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# PROPERTIES OF SOME FUNCTIONS SIMILAR TO LUCAS FUNCTIONS 

H. C. WILLLIAMS<br>Department of Computer Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitola, Canada R3T2N2

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The ordinary Lucas functions are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=a_{1}^{n}+a_{2}^{n}, \quad u_{n}=\left(a_{1}^{n}-a_{2}^{n}\right) /\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are the roots of

$$
x^{2}=P x-0,
$$

$\Delta=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}=P^{2}-4 Q$, and $P, Q$ are coprime integers. These functions and their remarkable properties have been discussed by many authors. The best known works are those of Lucas [7] and Carmichael [3]. Lehmer [6] has dealt with a more general form of these functions for which $P=\sqrt{R}$ and $R, Q$ are coprime integers.
Bell [1] attributed the existence of the many properties of the Lucas functions to the simplicity of the functions' form. He added, "this simplicity vanishes, apparently irrevocably, when we pass beyond second order series." The purpose of this paper is to define a set of third order functions $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$, and to show that these functions possess much of the "arithmetic fertility" of the Lucas functions.

Consider first the functions $v_{n}$ and $u_{n}$, which are defined in the following manner. We let $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ be the roots of

$$
x^{2}=r x+s
$$

and

$$
2 a_{1}=v_{1}+u_{1} \rho_{1}, \quad 2 a_{2}=v_{1}+u_{1} \rho_{2},
$$

where $s, r_{1} v_{1}, u_{1}$ are given integers. We then put

$$
v_{n}=\frac{2}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}^{n} & \rho_{1} \\
a_{2}^{n} & \rho_{2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad u_{n}=\frac{2}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a_{1}^{n} \\
1 & a_{2}^{n}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where

$$
\delta=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \rho_{1} \\
1 & \rho_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If we select values for $s, r, v_{1}, u_{1}$ such that $v_{n}, u_{n}$ are both integers for all non-negative integer values of $n$, then $P=a_{1}+a_{2}$ and $Q=a_{1} a_{2}$ will be integers. If we further restrict our choices of values for $r, s, v_{1}, u_{1}$ such that $(P, Q)=1$, then it can be easily shown that the resulting furctions $v_{n}$ and $u_{n}$ have many properties analogous to those of the ordinary Lucas functions. Indeed, if we select $s=\Delta, r=0, v_{1}=P, u_{1}=1$, the functions $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ are the functions given by (1.1).
In this paper we shall be concerned with the third order analogues of the above functions. We let $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$ be the roots of

$$
x^{3}=r x^{2}+s x+t \quad \text { and } \quad 3 a_{i}=W_{1}+V_{1} \rho_{i}+U_{1} \rho_{i}^{2} \quad(i=1,2,3),
$$

where $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ are given integers. We define

$$
W_{n}=\frac{3}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1}^{n} & \rho_{1} & \rho_{1}^{2}  \tag{1.3}\\
a_{2}^{n} & \rho_{2} & \rho_{2}^{2} \\
a_{3}^{n} & \rho_{3} & \rho_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad V_{n}=\frac{3}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & a_{1}^{n} & \rho_{1}^{2} \\
1 & a_{2}^{n} & \rho_{2}^{2} \\
1 & a_{3}^{n} & \rho_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad U_{n}=\frac{3}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \rho_{1} & a_{1}^{n} \\
1 & \rho_{2} & a_{2}^{n} \\
1 & \rho_{2} & a_{3}^{n}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where

$$
\delta=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \rho_{1} & \rho_{1}^{2} \\
1 & \rho_{2} & \rho_{2}^{2} \\
1 & \rho_{3} & \rho_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

We also put $P=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}, Q=a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}+a_{3} a_{1}, R=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}, \Delta=\delta^{2}$.
Let $N$ be the set of positive integers. If we restrict the values of $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ such that
(1) $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are all integers for any $n \in N$,
(2) $P, Q, R$ are integers and $(P, Q, R)=1$,
(3) there exists $\mu \in N$ such that $U_{i} \equiv U_{i+k \mu}(\bmod 3)$ for all $i, k \in N$,
the functions $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ have several characteristics similar to those of the Lucas functions. Functions similar to $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ have been discussed by Williams [10] and [11, $(q=3)$ ], but for these functions $r=s=0, \Delta=t$.

Conditions (1) and (2) are analogous to the two restrictions placed on the functions of (1.2). These two restrictions guarantee that there exists an integer $m \in N$ such that $u_{i} \equiv u_{i+k m}(\bmod 2)$ for any $i, k \in N$; however, we shall see that conditions (1) and (2) do not imply (3).

It is necessary to demonstrate what the conditions on $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ are such that (1), (2), (3) are true. In order to do this, we require several identities satisfied by $W_{n}, V_{n}$ and $U_{n}$. These identities, which are independent of (1), (2), (3), are given in Section 2.

## 2. IDENTITIES

It is not difficult to see from (1.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{n-1}\left(W_{n}+\rho V_{n}+\rho^{2} U_{n}\right)=\left(W_{1}+\rho V_{1}+\rho^{2} U_{1}\right)^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
3 W_{n+m}=W_{n} W_{m}+t V_{n} U_{m}+t U_{n} V_{m}+t r U_{m} U_{n} \\
3 V_{n+m}=V_{n} W_{m}+W_{n} V_{m}+s V_{m} U_{n}+s V_{n} U_{m}+(r s+t) U_{n} U_{m}  \tag{2.2}\\
3 U_{n+m}=W_{m} U_{n}+W_{n} U_{m}+V_{n} V_{m}+r U_{m} V_{n}+r U_{n} V_{m}+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{n} U_{m}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 W_{2 m}=W_{m}^{2}+2 t V_{m} U_{m}+t r U_{m}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
3 R^{m} W_{-m}=W_{m}^{2}+r W_{m} V_{m}+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) W_{m} U_{m}-s V_{m}^{2}-(r s+t) U_{m} V_{m}+\left(s^{2}-r t\right) U_{m}^{2} \\
3 R^{m} V_{-m}=-W_{m} V_{m}-r V_{m}^{2}-r^{2} U_{m} V_{m}+(r s+t) U_{m}^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
3 R^{m} U_{-m}=-W_{m} U_{m}+V_{m}^{2}+r U_{m} V_{m}-s U_{m}^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By using methods similar to those of Williams [12], we can show that
where

$$
g R^{m} W_{n-m}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{n} & t U_{m} & t V_{m}+r t U_{m} \\
V_{n} & W_{m}+s U_{m} & s V_{m}+(r s+t) U_{m} \\
U_{n} & V_{m}+r U_{m} & W_{m}+r V_{m}+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{m}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
g R^{m} V_{n-m}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{m} & W_{n} & t V_{m}+r t U_{m} \\
V_{m} & V_{n} & s V_{m}+(r s+t) U_{m} \\
U_{m} & U_{n} & W_{m}+r V_{m}+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{m}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
g R^{m} U_{n-m}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{m} & t U_{m} & W_{n} \\
V_{m} & W_{m}+s U_{m} & V_{n} \\
U_{m} & V_{n}+r U_{m} & U_{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
27 R^{m}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{m} & t U_{m} & t V_{m}+r t U_{m}  \tag{2.7}\\
V_{m} & W_{m}+s U_{m} & s V_{m}+(r s+t) U_{m} \\
U_{m} & V_{m}+r U_{m} & W_{m}+r V_{m}+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{m}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{n} & v_{n} & U_{n} \\
W_{n+m} & V_{n+m} & U_{n+m} \\
W_{n+2 m} & v_{n+2 m} & U_{n+2 m}
\end{array}\right|=R^{n} N_{m}
$$

$$
27\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{n} & W_{n+m} & W_{n+2 m} \\
W_{n+m} & W_{n+2 m} & W_{n+3 m} \\
W_{n+2 m} & W_{n+3 m} & W_{n+4 m}
\end{array}\right|=-R^{n} t^{2} N_{m}^{2}
$$

$$
27\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{n} & V_{n+m} & V_{n+2 m} \\
V_{n+m} & V_{n+2 m} & V_{n+3 m} \\
V_{n+2 m} & V_{n+3 m} & V_{n+4 m}
\end{array}\right|=-R^{n}(r s+t) N_{m}^{2}
$$

$$
27\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{n} & U_{n+m} & U_{n+2 m} \\
U_{n+m} & U_{n+2 m} & U_{n+3 m} \\
U_{n+2 m} & U_{n+3 m} & U_{n+4 m}
\end{array}\right|=-R^{n} N_{m}^{2}
$$

$$
N_{m}=3\left|\begin{array}{cc}
V_{m} & U_{m} \\
V_{2 m} & U_{2 m}
\end{array}\right|=\left(V_{m}+r U_{m}\right)^{3}-r U_{m}\left(V_{m}+r U_{m}\right)^{2}-s U_{m}^{2}\left(V_{m}+r U_{m}\right)-t U_{m}^{3}
$$

Let

$$
P_{m}=a_{1}^{m}+a_{2}^{m}+a_{3}^{m}, \quad Q_{m}=a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{m}+a_{2}^{m} a_{3}^{m}+a_{3}^{m} a_{1}^{m}, \quad R_{m}=a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{m} a_{3}^{m}=R^{m}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g Q_{m}=3 W_{m}^{2}+2 r V_{m} U_{m}+\left(2 r^{2}+4 s\right) U_{m} W_{m}-s V_{m}^{2}-(s r+3 t) U_{m} V_{m}+\left(s^{2}-2 t r\right) U_{m}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
27 R_{m}=W_{m}^{3}+t V_{m}^{3}+t^{2} U_{m}^{3}-(3 t+r s) W_{m} V_{m} U_{m}+r W_{m}^{2} V_{m}-s V_{m}^{2} W_{m} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\left(2 s+r^{2}\right) W_{m}^{2} U_{m}+\left(s^{2}-2 r t\right) W_{m} U_{m}^{2}+t r V_{m}^{2} U_{m}-t s V_{m} U_{m}^{2}
$$

If

$$
\epsilon_{m}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1}^{m} & a_{1}^{2 m} \\
1 & a_{2}^{m} & a_{2}^{2 m} \\
1 & a_{3}^{m} & a_{3}^{2 m}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and $E_{m}=\epsilon_{m}^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
27 \epsilon_{m}=-27 R^{2 m} \epsilon_{-m}=\delta N_{m} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(2.15)

$$
3^{6} E_{m}=\Delta N_{m}^{2}
$$

It should be noted that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}=P_{m}^{2} Q_{m}^{2}+18 P_{m} Q_{m} R_{m}-4 Q_{m}^{3}-4 P_{m}^{3} R_{m}-27 R_{m}^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta=r^{2} s^{2}-18 r s t+4 s^{3}-4 r^{3} t-27 t^{2}
$$

If

$$
F(x, y)=x^{3}-r x^{2} y-s x y^{2}-t y^{3}
$$

we see from (2.14) and (2.5), that

$$
R^{m} F\left(V_{m}+r U_{m}, U_{m}\right)=F\left\{\left(t U_{m}^{2}-r W_{m} U_{m}-W_{m} V_{m}\right) / 3,\left(-W_{m} U_{m}+V_{m}^{2}+r U_{m} V_{m}-s U_{m}^{2}\right) / 3\right\}
$$

If $W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ are selected such that $W_{1}=3 a, V_{1}=3 b, U_{1}=3 c$, where $a, b, c$ are integers and $a+\rho_{1} b+\rho_{1}^{2} c$ is a unit of the cubic field generated by adjoining $\rho_{1}$ to the rationals, we can obtain an infinitude of integer solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
F(x, y)=F(z, w) .
$$

If we define
then (Bell [1])

$$
Z_{n}=\frac{1}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \rho_{1} & \rho_{1}^{n} \\
1 & \rho_{2} & \rho_{2}^{n} \\
1 & \rho_{3} & \rho_{3}^{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\rho^{n}=\left(Z_{n+2}-r Z_{n+1}-s Z_{n}\right)+\left(Z_{n+1}-r Z_{n}\right) \rho+Z_{n} \rho^{2}
$$

where $\rho=\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$. Using this result together with (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
3^{m-1} W_{n m}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{m!}{i!j!(m-i-j)!}\left(Z_{2 j+i+2}-r Z_{2 j+i+1}-s Z_{2 j+1}\right) W_{n}^{m-i-j} V_{n}^{i} U_{n}^{j}  \tag{2.17}\\
3^{m-1} V_{n m}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{m!}{i!j!(m-i-j)!}\left(Z_{2 j+i+1}-r Z_{2 j+1}\right) W_{n}^{m-i-j} V_{n}^{i} U_{n}^{j} \\
3^{m-1} U_{n m}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{m!}{i!j!(m-i-j)!} Z_{2 j+i} W_{n}^{m-i-j} V_{n}^{i} U_{n}^{j}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the sum is taken over integers $i, j \geqslant 0$ such that $0 \leqslant i+j \leqslant m$.
Finally, it should be noted that for a fixed value of $n$, each of $W_{n+k m}, V_{n+k m}, U_{n+k m}$ can be represented as a linear combination of the $k^{\text {th }}$ powers of the roots of the equation

$$
x^{3}=P_{m} x^{2}-Q_{m} x+R_{m}
$$

consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{n+(k+3) m}=P_{m} W_{n+(k+2) m}-Q_{m} W_{n+(k+1) m}+R_{m} W_{n+k m},  \tag{2.18}\\
& V_{n+(k+3) m}=P_{m} V_{n+(k+2) m}-Q_{m} V_{n+(k+1) m}+R_{m} V_{n+k m} . \\
& U_{n+(k+3) m}=P_{m} U_{n+(k+2) m}-Q_{m} U_{n+(k+1) m}+R_{m} U_{n+k m} .
\end{align*}
$$

The identities (2.1), (2.2), (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.17), (2.18) are analogous to Lucas' important identities (7), (49), (51), (46), (32) and (33), (49), and (13), respectively.

## 3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We will now show how to obtain values for $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ in such a way that $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are integers for any $n \in N$. We require two lemmas.
Lemma 1. If $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are integers for all $n \in N$, then $P, Q, R$ are integers and one of the following is true.
(i) $3 \mid\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)^{\dagger}$
(ii) $3\left|W_{1}, 3 \backslash U_{1}, V_{1} \equiv-r U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3\right| t$, and $3 \mid s$
(iii) $3\left|W_{1}, 3 \backslash U_{1}, V_{1} \equiv r U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3\right| t$ and $r^{2}+s \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$
(i.i) $3 \nmid W_{1}, 3 \mid V_{1}, 3 \nmid U_{1}, W_{1} \equiv-U_{1}(\bmod 3), s \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, and $t \equiv-r(\bmod 3)$
(v) $3 \nmid W_{1} V_{1} U_{1}, W_{1} \equiv U_{1}(\bmod 3), V_{1} \equiv t U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3|\mathrm{~s}, 3| r$, and $3 \mid t$

Proof. Since $W_{2}, V_{2}, U_{2}$ are integers, it follows from (2.3) that one of the cases (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) must be true. In each of these cases, we see that

$$
r V_{1}+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

hence, $P$ is an integer.
Now, from (2.18) and the fact that $V_{O}=U_{O}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{3}=P V_{2}-Q V_{1} \\
& U_{3}=P U_{2}-Q U_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, $Q V_{1}$ and $Q U_{1}$ are both integers. Since $9 Q$ is an integer, we see that $Q$ is an integer if $3 \nmid V_{1}$ or $3 \nmid U_{1}$. If $3 \mid\left(V_{1}, U_{1}\right)$, then it is clear from (2.11) that $Q$ is an integer. Using the equations

$$
V_{4}=P V_{3}-Q V_{2}+R V_{1}, \quad U_{4}=P U_{3}-Q U_{2}+R U_{1}
$$

and (2.7), we can show that $R$ must also be an integer.
Lemma 2. If the conditions of (i) of Lemma 1 are true, $Q$ and $R$ are integers.
If the conditions of (ii) hold, $Q$ and $R$ are integers if and only if $g \mid t$.
If the conditions of (iii) hold, $Q$ and $R$ are integers if and only if $t \equiv r\left(s-2 r^{2}\right)(\bmod 9)$.
If the conditions of (iv) hold, $Q$ and $R$ are integers if and only if $s \equiv 1-t r-r^{2}(\bmod 9)$.
If the conditions of $(v)$ hold, $Q$ and $R$ are integers if and only if $s \equiv t^{2}-1-\operatorname{tr}(\bmod 9)$.
Proof. The proof of the first statement of the lemma is clear from Eqs. (2.11) and (2.7). We show how the other statements can be proved by demonstrating the truth of the fourth statement. (The proofs of the others are similar.)
We write

$$
W_{1}=-U_{1}+3 L, \quad V_{1}=3 K,
$$

where $L . K$ are integers. Substituting these values for $W_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ in (2.11), we get

$$
g Q \equiv 2 U_{1}^{2}\left[1-s-t r-r^{2}\right](\bmod 9)
$$

Hence, $Q$ is an integer if and only if

$$
s \equiv 1-t r-r^{2}(\bmod 9)
$$

fIf $x, y, z, \cdots$ are rational integers, we write as usual $x \mid y$ for $x$ divides $y, x \nmid y$ for $x$ does not divide $y$, and $(x, y, z, \cdots)$ for the greatest common divisor of $x, y, z, \cdots$. We also write $y^{n} \|_{x}$ to indicate that $\left.y^{n}\right|_{x}$ and $y^{n+1} \nmid x$.

Assuming that $Q$ is an integer and repeating the above method using (2.7), we get

$$
27 R \equiv\left[-1+t^{2}+2 s+r^{2}-s^{2}+2 r t\right] U_{1}^{3}(\bmod 27)
$$

Thus,

$$
3 R \equiv((t+r) / 3-(s-1) / 3)((t+r) / 3+(s-1) / 3) U_{1}^{3}(\bmod 3) .
$$

Since $(s-1) / 3 \equiv r(t+r) / 3$ and $3 \nmid r$, we see that $R$ is an integer if $Q$ is.
The answer to the problem of this section is given as
Theorem 1. $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are integers for any $n \in N$ if and only if one of the following is true.
(a) $3 \mid\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)$
(b) $3\left|w_{1}, 3 \backslash U_{1}, V_{1} \equiv-r U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3\right| s,\left.9\right|_{t}$
(c) $3 \mid W_{1}, 3 \backslash U_{1}, V_{1} \equiv r U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3{ }_{s}, r^{2}+s \equiv 0(\bmod 3), t \equiv r\left(s-2 r^{2}\right)(\bmod 9)$
(d) $3 \backslash W_{1}, 3 \mid V_{1}, 3 \backslash U_{1}, W_{1} \equiv U_{1}(\bmod 3), s \equiv 1(\bmod 3), t \equiv-r(\bmod 3), s \equiv 1-t r-r^{2}(\bmod 9)$
(e) $3 \backslash W_{1} V_{1} U_{1}, W_{1} \equiv U_{1}(\bmod 3), V_{1} \equiv t U_{1}(\bmod 3), 3|s, 3| r, 3 \nmid t, s \equiv t^{2}-1-t r(\bmod 9)$.

