

THE EXISTENCE OF PERFECT 3-SEQUENCES

EUGENE LEVINE

C. W. Post College, Long Island University, Brookville, N. Y.

For s and n positive integers, a sequence a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{sn} of length sn is called a perfect s -sequence for the integer n if (a) each of the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ occurs exactly s times in the sequence and (b) between any two consecutive occurrences of the integer i there are exactly i entries. Thus $4\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 4\ 3\ 2$ is a perfect 2-sequence for $n = 4$. The problem of determining all n having a perfect s -sequence is posed in [1] for $s = 2$ and in [4] for $s > 2$.

It is shown in [3] that a perfect 2-sequence exists for an integer n if and only if $n = 3$ or $4 \pmod{4}$, and furthermore, an explicit 2-sequence is presented for each such n .

The question of the existence of a perfect s -sequence for any n with $s > 2$ is then raised in [4] and [5]. The problem is partially answered in [5] by providing necessary conditions on n in the case where s is either a multiple of 2 or 3. In the particular case $s = 3$, a necessary condition that there exist a perfect 3-sequence for n is $n \equiv 1, 0, \text{ or } 1 \pmod{9}$.

The following examples lead one to believe that for $s = 3$, the above conditions are almost sufficient. Namely, we exhibit perfect 3-sequences for $n = 9, 10, 17, 18, \text{ and } 19$.

The case $n = 9$:

1 9 1 6 1 8 2 5 7 2 6 9 2 5 8 4 7 6 3 5 4 9 3 8 7 4 3

The case $n = 10$ (with 10 denoted by ϕ):

1 ϕ 1 6 1 7 9 3 5 8 6 3 ϕ 7 5 3 9 6 8 4 5 7 2 ϕ 4 2 9 8 2 4

The case $n = 17$:

17 15 3 16 9 10 3 1 12 1 3 1 13 14 9 6 10
15 17 5 16 12 6 11 9 5 13 10 14 6 7 5 8 15
12 11 17 16 7 4 13 8 2 14 4 2 7 11 2 4 8

The case $n = 18$:

18 16 5 17 11 4 2 9 5 2 4 14 2 15 5 4 11 9
 16 18 12 17 13 6 7 8 14 9 11 15 6 10 7 12 8 16
 13 6 18 17 7 14 10 8 3 15 12 1 3 1 13 1 3 10

The case $n = 19$:

19 17 13 18 4 11 8 2 16 4 2 9 15 2 4 8 13 11 14
 17 19 9 18 12 8 16 5 7 15 11 13 9 5 14 10 7 12 17
 5 6 19 18 16 7 15 10 6 3 14 12 1 3 1 6 1 3 10

From the above examples, one has

Conjecture. For $n > 8$, a necessary and sufficient condition that there exist a perfect 3-sequence for n is $n \equiv -1, 0, 1 \pmod{9}$.

The necessary condition stated in the above conjecture is proved in [5]. Actually, the results of [5] are a special case of:

Theorem 1. Let $s = pt$ where p is a prime. A necessary condition that a perfect s -sequence exist for an integer n is

$$n \equiv -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ or } p - 2 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. Suppose a perfect s -sequence a_1, \dots, a_{sn} exists. Then for an integer i occupying positions c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s , we have

$$c_j = c_1 + (j - 1)(i + 1) \quad (j=1, \dots, s).$$

If $i \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, the positions c_j range over the residue classes mod p in a manner such that each residue class has an equal number t of occurrences.

On the other hand, for a fixed i such that $i \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ the positions c_j are all congruent to each other mod p . Letting r be a residue of p , $0 \leq r \leq p - 1$, we define $N(r)$ as the number of integers $i \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ such that the common residue of c_1, \dots, c_s is r .

We now let $b(n, p)$ denote the number of integers i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Then, observing that the total number of positions in

the sequence a_1, \dots, a_{sn} congruent to $r \pmod{p}$ must be nt , it follows (by counting the number of such positions filled by integers i in the range $1 \leq i \leq n$) that

$$t \cdot b(n, p) + sN(r) = nt.$$

Thus, all $N(r)$ have the common value N expressed by

$$pN = n - b(n, p) = \left[\frac{n+1}{p} \right]$$

where $[\]$ is the greatest integer function. Representing n by $n = kp + q$ with $-1 \leq q \leq p-2$ it follows that $pN = k$ and $n = p^2N + q$, whence n is out in the assumed range of values.

