

# ON MODULAR FIBONACCI SETS

Mihai Caragiu

Ohio Northern University and Institute of Mathematics Bucharest  
E-mail: m-caragiul@onu.edu

William Webb

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-3113  
E-mail: webb@math.wsu.edu

(Submitted February 2001-Final Revision June 2001)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For any prime  $p$  let us define the modular Fibonacci set  $\text{Fib}[p]$  to be the subset of  $\mathbf{F}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  (the finite field with  $p$  elements) consisting of *all the terms appearing in the Fibonacci sequence modulo  $p$* . For example, when  $p = 41$  we have the Fibonacci sequence modulo 41

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 14, 7, 21, 28, 8, 36, 3, 39, 1, 40, 0, 40, 40, 39, \\ 38, 36, 33, 28, 20, 7, 27, 34, 20, 13, 33, 5, 38, 2, 40, 1, 0, \dots$$

so that the corresponding modular Fibonacci set will be

$$\text{Fib}[41] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 13, 14, 20, 21, 27, 28, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40\} \subset \mathbf{F}_{41}.$$

Of course there are plenty of ways of picking up a special subset of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  for any prime  $p$ . One possible choice would be to select within any finite prime field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  the set of *all perfect squares modulo  $p$* , say  $\text{Sq}[p]$  so that, for example,

$$\text{Sq}[11] = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}.$$

An interesting thing about the sets  $\text{Sq}[p]$  is that they admit a uniform description by a first-order logical formula, namely

$$\Phi(X) \equiv (\exists Y)(X = Y^2)$$

The above  $\Phi(X)$  is a first-order formula written in the language of rings such that for any prime  $p$  the subset  $\text{Sq}[p]$  of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  coincides with the set of all elements  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$  satisfying  $\Phi$ :

$$\text{Sq}[p] = \{x \in \mathbf{F}_p : \Phi(x) \text{ true}\}.$$

In a more technical language, we can say that *the perfect squares are first-order definable*.

At this moment the following natural question can be asked: is there a formula  $\theta(X)$  that defines in each field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  the set  $\text{Fib}[p]$ ? By providing a *negative answer* to the above question, the present note establishes a worth noting, albeit negative, property of the family of modular Fibonacci sets. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1:** *There is no formula  $\theta(x)$  written in the first-order language of rings that defines in each field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  the set  $\text{Fib}[p]$ .*

For basic concepts of logic and model theory, including that of elementary formula one may consult [1]. An essential role in the proof of Theorem 1 will be played by the following result [2] estimating the number of points of definable subsets of finite fields:

**Theorem 2:** If  $\theta(X)$  is a formula in one free variable  $X$  written in the first-order language of rings, then there are positive constants  $A, B$ , and positive rational numbers  $0 < \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_k \leq 1$  such that for any finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , if  $N_q(\theta)$  represents the number of elements  $a \in \mathbf{F}_q$  such that  $\theta(a)$  is true, either

$$N_q(\theta) \leq A$$

or

$$|N_q(\theta) - \mu_i q| \leq B\sqrt{q}$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

**Example.** Consider

$$\theta(x) \equiv (\exists Y_1) \dots (\exists Y_n)[(X + 1 = Y_1^2) \wedge \dots \wedge (X + n = Y_n^2)]$$

so that  $\theta(X)$  asserts that  $X + 1, X + 2, \dots, X + n$  are perfect squares within the field. In this case one can take  $k = 2$  with  $\mu_1 = 1/2^n$  and  $\mu_2 = 1$ . The first value,  $\mu_1$ , stands for the fields of odd characteristic. Indeed, according to a classical result of Davenport, the number  $N = N(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  of elements  $x \in GF(q)$  for which the Legendre character takes  $n$  preassigned values  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  on  $x + d_1, x + d_2, \dots, x + d_n$ , can be estimated ([4], p. 263) as  $N = q/2^n + O(n\sqrt{q})$  with an absolute implied constant. The second value  $\mu_2$  stands for the finite fields of characteristic two, in which every element is a square.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In order to apply Theorem 2 to the proof of our main result, we will need a result on the cardinalities of the modular Fibonacci sets  $\text{Fib}[p]$ .

**Proposition 3:** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a prime  $p$  such that

$$|\text{Fib}[p]| < p\varepsilon.$$

**Proof:** From [3] and [5] it follows that if  $k(p)$  is the period of the Fibonacci sequence modulo  $p$ , then  $p/k(p)$  is an unbounded function of the prime  $p$ . Proposition 3 is a straight-forward consequence of this fact.

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. Let us suppose, by contradiction, that there exists some formula  $\theta(X)$  in the first-order language of rings, with the property that for any prime  $p$  and any  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$

$$x \in \text{Fib}[p] \Leftrightarrow \theta(x) \text{ true in } \mathbf{F}_p.$$

Let  $A, B$  and  $0 < \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_k \leq 1$  be the constants associated to the formula  $\theta$  by Theorem 2. It follows then for any prime  $p$ , either

$$|\text{Fib}[p]| \leq A \tag{1}$$

or

$$||\text{Fib}[p]| - \mu_i p| \leq B\sqrt{p} \tag{2}$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Note that (1) fails for all sufficiently large  $p$ , since the sequence of Fibonacci numbers is strictly increasing after the second term. Thus, for  $p$  big enough it is

(2) which must be true. However, by proposition 3, there are arbitrarily large  $p$  for which (2) fails for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus a formula  $\theta(X)$  as above cannot exist.

**Remark:** In the same way one can prove that there is no finite set  $\{\theta_1(X), \dots, \theta_n(X)\}$  of first-order formulas written in the language of rings such that for each prime  $p$  some formula  $\theta_i(X)$  defines  $\text{Fib}[p]$  in the field  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. Chang and H.J. Keisler. *Model Theory*. Second Edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [2] Z. Chatzidakis, L.v.d. Dries and A. Macintyre. "Definable Sets Over Finite Fields." *Crelle Journal* **427** (1992): 107-135.
- [3] D. Jarden. "Unboundedness of the function  $[p-(5/p)]/a(p)$  in Fibonacci's sequence." *A.M. Monthly* **53** (1946): 426-427.
- [4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [5] J. Vinson. "The Relation of the Period Modulo  $m$  to the Rank of Apparition of  $m$  in the Fibonacci Sequence." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **1** (1963): 37-45.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11TXX, 11B50