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2, one of the above conditions is necessary in order for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ to be integers for any $n \in N$. To show sufficiency of the conditions, we note that in each case $W_{2}, V_{2}, U_{2}, P, Q, R$ are integers. The fact that $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are integers for any $n \in N$ follows by induction on (2.18).
Corollary. Let $n \in N$.
If the conditions of (a) are true,

$$
W_{n} \equiv V_{n} \equiv U_{n} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

If the coriditions of (b) hold,

$$
W_{n} \equiv 0, \quad V_{n} \equiv-r U_{n}(\bmod 3) .
$$

If the conditions of (c) hold,

$$
W_{n} \equiv 0, \quad V_{n} \equiv r U_{n}(\bmod 3)
$$

If the conditions of ( d ) hold,

$$
W_{n} \equiv-U_{n}, \quad V_{n} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

If the conditions of (e) hold,

$$
W_{n} \equiv U_{n}, \quad V_{n} \equiv t U_{n}(\bmod 3)
$$

Proof. These results are easily verified for $n=2$. The results for general $n \in N$ follow by using induction on (2.18).
For the sake of brevity, we shall say that the functions $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (a), (b), (c), (d), or (e) if $W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}, r, s, t$ obey the conditions of the cases (a), (b), (c), (d), or (e) above. From this point on, we consider only those functions $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ which are given by one of these cases.
4. CONGRUENCE PROPERTIES MODULO 3

Since $3 \mid\left(W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}\right)$ for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (a), we will confine ourselves here to an investigation of the congruence properties $(\bmod 3)$ of $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ when they are given by (b), (c), (d) or (e). In each of these cases, $g \mid \Delta$ and we let $H=\Delta / 9$. From the corollary to Theorem 1 , we see that it is sufficient to discuss $U_{n}$ only.

We define $\mu$ to be the least positive integer such that

$$
U_{i} \equiv U_{i+k \mu}(\bmod 3)
$$

for all $i, k \in N$. We further define

$$
B=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{\mu}\right\}
$$

where $U_{i} \equiv U_{1} X_{i}(\bmod 3)$.
Lemma 3. For $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (b), (c), (d) or (e), $\mu$ and $B$ are determined from the following results. Case (i) $3\left\langle P_{r}\right.$. The values of $\mu, R(\bmod 3), B$ are functions of the values of $H$ and $Q(\bmod 3)$. These values $(\bmod 3)$ are given in Table 1.

Table 1

| $H$ | $Q$ | $\mu$ | $R$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0,1 | 2 | 0 | $\{1,(0+1) P\}$ |
| 1 | -1 | 2 | $P$ | $\{1,0\}$ |
| -1 | 1 | 4 | $P$ | $\{1,0,-1,0\}$ |
| -1 | $0,-1$ | 4,8 | $P(1+Q)$ | $\{1,0-1) P,-1,0,-1,-(0-1) P, 1,0\}$ |
| 0 | $P$ | 6 | $P-1$ | $\{1,1,0,-1,-1,0\}$ |
| 0 | $-P$ | 3 | $P+1$ | $\{1,-1,0\}$ |

Case (ii). $3 \nmid P, 3 \mid r$
In this case, $\mu=2, R \equiv P Q(Q-1)(\bmod 3)$, and $B=\{1, P+P Q\}$.
Case (iii). $3 \mid P$
In this case, $Q \equiv-H(\bmod 3)$ and the value of $R$ is independent of $Q$ and $H$. The values of $\mu$ and $B$ are given in Table 2.

Table 2

| $H$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $\mu$ | $B$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | $-F$ | 6 | $\{1, F, 0, F,-1,0\}$ |
| -1 | 1 | $F \equiv 0$ | 4 | $\{1,0,-1,0\}$ |
| -1 | 1 | $F \not \equiv 0$ | 8 | $\{1, F,-1,0,-1,-F, 1,0\}$ |
| 1 | -1 | 0 | 2 | $\{1, F\}$ |

Here

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
F=\left(-W_{1}+s U_{1}\right) / 3 & \text { for } & W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n} & \text { given by (b), } \\
F=\left(-W_{1}+r V_{1}+\left(t r-3-r^{2}\right) U_{1}\right) / 3 & \text { for } & W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n} & \text { given by (c), } \\
F=\left(-W_{1}+r V_{1}-s U_{1}\right) / 3 & \text { for } & W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n} & \text { given by (d), }
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
F=\left(-W_{1}-t V_{1}+(s+2) U_{1}\right) / 3 \quad \text { for } \quad W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n} \text { given by (e). }
$$

Proof. For $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (b), put
$W_{1}=3 L, \quad V_{1}=-r U_{1}+3 K, \quad a=s / 3, \quad b=r t / g, \quad A_{1}=L+r K+a U_{1}, A_{2}=L, \quad A_{3}=L+a U_{1}$.
Then it can be shown by substitution into (2.10), (2.11), (2.7), that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}, \quad Q \equiv A_{1} A_{2}+A_{2} A_{3}+A_{3} A_{1}+b, \quad R \equiv A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}+b A_{1} \\
A_{2} A_{3} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right)^{2} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{2}-a^{2}(\bmod 3) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also, if $3 \backslash r, H \equiv a^{2}-b(\bmod 3)$ and if $3 \mid r, H \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Hence, if $3 \backslash r$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q \equiv P\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)-H(\bmod 3) \\
R \equiv \begin{cases}P(Q-H)(Q+H-1)(\bmod 3) & \text { when } 3 \backslash P \\
\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)(H-1)(\bmod 3) & \text { when } 3 \mid P,\end{cases} \\
U_{2} \equiv U_{1}\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right) \equiv U_{1}(P Q+P H) \text { when } 3 \nmid P .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $3 \mid r$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P \equiv 2 a U_{1} \quad(\bmod 3) \\
Q \equiv P\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)-a^{2} \quad(\bmod 3) \\
R \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
P Q(Q-1) & (\bmod 3) & \text { when } \\
-\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right) & (\bmod 3) & \text { when } 3 \mid P
\end{array}\right. \\
U_{2} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right) U_{1} \equiv P(Q+1) U_{1} \text { when } 3 \nmid P .
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof of the lemma for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (b) follows by using induction on (2.18).
[APR.

For (c), put

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1}=3 L, \quad V_{1}=r U_{1}+3 K, \quad a=r t / 3-1, \quad b=r\left(t-r\left(s-2 r^{2}\right)\right) / 9, \quad A_{1}=L+(a+1) U_{1} \\
A_{2}=L-r K, \quad A_{3}=L+2 r K+a U_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
H \equiv a^{2}-b, \quad P \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}, \quad Q \equiv A_{1} A_{2}+A_{2} A_{3}+A_{3} A_{1}+b \\
R \equiv A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}+b A_{1}, \quad\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right)^{2} \equiv a^{2}, \quad A_{2} A_{3} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{2}-a^{2}(\bmod 3)
\end{gathered}
$$

For (d), put

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1}=U_{1}+3 L, \quad V_{1}=3 K, \quad a=r K, \quad b=r(t+s r) / g, \quad A_{1}=L+r K+U_{1}\left(r^{2}-1\right) / 3, \\
A_{2}=L+\sqrt{s} K+U_{1}(s-1) / 3, \quad A_{3}=L-\sqrt{s} K+U_{1}(s-1) / 3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
H \equiv(a-P)^{2}-b, \quad P \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}, \quad Q \equiv A_{1} A_{2}+A_{1} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{3}+b \\
R \equiv A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}-b\left(a+A_{2}+A_{3}\right), \quad\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right)^{2} \equiv a^{2}, A_{2} A_{3} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{2}-a^{2} \quad(\bmod 3) .
\end{gathered}
$$

For (e), put

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=t U_{1}+3 K, \quad W_{1}=U_{1}+3 L, \quad A_{1}=L+t K+U_{1}\left(1+2 t^{2}\right) / 3, \\
A_{2}=L+\beta_{1} K+\beta_{1} U_{1} r / 3, \quad C=L+\beta_{2} K+\beta_{2} U_{1} r / 3,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are the zeros of $x^{2}+(t-r) x+1$. Then $H \equiv 0, P \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}$.

$$
Q \equiv A_{1} A_{2}+A_{3} A_{1}+A_{2} A_{3}, \quad R \equiv A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}, \quad\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right)^{2} \equiv 0, \quad A_{2} A_{3} \equiv\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{2} \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

The remainder of the proof of this lemma for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (c), (d), or (e) can now be obtained in the same way as that for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (b).
Corollary. If $n \in N, 3 \mid U_{n}$ if and only if $\psi \mid n$, where $\psi$ is the least positive integer value for $m$ such that $3 \mid U_{m}$. From the statement of Lemma 3 , it is clear that $\psi=\mu, \mu / 2$ or no value for $\psi$ exists.

In the statement of Lemma 3, we have neglected the case for which $3 \backslash \operatorname{Pr}, 3 \mid Q$ and $3 \mid H$. In this case, it can be shown that $\mu$ does not exist. By the definition of $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$, we exclude this case; hence, we may not have values of $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ such that $3 X \operatorname{Pr}, 3|F, 27| \Delta$ for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (b) or (c) or values of $r, s, t$, $W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ such that $3 \backslash P, 3|(F+P), 27| \Delta$ for $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ given by (d).
We have now found the conditions on $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ in order that the functions $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ satisfy the requirements (1) and (3) of Section 1. We give the conditions for $(P, Q, R)=1((2)$ of Section 1$)$ in Section 5.

## 5. FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$

It is not immediately clear how to select $r, s, t, W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}$ In order that $(P, Q, R)=1$. We show how such selections may be made in
Theorem 2. Let $3 G=\left(2 r^{2}+6 s\right) V_{1}+\left(2 r^{3}+7 r s+9 t\right) U_{1}$.

1. If $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (a), $(P, Q, R)=1$ if and only if $\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)=3$ and $(P, G, \Delta)=2^{\alpha_{y y} \beta}$, where $a>0$ only if $2 \chi(s+r)\left(V_{1}+U_{1}\right)$ and $\beta>0$ only if none of the following is true.
(i) $3 \mid \cdot r$ and $W_{1}+t V_{1}+t^{2} U_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$
(ii) $3 \backslash r, s \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, and $W_{1}+t U_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$
(iii) $3\langle r, 3| s$, and $W_{1}\left(W_{1}+r V_{1}+U_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 27)$
(iv) $3 \chi_{r}, s \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, and $g \mid w_{1}$.
2. If $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (b), (c), (d), or (e), then ( $\left.P, Q, R\right)=1$ if and only if $\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)=1$ and $(P, G, H)=2^{\alpha} 3^{\gamma}$, where $a>0$ only if $2 \nmid(s+r)\left(V_{1}+U_{1}\right)$ and $\gamma>0$ only if 3$\} F$.
Proof. We first prove the necessity of the conditions of the theorem.
If $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime and $p \mid\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)$, then it is clear from (2.10), (2.11), and (2.7) that $p \mid(P, Q, R)$. If $g \mid\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)$, then $3 \mid(P, Q, R)$. Hence, if $(P, Q, R)=1,\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right) \|_{3}$.
Now, suppose that $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime divisor of $(P, G, \Delta)$. Since

$$
3 W_{1} \equiv-r V_{1}-\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1}(\bmod p)
$$

we have

$$
-270 \equiv\left(r^{2}+3 s\right) V_{1}^{2}+\left(2 r^{3}+7 r s+9 t\right) U_{1} V_{1}+\left(r^{4}+4 s r^{2}+6 t r+s^{2}\right) U_{1}^{2}(\bmod p) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \Delta=\left(2 r^{3}+7 r s+9 t\right)^{2}-4\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)\left(r^{4}+4 s r^{2}+6 t r+s^{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
27 \cdot 4 \cdot\left(r^{2}+3 s\right) Q \equiv 9 G^{2}(\bmod p)
$$

If $p \nmid 2\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)$, then $p \mid Q$. If $p \mid\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)$, then, from ( 5.1$), p \mid\left(2 r^{3}+7 r s+9 t\right)$. As a consequence of these two facts, we deduce that $p \mid(r s+9 t)$ and $\left.p\right|^{\prime}\left(3 t r-s^{2}\right)$; thus, $p \mid\left(r^{4}+4 s r^{2}+6 t r+s^{2}\right)$ and $p \mid Q$. Combining (2.15) and (2.16), we get

$$
27^{2}\left(P^{2} Q^{2}+18 P Q R-4 Q^{3}-4 P^{3} R-27 R^{2}\right)=\Delta N_{1}^{2} ;
$$

consequently, if $p \mid(Q, P, \Delta)$ and $p \neq 3$, then $p \mid R$. Thus, if $(P, Q, R)=1$, then $(P, G, \Delta)=2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta},\left((P, G, H)=2^{\alpha} 3^{\gamma}\right)$. If $2 \mid(P, G, \Delta)$ and $(P, Q, R)=1$, then $2 \nmid Q . Q$ is odd if and only if $(s+q)\left(V_{1}+U_{1}\right)$ is. If $3 \mid(P, G, \Delta)$ (or $\left.3 \mid(P, G, H)\right)$ and $(P, Q, R)=1$, then 3$\}(Q, R)$. We will show the conditions under which $3 V(Q, R)$ for part 1 of the theorem only. The conditions for part 2 are quite easy to obtain from results used in the proof of Lemma 3.
Since $3 \mid P$ and $3 \mid \Delta$, we have

$$
r V_{1} / 3 \equiv-\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1} / 3 \quad(\bmod 3) \quad \text { and } \quad r^{2} s^{2}+s \equiv r t(\bmod 3) .
$$

We now deal with four cases.
(i) $3 \mid r$. If $3 \mid r$, then $3 \mid s$ and $3 \mid Q$. Hence $3 \backslash(Q, R)$ if and only if 3$\}\left(W_{1} / 3+t V_{1} / 3+t^{2} U_{1} / 3\right.$.)
(ii) $\frac{3 \backslash r, s \equiv 1(\bmod 3)}{3 \mid \operatorname{La}}$. Here we have $9 \mid V_{1}$ and $t r \equiv-1(\bmod 3) ;$ thus, $s^{2}-2 t r \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $3 \mid Q$. Hence, $3 \backslash(Q, R)$ if and only if $3 X\left(W_{1} / 3+t U_{1} / 3\right)$.
(iii) $3 \backslash r_{2}, 3 \mid \underline{s}$. We must have $3 \mid t$ and $3 \mid Q$. ( $R, Q$ ) is not divisible by 3 if and only if $g X_{W_{1}}\left(W_{1} / 3+r V_{1} / 3+U_{1} / 3\right)$.
(iv) $\frac{3 \nmid r, s \equiv-1}{3 \nmid W_{1} / 3}(\bmod 3)$. Once more, we get $3 \mid t$. Also $U_{1} \equiv-V_{1}(\bmod 9)$; hence $3 \mid Q$. $3 \backslash(R, Q)$ if and only if

We now show the sufficiency of the conditions. Let $p(\neq 3)$ be a prime such $p \mid(P, Q, R)$ and $p \nmid \Delta$. Put $T=V_{1}+r U_{1}$. Since $p \mid E_{1}$ and $p \nmid \Delta$, we must have $p \mid N_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{3}-r T^{2} U_{1}-s T U_{1}^{2}-t U_{1}^{3} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
3 W_{1} \equiv-r T-2 s U_{1}(\bmod p) \text { and } p \mid 270
$$

hence,
(5.3) $\quad T^{2}\left(-r^{2}-3 s\right)+U_{1} T(-s r-9 t)+U_{1}^{2}\left(-s^{2}+3 t r\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

If $p \mid U_{1}$, then $p \mid V_{1}$ and $p \mid W_{1}$. Suppose $p \nmid U_{1}$; then

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-9 t-r s & -3 s-r^{2} & 0 \\
-s & -r & 1 \\
3 r t-s^{2} & -9 t-r s & -3 s-r^{2}
\end{array}\right| T U_{1}^{-1}+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-3 r t-s^{2} & -3 s-r^{2} & 0 \\
-t & -r & 1 \\
0 & -9 t-r s & -3 s-r^{2}
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

Evaluating the determinants, we have

$$
-3 \Delta T U_{1}^{-1}+r \Delta \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

and, consequently, $T \equiv 3^{-1} r U_{1}(\bmod p)$. Putting this result into (5.2) and (5.3), we get $r^{2}+3 s \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $2 r^{3}+9 s r+27 t \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. By (5.1) $p \mid \Delta$, this is a contradiction; thus $p \mid\left(W_{1}, V_{1}, U_{1}\right)$.
If $3 \mid(P, Q, R)$ and $3 \backslash \Delta$, then $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (a) and we discuss two cases. If $3 \mid r$, then $3 \backslash s$ and from (2.10), we must have $g \mid U_{1}$. Using these results in (2.11) and (2.7), we see that $g \mid V_{1}$ and $g \mid w_{1}$. If $3 \mid{ }_{r}$, we obtain from (2.10) the fact that

$$
V_{1} / 3 \equiv-r(1+2 s) U_{1} / 3(\bmod 3) .
$$

Putting this result into (2.11), we deduce

$$
\left(-s-s^{2}+\operatorname{tr}\right)\left(U_{1} / 3\right)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

Since $3 \nmid \Delta, 3 \mid U_{1} / 3$ and $3 \mid v_{1} / 3$, from (2.7), we have $3 \mid w_{1} / 3$.
If $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime and $p \mid(P, Q, R, \Delta)$, then

$$
4 \cdot 27\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) Q \equiv g G^{2}(\bmod p)
$$

and $p \mid G$. If $p=2$, then $2 \mid(P, G, \Delta)$ and we have $2 \mid(s+r)\left(U_{1}+V_{1}\right)$.
If $3 \mid(P, Q, R, \Delta)$ and $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (a), it follows from (2.10) that

$$
r V_{1} / 3+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1} / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod 3) .
$$

Hence,

$$
G \equiv 2 r\left(r V_{1} / 3+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1} / 3\right)+3 r s U_{1} / 3+9 t U_{1} / 3+6 s V_{1} / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

and $3 \mid(P, G, \Delta)$. By the reasoning given above, one of (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv) must be true. If $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (b), (c), (d), or (e), then by Lemma $3,3 \mid H$, and we have

$$
-4 \cdot 27 \cdot\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) 0 \equiv 9 G^{2}(\bmod 27) ;
$$

hence, $3 \mid(P, G, H)$ and $3 \mid F$.
The values of $a, \beta, \gamma$ in Theorem 2 can be bounded. We give these bounds in
Lemma 4. If $(P, Q, R)=1$, then $a<3, \beta<4$, and $\gamma<6$.
Proof. If $B \mid(P, G, \Delta)$, then

$$
-12\left(r^{2}+3 s\right) Q \equiv 9 G^{2}(\bmod 8)
$$

Since $2 \chi\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)$, we have $2 \mid Q$ and it follows that $2 \mid R$.
If $\beta \geqslant 4$,

$$
3 W_{1} / 3+r V_{1} / 3+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{1} / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod 81)
$$

and

$$
30 \equiv-\left[\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)\left(v_{1} / 3\right)^{2}+\left(2 r^{3}+7 r s+9 t\right)\left(U_{1} / 3\right)\left(V_{1} / 3\right)+3\left(s^{2}-2 r t\right)\left(U_{1} / 3\right)^{2}\right](\bmod 243) .
$$

If $27 x\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)$, then $9 \mid 0$. If $27 \mid\left(r^{2}+3 s\right)$, we have $3|r, 3| s$ and $(r / 3)^{2}+(s / 3) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Since $81 \mid \Delta$, we also have $r / 3 \equiv t(\bmod 3)$. Since

$$
-30 \equiv(7 r s+9 t)\left(U_{1} / 3\right)\left(V_{1} / 3\right)+\left(6 r t+s^{2}\right)\left(U_{1} / 3\right)^{2}(\bmod 27)
$$

and $7 r s+9 t \equiv 6 t r+s^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 27)$, it follows that $9 \mid Q$. From the facts that $9|Q, 81| \Delta, 27 \mid N_{1}$, and

$$
E_{1}=\Delta\left(N_{1} / 27\right)^{2}
$$

we see that $3 \mid R$.
If $\gamma \geqslant 6$, then $3^{8} \mid-3 \Delta$ and

$$
-4 \cdot 27\left(r^{2}+3 s\right) Q \equiv 9 G^{2}\left(\bmod 3^{8}\right) ;
$$

hence, $3^{5} \mid\left(r^{2}+3 s\right) Q$. It is not difficult to show that $g \mid Q$. Since $3^{\mid} N_{1}$ and $3^{8} \mid \Delta$, we have $3^{10} \mid \Delta N_{1}^{2}$, and consequently, $3 \mid R$.