The fact that theorem 1 is in some sense strong for $s = 3$ does not completely reflect what conditions are required on n for $s > 3$. In particular, if a power (greater than 1) of a prime divides s the conditions on n can be improved over that presented in theorem 1. We shall only treat the case where $p^2 | s$ (with p a prime) although a more general result can be proved for $p^k | s$ with k arbitrary.

Theorem 2. Let $s = p^2t$ where p is a prime. A necessary condition that a perfect s -sequence exist for an integer n is

$$n \equiv -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ or } p-2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. Let the integer i (with $1 \leq i \leq n$) occupy positions c_1, \dots, c_s in a perfect s -sequence for n . Then

$$c_j = c_1 + (j-1)(i+1) \quad j = 1, \dots, s.$$

We consider three categories for the integer i as follows:

I.) For the

$$n - \left[\frac{n+1}{p} \right]$$

integers i with $i+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ the positions c_1, \dots, c_s range over the residue classes $\pmod{p^2}$ in such a manner that each residue class occurs exactly t times

II.) For the

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{p} \right] - \left[\frac{n+1}{p^2} \right]$$

integers i with $i+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $i+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ the positions c_1, \dots, c_s range over the residue classes $c_1, c_1+p, \dots, c_1+(p-1)p \pmod{p^2}$ in a manner whereby each such residue occurs exactly pt times. We let $N(r)$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ be the number of i in this category with $c_1 \equiv r \pmod{p}$.

III.) For the

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{p^2} \right]$$

integers with $i+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ the positions c_1, \dots, c_s all belong to the same residue class $\pmod{p^2}$.

We let $M(q)$ for $q = 0, 1, \dots, p^2-1$ be the number of i in this category with $c_1 \equiv q \pmod{p^2}$.

Letting q be a residue of p^2 with $q \equiv r \pmod{p}$, the number of positions in the s -sequence for n that are congruent to $q \pmod{p^2}$ is nt . Thus

$$nt = t \left\{ n - \left[\frac{n+1}{p} \right] \right\} + ptN(r) + p^2tM(q)$$

or

$$p^2M(q) = \left[\frac{n+1}{p} \right] - pN(r) .$$

The latter implies that $M(q)$ is identical for all residues q of p^2 having the common reduced residue $r \pmod{p}$. Letting $L(r)$ denote this identical value,

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{p^2} \right] = \sum_{q=0}^{p^2-1} M(q) = p \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} L(r) .$$

hence, p divides

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{p^2} \right]$$

But from theorem 1, $n+1 = p^2d + e$ where $e = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, or $p-1$, hence $d = pd'$ and $n+1 = p^3d' + e$ which is the desired result.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. Irving Gabelman of Rome Air Development Center for his helpful suggestions in behalf of the examples provided herein.

REFERENCES

1. Langford, C. D., Problem, Math. Gaz. 42 (1958), p. 228.
2. Priday, C. J., 'On Langford's Problem (I),' Math. Gaz. 43 (1959), pp. 250-253.
3. Davies, Roy O., 'On Langford's Problem (II),' Math. Gaz. 43 (1959), pp. 253-255.
4. Gillespie, F. S. and Utz, W. R., 'A Generalized Langford Problem,' Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 4 (1966), pp. 184-186.
5. Levine, E., 'On the Generalized Langford Problem' (to be published).

Continued from p. 10

$$\begin{array}{l}
 18 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ -7 \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{dF_{n-1}} > \frac{1}{dF_{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{bd} = \\ = \left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} \right| > \left| \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}} - \frac{c}{d} \right| > \frac{1}{dF_{n-1}} \\ \frac{c}{d} - \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} \geq \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} \geq \frac{F_{n+2}}{F_{n+1}} \end{array} \right. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{dF_{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{bd} = \left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} \right| \geq \\ > \left| \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}} - \frac{c}{d} \right| \geq \frac{1}{dF_{n-1}} \\ \frac{c}{d} - \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} + \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} - \frac{F_{n+2}}{F_{n+1}} \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

This special issue is completely supported by page charges.