A NOTE ON CONSECUTIVE PRIME NUMBERS

George Giordano

Department of Mathematics, Physics and Computer Science Ryerson Polytechnic University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5B 2K3 (Submitted February 1993)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p_n denote the n^{th} prime and $d(n) = p_{n+1} - p_n$. Cramer [4], using a probabilistic argument, conjectured that $d(n) = 0 ((\log(p_n))^2)$. There have been several papers showing that $d(n) = 0(p_n^{\theta})$ (e.g., [7], [8], [9], [10], [12]), for which the value of θ has been reduced to $\frac{110}{120} - \frac{1}{384}$. These papers naturally used sophisticated techniques.

By using the Riemann hypothesis and other properties, one can show $d(n) = 0(p_n^{1/2}(\log(n))^c)$ for some c > 0; for example, using the Riemann hypothesis in connection with other assumptions, Heath-Brown & Goldston [6] show that $p_{n+1} - p_n = o(p_n^{1/2}(\log(p_n))^{1/2})$.

As usual, the phrase "almost all n" means that the number of $n \le X$ for which the statement is false is o(X). Now if one is willing to give up the principle of having $d(n) = 0(f(p_n))$ and, instead, demand $d(n) < f(p_n)$ for almost all n, then, as Montgomery showed in [11], for almost all n, the interval $[n, n+n^{1/5+\varepsilon}]$ contains a prime. Harman [5] showed that, for almost all n, the interval $[n, n+n^{1/10+\varepsilon}]$ contains a prime. Once again, sophisticated techniques are used. Better results can be achieved for these types of problems if one can incorporate the moment method found in the papers written by Cheer & Goldston [2], [3].

In this paper we will show that, if $\varepsilon > 0$, K > 1, and x is sufficiently large, then the number of indices n < x for which $d(n) \ge K(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon}$ is less than $x/((K-1)(\log(x))^{\varepsilon})$. Professor Erdös informs me that, if one incorporates Brun's method along with the Prime Number Theorem, then one can establish that the number of indices n < x for which $d(n) > K(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon}$ is less than $(1-\varepsilon)x/((K(\log(x)^{\varepsilon})))$. The theorems in this paper, though weaker, are elementary and do not depend on Brun's method. We need the following definitions and results. Let M(x) be the set of all positive integers $6 \le n \le x$ for which $d(n) < K(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon}$ does not hold and let |M(x)| be the cardinality of M(x).

$$\sum_{n \le x} d_n \le p_{x+1} - 2 \tag{1.1}$$

 $p_n \le n(\log(n) + \log\log(n)), \ n \ge 6.$ (1.2)

It is obvious that (1.1) is a telescoping series. Rosser & Schoenfeld [14] proved (1.2).

2. THEOREMS, LEMMA, AND THEIR PROOFS

Lemma 1: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let M(x) be the set of all positive integers $3 \le n \le x$ for which $d(n) < K(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon}$ does not hold. Let |M(x)| be the cardinality of M(x). Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}(x)} (\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon} \ge \int_{3}^{|\mathcal{M}(x)|} (\log(t))^{1+\varepsilon} dt > t (\log(t))^{1+\varepsilon} - (1+\varepsilon)t (\log(t))^{\varepsilon} \Big|_{3}^{|\mathcal{M}(x)|}$$

[AUG.

352

Theorem 1: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$K > (x+1)(\log(x+1) + \log\log(x+1))\left[x\left(1 - \frac{\log\log^{\varepsilon} x}{\log x}\right)^{\varepsilon} \left(\log(x)\left(1 - \frac{\log\log^{\varepsilon} x}{\log x}\right) - 1 - \varepsilon\right)\right]^{-1}.$$

Let M(x) = the set of positive integers $6 \le n \le x$ such that $d(n) / (\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon} < K$ does not hold. Then $|M(x)| < x / (\log(x))^{\varepsilon}$.

Proof: Let $f(n) = (\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon}$ and let $M'(x) = \{n \ge 6 : n \notin M\}$, that is, the complement of M(x). We have

$$\sum_{6 \le n \le x} (f(n) - d(n)) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}'(x)} (f(n) - d(n)) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}(x)} f(n)(1 - d(n) / f(n)).$$
(2.1)

If $n \in M(x)$, then $d(n) / f(n) \ge K$, and using this we see that (2.1) becomes

$$\sum_{6 \le n \le x} (f(n) - d(n)) \le \sum_{n \in M'(x)} (f(n) - d(n)) + (1 - K) \sum_{n \in M(x)} f(n).$$
(2.2)

After several manipulations, (2.2) becomes

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}'(x)} d(n) + K \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}(x)} f(n) \le \sum_{0 \le n \le x} d(n).$$

$$(2.3)$$

Dropping the first term on the left-hand side of (2.3) and using (1.1) and (1.2), we now see that (2.3) becomes

$$K\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}(x)} f(n) \le (x+1)(\log(x+1) + \log\log(x+1)).$$
(2.4)

Applying Lemma 1 to the left-hand side of (2.4) gives

r

$$K \int_{6}^{|M(x)|} (\log(t))^{1+\varepsilon} dt \le (x+1)(\log(x+1) + \log\log(x+1)).$$
(2.5)

From (2.5), we get a contradiction if $|M(x)| \ge x / (\log(x))^{\varepsilon}$. Thus, $|M(x)| < x / (\log(x))^{\varepsilon}$.