## 6. PROPERTIES OF $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$

In the following sections, we will be demonstrating several divisibility properties of the $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ functions. Most of these results depend upon

The orem 3. If $n \in N,\left(W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}\right) 3$.
Proof. Suppose $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime such that $p l\left(W_{2}, V_{2}, U_{2}\right)$. From (2.10), (2.11), (2.7), it is clear that $p\left|P_{2}, p\right| Q_{2}, p \mid R$. Since $P_{2}=P^{2}-2 Q$ and $Q_{2}=Q^{2}-2 R P$, we have $p \mid(P, Q, R)$, which is impossible by definition of $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$. If $g \mid\left(W_{2}, V_{2}, U_{2}\right)$, then $3|R, 3| P_{2}, g \mid Q_{2}$; hence, $3 \mid(P, Q, R)$. The theorem is true for $n=1$, 2.

Suppose $n>2$ is the least positive integer such that $p \mid\left(W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}\right)$, where $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime. Since $P \mid R$, by (2.18), it follows that

$$
P W_{n-1} \equiv Q W_{n-2}, \quad P V_{n-1} \equiv Q V_{n-2}, P U_{n-1} \equiv Q U_{n-2}(\bmod p)
$$

If $p \mid P$, then $p \nmid Q$; hence, $p \mid\left(W_{n-2}, V_{n-2}, U_{n-2}\right)$, which is impossible by the definition of $n$. If $p \mid Q$, then
$p \mid\left(W_{n-1}, V_{n-1}, U_{n-1}\right)$, which is also impossible. This enables us to write

$$
W_{n-1} \equiv P^{-1} a W_{n-2}, \quad V_{n-1} \equiv P^{-1} a v_{n-2}, \quad U_{n-1} \equiv P^{-1} Q U_{n-2}(\bmod p),
$$

where $P^{-1} Q \neq 0(\bmod p)$. From (2.2), we see that

$$
W_{n} \equiv P^{-1} Q W_{n-1}, \quad V_{n} \equiv P^{-1} Q V_{n-1}, \quad U_{n} \equiv P^{-1} Q U_{n-1}(\bmod p)
$$

and consequently $p \mid\left(W_{n-1}, V_{n-1}, U_{n-1}\right)$, which is impossible.
Suppose $n>2$ is the least positive integer such that $g \mid\left(W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}\right)$. From (2.2), it is evident that

$$
3 \mid\left(w_{n+1}, v_{n+1}, U_{n+1}\right)
$$

If $\psi$ has the same meaning as that assigned to it in the corollary of Lemma 3 , we have $\left.\psi\right|_{n}$ and $\left.\psi\right|_{n}+1$; that is, $\psi=1$. Since $3 \mid W_{n-3}$ and $3 \mid R$, we have

$$
P\left(W_{n-1} / 3\right) \equiv Q\left(W_{n-2} / 3\right)(\bmod 3)
$$

and similar results for $V_{n-1}$ and $U_{n-1}$. By reasoning similar to that above, we obtain the result that

$$
3 \mid\left(W_{n-1} / 3, V_{n-1} / 3, U_{n-1} / 3\right)
$$

which cannot be.
Corollary. If $n \in N,\left(U_{n}, V_{n}, R\right) \mid 3$.
Proof. If $p(\neq 3)$ is a prime and $p \mid\left(U_{n}, V_{n}, R\right)$, then $p \mid W_{n}$, which contradicts the theorem. If $g \mid\left(U_{n}, V_{n}, R\right)$, then by (2.7), $81 \mid W_{n}^{3}$ and $g \mid W_{n}$, which is also a contradiction.
We have, with the aid of Theorem 3 and Lemma 3, completely characterized all the divisors of $\left(W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}\right)$. We will now begin to develop some results concerning $D_{n}=\left(V_{n}, U_{n}\right)$. It will be seen that the divisibility properties of $D_{n}$ are similar to those of Lucas' $u_{n}$ (Carmichael's $D_{n}$ ). In fact, we have analogues of Carmichael's theorems I, II, III, IV, VI, X, XII, XIII, XVII (corollary), in Theorem 3 (corollary), Theorem 3, Lemma 3, Theorem 4, Theorem 5 (corollary), Theorem 7, Theorem 8, Theorem 8 (corollary), Theorem 7 (corollary), respectively. We also have the analogues of Corollaries I and II of Carmichael's Theorem VIII as a consequence of Theorem 5 and a result of Ward [9].

Theorem 4. If $n, k \in N$ and $m \mid D_{n}$, then $m \mid D_{k n}$.
Proof. This theorem is true for $k=1$. Suppose it is true for $k=j$.
Since

$$
3 V_{(j+1) n}=V_{n} W_{j n}+W_{n} V_{j n}+s V_{j n} U_{n}+s V_{n} U_{j n}+(r s+t) U_{n} U_{j n}
$$

and

$$
3 U_{(j+1) n}=W_{j n} U_{n}+U_{j n} W_{n}+V_{n} V_{j n}+r U_{j n} V_{n}+r U_{n} V_{j n}+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{n} U_{j n}
$$

we have $m \mid D_{(j+1) m}$, when $3 \nmid m$. If $3 \mid m$, then $3 \mid W_{n}$ and $3 \mid W_{j n}$; hence, $3 m\left|3 V_{(j+1) n}, 3 m\right| 3 U_{(j+1) n}$ and $m \mid D_{(j+1) m}$. The theorem is true by induction.
Let $D_{\omega}$ be the first term of the sequence

$$
D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}, \cdots, D_{k}, \cdots
$$

in which $m$ occurs as a factor. We call $\omega=\omega(m)$ the rank of apparition of n .
Theorem 5. If $n \in N$ and $m$ is a divisor of $D_{n}$, then $\omega(m) \|_{n}$.
Proof. Suppose $\omega_{k}^{k} n$; then $n=k \omega+j(0<j<\omega)$. From (2.2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 V_{n}=V_{j} W_{k} \omega+W_{j} V_{k} \omega+s V_{j} U_{k \omega}+s V_{k \omega} U_{j}+(r s+t) U_{k \omega} U_{j} \\
3 U_{n}=U_{j} W_{k} \omega+W_{j} U_{k \omega}+V_{j} V_{k} \omega+r U_{k} \omega V_{j}+r U_{j} U_{k} \omega+\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{k} \omega U_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $3 \nmid m, m \mid\left(V_{j} W_{k} \omega, U_{j} W_{k} \omega\right)$. Since $m \mid D_{k} \omega,\left(m, W_{k} \omega\right)=1$ and $m \mid D_{j}$.
If $3 \mid m$, then $3 \mid W_{k} \omega$ and $3 \mid W_{n}$. If $\psi$ is the rank of apparition of 3 , we know that $\psi \mid n$ and $\psi \mid k \omega$; hence,
$\psi \mid j$ and $3 \mid\left(W_{j}, V_{j}, U_{j}\right)$. We now have $3 m \mid\left(V_{j} W_{k} \omega, U_{j} W_{k} \omega\right)$. If $3 \| m$, then $\left(m / 3, W_{k} \omega\right)=1, m / 3 \mid\left(V_{j}, U_{j}\right)$, $3 \mid\left(V_{j}, U_{j}\right)$ and consequently $m \mid D_{j}$. If $3^{\alpha} \| m$ and $a>1$, then $3 \| W_{k} \omega$ and $m \mid D_{j}$.

If $\omega / n$, we can find $j<\omega$ such that $m \mid D_{j}$. This contradicts the definition of $\omega$.
Corollary. If $n, m \in N$, then $D_{(m, n)}=\left(D_{m}, D_{n}\right)$.
Proof. This result follows from the theorem and a result of Ward [9].
Corollary. If $m, n$ are integers and $(m, n)=1, \omega(m n)$ is the least common multiple of $\omega(m)$ and $\omega(n)$.

## 7. THE LAWS OF REPETITION AND APPARITION

We have defined the rank of apparition of an integer $m$ without having shown whether it exists or, if it does exist, what its value is. We give in this section those values of $m$ for which $\omega$ exists and we partially answer the question of the value of $\omega$ for these $m$ values. The Law of Repetition describes how $\omega\left(p^{n}\right)$ ( $p$ aprime) may be determined once $\omega(p)$ is known. In order to prove the Law of Repetition, we must first give a few preliminary results.
Lemma 5. Suppose $3 \backslash R$ and $3 \mid D_{m}$; then $3 \mid\left(P_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$ if and only if $9 \mid D_{3 m}$. If $3 \backslash \Delta$, then $3 \mid\left(P_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$ if and only if $g \mid D_{m}$.
Proof. If $g \mid D_{k}$, then $3 \mid W_{k}$ and $3 \mid\left(P_{k}, Q_{k}\right)$. If $\Delta \equiv r^{2} s^{2}+s-t r \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $3 \mid\left(P_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$, then

$$
r\left(V_{m} / 3\right)+\left(r^{2}+2 s\right)\left(U_{m} / 3\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

and

$$
-s\left(V_{m} / 3\right)^{2}-s r\left(U_{m} / 3\right)\left(V_{m} / 3\right)+\left(s^{2}-2 t r\right)\left(U_{m} / 3\right)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

If $3 \mid r$, then 3$\}_{s}$; hence, if $3\left|U_{m} / 3, g\right| D_{m}$. If $3 \chi_{r}$, then $\left(V_{m} / 3\right) \equiv-r\left(r^{2}+2 s\right) U_{m} / 3(\bmod 3)$; thus,

$$
-\Delta\left(U_{m} / 3\right)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

and $g \mid D_{m}$.
If $g \mid D_{3 m}$, we have $3 \mid P_{3 m}$ and $3 \mid Q_{3 m}$. Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{3 m}=P_{m}^{3}-3 Q_{m} P_{m}+3 R_{m} \\
Q_{3 m}=a_{m}^{3}-3 R_{m} P_{m} Q_{m}+3 R_{m}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

consequently, $3 \mid\left(P_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$. If $3 \mid\left(P_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$, then since

$$
V_{3 m} / 3=P_{m} V_{2 m} / 3-Q_{m} V_{m} / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

and

$$
U_{3 m} / 3=P_{m} U_{2 m} / 3-Q_{m} U_{m} / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod 3),
$$

we have $9 \|_{3 m}$.
Lemma 6. Suppose $3 \backslash R, 3 \mid D_{m}$, and $3 \mid \Delta$. If $3 \nmid P_{m}, g \mid D_{2 m}$ if and only if one of the following is true.
(i) $3|s, 3| t, 3 \nmid r, W_{m} \equiv U_{m} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$, and $g \mid V_{m}$.
(ii) $s \equiv 1(\bmod 3), t \equiv-r \equiv 0(\bmod 3), W_{m} \equiv-U_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$ and $V_{m} \equiv r U_{m}(\bmod 9)$.
(iii) $s \equiv-1(\bmod 3), 3 \mid t, 3 \nmid r$, and $W_{m} \equiv-r V_{m}+U_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$.

Proof. Since 3$\rangle P_{m}$ and $3 \mid \Delta$, it is clear that $3 \backslash r$.
We show the necessity of one of (i), (ii), or (iii). If $g \mid D_{2 m}$, then

$$
(s r+t)\left(U_{m} / 3\right)^{2}+2 s\left(V_{m} / 3\right)\left(U_{m} / 3\right)+2\left(V_{m} / 3\right)\left(W_{m} / 3\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

and

$$
\left(V_{m} / 3\right)^{2}+2\left(w_{m} / 3\right)\left(U_{m} / 3\right)+2 r\left(U_{m} / 3\right)\left(V_{m} / 3\right)+\left(r^{2}+s\right)\left(U_{m} / 3\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

If $g \mid U_{m}$, then $g \mid V_{m}$ and $3 \mid P_{m}$, which is impossible. If $g \mid V_{m}$, then $3 \mid(r s+t)$ and $\left(r^{2}+s\right) U_{m} \equiv W_{m}(\bmod 9)$. Now since 3$\}(s+1)$, we have $3 \mid s-1$ or $3 \mid s$. If $3 \mid(s-1)$, then $3 \mid\left(r^{2}+2 s\right)$ and $3 \mid P_{m}$. If $3 \mid s$, then $3 \mid t$ and $W_{m} \equiv U_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$.
If $g \nmid U_{m}$ and $g \nmid V_{m}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{m}-(s r+t) V_{m}+s U_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod 9) \\
W_{m}+r V_{m}-\left(1+r^{2}+s\right) U_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
r(s+1)^{2} V_{m} \equiv-(s+1) U_{m}(\bmod 9)
$$

If $3 \mid s, r V_{m} \equiv-U_{m}(\bmod 9)$ and $3 \mid P_{m}$. If $s \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $t \equiv-r(\bmod 3), r V_{m} \equiv U_{m} \neq 0(\bmod 9)$ and $W_{m} \equiv-U_{m}(\bmod 9)$. If $s \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, then $3 \mid t$, and $W_{m} \equiv-r V_{m}+U_{m}(\bmod 9)$.
It is clear that any one of the conditions (i), (ii), or (iii) is sufficient for $g \mid D_{2 m}$.
Theorem 6. If 3$\}^{\prime} R, \psi$ is the rank of apparition of 3 , and $g \nmid D \psi$, then the rank of apparition of 9 is $\sigma \psi$, where the value of $\sigma$ is given below.
I. $3 \nmid \Delta$.

In this case, $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ are given by (a) and the value of $\sigma$ is a function of the values (modulo 3 ) of $N_{1} / 27$, $\Delta, P, Q$. The values of $\sigma$ are given in Table 3.

Table 3

| $N_{1} / 27$ | $\Delta$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\pm 1$ | $P$ | $Q$ | 2 |
| $\pm 1$ | -1 | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 1$ | 4 |
| $\pm 1$ | -1 | $\pm 1$ | 0 | 8 |
| $\pm 1$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $\pm 1$ | 1 | $P$ | 0 | 13 |

II. $3 \mid \Delta$.

Here $\sigma=2$ if $3 \nmid P_{\psi}$ and one of the following is true.
(i) $3|s, 3| t, 3 \nmid r, W_{\psi} \equiv U_{\psi} \equiv \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$ and $9 \mid V_{\psi}$;
(ii) $s \equiv 1(\bmod 3), t \equiv-r \equiv 0(\bmod 3), W_{\psi} \equiv-U_{\psi} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$, and $V_{\psi} \equiv r U_{\psi}(\bmod 9)$;
(iii) $s \equiv-1(\bmod 3), 3 \mid t, 3 \nmid r$, and $W_{\psi} \equiv-r V_{\psi}+U_{\psi} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$.
$\sigma=3$ if $3 \mid P_{\psi}$.
$\sigma=6$ if $3 \nmid P_{\psi}$ and none of (i), (ii), (iii) is true.
Proof. Since $3 \mid D_{\psi}$, we have $27 \mid N_{\psi}$; hence

$$
E_{\psi}=\Delta\left(N_{\psi} / 27\right)^{2}
$$

If $3 \mid \Delta$,

$$
P_{\psi} R_{\psi} \equiv Q_{\psi}\left(Q_{\psi} P_{\psi}^{2}-1\right)(\bmod 3) .
$$

If $3 \mid P_{\psi}$, then $3 \mid Q_{\psi}$ and $g \mid\left(V_{3} \psi, U_{3} \psi\right)$. If $3 \nmid P_{\psi}$, then

$$
R_{\psi} \equiv P_{\psi} Q_{\psi}\left(Q_{\psi}-1\right)(\bmod 3)
$$

thus, $Q_{\psi} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $R_{\psi} \equiv-P_{\psi}(\bmod 3)$. Since

$$
P_{2 \psi}=P_{\psi}^{2}-2 Q_{\psi} \equiv 0 \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{2 \psi}=Q_{\psi}^{2}-2 R_{\psi} P_{\psi} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

it follows from Lemma 5 that $g \mid D_{6} \psi$ and $g \nmid D_{3 \psi}$. From Lemma 6 , we see that $g \mid D_{2 \psi}$ if and only if one of (i), (ii) or (iii) is true.

If $3 \backslash \Delta$ and $81 \mid N_{1}$, then $3\left|E_{1}, 3\right|\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma=2$.
If $\Delta \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $81 \mid N_{1}$, then

$$
P R \equiv P^{2} Q^{2}-Q+1(\bmod 3) .
$$

Using the formulas

$$
P_{2 k}=P_{k}^{2}-2 Q_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{2 k}=Q_{k}^{2}-2 P_{k} R_{k}
$$

we see that if $3 \mid P$, then $Q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $P_{2} \equiv Q_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 3), Q_{4} \equiv P_{4} \equiv-1(\bmod 3), Q_{8} \equiv P_{8} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$; consequently, $\sigma=8$. The remaining results for this case are proved in the same way.
If $\Delta \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $81 \nmid N_{1}$, then

$$
P R \equiv P^{2} Q^{2}-Q-1(\bmod 3) .
$$

Using the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n+3}=P P_{n+2}-Q P_{n+1}+R P_{n} \\
& Q_{n+3}=Q Q_{n+2}-P R Q_{n+1}+R^{2} Q_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that if $3 \mid P$, then $Q \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $P_{13} \equiv Q_{13} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. If $3 \nmid P$, then $R \equiv F P\left(Q^{2}-Q-1\right)$ and $P_{13} \equiv Q_{13} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

Theorem 7. (Law of Repetition). Let $p$ be a prime. If, for $\lambda>0, p^{\lambda} \neq 3,2$ and $p^{\lambda} \| D_{m}$, then

$$
p^{\alpha+\lambda} \| D_{m \nu p} \alpha, \quad \text { where } \quad(\nu, p)=1
$$

If $p^{\lambda}=2$ and $\nu$ is odd, $p^{\alpha+1} \mid D_{m \nu p^{\alpha}}$ and $4 \mid D_{m \nu}$. If $p^{\lambda}=3$ and $3 \nmid R$, then

$$
3^{\alpha+1} \mid D_{m \tau 3^{\alpha-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad g \nmid D_{m \nu}, \text { if } \tau \psi \nu .
$$

Here

$$
\tau=\sigma /(m / \psi, \sigma)
$$

where $\psi, \sigma$ have the meanings assigned to them in Theorem 6 . If $3 \mid R$, then $3 \| D_{n}$ for any $n \in N$.
Proof. Since $p$ is a divisor of $p!/[i!j!(p-i-j)!]$ when $i, j \neq 0, p$, we have (from (2.17))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3^{p-1} V_{m p} \equiv p W_{m}^{p-1} V_{m}\left(\bmod p^{\lambda+2}\right) \\
& 3^{p-1} U_{m p} \equiv p W_{m}^{p-1} U_{m}\left(\bmod p^{\lambda+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p \neq 2$ or if $p=2$ and $\lambda>1$. If $p \neq 3$, then $p \nmid W_{n}$; hence $p^{\lambda+1} \| D_{m p}$. By induction $p^{\lambda+\alpha} \|_{D_{m p^{\alpha}}}$. If

$$
p^{\lambda+\alpha+1} \mid D_{m \mu p^{\alpha}} \text {, then } p^{\lambda+\alpha+1} \mid\left(D_{m p^{\alpha} \mu^{\prime}} D_{m p^{\alpha+1}}\right)=D_{m p^{\alpha}}
$$

which is impossible. If $p=2$ and $\lambda=1,3 V_{2 m} \equiv 3 U_{2 m} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$; hence, $2^{\alpha+1} \mid D_{2^{\alpha} m}$ and $4 \backslash D_{m \mu}$.
If $3^{\lambda} \| D_{m}$ and $\lambda>1$, then $3 \mid W_{m}$ and $3 \lambda \geqslant \lambda+4,2 \lambda+2 \geqslant \lambda+4$. Using the triplication formulas (2.4), we have

$$
3^{\lambda+3} \mid g V_{3 m} \quad \text { and } \quad 3^{\lambda+3} \mid g U_{3 m}
$$

or $3^{\lambda+1} \mid D_{3 m}$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9 V_{3 m} \equiv 3 V_{m} W_{m}^{2}\left(\bmod 3^{\lambda+4}\right) \\
& 9 U_{3 m} \equiv 3 U_{m} W_{m}^{2}\left(\bmod 3^{\lambda+4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g \nmid W_{m}$,

$$
3^{\lambda+2} \chi D_{3 m} \quad \text { and } \quad 3^{\lambda+1} \| D_{3 m} .
$$

If $3\left|\mid D_{m}\right.$, then $\left.\psi\right| m$ and $g \mid D_{n}$ if and only if $\left.\sigma \psi\right|_{n}$. Since $\left.\sigma \psi\right|_{m \tau}$, we have $9 \mid D_{m \tau}$ and $\left.3^{\alpha+1}\right|_{m \tau 3^{\alpha-1}}$. If $\tau \mid \nu$, then $\sigma \psi \nmid \nu m$ and $g \nmid D_{\nu m}$.
If $3 \mid R$ and $g \mid D_{n}$, then $81 \mid W_{n}^{3}$ or $g \mid W_{n}$, which is impossible.
The Law of Apparition gives those primes for which the rank of apparition exists and also gives us some information concerning the value of the rank of apparition. We first define an auxiliary function $y_{n}$.

