \sum_{\sum r_j = m} q^{\omega(\mathbf{r})N} C(\mathbf{r}) E_1^{-r_1} \dots E^{-r_n}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n), \quad \omega(\mathbf{r}) = r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n \quad (4.6)$$

and  $C(\mathbf{r})$  is independent of  $N$ . Then, in the first place, for  $m = N$ , (4.5) yields

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = q^{\omega(\mathbf{e})N} C(\mathbf{e}), \quad (4.7)$$

so that (3.5) becomes

$$\Omega^m = \sum_{\sum r_j = m} q^{\omega(\mathbf{r})(N-m)} C(\mathbf{r}) E_1^{-r_1} \dots E^{-r_n}. \quad (4.8)$$

It then follows from (4.5) and (4.8) that

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\sum r_j = m} q^{\omega(\mathbf{r})(N-m)} F(\mathbf{r}) F(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{r}). \quad (4.9)$$

This result can be written in the more symmetrical form

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\substack{\sum r_j = m \\ r_j + r'_j = e_j}} q^{\omega(\mathbf{r})(N-m)} F(\mathbf{r}) F(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (4.10)$$

The remark about the equivalence of (2.13) and (2.14) is easily extended to (4.10).

As a simple application of (4.10) we take

$$F(a\delta_j) = q^{j(a-1)} F(\delta_j) F((a-1)\delta_j).$$

Then, since  $F(\delta_j) = q^j$ , we get

$$F(a\delta_j) = q^{\frac{1}{2}ja(a+1)} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n). \quad (4.11)$$

This is evidently in agreement with (2.15).

Next

$$\begin{aligned} F(a, 1, 0, \dots, 0) &= q^a F(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) F(a-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\quad + q^{2a} F(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) F(a, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$F(a, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = q^{\alpha+1}F(a-1, 1, 0, \dots) + q^{\frac{1}{2}a(a+1)+2a+2}.$$

This gives

$$F(a, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(a^2+3a+4)}(1+q+\dots+q^a). \quad (4.12)$$

For example

$$F(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = q^4(1+q), \quad F(2, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = q^7(1+q+q^2),$$

in agreement with earlier results.

Clearly  $F(\mathbf{e})$  is a polynomial in  $q$  with non-negative integral coefficients. Put

$$d(\mathbf{e}) = \deg F(\mathbf{e}), \quad (4.13)$$

the degree of  $F(\mathbf{e})$ . Then by (1.6)

$$d(\mathbf{e}) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{jN + d(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j)\}. \quad (4.14)$$

Thus, by (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{e}) \geq & n(N + (N-1) + \dots + (N-e_n+1)) \\ & + (n-1) \left( (N-e_n) + (N-e_n-1) + \dots + (N-e_n-e_{n-1}+1) \right) \\ & + \dots + 2 \left( (N-e_n-\dots-e_3) + \dots + (N-e_n-\dots-e_2+1) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}e_1(e_1+1). \end{aligned}$$

After some manipulation this becomes

$$d(\mathbf{e}) \geq \frac{1}{2}N_1 + \frac{1}{2}N_2, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$N_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n j e_j, \quad N_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \max(i, j) e_i e_j. \quad (4.16)$$

We shall show that indeed

$$d(\mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{2}N_2 + \frac{1}{2}N_1. \quad (4.17)$$

To prove (4.17) it suffices to show that

$$N + d(\mathbf{e} - \delta_k) - d(\mathbf{e} - \delta_{k-1}) = e_k + e_{k+1} + \dots + e_n \quad (k=2, 3, \dots, n)$$

under the assumption that (4.17) holds up to and including  $N-1$ . Making use of (4.17) we find that

$$d(\mathbf{e} - \delta_k) - d(\mathbf{e} - \delta_{k-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} e_j \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n)$$

and (4.18) follows.

Corresponding to

$$\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$$

we define

$$\mathbf{e}' = (e_n, e_{n-1}, \dots, e_1).$$

Clearly

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j = \sum_{j=1}^n e_{n-j+1}.$$

However

$$N_1' = \sum_{j=1}^n j e_{n-j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n (n-j+1) e_j = (n+1)N - N_1.$$

Thus

$$d(\mathbf{e}') = \frac{1}{2}(N+1)((n+1)N - N_1), \quad (4.19)$$

so that

$$d(\mathbf{e}) - d(\mathbf{e}') = \frac{1}{2}(N+1)(2N_1 - (n+1)N). \quad (4.20)$$

In particular, for  $n = 3$ , (4.20) reduces to

$$d(\mathbf{e}) - d(\mathbf{e}') = (N+1)(c - a)$$

in agreement with (3.6).