Theorem 2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and K > 1. Let M(x) = the set of positive integers $6 \le n \le x$ such that $d(n)/(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon} < K$ does not hold. Then, for x sufficiently large, we have

$$|M(x)| < x/((K-1)(\log(x))^{\varepsilon})$$

Proof: The proof is the same as Theorem 1 up to (2.5). Now

$$K \int_{6}^{|M(x)|} (\log(t))^{1+\varepsilon} dt \le (x+1)(\log(x+1) + \log\log(x+1)).$$
(2.6)

From (2.6), we get a contradiction if $|M(x)| \ge x / ((K-1)(\log(x))^{\varepsilon})$.

1994]

353

3. CONCLUSION

We can now determine that Theorem 2 almost proves Cramer's Conjecture. Let K > 1, $\varepsilon = 1$, then for x sufficiently large, by Theorem 2, we have that the number of indices n < x for which $d(n) / (\log(n))^2 < K$ is at least $x - x / ((K-1)\log(x))$.

It is also possible to get weaker results without using (1.2). From Ribenboim [13], p. 160, we have $.92129x/\log(x) < \pi(x)$ for $x \ge 30$. If we incorporate this into Theorem 4.7 of Apostol [1], making some minor modifications, we have

$$p_n < 1.62815n(\log(n)) + 0.13347n$$
, for $p_n \ge 100$.

Then the following revisions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, though not as strong, do not depend on the Prime Number Theorem. The weaker form of Theorem 1 is: suppose $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \ge 100$, and

$$K > 1.62815(x+1)(\log(x+1) + 0.13347) \left[x \left(1 - \frac{\log \log^{\varepsilon} x}{\log x} \right)^{\varepsilon} \left(\log(x) \left(1 - \frac{\log \log^{\varepsilon} x}{\log x} \right) - 1 - \varepsilon \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Let M(x) = the set of positive integers $6 \le n \le x$ such that $d(n)/(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon} < K$ does not hold. Then $|M(x)| < x/(\log(x))^{\varepsilon}$. The weaker form of Theorem 2 is: suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and K > 1. Let M(x) = the set of positive integers $6 \le n \le x$ such that $d(n)/(\log(n))^{1+\varepsilon} < K$ does not hold. Then, for x sufficiently large, we have

$$M(x) < 1.6282 x / ((K-1)(\log(x))^{\varepsilon}).$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Originally, this paper was based on other theorems for a large class of functions. However, Professor Erdös informed me that those theorems were a natural consequence of the Prime Number Theorem. I would like to thank him for his insight.

I am deeply indebted to Professor J. Repka for his suggestions, which led to a better presentation of the manuscript. A portion of the cost for this manuscript was supported by the Instructor Development Fund at Ryerson Polytechnic University.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. M. Apostol. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- 2. A. Y. Cheer & D. A. Goldston. "A Moment Method for Primes in Short Intervals." C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 9.2 (1987):101-06.
- 3. A. Y. Cheer & D. A. Goldston. "Longer than Average Intervals Containins No Primes." *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 304.2 (1987):469-86.
- 4. H. Cramer. "On the Order of Magnitude of the Difference between Consecutive Prime Numbers." Acta Arith. 2 (1937):23-46.
- 5. G. Harman. "Primes in Short Intervals." Math. Z. 180 (1982):335-48.
- 6. D. R. Heath-Brown & D. A. Goldston. "A Note on the Differences between Consecutive Primes." *Math. Ann.* 266 (1984):317-20.
- 7. G. Hoheisel. "Primzahlprobleme in der Analysis." Sitzungsberichte Berliner Akad. d. Wiss. (1930):580-88.

- 8. A. E. Ingham. "On the Difference between Consecutive Primes." *Quart. J. Math.* 8 (1937): 255-66.
- 9. H. Iwaniec & M. Jutila. "Primes in Short Intervals." Arkiv f. Mat. 17 (1979):167-76.
- 10. H. Iwaniec & J. Pintz. "Primes in Short Intervals." Mh. Mat. 98 (1984):115-43.
- 11. H. L. Montgomery. *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer, 1971.
- 12. C. J. Mozzochi. "On the Difference between Consecutive Primes." J. Nb. Th. 24 (1986):181-87.
- 13. P. Ribenboim. *The Book of Prime Number Records*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- 14. J. B. Rosser & L. Schoenfeld. "Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers." *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962):64-94.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11A41; 11N05

*** *** ***