If $p$ is a prime such that $p \nmid 3 N_{1} R$, we define the function $y_{n}$ to be the Lucas function $u_{n}$ of (1.1), where $a_{1}+a_{2} \equiv g(\bmod p), a_{1} a_{2} \equiv h^{3}(\bmod p)$, and

$$
h=r^{2}+3 s, \quad g=2 r^{3}+9 r s+27 t
$$

Theorem 8. (Law of Apparition). If $p$ is a prime such that $p \nmid R$, then $\omega$, the rank of apparition of $p$, exists. If $p=3$, then $\omega=\psi$. Suppose $p \nmid 3 R$; then $\omega(p) \mid \Phi(p)$, where the value of $\Phi$ is given below.
We let $p \equiv q(\bmod 3)$, where $|q|=1$.
If $p \nmid \Delta N_{1}$ and $(\Delta \mid p)=-1$, then $(p-1) \nmid \omega$ and $\Phi(p)=p^{2}-1$.
If $p \backslash \Delta N_{p} h$ and $(\Delta \mid p)=+1$, then $\Phi(p)=p-1$, when $y(p-q) / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod p) ; \Phi(p)=p^{2}+p+1$, when $Y(p-q) / 3 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
If $p\rangle \Delta N_{1},(\Delta \mid p)=+1$, and $p \mid h$, then $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $\Phi(p)=p-1$, when $(g \mid p)_{3}=1 ; \Phi(p)=p^{2}+p+1$, when $(g \mid p)_{3} \neq 1$.

If $p \nmid \Delta$ and $p \mid N_{1}$, then $\Phi(p)=p-1$.
If $p=2$ and $p \mid \Delta$, then $\Phi(p)=4$.
If $p \neq 2, p \mid \Delta$ and $p \nmid N_{1}$, then $p \mid \omega$ and $\Phi(p)=p(p-1)$.
If $p \neq 2, p \mid \Delta$ and $p \mid N_{1}$, then $\Phi(p)=p$, when $p \mid G ; \Phi(p)=p-1$, when $p \nmid G$.
Proof. These results may be deduced without much difficulty from (2.15) and results of Engstrom [5], Ward [8], and Cailler [2]. (See also Duparc [4].)
Corollary. If we define $\Phi\left(p^{n}\right)=p^{n-1} \Phi(p)$ for $p \neq 3, \Phi\left(3^{2}\right)=\sigma \psi, \Phi\left(3^{n}\right)=3^{n-2} \Phi\left(3^{2}\right)$, and $\Phi(m n)$ to be the least common multiple of $\Phi(m)$ and $\Phi(n)$, when $(m, n)=1$, then $\omega(m) \mid \Phi(m)$.
If $p$ is of the form $3 k+1$ and $p \nmid \Delta N_{1} R$, we can sharpen some of the results in the Law of Apparition.
Theorem 9. Let $p(\equiv 1(\bmod 3))$ be a prime such that $p \nmid \Delta N_{1} R$. If $(\Delta \mid p)=-1, \omega \mid\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3$ if and only if $(R \mid p)_{3}=1$. If $(\Delta \mid p)=+1$ and $y(p-q) / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $\omega \mid\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) / 3$ if and only if $(R \mid p)_{3}=1$. If $(\Delta \mid p)=+1$ and $y(p-q) / 3 \equiv 0(\bmod p), \omega \mid(p-1) / 3$ only if $(R \mid p)_{3}=1$.
Proof. If $(\Delta \mid p)=-1$, then $\left(E_{1} \mid p\right)=-1$ and the polynomial $x^{3}-P_{x}^{2}+Q x-R$ factors modulo $p$ into the product of a linear and irreducible quadratic factor. Let $K=G F\left(p^{2}\right)$ be the splitting field for this polynomial modulo $p$ and let the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-P x^{2}-Q x-R=0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be $\theta, \phi, \psi$ in $K$. Then in $K$

$$
\theta^{p}=\theta, \quad \chi=\phi^{p}, \quad \chi^{p}=\phi, \quad R=\theta \phi \chi=\theta \phi^{p+1}
$$

If $R^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, we have
(7.2)

$$
\theta^{(p-1) / 3} \phi^{\left.f p^{2}-1\right) / 3}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \theta^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3}=\phi^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3}=\phi^{p\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3}
$$

 that $p \nmid D\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3$.
If $(\Delta \mid p)=+1$ and $p \nmid y(p-q) / 3$, the polynomial $x^{3}-r x^{2}-s x-t$ is irreducible modulo $p$; hence, the polynomial $x^{3}-P x^{2}+Q x-R$ is irreducible modulo $p$. If $K=G F\left(p^{3}\right)$ is the splitting field of this polynomial (modulo $p$ ) and $\theta, \phi, \chi$ are the roots of (7.1) in $K$, then

$$
\theta^{p}=\phi, \quad \theta^{p^{2}}=\chi, \quad \theta^{p^{3}}=\theta, \quad R=\theta^{1+p+p^{2}}
$$

If $R^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$,

$$
\theta^{\left(p^{3}-1\right) / 3}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \theta^{p\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) / 3}=\theta^{p^{2}\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) / 3}=\theta^{\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) / 3}
$$


If $(\Delta \mid p)=+1$ and $\left.p\right|_{y}(p-q) / 3$, the polynomial $x^{3}-P_{x} 2^{2}+Q x-R$ splits modulo $p$ into the product of three linear factors. It is not difficult to show that if $p \mid D_{(p-1) / 3}$, then $R^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
We have not discussed the functions

$$
B_{n}=\left(W_{n}, V_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{n}=\left(W_{n}, U_{n}\right)
$$

which are somewhat analogous in their divisibility properties to Lucas' $V_{n}$ or Carmichael's $S_{n}$. The functions $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ behave in a rather complicated fashion and in a further paper results concerning these functions will be presented together with other results on the $W_{n}, V_{n}, U_{n}$ functions.
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# PHI AGAIN：A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE GOLDEN RATIO AND THE LIMIT OF A RATIO OF MODIFIED BESSEL FUNCTIONS 

## HARVEY J．HINDIN

## State University of New York，Empire State College－Stony Brook University，Stony Brook，New Y ork 11790

In his study of infinite continued fractions whose partial quotients form a general arithmetic progression， D．H．Lehmer derived a formula for their evaluation in terms of modified Bessel Functions［1］．We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b)=a_{0}+\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}+\ldots=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}=a n+b$ ．It was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b)=\frac{I_{\dot{\alpha}-1}(2 / a)}{I_{\alpha}(2 / a)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=b / a$ and $I_{\alpha}$ is the modified Bessel function

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}(z)=i^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(i z) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z / 2)^{\alpha+2 m}}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(a+m+1)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using（1）and（2）with $c a=2 / a$ and $b=c / 2$ ，we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b)=[b, a+b, 2 a+b, \cdots]=\frac{I_{\alpha-1}(c a)}{I_{\alpha}(c a)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty(a \rightarrow 0)$ ，in the limit（Theorem 5 of［1］），
（5）

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{\alpha-1}(c a)}{I_{\alpha}(c a)}=F(0, b)=[b, b, b, \cdots] .
$$

But，for $b=1,(c=2), F(0,1)$ is the positive root of the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{x}=x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is represented by the infinite continued fraction expansion $[1,1,1, \cdots]$ ．
［Continued on p．152．］

# LIMITS OF QUOTIENTS FOR THE CONVOLVED FIBONACCI SEQUENCE AND RELATED SEQUENCES 
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and
VERNER E. HOGGATT, JR.
San Jose State University, San Jose, California 95192

If $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers defined recursively by

$$
F_{1}=1, \quad F_{2}=1, \quad F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}, \quad n \geqslant 3
$$

then $C_{1}(x)$, the generating function for the sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(x)=\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_{i+1} x^{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $C_{n}(x)$ be the generating function for the Cauchy convolution product of $C_{1}(x)$ with itself $n$ times and $F_{i+1}^{(n)}$ be the coefficient of $x^{i}$ in the $n^{t h}$ convolution, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{(x)}=\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{-n}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_{i+1}^{(n)} x^{i}, \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a personal communique, V.E. Hoggatt, Jr., pointed out that he and Marjorie Bicknell have sh own that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}^{(r)}}{F_{n}^{(r)}}=a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n}^{(r)}}{F_{n}^{(r+1)}}=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$.
An immediate consequence of (3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+k}^{(r)}}{F_{n+m}^{(r)}}=a^{k-m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while by using (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+k}^{(r)}}{F_{n+m}^{(r+1)}}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose of this note is to extend the results of (3) and (4) to the columns of the convolution array formed by a sequence of generalized Fibonacci numbers as well as to the array generated by the numerator polynomials of the generating functions for the row sequences associated with the convolution array formed by the given sequence of generalized Fibonacci numbers.
The sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of generalized Fibonacci numbers defined recursively by

$$
H_{1}=1, \quad H_{2}=P, \quad H_{n}=H_{n-1}+H_{n-2}, \quad n \geqslant 3
$$

has generating function $C_{\mathcal{1}}^{*}(x)$ given by
(7)

$$
C_{1}^{*}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i+1} x^{i}=\frac{1+(P-1) x}{1-x-x^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(F_{i+1}+(P-1) F_{i}\right) x^{i}
$$

Using $C_{n}^{*}(x)$ for the Cauchy convolution product of $C_{1}^{*}(x)$ with itself $n$ times and $H_{i+1}^{(n)}$ for the coefficient of $x^{i}$ in the $n^{\text {th }}$ convolution, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n}^{*}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i+1}^{(n)} x^{i}=\left(\frac{1+(P-1) x}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{n} & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_{i+1}^{(n)} x^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} x^{j}  \tag{8}\\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} F_{i-j+1}^{(n)}\right) x^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,
(9)

$$
H_{i+1}^{(n)}=\sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} F_{i-j+1}^{(n)}
$$

Using (5) together with the fact that $\binom{n}{j}=0$ for $j>n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i+1}^{(n)}}{F_{i-n}^{(n)}} & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} F_{i-j+1}^{(n)} / F_{i-n}^{(n)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} a^{n-j+1} \\
& =a \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{j}(P-1)^{j} F_{i-j}^{(n)} / F_{i-n}^{(n)}=a \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i}^{(n)}}{F_{i-n}^{(n)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that
(10)

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i+1}^{(n)}}{H_{i}^{(n)}}=a
$$

and

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i+k}^{(n)}}{H_{i+m}^{(n)}}=a^{k-m}
$$

By (6) and an argument similar to that used in the derivation of (10), we have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i}^{(n)}}{F_{i-n}^{(n+1)}}=0
$$

while

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i}^{(n+1)}}{F_{i-n}^{(n+1)}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{j}(P-1)^{j} a^{n-j} \neq 0
$$

so that
(12)

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i}^{(n)}}{H_{i}^{(n+1)}}=0
$$

and
(13)

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i+k}^{(n)}}{H_{i+m}^{(n+1)}}=0
$$

Let $R_{(n)}^{*}(x)$ be the generating function for the sequence of elements in the $n^{\text {th }}$ row of the convolution array formed by the powers of $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{*}(x)$. Then
(14)

$$
R_{n}^{*}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{n}^{(i+1)} x^{i}
$$

In [1], it is shown that
(15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}^{*}(x)=(1-x)^{-1} \\
& R_{2}^{*}(x)=P(1-x)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and
(17)

$$
R_{n}^{*}(x)=\frac{(1+(P-1) x) N_{n-1}^{*}(x)+(1-x) N_{n-2}^{*}(x)}{(1-x)^{n}}=\frac{N_{n}^{*}(x)}{(1-x)^{n}}, \quad n \geqslant 3
$$

where $N_{n}^{*}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-2$ for $n \geqslant 2$.
Let $G_{n}^{*}(x)$ be the generating function for the $n^{\text {th }}$ column of the left-adjusted triangular array formed by the coefficients of the $N_{n}^{*}(x)$ polynomials. In [1], it is shown that
(18)

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{1}^{*}(x)=C_{1}^{*}(x) \\
G_{2}^{*}(x)=D C_{2}(x) \\
G_{n}^{*}(x)=\frac{(P-1-x)}{\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)} G_{n-1}^{*}(x), \quad n \geqslant 3
\end{gathered}
$$

(19)
and
(20)
where $D=P^{2}-P-1$. By induction, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}^{*}(x)=\frac{(P-1-x)^{n-2}}{\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{n}}, \quad n \geqslant 3 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by an argument similar to that of (8) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}^{*}(x)=D \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-2}{j}(P-1)^{n-j-2} F_{i-j+1}^{(n)}\right) x^{i} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $g_{i+1}^{(n)}$ be the coefficient of $x^{i}$ in $G_{n}^{*}(x)$ then we see that
(24)

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{i+1}^{(1)}=F_{i+1}+(P-1) F_{i}  \tag{23}\\
G_{i+1}^{(2)}=D F_{i+1}^{(2)}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
(25)

$$
g_{i+1}^{(n)}=D \sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-2}{j}(P-1)^{n-j-2} F_{i-j+1}^{(n)}, \quad n \geqslant 3
$$

Following arguments similar to those given in obtaining (10) through (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{i+1}^{(n)}}{g_{l}^{(n)}}=a \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{i+k}^{(n)}}{g_{i+m}^{(n)}}=a^{k-m} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{i}^{(n)}}{g_{i}^{(n+1)}}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{i+k}^{(n)}}{g_{i+m}^{(n+1)}}=0
$$
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# SUMMATION OF MULTIPARAMETER HARMONIC SERIES 

## B. J. CERIMELE
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1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the multiparameter alternating harmonic series denoted and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(j ; k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left(\left(j+s_{i}\right)\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ and the $k_{i}$ are positive integers, $s_{O}=0, s_{n}=S$, and

$$
s_{i}=[i / n] S+\sum_{t=1}^{i, \bmod n} k_{t} .
$$

Note that the parameters $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}$ are successive cyclic denominator increments. In the ensuing treatment summation formulas for such series, to be called $\omega$-series, are developed which admit evaluation in terms of elementary functions. An example is included to illustrate the formulas.

## 2. SUMMATION FORMULAS

The expression of the summation formulas for the $\omega$-series (1) is based upon the following two lemmas.
Lemma 1.
(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(j ; k)= & (1 / 2 k) G(j / k)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{j-1} d x /\left(1+x^{k}\right) \\
= & (-1)^{j-1}(r / k) / n(1+x) \\
& -\left.(2 / k) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\left[P_{i}(x) \cos ((2 i+1) j \pi / k)-Q_{i}(x) \sin ((2 i+1) j \pi / k)\right]\right|_{0} ^{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

## [Continued on page 144.]

# FIBONACCI CONVOLUTION SEOUENCES 

## V. E. HOGGATT, JR., and MARJORIE BICKNELL-JOHNSON
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The : Fibonacci convolution sequences $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ which arise from convolutions of the Fibonacci sequence $\left\{1,1,2,3,5,8, \cdots, F_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ lead to some new Fibonacci identities, limit theorems, and determinant identities.

## 1. THE FIBONACCI CONVOLUTION SEQUENCES

Let the $r^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci convolution sequence be denoted $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$; note that $F_{n}^{(0)}=F_{n}$, the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{n}^{(1)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{n-i} F_{i}  \tag{1.1}\\
& F_{n}^{(r)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{n-i}^{(r-1)} F_{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

However, there are some easier methods of calculation.
Let the Fibonacci polynomials $F_{n}(x)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+2}(x)=x F_{n+1}(x)+F_{n}(x), \quad F_{0}(x)=0, \quad F_{1}(x)=1 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since $F_{n}(1)=F_{n}$, the recursion relation for the Fibonacci numbers, $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$, follows immediately by taking $x=1$. In a similar manner we may write recursion relations for $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$.
From (1.3), taking the first derivative we have

$$
F_{n+2}^{\prime}(x)=x F_{n+1}^{\prime}(x)+F_{n}^{\prime}(x)+F_{n+1}(x)
$$

Since $F_{n}^{\prime}(1)=F_{n}^{(1)}$, taking $x=1$ gives us the recursion relation for $\left\{F_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+2}^{(1)}=F_{n+1}^{(1)}+F_{n}^{(1)}+F_{n+1} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the generating function for the Fib onacci polynomials is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Y}{1-x Y-Y^{2}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}(x) Y^{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the generating function for the Fibonacci convolution sequences is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{r+1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}^{(r)} x^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{(r)}=F_{n}^{(r)}(1) / r! \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}^{(r)}(x)$ is the $r^{\text {th }}$ derivative of the Fibonacci polynomial $F_{n}(x)$. Thus we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+2}^{(r+1)}=F_{n+1}^{(r+1)}+F_{n}^{(r+1)}+F_{n+1}^{(r)} \text {. } \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enables us to make the following table with a minimum of effort.
We can extend our sequences for negative subscripts to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-n}^{(r)}=(-1)^{n+1} F_{n}^{(r)} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $n$ | $F_{n}$ | $F_{n}^{(1)}$ | $F_{n}^{(2)}$ | $F_{n}^{(3)}$ | $F_{n}^{(4)}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 5 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 1 | $\ldots$ |
| 6 | 8 | 20 | 22 | 14 | 5 | $\ldots$ |
| 7 | 13 | 38 | 51 | 40 | 20 | $\ldots$ |
| 8 | 21 | 71 | 111 | 105 | 65 | $\ldots$ |
| 9 | 34 | 130 | 233 | 256 | 190 | $\ldots$ |
| 10 | 55 | 235 | 474 | 594 | 511 | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

where we note that $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ has $2 r+1$ zeros, and $F_{r+1}^{(r)}=1, F_{r+2}^{(r)}=r$.
Equation (1.9) can be established for $r=1$ quite easily by induction. Assume that (1.9) holds for $1,2,3, \ldots$, $r$, and for $r+1$ for $n=1,2, \cdots, k$. Then by (1.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{k+1}^{(r+1)} & =F_{k}^{(r+1)}+F_{k-1}^{(r+1)}+F_{k}^{(r)}=(-1)^{k+1} F_{-k}^{(r+1)}+(-1)^{k} F_{-k+1}^{(r+1)}+(-1)^{k+1} F_{-k}^{(r)} \\
& =(-1)^{k+2}\left[F_{-k+1}^{(r+1)}-F_{-k}^{(r+1)}-F_{-k}^{(r)}\right]=(-1)^{k+2} F_{-k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to (1.9) for $n=k+1$, finishing a proof by induction.
Returning to (1.6), recall that the recurrence relation for $\left\{F_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$ has auxiliary polynomial $\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)^{2}$, whose roots are, of course, $a, a, \beta, \beta$, where $a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $\beta=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{(1)}=(A+B n) a^{n}+(C+D n) \beta^{n} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $A, B, C$ and $D$ due to the repeated roots. Since the Fibonacci numbers are a linear combination of the same roots,
(1.11) $\quad F_{n}^{(1)}=\left(A^{*}+B^{*} n\right) F_{n+1}+\left(C^{*}+D^{*} n\right) F_{n-1}$
for some constants $A^{*}, B^{*}, C^{*}$, and $D^{*}$. By letting $n=0,1,2,3$ and solving the resulting system of equations, one finds $A^{*}=-1 / 5, B^{*}=C^{*}=D^{*}=1 / 5$, resulting in
(1.12)

$$
5 F_{n}^{(1)}=(n-1) F_{n+1}+(n+1) F_{n-1}
$$

which leads easily to
(1.13)

$$
F_{n}^{(1)}=\left(n L_{n}-F_{n}\right) / 5
$$

where $L_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number.
Returning again to the auxiliary polynomial for $\left\{F_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$, since $\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)^{2}=x^{4}-2 x^{3}-x^{2}+2 x+1$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+4}^{(1)}=2 F_{n+3}^{(1)}+F_{n+2}^{(1)}-2 F_{n+1}^{(1)}-F_{n}^{(1)} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. SPECIAL LIMITING RATIOS