We shall show by a combinatorial argument in §5 that

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(N+1)(2N_1 - (n+1)N)} F(\mathbf{e}'). \quad (4.21)$$

## SECTION 5

The combinatorial problem alluded to at the beginning of the paper is the following. Put

$$\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n), \quad N = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n, \quad (5.1)$$

where the  $e_j$  are non-negative integers. Consider sequences of length  $N$ :

$$\sigma = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N),$$

where the  $a_j$  are in  $Z_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and each element  $i$  occurs exactly  $e_i$  times;  $\mathbf{e}$  is called the *signature* of  $\sigma$ . We define the weight  $\omega(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  by means of

$$\omega(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^n j a_j. \quad (5.3)$$

We seek  $f(\mathbf{e}, k)$ , the number of sequences  $\sigma$  from  $Z_n$  of signature  $\sigma$  and weight  $k$ . It is convenient to define a refinement of  $f(\mathbf{e}, k)$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we let  $f_j(\mathbf{e}, k)$  denote the number of sequences  $\sigma$  from  $Z_n$  of signature  $\sigma$ , weight  $k$ , and with last element  $\alpha_N = j$ . It follows immediately from the definition that

$$f(\mathbf{e}, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\mathbf{e}, k). \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover

$$f_j(\mathbf{e}, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j, k - jN), \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\delta_j$  has the same meaning as above.

Put

$$\begin{cases} F(\mathbf{e}) = F(\mathbf{e}, q) = \sum_k f(\mathbf{e}, k) q^k \\ F_j(\mathbf{e}) = F_j(\mathbf{e}, q) = \sum_k f_j(\mathbf{e}, k) q^k, \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

so that

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{j=1}^n F_j(\mathbf{e}). \quad (5.7)$$

Multiplying both sides of (5.5) by  $q^k$  and summing over  $k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(\mathbf{e}) &= \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j, k - jN) q^k \\ &= q^{jN} \sum_k f(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j, k) q^k \\ &= q^{jN} F(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, summing over  $j$ , it is clear that

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{j=1}^n q^{jN} F(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j). \quad (5.8)$$

This is identical with the recurrence (1.6); also  $F(\mathbf{e})$  satisfies the same initial conditions as in §1.

The polynomial  $F(\mathbf{e})$  also satisfies a second recurrence. To find this recurrence we let  $\bar{f}_j(\mathbf{e}, k)$  denote the number of sequences  $\sigma$  from  $Z_n$  with signature  $\mathbf{e}$ , weight  $k$ , and *first* element  $e_1 = j$ . Then of course

$$f(\mathbf{e}, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{f}_j(\mathbf{e}, k). \quad (5.9)$$

We have also

$$\bar{f}_j(\mathbf{e}, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}_i(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j, k - N_1 + j) = f(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j, k - N_1 + j), \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$N_1 = e_1 + 2e_2 + \cdots + ne_n. \quad (5.11)$$

Hence, by (5.9)

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{j=1}^n F(\mathbf{e} - \delta_j) q^{N_1 - j}.$$

Now put

$$\mathbf{e}' = (e_n, e_{n-1}, \dots, e_1) \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\sigma' = (\alpha'_N, \alpha'_{N-1}, \dots, \alpha'_1), \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\alpha'_j = n - a_j + 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Corresponding to (5.11), we put

$$N'_1 = e_n + 2e_{n-1} + \cdots + ne_1. \quad (5.14)$$

Thus

$$N_1 + N'_1 = (n+1)N. \quad (5.15)$$

The weight of  $\sigma'$  is evidently

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\sigma') &= \sum_{j=1}^N j \alpha'_{N-j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^N (N-j+1) \alpha'_j = \sum_{j=1}^N (N-j+1)(n-a_j+1) \\ &= (n+1)N(N+1) - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)N(N+1) - (N+1) \sum_{j=1}^N a_j + \sum_{j=1}^N j a_j. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\omega(\sigma') = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)N(N+1) - (N+1)N_1 + \omega(\sigma). \quad (5.16)$$