It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_{n}}=a=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We extend this property of the Fibonacci numbers to the Fibonacci convolution sequences. First, (1.10) gives us

$$
F_{n}^{(1)}=(A+B n) a^{n}+(C+D n) \beta^{n}
$$

for some constants $A, B, C$ and $D$. Thus one concludes

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}^{(1)}}{F_{n}^{(1)}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[A+B(n+1)] a+[C+D(n+1)] \beta](\beta / a)^{n}}{A+B n+(C+D n)(\beta / a)^{n}}=a .
$$

Clearly, this holds for any $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ since, by examining the auxiliary polynomial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{(r)}=p_{r}(n) a^{n}+q_{r}(n) \beta^{n}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r}(n)$ and $q_{r}(n)$ are polynomials in $n$ of degree $r$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}^{(r)}}{F_{n}^{(r)}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{r}(n+1) a^{n+1}+q_{r}(n+1) \beta^{n+1}}{p_{r}(n) a^{n}+q_{r}(n) \beta^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{r}(n+1)}{p_{r}(n)} a=a \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

While it is not necessary to be able to write $p_{r}(n)$ and $q_{r}(n)$ to establish (2.3), it would be interesting to find a recurrence for these polynomials.
It is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n}}{F_{n}^{(1)}}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n}^{\left(r^{*}\right)}}{F_{n}^{(r)}}=0, \quad r^{*}<r \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also find $a^{2}$ as a value for a special limiting ratio. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{(r)}=F_{n+1}^{(r)} F_{n-1}^{(r)}-\left[F_{n}^{(r)}\right]^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=0$, the Fibonacci numbers themselves, $W_{n}^{(0)}=(-1)^{n}$, but when $r \geqslant 1, W_{n}^{(r)}$ is not a constant. However, we have the surprising limiting ratio,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_{n+1}^{(r)}}{W_{n}^{(r)}}=a^{2}, \quad r \geqslant 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish (2.7), we use (2.2) to calculate $W_{n}^{(r)}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n}^{(r)}= & {\left[p_{r}(n+1) a^{n+1}+q_{r}(n+1) \beta^{n+1}\right]\left[p_{r}(n-1) a^{n-1}+q_{r}(n-1) \beta^{n-1}\right]-\left[p_{r}(n) a^{n}+q_{r}(n) \beta^{n}\right]^{2} } \\
= & {\left[p_{r}(n+1) p_{r}(n-1) a^{2 n}+q_{r}(n+1) q_{r}(n-1) \beta^{2 n}+p_{r}(n+1) q_{r}(n-1) a^{n+1} \beta^{n-1}\right.} \\
& \left.+p_{r}(n-1) q_{r}(n+1) a^{n-1} \beta^{n+1}\right]-\left[p_{r}^{2}(n) a^{2 n}+2 p_{r}(n) q_{r}(n) a^{n} \beta^{n}+q_{r}^{2}(n) \beta^{2 n}\right] \\
= & {\left[p_{r}(n+1) p_{r}(n-1)-p_{r}^{2}(n)\right] a^{2 n}+\left[q_{r}(n+1) q_{r}(n-1)-q_{r}^{2}(n)\right] \beta^{2 n}+R_{r}(n), }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{r}(n)$ is a polynomial in $n$ of degree $2 r$, but each term contains a factor of $a^{s}$ or $\beta^{t}$, where $s, t$ are at most two, since $a \beta=-1$. Then, if $p_{r}(n+1) p_{r}(n-1)-p_{r}^{2}(n) \neq 0$, we find that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_{n+1}^{(r)}}{W_{n}^{(r)}}=\frac{F_{n+2}^{(r)} F_{n}^{(r)}-\left[F_{n+1}^{(r)}\right]^{2}}{F_{n+1}^{(r)} F_{n-1}^{(r)}-\left[F_{n}^{(r)}\right]^{2}}=a^{2} .
$$

Please note that for the Fibonacci numbers themselves, it is indeed true that $p=-q=1 /(a-\beta)$ and

$$
p(n+1) p(n-1)-p^{2}(n) \equiv 0
$$

That there are no other polynomials such that $p(n+1) p(n-1)-p^{2}(n) \equiv 0$ is proved by considering

$$
F_{n}^{(r)}=p_{r}(n) a^{n}+q_{r}(n) \beta^{n},
$$

where $p_{r}(n)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $r$. Consider

$$
P(n)=p_{r}(n+i) p_{r}(n-1)-p_{r}^{2}(n)
$$

which is a polynomial of degree at most $2 r$. Thus, $P(n) \neq 0$ for more than $2 r$ values of $n$. Clearly, then, for all large enough $n, P(n) \neq 0$.

## 3. DETERMINANT IDENTITIES FOR THE FIBONACCI CONVOLUTION SEQUENCES

Several interesting determinant identities can be found for the Fibonacci convolution sequences. First, we examine a class of unit determinants. Let

$$
D_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
F_{n+3}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)}  \tag{3.1}\\
F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} & F_{n-3}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Then it is easily proved that $D_{n}=1$ by using (1.14), since replacing the fourth column with a linear combination of the present columns gives us the negative of the first column of $D_{n+1}$. That is, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
-F_{n+4}^{(1)} & =-2 F_{n+3}^{(1)}-F_{n+2}^{(1)}+2 F_{n+1}^{(1)}+F_{n}^{(1)}, \\
D_{n} & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
F_{n+3}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & -F_{n+4}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & -F_{n+3}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & -F_{n+2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} & -F_{n+1}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $D_{n}=D_{n+1}$ after making appropriate column exchanges. Lastly, since $D_{1}=1, D_{n}=1$ for all $n$.
Now, let $D_{n}^{(r)}$ be the determinant of order $(2 r+2)$ with successive members of the sequence $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ written along its rows and columns in decreasing order such that $F_{n}^{(r)}$ appears everywhere along the minor diagonal. Since $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ has an auxiliary polynomial of degree $(2 r+2), F_{n+2 r+2}^{(r)}$ is a linear combination of

$$
F_{n+2 r+1}^{(r)}, \quad F_{n+2 r}^{(r)}, \quad F_{n+2 r-1}^{(r)}, \cdots, F_{n+1}^{(r)}, F_{n}^{(r)}
$$

so that $D_{n}^{(r)}= \pm D_{n+1}^{(r)}$ after $(2 r+1)$ appropriate column exchanges. The auxiliary polynomial $\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)^{r+1}$ has a positive constant term when $r$ is odd, making the last column the negative of the first column of $D_{n+1}^{(r)}$, so that

$$
D_{n}^{(r)}=(-1)^{2 r+1}(-1) D_{n+1}^{(r)}=D_{n+1}^{(r)}, r \text { odd; }
$$

but, for $r$ even, a negative constant term makes the last column equal the first column of $D_{n+1}^{(r)}$, and

$$
D_{n}^{(r)}=(-1)^{2 r+1} D_{n+1}^{(r)}=-D_{n+1}^{(r)}, r \text { even. }
$$

We need only to evaluate $D_{n}^{(r)}$ for one value of $n$, then. Now, $F_{n}^{(r)}=0$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots, \pm r$, and $F_{r+1}^{(r)}=1$. Thus, $D_{r+1}^{(r)}=(-1)^{r+1}$ since ones appear on the minor diagonal there with zeroes everywhere below. Then, $D_{n}^{(r)}=1$ when $r$ is odd, and $D_{n}^{(r)}=(-1)^{n}$ when $r$ is even, which can be combined to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}^{(r)}=(-1)^{n(r+1)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $r=0$ is the well known formula, $F_{n+1} F_{n-1}-F_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n}$.
A second proof of (3.2) is instructive. Returning to (3.1), apply (1.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+1}^{(r)}=F_{n+2}^{(r+1)}-F_{n+1}^{(r+1)}-F_{n}^{(r+1)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking $r=0$. Subtracting pairs of columns and then pairs of rows gives

$$
D_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
F_{n+2} & F_{n+1} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1} & F_{n} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n} & F_{n-1} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} & F_{n-3}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & F_{n} & F_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} \\
F_{n} & F_{n-1} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} & F_{n-3}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Thus,

$$
D_{n}=\left(F_{n} F_{n-2}-F_{n-1}^{2}\right)^{2}=1
$$

Notice that this proof can be generalized, and after sufficient subtractions, one always makes a block of zeroes in the upper left, with two smaller determinants of the same form in the lower left and upper right, so that $D_{n}^{(r)}$ is always a product of smaller known determinants $D_{n}^{\left(r^{*}\right)}, r^{*}<r$, making a proof by induction possible. Each higher order determinant requires more subtractions of pairs of rows and columns, but careful counting of subscripts leads one to

$$
D_{n}^{(r)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[D_{n}^{(r / 2)}\right] \cdot\left[D_{n}^{((r-2) / 2)}\right], r \text { even } ;}  \tag{3.4}\\
{\left[D_{n}^{((r-1) / 2)}\right]^{2}, r \text { odd } ;}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which again gives us (3.2).
The process of subtraction of pairs of columns and rows can also be applied to determinants of odd order. For example,

$$
D_{n}^{*}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & F_{n} & F_{n-1} \\
F_{n} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n-1} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Then, by applying (1.13) and known Fibonacci and Lucas identities, one can evaluate $D_{n}^{*}$. The algebra, however, is long and inelegant. One obtains, after patience,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}^{*}=(-1)^{n+1} F_{n}^{(1)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $D_{n}^{*}$ can also be written out from the form given above on the right, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n}^{*}=(-1)^{n+1} F_{n}^{(1)} & =2 F_{n} F_{n-1} F_{n-1}^{(1)}-F_{n-1}^{(2)} F_{n}^{(1)}-F_{n}^{2} F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
{\left[(-1)^{n-1}+F_{n-1}^{2}\right] F_{n}^{(1)} } & =2 F_{n} F_{n-1}\left[F_{n}^{(1)}-F_{n-2}^{(1)}-F_{n-1}\right]-F_{n}^{2} F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
{\left[\left(F_{n-1} F_{n}-F_{n-1}^{2}\right)+F_{n-1}^{2}-2 F_{n} F_{n-1}\right] F_{n}^{(1)} } & =\left(-2 F_{n} F_{n-1}-F_{n}^{2}\right) F_{n-2}^{(1)}-2 F_{n} F_{n-1}^{2} \\
-F_{n} L_{n-2} F_{n}^{(1)} & =-F_{n} L_{n} F_{n-2}^{(1)}-2 F_{n} F_{n-1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying known Fibonacci identities. Finally, dividing by $-F_{n}, n \neq 0$ and rearranging, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n-2} F_{n}^{(1)}-L_{n} F_{n-2}^{(1)}=2 F_{n-1}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we compare with the known

$$
L_{n-2} F_{n}-L_{n} F_{n-2}=2(-1)^{n}
$$

If we let $D_{n}^{*}(r)$ denote the determinant of order $(2 r+1)$ which has successive members of the sequence $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ written along its rows and columns in decreasing order such that $\left\{F_{n}^{(r)}\right\}$ appears everywhere along the minor diagonal, we conjecture that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}^{*(r)}=(-1)^{r(n+1)} F_{n}^{(r)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.7) has been proved for $r=1$ above, and $r=0$ is trivial. When $r=2$, it is possible to prove (3.7) by using the identity
(3.8)

$$
F_{n}^{(2)}=\left[\left(5 n^{2}-2\right) F_{n}-3 n L_{n}\right] / 50
$$

as well as (1.13). The algebra, however, is horrendous. The identity (3.8) can be derived by solving for the constants $A, B, C, D, E$, and $F$ in

$$
F_{n}^{(2)}=\left(A+B n+C n^{2}\right) F_{n}+\left(D+E n+F n^{2}\right) L_{n}
$$

which arises since $\left\{F_{n}^{(2)}\right\}$ has auxiliary polynomial $\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)^{3}$, wh ose roots are $a, a, a$ and $\beta, \beta, \beta$.
Two other determinant identities follow without proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{lll}
F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-3}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{n}\left[F_{n-5}^{(1)}+2 F_{n-4}\right] \\
& \left|\begin{array}{lll}
F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} \\
F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n-1}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} \\
F_{n}^{(1)} & F_{n-2}^{(1)} & F_{n-3}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{n}\left[F_{n-2}^{(1)}-F_{n-2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

# TWO RECURSION RELATIONS FOR $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{F}(n))$ 

## EDWARD A. PARBERRY <br> Well's College, Aurora, New York 13026

Some time ago, in [1], the question of the existence of a recursion relation for the sequence of Fibonacci numbers with Fibonacci numbers for subscripts was raised. In the present article we give a $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ order non-linear recursion for $f(n)=F(F(n))$.

Proposition. Let $f(n)=F(F(n))$, where $F(n)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number, then $f(n)=\left(5 f(n-2)^{2}+(-1)^{F(n+1)}\right) f(n-3)+(-1)^{F(n)}\left(f(n-3)-(-1)^{F(n+1)} f(n-6) f f(n-2) / f(n-5)\right.$.
Remark. Identity (1) below is given in [2], and identity (2) is proved similarly. Note also that $a \equiv b(\bmod$ 3 ) implies that

$$
(-1)^{F(a)}=(-1)^{F(b)}=(-1)^{L(a)}=(-1)^{L(b)},
$$

which is used frequently.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(a+b)=F(a) L(b)-(-1)^{b} F(a-b)  \tag{1}\\
& 5 F(a) F(b)=L(a+b)-(-1)^{a} L(b-a) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Proposition. In (1), let $a=F(n-2), b=F(n-1)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(n) & =f(n-2) L(F(n-1))-(-1)^{F(n-1)} F(-F(n-3)) \\
& =f(n-2) L(F(n-1))-(-1)^{F(n-1)}(-1)^{F(n-3)+1} f(n-3) \\
& =f(n-2) L(F(n-1))+(-1)^{F(n+1)} f(n-3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## [Continued on page 139.]

# A MATRIX SEQUENCE ASSOCIATED WITH A CONTINUED FRACTION EXPANSION OF A NUMBER 

DONALD B. SMALL
Colby College, Waterville, Maine 04901

## INTRODUCTION

In Section 1, we introduce a matrix sequence each of whose terms is $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$, denoted by $L$, or $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, denoted by $R$. We call such sequences $L R$-sequences. A one-to-one correspondence is established between the set of $L R$-sequences and the continued fraction expansions of numbers in the unit interval. In Section 2, a partial ordering of the numbers in the unit interval is given in terms of the $L R$-sequences and the resulting partially ordered set is a tree, called the $Q$-tree. A continued fraction expansion of a number is interpreted geometrically as an infinite pati, in the $\Omega$-tree and conversely. In Section 3, we consider a special function, $g$, defined on the $Q$-tree. We show that $g$ is continuous and strictly increasing, but that $g$ is not absolutely continuous. The proof that $g$ is not absolutely continuous is a measure theoretic argument that utilizes Khinchin's constant and the Fibonacci sequence.

## 1. THE $L R$-SEQUENCE

We denote the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ by $L$ and the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ by $R$.
Definition. An $L R$-sequence is a sequence of $2 \times 2$ matrices, $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{i}, \cdots$ such that for each, , $M_{i}=L$ or $M_{i}=R$.
We shall represent points in the plane by column vectors with two components. The set $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left.\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \right\rvert\,\right.$ both $a$ and $\beta$ are non-negative and at least one of $a$ and $\beta$ is positive $\}$ will be called the positive cone. Our present objective is to associate with each vector in the positive cone an $\angle R$-sequence.

Definition. A vector $\binom{\alpha}{\beta^{\alpha}} \in C$ is said to accept the $L R$-sequence $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{i}, \cdots$ if and only if there is a sequence

$$
\binom{\gamma_{0}}{\delta_{0}},\binom{\gamma_{1}}{\delta_{1}}, \cdots,\binom{\gamma_{i}}{\delta_{i}}, \ldots
$$

whose terms are vectors in $C$, such that

$$
\binom{\gamma_{0}}{\delta_{0}}=\binom{a}{\beta}
$$

and for each $i \geqslant 1,\binom{\gamma_{i-1}}{\delta_{i-1}}=M_{i}\binom{\gamma_{i}}{\delta_{i}}$.

$$
\text { If }\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \in C \text { and } a \leqslant \beta \text {, then }\binom{\alpha}{\beta}=\binom{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} \text { and }\binom{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} \in C \text {. }
$$

If $\beta \leqslant a$, then

$$
\binom{a}{\beta}=R\binom{a-\beta}{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad\left({ }^{a-\beta} \beta\right) \in C .
$$

By induction it can be shown that every vector in $C$ accepts at least one $L R$-sequence. If $a$ is a positive irrational number, then $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts exactly one $L R$-sequence; if $a$ is a positive rational number, then $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts two $L R$-sequences.
The expression $R^{a_{0}} L^{a_{1}} R^{a_{2}} \ldots$ will be used to designate the $L R$-sequence which consists of $a_{0} R^{\prime}$ 's, followed by $a_{1} L$ 's, followed by $a_{2} R$ 's, etc.
We shall follow Khinchin's notation for continued fractions and express the continued fraction expansion of

$$
a, \quad a=a_{0}+\frac{1}{a_{1} \neq \frac{1}{a_{2}}+\ldots} \quad \text { as } a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right] .
$$

The remainder after $n$ elements in the expansion of $a$ is denoted by $r_{n}=\left[a_{n} ; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \cdots\right]$. All the well known terms and results of continued fractions used in this paper may be found in [1].

The orem 1. Let $a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$ and let $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accept the $L R$-sequence $R^{b_{0}} L^{b_{1}} R^{b_{2}} \ldots$. Then $b_{i}=a_{i}$ for all $i \geqslant 0$ and for

$$
k_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i}, \quad \frac{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}=r_{n+1}(a)
$$

if $n$ is odd and

$$
\frac{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}=\frac{1}{r_{n+1}(a)}
$$

if $n$ is even.
Proof. Since $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts $R^{b_{0}} L^{b_{1}} R^{b_{2}} \ldots$, there exists a sequence $\binom{\dot{\gamma}_{0}}{\delta_{0}},\binom{\gamma_{1}}{\delta_{1}},\binom{\gamma_{2}}{\delta_{2}}, \cdots$, whose terms are vectors in $C$, such that $\binom{\gamma_{0}}{\delta_{0}}=\binom{\alpha}{1}$ and such that if $n$ is even and $k_{n} \leqslant k \leqslant k_{n+1}$, then

$$
\binom{\alpha}{1}=R^{b_{0} L^{b_{1}}} R^{b_{2}} \ldots R^{b_{n}} L^{k-k_{n}}\binom{\gamma_{k}}{\delta_{k}}
$$

and if $n$ is odd, then

$$
\binom{\alpha}{1}=R^{b_{0} L^{b_{1}} R^{b_{2}} \ldots L^{b_{n}} R^{k-k_{n}}\binom{\gamma_{k}}{\delta_{k}} . ~ . ~ . ~}
$$

Since

$$
r_{n}=\left[a_{n} ; r_{n+1}\right], \quad r_{n+1}=\frac{1}{r_{n}-a_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{n}=\left[r_{n}\right]
$$

Therefore $a_{n}$ is the least integer $j$ such that $r_{n}-j<1$.
We now use induction on $n$. For $n=0, r_{0}=a$. Since $a_{O}$ is the least integer $j$ such that

$$
a-j<1, \quad\binom{\alpha}{1}=R^{a_{0}}\binom{\gamma_{a_{0}}}{\delta_{a_{0}}}
$$

where $\gamma_{a_{0}}=a-a_{0}$ and $\delta_{a_{0}}=1$. Thus

$$
b_{0}=a_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\gamma k_{0}}{\delta_{k_{0}}}=\frac{a-a_{0}}{1}=\frac{1}{r_{1}} .
$$

We assume the result for $0 \leqslant t<n$ and then consider two cases.
CASE 1. Let $n$ be odd. Then

$$
\frac{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=\frac{\gamma_{k_{n-1}}}{\delta_{k_{n-1}}}=\frac{1}{r_{n}}<1
$$

and since $a_{n}$ is the least integer $j$ such that $r_{n}-j<1$,

$$
\binom{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=L^{a_{n}}\binom{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}} \text {, where } \quad \gamma_{k_{n}}=\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{k_{n}}=\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}-a_{n} \gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}} \text {. }
$$

Thus

$$
b_{n}=a_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}=\frac{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}-a_{n} \gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=\frac{1}{r_{n}-a_{n}}=r_{n+1} .
$$

CASE 2. Let $n$ be even. Then

$$
\frac{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=\frac{\gamma_{k_{n-1}}}{\delta_{k_{n-1}}}=r_{n}
$$

and since $a_{n}$ is the least integer $j$ such that $r_{n}-j<1$,

$$
\binom{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=R^{a_{n}}\binom{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \gamma_{k_{n}}=\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}-a_{n} \delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}} \text { and } \delta_{k_{n}}=\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}} .
$$

Thus

$$
b_{n}=a_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}=\frac{\gamma_{k_{n}-b_{n}}-a_{n} \delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}-b_{n}}}=r_{n}-a_{n}=\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} .
$$

The preceding theorem can be extended to hold for rational $a$ by modifying the notation as follows:
(i) If $a_{n}=1$, express $\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]$ as either

$$
\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, \infty\right] \text { or }\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n-1}+1, \infty\right] \text { or }
$$

(ii) If $a_{n} \neq 1$, express $\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]$ as either

$$
\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}-1, \infty\right] \text { or }\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, \infty\right]
$$

When we permit the use of these expressions we shall speak of continued fractions in the wider sense. One sees that the method of LR-sequences provides a common form for the continued fraction expansions for both rational and irrational numbers. (The non-uniqueness, however, of the expansion of a rational number still persists.)

Definition. Let $a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$. The $k^{\text {th }}$ order convergent of $a$ is

$$
\frac{p_{k}(a)}{a_{k}(a)}=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}\right]
$$

where

$$
p_{-1}(a)=1, \quad p_{0}(a)=0, \quad q_{-1}(a)=0, \quad q_{0}(a)=1,
$$

and for $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
p_{k}(a)=a_{k} p_{k-1}(a)+p_{k-2}(a) \quad \text { and } \quad q_{k}(a)=a_{k} q_{k-1}(a)+q_{k-2}(a)
$$

When no confusion will result, we shall omit the reference to $a$ and write $p_{k}, q_{k}$ for $p_{k}(a), q_{k}(a)$.
An important proposition in the theory of continued fractions is: If

$$
a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, r_{n+1}\right] \text {, then } a=\frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}=\frac{r_{n+1} p_{n}+p_{n-1}}{r_{n+1} q_{n}+q_{n-1}} .
$$

We give an analogue of this result in the following theorem and its corrolary.
The orem 2. If $a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right],\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts the LR-sequence $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots$, and

$$
k_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}
$$

then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k_{n}} M_{i}= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{n-1} & p_{n} \\
q_{n-1} & q_{n}
\end{array}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{n} & p_{n-1} \\
q_{n} & q_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $n$. For $n=1$,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k_{1}} M_{i}=L^{a_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
a_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1} & p_{0} \\
a_{1} & a_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We assume the result for $1 \leqslant t<n$ and then consider two cases.

CASE 1. Let $n$ be even.

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k_{n}} M_{i}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_{n-1}} M_{i}\right) R^{a_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n-1} & p_{n-2} \\
q_{n-1} & q_{n-2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a_{n} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n-1} & a_{n} p_{n-1}+p_{n-2} \\
q_{n-1} & a_{n} a_{n-1}+q_{n-2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{n-1} & p_{n} \\
q_{n-1} & q_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

CASE 2. Let $n$ be odd.

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k_{n}} M_{i}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_{n-1}} M_{i}\right) L^{a_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n-2} & p_{n-1} \\
q_{n-2} & q_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
a_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n-2}+a_{n} p_{n-1} & p_{n-1} \\
q_{n-2}+a_{n} a_{n-1} & q_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n} & p_{n-1} \\
q_{n} & q_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Corollary. If $a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right],\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts the LR-sequence $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots$, and

$$
k_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}, \quad \text { then } \quad\binom{\alpha}{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{n} & p_{n-1} \\
q_{n} & a_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\gamma_{k_{n}}}{\delta_{k_{n}}}=r_{n+1}(a) .
$$

The well known result,

$$
p_{n-1} q_{n}-p_{n} q_{n-1}=(-1)^{n}
$$

is an immediate consequence of the above theorem and the fact that $\operatorname{det}(L)=\operatorname{det}(R)=1$.

## 2. THE $Q$-TREE

Although $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accepts two LR-sequences when $a$ is rational, these two sequences coincide up through a certain initial segment.

Definition. Let $a$ be a positive rational number and let $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accept the LR-sequence $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots$. We call the initial segment $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{n}$ a head of $a$ if and only if

$$
\binom{\alpha}{1}=M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{n}\binom{1}{1} .
$$

If $a$ is a positive rational number, the head of $a$ exists and is unique. Thus if $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{n}$ is the head of $a$, then the two $L R$-sequences accepted by $\binom{\alpha}{\beta}$ are $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{n}, R, L, L, L, \cdots$ and $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{n}, L, R, R, R, \cdots$.
Definition. Let $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be rational numbers in $(0,1]$. We say that $a_{1}$ is $Q$-related to $a_{2}$ if and only if the head of $a_{1}$ is an initial segment of the head of $a_{2}$.
The $Q$ relation is a partial ordering of the rational numbers in ( 0,1 ] , and the resulting partially ordered set is a tree.

Definition. The set of rational numbers in $(0,1]$ partially ordered by $Q$ is called the $Q$-tree.
We may now interpret the continued fraction expansion of a number (in the wider sense) geometrically as an infinite path in the $Q$-tree. Conversely, any infinite path in the $Q$-tree determines an LR-sequence and thus the continued fraction expansion (in the wider sense) for some number.
The following diagram is an indication of the graphical picture of the $Q$-tree.

(etc.)
Figure 1

## 3. THE FUNCTION $g$

Definition. Let $a \in[0,1]$ and let $\binom{\alpha}{1}$ accept the LR-sequence $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots$. We then define $g$ on the unit interval by

$$
g(a)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} 2^{-j}, \quad \text { where } \quad c_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } M_{j}=L \\
1 \text { if } M_{j}=R
\end{array} .\right.
$$

It is clear that $g$ is a one-to-one function.
Theorem 3. For $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1, g$ is a strictly increasing function.
Proof. Let $0 \leqslant a<\beta \leqslant 1, a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right], \beta=\left[0 ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right]$ and let $t$ be the least integer $n$ such that $a_{n} \neq b_{n}$. Thus $p_{k}(a)=p_{k}(\beta)$ and $q_{k}(a)=q_{k}(\beta)$ for $0 \leqslant k<t$.

Now

$$
a<\beta \text { iff } \frac{r_{t}(\beta) p_{t-1}+p_{t-2}}{r_{t}(\beta) q_{t-1}+q_{t-2}}-\frac{r_{t}(a) p_{t-1}+p_{t-2}}{r_{t}(a) q_{t-1}+q_{t-2}}>0
$$

if and only if

$$
r_{t}(a)\left(p_{t-2} q_{t-1}-p_{t-1} q_{t-2}\right)+r_{t}(\beta)\left(p_{t-1} q_{t-2}-p_{t-2} q_{t-1}\right)>0
$$

if and only if

$$
\left(r_{t}(a)-r_{t}(\beta)\right)(-1)^{t-1}>0
$$

Therefore, $r_{t}(a)>r_{t}(\beta)$ if and only if $t$ is odd. Since

$$
r_{t}(a)=\left[a_{t} ; r_{t+1}(a)\right] \quad \text { and } \quad r_{t}(\beta)=\left[b_{t} ; r_{t+1}(\beta)\right], \quad a_{t}>b_{t}
$$

if and only if $t$ is odd. We consider two cases determined by the parity of $t$.
CASE 1. Let $t$ be odd. In this case $a_{t}>b_{t}$. If

If

$$
r=\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i}, \quad \text { then } \quad g(a) \leqslant g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(a)}{q_{t-1}(a)}\right)+\frac{2}{2^{r-1}}
$$

$$
s=\sum_{i=1}^{t} b_{i}, \quad \text { then } g(\beta) \geqslant g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(\beta)}{q_{t-1}(\beta)}\right)+\frac{2}{2^{s}}
$$

Since $g$ is a one-to-one function, $s<r$ and

$$
g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(a)}{q_{t-1}(a)}\right)=g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(\beta)}{q_{t-1}(\beta)}\right) \quad \text { implies that } \quad g(a)-g(\beta) \leqslant \frac{2}{2^{r-1}}-\frac{2}{2^{s}} \leqslant 0
$$

with equality holding if and only if $a=\beta$. Thus $g(a)<g(\beta)$.
CASE 2. Let $t$ be even. In this case $a_{t}<b_{t}$ and so $s>r$. Now

$$
g(a) \leqslant g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(a)}{q_{t-1}(a)}\right)+\frac{2}{2^{r-a} t} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{t}} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\frac{2}{2^{r+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad g(\beta) \geqslant g\left(\frac{p_{t-1}(\beta)}{q_{t-1}(\beta)}\right)+\frac{2}{2^{s-b_{t}}} \sum_{i=1}^{b_{t}} \frac{1}{2^{i}} .
$$

Since $r-a_{t}=s-b_{t}$,

$$
g(a)-g(\beta)=\frac{2}{2^{r-a} t}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{a_{t}} \frac{1}{2^{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{b_{t}} \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right]+\frac{2}{2^{r+1}}=-\sum_{i=r+1}^{s} \frac{2}{2^{i}}+\frac{2}{2^{r+1}} \leqslant 0
$$

with equality holding if and only if $a=\beta$. Thus $g(a)<g(\beta)$.
Corollary. For $a \in[0,1], g^{\prime}(a)$ exists and is finite almost everywhere.
Theorem 4. For $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1, g$ is a continuous function.
Proof. Let $a \in[0,1], a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$. For any $\epsilon>0$, choose an $n$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}<\epsilon .
$$

Since the even ordered convergents form an increasing sequence converging to $a$ and the odd ordered convergents form a decreasing sequence converging to $a$, (see [1], p. 6 and p .9 ),

$$
\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}<a<\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}} . \quad \text { Let } \quad \delta=\left|a-\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}\right| . \text { Since }\left|a-\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}\right|<\left|a-\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}\right| .
$$

If $\beta \in[0,1]$ and $|a-\beta|<\delta$, then either $\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}<a \leqslant \beta<\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}$ or $\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}<\beta \leqslant a<\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}$.
Since $g$ is an increasing function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { reasing function, } \\
& |g(a)-g(\beta)|<\left|g\left(\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}\right)-g\left(\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}\right)\right|=2 \cdot 2^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} a_{i}} \leqslant \frac{2}{2^{n+1}}<\epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next theorem, we make use of the Fibonacci sequence $\left\langle f_{n}\right\rangle$, where $f_{0}=1, f_{1}=1$, and $f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}$.
Theorem 5. The derivative of $g$ at $u=(-1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is infinite.
Proof. The continued fraction expansion of $u$ is $\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$, where $a_{i}=1$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. Therefore,

$$
p_{n}=p_{n-1}+p_{n-2} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{n}=q_{n-1}+q_{n-2} .
$$

Since $p_{-1}=1, p_{0}=0, q_{-1}=0, q_{0}=1, p_{n}=f_{n}$ and $q_{n}=f_{n+1}$.
If

$$
\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}<x \leqslant \frac{p_{2 n+2}}{q_{2 n+2}}<u
$$

then
which can be shown equal to (see [2], p. 15)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2^{2 i}}}{u\left[1-\frac{u^{-2 n}-u^{2 n}}{u^{-2 n}+u^{2 n+2}}\right]}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\left(1+u^{4 n+2}\right)}{3 u\left(u^{2}-1+2 u^{-4 n}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{4 u^{4}}\right)^{n} .
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{4 u^{4}}=\frac{7+3 \sqrt{5}}{8}>1, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow u^{-}} \frac{g(u)-g(x)}{u-x}=\infty
$$

Similarly,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow u^{+}} \frac{g(u)-g(x)}{u-x}=\infty .
$$

We omit the details
Definition. The numbers $a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1} . a_{2}, \cdots\right]$ and $\beta=\left[b_{0} ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right]$ are said to be equivalent provided there exists an $N$ such that $a_{n}=b_{n}$ for $n \geqslant N$.
Corollary 1. If $a=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$ is equivalent to $u$, then $g^{\prime}(a)=\infty$.
Proof. Since $a$ is equivalent to $u$, there exists an $N$ such that $a_{n}=1$ for $n \geqslant N$. If

$$
\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}<x \leqslant \frac{p_{2 n+2}}{q_{2 n+2}}<a<\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}} .
$$

where $2 n \geqslant N$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \alpha^{-}} \frac{g(a)-g(x)}{a-x} \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a)-g\left(\frac{p_{2 n+2}}{q_{2 n+2}}\right)}{\frac{p_{2 n+1}}{q_{2 n+1}}-\frac{p_{2 n}}{q_{2 n}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2^{2 i}}\left(q_{2 n} q_{2 n+1}\right)
$$

Since $a_{n}=1$ for $n \geqslant N$,

$$
q_{n} \geqslant f_{n}=\frac{u^{n}-(-u)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}} .
$$

Thus
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \alpha^{-}} \frac{g(a)-g(x)}{a-x} \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{15 \cdot 4^{n}}\left(u^{2 n}-u^{-2 n}\right)\left(u^{2 n+1}+u^{-2 n-1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{15}\left(u^{8 n+1}+u^{4 n-1}-u^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4 u^{4}}\right)^{n}$
Since $1 / 4 u^{4}=(7+3 \sqrt{5}) / 8>1$,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \alpha^{-}} \frac{g(a)-g(x)}{a-x}=\infty
$$

Similarly

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \alpha^{+}} \frac{g(a)-g(x)}{a-x}=\infty .
$$

Corollary 2. In every subinterval of $[0,1]$ there exists a $\gamma$ such that $g^{\prime}(\gamma)=\infty$.
Proof. Let

$$
(a, \beta] \subset(0,1], \quad a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\left[0 ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right] .
$$

We may assume that $\beta$ is not equivalent to $u$ for if it is, there is nothing to prove.
Let $t$ be the least integer $n$ such that $a_{n} \neq b_{n}$. Choosing $n$ such that $2 n>t$ and $b_{2 n+2}>1$, we define
$x=\left[0 ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{2 n}, \infty\right], \quad \gamma=\left[0 ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{2 n+1}, 1,1,1, \cdots\right], \quad$ and $\quad y=\left[0 ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{2 n+2}, \infty\right]$.
Then $a<x<\gamma<y<\beta$ and $\gamma$ is equivalent to $u$. Thus the derivative of $g$ at $\gamma$ is infinite.
The measure used in this next theorem is Lebesgue measure. The measure of a set $A$ is denoted by $m(A)$.
Theorem 6. For almost all $a=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right] \in(0,1], g^{\prime}(a)=0$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left\{a \in(0,1]: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{1 / n}=\text { Khinchin's constant }\right\}, \\
B=\left\{a \in(0,1]: g^{\prime}(a) \text { exists and is finite }\right\}, \text { and } \\
C=\left\{a \in(0,1]: a_{n}>n \log n \text { for infinitely many values of } n\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since (see [1], pp. 93, 94),

$$
\begin{gathered}
m(A)=m(B)=m(C)=1, \\
m(A \cap B \cap C)=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
a \in A \cap B \cap C
$$

and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be any sequence converging to $a$. We define a second sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in terms of the partial quotients, $p_{m} / q_{m}$, of $a$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=\left\{\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}: m \text { is the greatest integer such that (i) }\left|a-x_{n}\right| \leqslant\left|a-\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right|\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\qquad \text { (ii) }\left(a-x_{n}\right) \text { and }\left(a-\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right) \text { have the same sign }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $m$ is an unbounded, non-decreasing function of $n$ and thus $m$ goes to infinity as $n$ does and conversely. Since $g$ is a strictly increasing function and noting that

$$
\left|a-\frac{p_{m+2}}{q_{m+2}}\right|<\left|a-x_{n}\right| \quad \text { and that } \quad\left(a-\frac{p_{m+2}}{q_{m+2}}\right)
$$

has the same sign as

$$
\left(a-\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{g(a)-g\left(x_{n}\right)}{a-x_{n}}\right| & \leqslant\left|\frac{g(a)-g\left(\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right)}{a-x_{n}}\right| \\
& <\left|\frac{g(a)-g\left(\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right)}{a-\frac{p_{m+2}}{q_{m+2}}}\right| \\
& =\left|g(a)-g\left(\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right)\right|\left[q_{m+2}\left(q_{m+2}+q_{m+3}\right] \quad\right. \text { [See [1], p. 20.] } \\
& <\left|g(a)-g\left(\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right)\right| 2 a_{m+3}^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left(2.2^{-k m}\right) 2 q_{m+3}^{2}, \quad \text { where } \quad k_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Khinchin's constant is $<3$,

$$
q_{m}=a_{m} q_{m-1}+q_{m-2}<2^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}
$$

and $a \in A$, we have that

$$
q_{m+3}^{2}<\left(2^{m+3} \prod_{i=1}^{m+3} a_{i}\right)^{2}<2^{2 m+6} 3^{2 m+6}
$$

for sufficiently large values of $m$. Now $a \in C$ implies that $k_{m}>m \log m$ for infinitely many values of $m$ and thus

$$
\left|\frac{g(a)-g\left(x_{n}\right)}{a-x_{n}}\right|<2^{8} \cdot 3^{6}\left(\frac{36}{2^{\log m}}\right)^{m}
$$

for infinitely many values of $m$ and $n$. As $n$ goes to infinity, $m$ goes to infinity and hence given any positive $\epsilon$, the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{g(a)-g\left(x_{n}\right)}{a-x_{n}}\right|<\epsilon
$$

will be satisfied for infinitely many values of $n$. Since $a \in B, g^{\prime}(a)$ exists and therefore $g^{\prime}(a)=0$.
Corollary. The function $g$ is not absolutely continuous.
Proof. Since $g$ is not a constant function and for almost all $a \in(0,1] g^{\prime}(a)=0$, it follows from a well known theorem that $g$ is not absolutely continuous. (See [3], p. 90.)
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## GENERALIZED LUCAS SEOUENCES
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In working with linear recurrence sequences, the generating functions are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial and $q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree smaller than $p(x)$. In multisecting the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ it is necessary to find polynomials $P(x)$ whose roots are the $k^{\text {th }}$ power of the roots of $p(x)$. Thus, we are led to the elementary symmetric functions.
Let
(1.2) $p(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-a_{i}\right)=x^{n}-p_{1} x^{n-1}+p_{2} x^{n-2}-p_{3} x^{n-3}+\cdots+(-1)^{k} p_{k} x^{n-k}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} p_{n}$,
where $p_{k}$ is the sum of products of the roots taken $k$ at a time. The usual problem is, given the polynomial $p(x)$, to find the polynomial $P(x)$ whose roots are the $k^{\text {th }}$ powers of the roots of $p(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=x^{n}-P_{1} x^{n-1}+P_{2} x^{n-2}-P_{3} x^{n-3}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} P_{n} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two basic problems here. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=a_{1}^{k}+a_{2}^{k}+a_{3}^{k}+\cdots+a_{n}^{k}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
p(x)=\left(x-a_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-a_{n}\right)=x^{n}+c_{1} x^{n-1}+c_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{n}
$$

and $c_{k}=(-1)^{k} p_{k}$. then Newton's Identities (see Conkwright [1])