Thus there is a 1-1 correspondence between sequences  $\sigma$  of signature  $\mathbf{e}$  and weight  $k$ , and sequences  $\sigma'$  of signature  $\mathbf{e}'$  and weight

$$\frac{1}{2}(N+1)((n+1)N - 2N_1) + k,$$

so that

$$f(\mathbf{e}, k) = f\left(\mathbf{e}, \frac{1}{2}(N+1)((n+1)N - 2N_1) + k\right).$$

This yields

$$F(\mathbf{e}) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(N+1)(2N_1 - (n+1)N)} F(\mathbf{e}'), \quad (5.17)$$

so that we have proved (4.21).

It is proved in (4.17) that

$$\deg F(\mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2), \quad (5.18)$$

which implies

$$f(\mathbf{e}, k) = 0 \quad \left(k > \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2)\right). \quad (5.19)$$

Also the proof of (4.17) gives

$$f\left(\mathbf{e}, \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2)\right) = 1. \quad (5.20)$$

In the next place, define

$$\bar{\sigma} = (\alpha_N, \alpha_{N-1}, \dots, \alpha_1),$$

so that

$$\omega(\bar{\sigma}) = \sum_{j=1}^N j\alpha_{N-j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^N (N-j+1)\alpha_j = (N+1)N_1 - \omega(\sigma). \quad (5.21)$$

It therefore follows from (5.19) and (5.20) that

$$f(\mathbf{e}, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \left(k = NN_1 + \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2)\right) \\ 0 & \left(k < NN_1 + \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2)\right). \end{cases} \quad (5.22)$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{\max}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2) \\ \omega_{\min}(\sigma) = NN_1 + \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2). \end{cases} \quad (5.23)$$

Finally it is evident from (5.21) that

$$F(\mathbf{e}, q) = q^{(N+1)N_1} F(\mathbf{e}, q^{-1}), \quad (5.24)$$

where we are using the fuller notation,  $F(\mathbf{e}, q) = F(\mathbf{e})$ .

Put

$$f_n(N, k) = \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_n = N} f(\mathbf{e}, k),$$

so that  $f_n(N, k)$  is the number of sequences from  $Z_n$  of length  $N$  and weight  $k$ . Also put

$$F_n(N, q) = \sum_k f_n(N, k)q^k.$$

Then it follows almost immediately from the definition of  $f_n(N, k)$  that

$$F_n(N, q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1 - q^{nj}}{1 - q^j}. \quad (5.25)$$

Indeed it suffices to observe that the right-hand side of (5.25) is equal to

$$\prod_{j=1}^N (q^j + q^{2j} + \dots + q^{nj}).$$

A curious partition identity is implied by (5.25). Put

$$\prod_{j=1}^N (1 - q^j)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m, N)q^m,$$

so that  $p(m, N)$  is the number of partitions of  $m$  into parts  $\leq N$ . Now rewrite (5.25) in the form

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k, N)q^k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m, N)q^{mn} \sum_k f_n(N, k)q^k.$$

Then, equating coefficients of  $q^k$ , we get

$$p\left(k - \frac{1}{2}N(N+1)\right) = \sum_{mn \leq k} p(m, N)f_n(N, k - mn). \quad (5.26)$$

Another identity is obtained by replacing  $n$  by  $2n$  in (5.25):

$$F_{2n}(N, q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1 - q^{2nj}}{1 - q^j}.$$

Then by division

$$F_{2n}(N, q) = F_n(N, q) \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^{nj}).$$

Hence, if we put

$$\prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \bar{p}(m, N)q^m,$$

so that  $\bar{p}(m, N)$  is the number of partitions of  $m$  into distinct parts  $\leq N$ , we get

$$\sum_k f_{2n}(N, k)q^k = \sum_k f_n(N, k)q^k \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \bar{p}(m, N)q^{mn}.$$

Therefore

$$f_{2n}(N, k) = \sum_{mn \leq k} \bar{p}(m, N) f_n(N, k - mn). \quad (5.27)$$

For references to other enumerative problems involving sequences see [1].

#### REFERENCE

- [1] L. Carlitz, "Permutations, Sequences and Special Functions," *SIAM Review* Vol. 17 (1975), pp. 298-322.

\*\*\*\*\*