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}+c_{1}=0 \\
S_{2}+S_{1} c_{1}+2 c_{2}=0 \\
\cdots  \tag{1.5}\\
S_{n}+S_{n-1} c_{1}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n-1}+n c_{n}=0 \\
S_{n+1}+S_{n} c_{1}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

can be used to compute $S_{k}$ for $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{n}$. Now, once these first $n$ values are obtained, the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n+1}+S_{n} c_{1}+S_{n-1} c_{2}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

will allow one to get the next value $S_{n+1}$ and all subsequent values of $S_{m}$ are determined by recursion. Returning now to the polynomial $P(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\left(x-a_{1}^{k}\right)\left(x-a_{2}^{k}\right)\left(x-a_{3}^{k}\right) \cdots\left(x-a_{n}^{k}\right)=x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+a_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{1}=a_{1}^{k}+a_{2}^{k}+\cdots+a_{n}^{k}=s_{k}
$$

and it is desired to find the $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, \cdots, Q_{n}$. Clearly, one now uses the Newton identities (1.5) again, since $S_{k}, S_{2 k}, S_{3 k}, \cdots, S_{n k}$ can be found from the recurrence for $S_{m}$, where we know $S_{k}, S_{2 k}, S_{3 k}, \cdots, S_{n k}$ and
wish to find the recurrence for the $k$-sected sequence. Bef.ore, we had the auxiliary polynomial for $S_{m}$ and computed the $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{n}$. Here, we have $S_{k}, S_{2 k}, \cdots, S_{n k}$ and wish to calculate the coefficients of the auxiliary polynomial $P(x)$. Given a sequence $S_{m}$ and that it satisfies a linear recurrence of order $n$, one can use Newton's identities to obtain that recurrence. This requires only that $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, \cdots, S_{n}$ be known. If

$$
S_{n+1}+\left(S_{n} c_{1}+S_{n-1} c_{2}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n}\right)+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0
$$

is used, then $S_{n+1}=-\left(S_{n} c_{1}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n}\right)$ and $c_{n+1}=0$.
Suppose that we know that $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}, \cdots$, the Lucas sequence, satisfies a linear recurrence of order two. Then $L_{1}+c_{1}=0$ yields $c_{1}=-1 ; L_{2}+L_{1} c_{1}+2 c_{2}=0$ yields $c_{2}=-1$; and $L_{3}+L_{2} c_{1}+L_{1} c_{2}+3 c_{3}=0$ yields $c_{3}=0$. Thus, the recurrence for the Lucas numbers is

$$
L_{n+2}-L_{n+1}-L_{n}=0
$$

We next seek the recurrence for $L_{k}, L_{2 k}, L_{3 k}, \cdots . L_{n k}=a^{n k}+\beta^{n k}$ is a Lucas-type sequence and $L_{k}+Q_{1}=0$ yields $Q_{1}=-L_{k} ; L_{2 k}+c_{1} L_{k}+2 c_{2}=0$ yields $L_{2 k}-L_{k}^{2}+2 c_{2}=0$, but $L_{k}^{2}=L_{2 k}+2(-1)^{k}$ so that

$$
L_{2 k}-L_{k}^{2}+2 c_{2}=0
$$

gives $c_{2}=(-1)^{k}$. Thus, the recurrence for $L_{n k}$ is

$$
L_{(n+2) k}-L_{k} L_{(n+1) k}+(-1)^{k} L_{n k}=0 .
$$

This one was well known. Suppose as a second example we deal with the generalized Lucas sequence associated with the Tribonacci sequence. Here, $S_{1}=1, S_{2}=3$, and $S_{3}=7$, so that $S_{1}+c_{1}=0$ yields $c_{1}=-1$;

$$
S_{2}+c_{1} S_{2}+2 c_{2}=0 \quad \text { yields } \quad c_{2}=-1
$$

and

$$
S_{3}+c_{1} S_{2}+c_{2} S_{1}+3 c_{3}=0 \quad \text { yields } \quad c_{3}=-1 .
$$

Here,
where $a, \beta, \gamma$ are roots of

$$
s_{k}=a^{k}+\beta^{k}+\gamma^{k}
$$

Suppose we would like to find the recurrence for $S_{n k}$. Using Newton's identities,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
S_{k}+a_{1}=0 & a_{1}=-S_{k} \\
S_{2 k}+S_{k}\left(-S_{k}\right)+2 a_{2}=0 & a_{2}=1 / 2\left(S_{k}^{2}-S_{2 k}\right) \\
S_{3 k}+S_{2 k}\left(-S_{k}\right)+S_{k}\left[1 / 2\left(S_{k}^{2}-S_{2 k}\right)\right]+3 Q_{3}=0 & a_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(S_{k}^{3}-3 S_{k} S_{2 k}+2 S_{2 k}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This is, of course, correct, but it doesn't give the neatest value. What is $Q_{2}$ but the sum of the product of roots taken two at a time,

$$
Q_{2}=(a \beta)^{k}+(a \gamma)^{k}+(\beta \gamma)^{k}=\frac{1}{\gamma^{k}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{k}}+\frac{1}{a^{k}}=S_{-k}
$$

and $Q_{3}=(a \beta \gamma)^{k}=1$. Thus, the recurrence for $S_{n k}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{(n+3) k}-S_{k} S_{(n+2) k}+S_{-k} S_{(n+1) k}+S_{n k}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and much more about the Tribonacci sequence and its associated Lucas sequence is discussed in detail by Trudy Tong [3].

## 2. DISCUSSI ON OF E-2487

A problem in the Elementary Problem Section of the American Mathematical Monthly [2] is as follows:

$$
\text { If } S_{k}=a_{1}^{k}+a_{2}^{k}+\cdots+a_{n}^{k} \text { and } S_{k}=k \text { for } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n \text {, find } S_{n+1} \text {. }
$$

From $S_{k}=a_{1}^{k}+\cdots+a_{n}^{k}$, we know that the sequence $S_{m}$ obeys a linear recurrence of order $n$. From Newton's Identities we can calculate the coefficients of the polynomial whose roots are $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$. (We do not need to know the roots themselves.) Thus, we can find the recurrence relation, and hence can find $S_{n+1}$. This is for an arbitrary but fixed $n$.

Let
(2.1)

$$
S(x)=S_{1}+S_{2} x+S_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+S_{n+1} x^{n}+\cdots
$$

where $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, \cdots, S_{n}$ are given. In our case, $S(x)=1 /(1-x)^{2}$.
Let
(2.2)

$$
c(x)=c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x^{n}+\cdots
$$

These coefficients $c_{n}$ are to be calculated from the $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{n}$.
From Newton's Identities (1.5),

$$
S_{n+1}+S_{n} c_{1}+S_{n-1} c_{2}+\cdots+S_{1} c_{n}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0
$$

These are precisely the coefficients of $x^{n}$ in

$$
S(x)+S(x) C(x)+C^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

The solution to this differential equation is easily obtained by using the integrating factor. Thus
so that

$$
C(x) e^{\int S(x) d x}=\int e^{\int S(x) d x}(-S(x)) d x+C
$$

$$
C(x)=-1+c e^{-\int S(x) d x}=-1+e^{-\left(S_{1} x+S_{2} x^{2} / 2+\cdots+S_{n} x^{n} / n+\cdots\right)}
$$

since $C(0)=0$.
In this problem, $S(x)=1 /(1-x)^{2}$ so that

$$
C(x)=-1+e^{-x /(1-x)}
$$

If one writes this out,

$$
-1+e^{-x /(1-x)}=-1+1-\frac{x}{1!(1-x)}+\frac{x^{2}}{2!(1-x)^{2}}-\frac{x^{3}}{3!(1-x)^{3}}+\cdots .
$$

From Waring's Formula (See Patton and Burnside , Theory of Equations, etc.)

$$
C_{n}=\sum \frac{(-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{n}} S_{1}^{r_{1}} S_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots S_{n}^{r_{n}}}{r_{1}!r_{2}!r_{3}!\cdots r_{n}!1^{r_{1}} 2^{r_{2}} \cdots n^{r_{n}}},
$$

where the summation is over all non-negative solutions to

$$
r_{1}+2 r_{2}+3 r_{3}+\cdots+n r_{n}=n .
$$

In our case where $S_{k}=k$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, this becomes

$$
C_{n}=\sum \frac{(-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{r_{1}!r_{2}!\cdots r_{n}!}
$$

over all nonnegative solutions to

$$
r_{1}+2 r_{2}+3 r_{3}+\cdots+n r_{n}=n,
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{r_{1}+2 r_{2}+\cdots+n r_{n}=n} \frac{(-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{r_{1}!r_{2}!r_{3}!\cdots r_{n}!}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}}{k!} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}=\frac{-1}{1!}=-1 \\
c_{2}=\frac{-1}{1!}+\frac{1}{2!}=-1 / 2 \\
c_{3}=\frac{-1}{1!}+\frac{2}{2!}-\frac{1}{3!}=-1 / 6 \\
c_{4}=\frac{-1}{1!}+\frac{3}{2!}-\frac{3}{3!}+\frac{1}{4!}=1 / 24
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
c_{n}=-\frac{\binom{n-1}{0}}{1!}+\frac{\binom{n-1}{1}}{2!}-\frac{\binom{n-1}{2}}{3!}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{n}\binom{n-1}{n-1}}{n!}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}}{k!} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have an explicit expression for the $c_{n}$ for $S_{k}=k$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$.
We now return to the problem E-2487. From the Newton-Identity equation

$$
S_{n+1}+c_{1} S_{n}+\cdots+c_{n} S_{1}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0
$$

We must make a careful distinction between the solution to $\mathrm{E}-2487$ for $n$ and values of the $S_{m}$ sequence for $\operatorname{larger} n$. Let $S_{n}^{*}$ be the solution to the problem; then

$$
S_{n}^{*}+c_{1} S_{n}+c_{2} S_{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n} S_{1}=0
$$

where $S_{k}=k$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and the $c_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ are given by the Newton Identities using these $S_{k}$. We note two diverse things here. Suppose we write the next Newton-Identity for a higher value of $n$,

$$
S_{n+1}+c_{1} S_{n}+\cdots+c_{n} S_{1}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0 ;
$$

then

$$
(n+1)-S_{n}^{*}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=0
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{*}=(n+1)\left(1+c_{n+1}\right)=(n+1)\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k-1}}{k!}\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also get a solution in another way.

$$
S_{n}^{*}=-\left[c_{1} S_{n}+\cdots+c_{n} S_{1}\right]
$$

is the $n^{\text {th }}$ coefficient in the convolution of $S(x)$ and $C(x)$ which was used earlier (2.1), (2.2). Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{*}(x)=-C(x) S(x)=\left[1-e^{-x /(1-x)}\right] /(1-x)^{2}=\frac{x}{1!(1-x)^{3}}-\frac{x^{2}}{2!(1-x)^{4}}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!(1-x)^{5}}-\cdots \\
S_{1}^{*}=1 / 1!=1 \\
S_{2}^{*}=3 / 1!-1 / 2!=5 / 2 \\
S_{3}^{*}=6 / 1!-4 / 2!+1 / 3!=25 / 6
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\binom{n+1}{k+1}}{k!} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that the two formulas (2.4) and (2.5) for $S_{n}^{*}$ are the same.

## 3. A GENERALIZATION OF E-2487

If one lets $S(x)=1 /(1-x)^{m+1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)=-1+e^{\frac{1}{m}\left[1-1 /(1-x)^{m}\right]} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{*}(x)=\frac{1-e^{\frac{1}{m}\left[1-1 /(1-x)^{m}\right]}}{(1-x)^{m+1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now get explicit expressions for $S_{n}, c_{n}$, and $S_{n}^{*}$.
First,

$$
S(x)=\frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+1}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+m}{n} x^{n}
$$

so that
(3.3)

$$
S_{n+1}=\binom{n+m}{n}
$$

We shall show that
Theorem 3.1.

$$
c_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!m^{k}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{k}\binom{k}{\alpha}\binom{\alpha m+n-1}{n}
$$

and

$$
S_{n}^{*}=\binom{n+m}{n}+(n+1) c_{n+1}=\binom{n+m}{n}+(n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!m^{k}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{\alpha}\binom{k}{\alpha}\binom{m \alpha+n}{n+1}
$$

Proof. From Schwatt [4], one has the following. If $y=g(u)$ and $u=f(x)$, then

$$
\frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{\alpha}\binom{k}{\alpha} u^{k-\alpha} \frac{d^{n} u^{\alpha}}{d x^{n}} \frac{d^{k} y}{d u^{k}}
$$

We can find the Maclaurin expansion of

$$
y=e^{1 / m} e^{-1 / m(1-x)^{m}}=\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}\right|_{x=0} x^{n} .
$$

Let $y=e^{1 / m} e^{u}$, where $u=-1 / m(1-x)^{m}$; then $u^{\alpha}=(-1)^{\alpha} / m^{\alpha}(1-x)^{m \alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{n} u^{\alpha}}{d x^{n}}=\frac{(-1)^{\alpha}}{m^{\alpha}} \frac{(m a)(m a+1) \cdots(m a+n-1)}{(1-x)^{m \alpha+n}} \\
& \frac{d^{k} y}{d u^{k}}=e^{1 / m} e^{u}, \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \frac{d^{k} y}{d x^{k}}\right|_{x=0}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left.\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}\right|_{x=0}=\sum_{k=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{\alpha}\binom{k}{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{k-\alpha}}{m^{k-\alpha}} \frac{(-1)^{\alpha}}{m^{\alpha}}\binom{m \alpha+n-1}{n}
$$

so that

$$
c_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!m^{k}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{\alpha}\binom{k}{\alpha}\binom{m \alpha+n-1}{n} .
$$

Thus, since $S_{n}^{*}=S_{n+1}+(n+1) c_{n+1}$, then

$$
S_{n}^{*}=\binom{n+m}{n}+(n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!m^{k}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}(-1)^{\alpha}\binom{k}{\alpha}\binom{m \alpha+n}{n+1}
$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
But

$$
S^{*}(x)=-C(x) /(1-x)^{m+1}
$$

so that we can get yet another expression for $S_{n}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{*}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(S_{j} c_{n-j+1}\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{n-j+1} c_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is as above and

$$
S_{n}=\binom{n+m-1}{m}=\binom{n+m-1}{n-1} .
$$

## 4. RELATIONSHIPS TO PASCAL'S TRIANGLE

An important special case deserves mention. If we let $S_{k}=m$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, then $S(x)=m /(1-x)$ and

$$
C(x)=-1+e^{-\int[m /(1-x)] d x}=-1+(1-x)^{m} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
c_{k}=(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}
$$

for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m \leqslant n$ or for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n<m$, and $c_{k}=0$ for $n<k \leqslant m$, and $c_{k}=0$ for $k>n$ in any case. Now, let $S_{k}=-m$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$; then

$$
S(x)=-m /(1-x) \quad \text { and } \quad C(x)=-1+1 /(1-x)^{m} \text {, }
$$

and we are back to columns of Pascal's triangle.
If we return to

$$
c_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!}\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
m & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
m & m & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
m & m & m & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\
m & m & m & m & 4 & \cdots \\
m & m & m & m & m & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right|_{k \times k}
$$

then we have rows of Pascal's triange, while with

$$
c_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!}\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
-m & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
-m & -m & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
-m & -m & -m & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\
-m & -m & -m & -m & 4 & \cdots \\
-m & -m & -m & -m & -m & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right|_{k \times k}
$$

we have columns of Pascal's triangle.
Suppose that we have this form for $c_{k}$ in terms of general $S_{k}$ but that the recurrence is of finite order. Then, clearly, $c_{k}=0$ for $k>n$. To see this easily, consider, for example, $S_{1}=1, S_{2}=3, S_{3}=7$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{n+3}=S_{n+2}+S_{n+1}+S_{n} . \\
c_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!}\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
7 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
11 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 0 & \cdots \\
21 & 11 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 5 & \cdots \\
39 & 21 & 11 & 7 & 3 & 1 & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right|_{k \times k} \\
1-1=0 \\
3-1-2=0 \\
7-3-1-3=0 \\
11-7-3-1=0 \\
21-11-7-3=0 \\
39-21-11-7=0, \text { etc. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, in this case, we can get the first column all zero with multipliers $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$, each of which is -1 .

## 5. THE GENERAL CASE AND SOME CONSEQUENCES

Returning now to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)=-1+e^{-\left(S_{1} x+S_{2} x^{2} / 2+S_{3} x^{3} / 3+\cdots+S_{n} x^{n} / n+\cdots\right)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was found in Riordan [6] , we can see some nice consequences of this neat formula.
It is easy to establish that the regular Lucas numbers have generating function

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1+2 x}{1-x-x^{2}}=S(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{n+1} x^{n}  \tag{5.2}\\
e^{-\left[(1+2 x) /\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)\right] d x}=e^{\ln \left(1-x-x^{2}\right)}=1-x-x^{2}=1+C(x)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we know that $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$, and $c_{m}=0$ for all $m>2$. This implies that the Lucas numbers put into the formulas for $c_{m}(m>2)$ yield zero, and furthermore, since $L_{k}, L_{2 k}, L_{3 k}, \cdots$, obey $1-L_{k} x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}$, then it is true that $S_{n}=L_{n k}$ put into those same formulas yield non-linear identities for the $k$-sected Lucas number sequence. However, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\left(L_{1} x+L_{2} x^{2} / 2+\cdots+L_{n} x^{n} / n+\cdots\right)}=\frac{1}{1-x-x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1} x^{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
e^{\left(L_{k} x+L_{2 k} x^{2} / 2+\cdots+L_{n k} x^{n} / n+\cdots\right)}=\frac{1}{1-L_{k} x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F(n+1) k}{F_{k}} x^{n}
$$

Let us illustrate. Let $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, \cdots$ be generalized Lucas numbers,

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}=-S_{1} \\
c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{1}^{2}-S_{2}\right) \\
c_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(S_{1}^{3}-3 S_{1} S_{2}+2 S_{3}\right) \\
c_{4}=\frac{1}{24}\left(S_{1}^{4}-6 S_{1}^{2} S_{2}+8 S_{1} S_{3}+3 S_{2}^{2}-6 S_{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\ldots \quad . .
$$

Let $S_{n}=L_{n k}$ so that $c_{m}=0$ for $m>2$.

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left[L_{k}^{3}-3 L_{k} L_{2 k}+2 L_{3 k}\right]=0
$$

while

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left[L_{k}^{3}+3 L_{k} L_{2 k}+2 L_{3 k}\right]=F_{4 k} / F_{k}
$$

In Conkwright [1] was given

$$
c_{m}=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!}\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
S_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
S_{2} & S_{1} & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1} & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
S_{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & m-1 \\
S_{m} & S_{m-1} & S_{m-2} & \cdots & S_{2} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

which was derived in Hoggatt and Bicknell [5].
Thus for $m>2$
(5.5)

$$
c_{m}=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!}\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
L_{k} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
L_{2 k} & L_{k} & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
L_{3 k} & L_{2 k} & L_{k} & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \cdots \\
L_{(m-1) k} & L_{(m-2) k} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & k-1 \\
L_{m k} & L_{(m-1) k} & \ldots & \ldots & L_{2 k} & L_{k}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

for all $k>0$, where $L_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number. This same formula applies, since $c_{m}=0$ for $m>3$, if $S_{m}=£_{m k}$ where

$$
£_{1}=1, \quad £_{2}=3, \quad £_{3}=7, \quad \text { and } \quad £_{m+3}=£_{m+2}+£_{m+1}+£_{m}
$$

are the generalized Lucas numbers associated with the Tribonacci numbers $T_{n}$

$$
\left(T_{1}=T_{2}=1, \quad T_{3}=2, \quad \text { and } \quad T_{n+3}=T_{n+2}+T_{n+1}+T_{n} .\right)
$$

If $\delta_{m}$ are the Lucas numbers associated with the generalized Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ whose generating function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1-x-x^{2}-x^{3}-\cdots-x^{r}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1 x^{n}}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then if $S_{m}=\mathcal{L}_{m k}$, then the corresponding $c_{m}=0$ for $m>r$, yielding (5.5) for $m>r$ with $L_{m k}$ everywhere replaced by $\Sigma_{m k}$.
Further, let

$$
F(x)=1-x-x^{2}-x^{3}-\cdots-x^{r} ;
$$

then

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=-1-2 x-3 x^{2}-\cdots-r x^{r-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{F^{\prime}(x)}{F(x)}=\frac{1+2 x+3 x^{2}+\cdots+r x^{r-1}}{1-x-x^{2}-x^{2}-\cdots-x^{r}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} £_{n+1} x^{n} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $£_{n}$ is the generalized Lucas sequence associated with the generalized Fibonacci sequence whose generating function is $1 / F(x)$. Thus, any of these generalized Fibonacci sequences is obtainable as follows:

$$
e^{-\int\left[F^{\prime}(x) / F(x)\right] d x}=\frac{1}{1-x-x^{2}-x^{3}-\cdots-x^{r}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1} x^{n}
$$

and we have
Theorem 5.1.

$$
e^{\mathcal{L}_{1} x+\AA_{2} x^{2} / 2+\cdots+£_{n} x^{n} / n+\cdots}=1 / F(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1} x^{n} .
$$

The generalized Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ generated by (5.6) appear in Hoggatt and Bicknell [7] and [8] as certain rising diagonal sums in generalized Pascal triangles.
Write the left-justified polynomial coefficient array generated by expansions of

$$
\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{r-1}\right)^{n}, \quad n=0,1,2,3, \cdots, r \geqslant 2 .
$$

Then the generalized Fibonacci numbers $u(n ; p, q)$ are given sequentially by the sum of the element in the left-most column and the $n^{\text {th }}$ row and the terms obtained by taking steps $p$ units up and $q$ units right through the array. The simple rising diagonal sums which occur for $p=q=1$ give

$$
u(n ; 1,1)=F_{n+1}, \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots .
$$

The special case $r=2, p=q=1$ is the well known relationship between rising diagonal sums in Pascal's triangle and the ordinary Fibonacci numbers,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{[(n+1) / 2]}\binom{n-i}{i}=F_{n+1}
$$

while

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{[(n+1) / 2]}\binom{n-i}{i}_{r}=F_{n+1}
$$

where

$$
\binom{n-i}{i}_{r}
$$

is the polynomial coefficient in the $i^{\text {th }}$ column and $(n-i)^{s t}$ row of the left-adjusted array.
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## 因

## [Continued from p. 122.]

From this we have that
(3)

$$
L(F(n))=\frac{f(n+1)-(-1)^{F(n+2)} f(n-2)}{f(n-1)}
$$

Now, letting $a=F(n), b=F(n+1)$ in (2), we have
(4)

$$
5 f(n) f(n+1)=L(F(n+2))-(-1)^{F(n)} L(F(n-1))
$$

Finally, substituting (3) for each term on the right of (4) and rearranging gives the required recursion. It is interesting to note that a $5^{\text {th }}$ order recursion for $f(n)$ exists, but it is much more complicated.

## Proposition.

$f(n)=\frac{\left(5 f(n-2)^{2}+2(-1)^{F(n+1)}\right) f(n-3)^{2} f(n-4)+f(n-2)\left(f(n-2)-(-1)^{F(n-1)} f(n-5)\right)\left(f(n-1)-(-1)^{F(n)} f(n-4)\right)}{2 f(n-4) f(n-3)}$
Proof. Use Equation (2) and the identity
(5)

$$
L(a) L(b)=L(a+b)+(-1)^{a} L(b-a)
$$

to obtain

$$
5 f(n) f(n+1)=2 L(F(n+2))-L(F(n)) L(F(n+1))
$$

Using (3) on the right-hand side and rearranging gives the required recursion.
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# AN APPLICATION OF TRIBONACCI NUMBERS 

STANLEY BEZUSZKA<br>and<br>LOU D'AN GELO<br>Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167

An interesting application of the Tribonacci numbers appeared unexpectedly in the solution of the following problem. Begin with 4 nonnegative integers, for example, 9, 4, 6, 7. Take cyclic differences of pairs of numbers (the smaller number from the larger) where the fourth difference is always the difference between the last number ( 7 in the above example) and the first number ( 9 in the above example). Repeat this process on the differences. For the example above, we have

| s $^{\text {st }}$ row | 9 | 4 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ row |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ row |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ row |  |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ row |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ row |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0. |  |

Starting with the numbers $9,4,6,7$ and following the procedure described, the process terminates in the $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ row with all zeros.
Problem. Are there 4 starting numbers that will terminate with all zeros in the $7^{\text {th }}$ row, the $8^{\text {th }}$ row, $\cdots$, the $n^{\text {th }}$ row?
Various sequences of numbers were tried but they were found unsatisfactory. One development that leads to a solution is outlined below.
(a) Begin with 4 numbers, not all zero,

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a & b & c & d \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

which are assumed to be known and then try to get the 4 numbers in the row directly above $a, b, c$, $d$, namely, the numbers
(2)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2^{\text {nd }} \text { row } & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4}  \tag{3}\\
1^{\text {st }} \text { row } & & a & b & c
\end{array}
$$

(b) Now, rather than try to solve the problem for arbitrary numbers $a, b, c, d$, we will take the special case where
(4) $d=a+b+c$.

In place of (3), we have

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
2^{\text {nd }} \text { row } & x_{1} & x_{1}+a & x_{1}+a+b  \tag{5}\\
1^{\text {st }} \text { row } & a & x_{1}+a+b+c \\
d & =a+b+c .
\end{array}
$$

At this point, one can select $x_{1}$ to be any nonnegative integer. However, this procedure proves rather unproductive. We now assume that the summability pattern for the 4 known starting numbers

$$
a \quad b \quad c \quad d=a+b+c
$$

also holds for
(6)

$$
x_{1} \quad x_{1}+a \quad x_{1}+a+b \quad x_{1}+a+b+c .
$$

:r the above assumption, we have

$$
x_{1}+\left(x_{1}+a\right)+\left(x_{1}+a+b\right)=x_{1}+a+b+c
$$

ing for $x_{1}$, we get

$$
x_{1}=\frac{c-a}{2}
$$

tre now $x_{1}$ is determined in terms of the known numbers $a$ and $c$. Note that $c-a$ must be even for $x_{1}$ to be nteger.
) For a given set of 4 numbers $a, b, c, d=a+b+c$, once $x_{1}$ is determined, we can get the $2^{n d}$ row in (5). umably, the procedure can then be repeated on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row to get a $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$, etc. row. The following exle shows that another slight modification is necessary.
cample 1. Begin with the four numbers 1, 1, 1, 3. These numbers satisfy the summability condition $a+b+c$. Using the condition in (8) with $a=1, c=1$, we have

$$
x_{1}=\frac{c-a}{2}=0 .
$$

stituting in (5), we get

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
2^{\text {nd }} \text { row } & 0 & & 1 & & 2 & 3 \\
1^{s t} \text { row } & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & \\
3
\end{array}
$$

$2^{\text {nd }}$ row now serves as our 4 known numbers $a, b, c, d=a+b+c$. Here $a=0, c=2$ and from (8), we have

$$
1 \quad x_{1}=\frac{c-a}{2}=1
$$

ng (5) and (9), we now have
1)

| $3^{\text {rd }}$ row | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ row |  | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ row |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |

Ve now go on to the $4^{\text {th }}$ row. However, if we take the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row $1,1,2,4$ in (11) as our 4 known numbers, !n $a=1, c=2$ and from (8)
!)

$$
x_{1}=\frac{c-a}{2}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

lich is not an integer. Apparently, we cannot get the $4^{\text {th }}$ row from our present method.
Ve pause to point out several items of interest in the example above.
I. We began the example 1 with the 4 starting numbers $1,1,1,3$. This was a rather arbitrary selection. If we $d$ started with the 4 numbers $0,0,2,2$ we could have calculated the $4^{\text {th }}$ row but the numbers here would e been 1, 1, 2, 4 precisely the same as in our present example where again we would have been stopped. 3re appears to be no marked advantage in selecting other starting numbers rather than $1,1,1,3$.
. In (11) the numbers in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row are the first four numbers of the classical Tribonacci sequence
1
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ T_{1} & T_{2} & T_{3} & T_{4} .\end{array}$
If we start with the Tribonacci numbers in (13), we have for the cyclic differences

| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1. |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

t all zeros in the seventh row.

Let us now return to (11) where our procedure was stopped. Multiply each element in each row of (11) by 2. We have

| $3^{\text {rd }}$ row | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ row |  | 0 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ row |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 6. |  |

In the third row of (15), $a=2, c=4$ and using (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\frac{c-a}{2}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now get the $4^{\text {th }}$ row. From the $4^{\text {th }}$ row, we can get the $5^{\text {th }}$ row and from the $5^{\text {th }}$ row, we can get the $6^{\text {th }}$ row before we are stopped by a non-integral value of $x_{1}$. The cyclic differences are shown below.


As in (11) so in (17), the four numbers in row 6 (where we are stopped) are consecutive Tribonacci numbers $T_{3}$ to $T_{6}$. A list of the first seventeen Tribonacci numbers is given below.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
T_{n}= & T_{n-1}+T_{n-2}+T_{n-3}, & \begin{array}{l}
n=4,5,6, \cdots \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
T_{1}=T_{2}
\end{array}=1 \\
T_{3}=2 .
\end{array}
$$

If we return to (17) and multiply each element in each row by 2 , we can get rows $7,8,9$ before we are stopped. The 4 numbers in row 9 are the 4 Tribonacci numbers $7,13,24,44$ ( $T_{5}$ to $T_{8}$, see (18)).
The procedure is now clear. From (11), (15) and (17), whenever we are stopped, we multiply each element in each row by 2 . This will allow us to go 3 rows upward. We are then stopped at a set of 4 Tribonacci numbers where the first two Tribonacci numbers overlap with the last two Tribonacci numbers of the preceding stopping point. If in (11) and (17), we take the cyclic differences from row 1 downward, we get 4 more rows before terminating in all zeros. We summarize the results.

| Starting Tribonacci <br> numbers | Rows upward <br> counting from |  | Rows downward <br> not counting | Total rows |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{1}$ to $T_{4}$ | row $1,1,1,3$ | 3 | row $1,1,1,3$ | 4 | 7 |
| $T_{3}$ to $T_{6}$ | row $2,2,2,6$ | 6 | row $2,2,2,6$ | 4 | 10 |
| $T_{5}$ to $T_{8}$ | row $4,4,4,12$ | 9 | row $4,4,4,12$ | 4 | 13 |
| $T_{7}$ to $T_{10}$ | row $8,8,8,24$, | 12 | row $8,8,8,24$ | 4 | 16 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $T_{2 n+1}$ to $T_{2 n+4}$ | row $2^{n}, 2^{n}, 2^{n},(3) 2^{n}, 3(n+1)$ | row $2^{n}, 2^{n}, 2^{n},(3) 2^{n}, 4$ | $3(n+2)+1$ |  |  |

where $n=0,1,2,3, \cdots$.
If we take the four consecutive Tribonacci numbers $T_{2 n+1}$ to $T_{2 n+4}, n=0,1,2,3, \cdots$ we get all zeros in the $3(n+2)+1$ row.
The starting Tribonacci numbers above begin with an odd-numbered term such as $T_{1}, T_{3}, T_{5}$, and so on. What happens if we start with an even-numbered term of the sequence, say $T_{2}, T_{4}, T_{6}$, and so on? Actually,
we get all zeros at precisely the same row as we did when we started with the odd-numbered Tribonacci sequence $T_{1}, T_{3}, T_{5}$, and so on. The summary is given below.

| Starting Tribonacci <br> numbers | Rows upward <br> counting from |  | Rows downward <br> not counting |  | Total rows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{2}$ to $T_{5}$ | row $1,1,3,5$ | 3 | row $1,1,3,5$, | 4 | 7 |
| $T_{4}$ to $T_{7}$ | row 2, 2, 6, 10 | 6 | row $2,2,6,10$ | 4 | 10 |
| $T_{6}$ to $T_{9}$ | row $4,4,12,70$ | 9 | row $4,4,12,20$ | 4 | 13 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $T_{2 n}$ to $T_{2 n+3}$ | $2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1},(3) 2^{n-1},(5) 2^{n-1} 3 n$ | (see column 2) | 4 | $3(n+1)+1$ |  |

where $n=1,2,3, \cdots$.
We can rewrite the results in (19) to agree with the values of $n$ in (20). Thus, for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{array}{cllll}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Odd numbered starting } \\
\text { Tribonacci numbers }
\end{array} & T_{2 n-1}, & T_{2 n}, & T_{2 n+1}, & T_{2 n+2} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Even numbered starting } \\
\text { Tribonacci numbers }
\end{array} & T_{2 n}, & T_{2 n+1}, & T_{2 n+2}, & T_{2 n+3} \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

will give all zeros for the $3(n+1)+1$ row.
Conclusion. What are 4 starting numbers which give all zeros at precisely row $m$, where $m=1,2,3, \cdots$ ?

> Number of rows for which we get all zeros 4 starting numbers

| $m=1$ | $0,0,0,0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $m=2$ | $1,1,1,1$ |
| $m=3$ | $2,0,2,4$ |
| $m=4$ | $0,2,2,4$ |
| $m=5$ | $1,1,3,5$ |

For $m \geqslant 6$, note that the numbers $6,7,8,9, \cdots$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. multiples of } 3 \text {, so that } m=3(n+1), \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots, \\
& \text { b. multiples of } 3 \text { plus } 1 \text {, so that } m=3(n+1)+1, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots \text {, } \\
& \text { c. multiplies of } 3 \text { plus } 2 \text {, so that } m=3(n+1)+2, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually, we have already solved the problem for the case where $m=3(n+1)+1, n=1,2,3, \cdots$ (for $m$ equal to a multiple of 3 plus 1 ) in (21) and (22). If we take the solution (21), we can easily get the row above (21) which will be the solution for $m=3(n+1)+2, n=1,2,3, \cdots$. Moreover, if we go downward from (21) by taking the cyclic differences, we will have the solution for the case $m=3(n+1), n=1,2,3, \cdots$. Thus,

| (24) | Upward from (21) | Starting Tribonacci Numbers |  |  |  | Solution for$m=3(n+1)+2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | $T_{2 n-1}$ | $1+T_{2 n}$ |  |  |
|  | Relation (21) | $T_{2 n-1}$ | $1 \quad T_{2 n}$ | $\therefore T_{2 n+1}$ | $T_{2 n+2}$ | $m=3(n+1)+1$ |
| (25) | Downward from (21) | $T_{2 n}$ | $-T_{2 n-1} T^{2}$ | $-T_{2 n} \quad T_{2 n+2}$ | $1 T_{2 r}$ | $m=3(n+1)$ |

Example 2. Find the 4 starting numbers that give all zerosfor precisely the $8^{\text {th }}$ row.
Solution. Here $m=8$ and $m$ is a multiple of 3 plus 2 . From $m=3(n+1)+2$ we have $8=3(n+1)+2$ or $n=1$. From (24) the 4 starting Tribonacci numbers are $0, T_{1}, T_{1}+T_{2}, T_{4}$ and concretely from (18) $0,1,2,4$.


Using (21), (24) and (25) we have constructed the following table.
Table

| $m$ | $n$ | 4 Starting Tribonacci Numbers |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 | $0,1,2,3$ |
| 7 | 1 | $1,1,2,4$ |
| 8 | 1 | $0,1,2,4$ |
| 9 | 2 | $2,3,6,11$ |
| 10 | 2 | $2,4,7,13$ |
| 11 | 2 | $0,2,6,13$ |
| 12 | 3 | $6,11,20,37$ |
| 13 | 3 | $7,13,24,44$ |
| 14 | 3 | $0,7,20,44$ |

## [Continued from page 116.]

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
q=[k / 2], \quad r=k, \bmod 2, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k \\
P_{i}(x)=(1 / 2) / n\left[x^{2}-2 x \cos ((2 i+1) \pi / k)+1\right] \\
a_{i}(x)=\arctan [(x-\cos ((2 i+1) \pi / k) / \sin ((2 i+1) \pi / k)]
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The $G$ function has the series and integral representation [4, p. 20]

$$
G(z)=2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} /(z+n)=2 \int_{0}^{1} x^{z-1} d x /(1+x)
$$

from which the first part of (2) is immediate. The integration formula is recorded in [5, p. 20].

## Lemma 2.

(3)

$$
\omega\left(j ; k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=(1 / S)\left[\psi\left(\left(j+k_{1}\right) / S\right)-\psi(j / S)\right],
$$

where the psi (digamma) function is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function and has integral representation for rational argument $u / v, 0<u<v$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(u / v)= & -C+v \int_{0}^{1}\left(x^{v-1}-x^{u-1}\right) d x /\left(1-x^{v}\right)  \tag{4}\\
= & -C-\ln v-(\pi / 2) \cot (u \pi / v) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{q} \cos (2 u i \pi / v) \ln \left(4 \sin ^{2} i \pi / v\right)+(-1)^{u} \delta_{0}^{r} \ln 2
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=[(v-1) / 2], r=u / 2-[u / 2], C$ is Euler's constant.

## [Continued on page 149.]

# AMATEUR INTERESTS IN THE FIBONACCI SERIES IV CALCULATION OF GROUP SIZES OF RESIDUES OF MODULI 

JOSEPH MANDELSON<br>Department of the Army, Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010


#### Abstract

As indicated in a previous paper [1], the statement that the residues of any modulus $M$ of the Fib onacci Series are periodic was investigated. It was found that, in dividing consecutive $F_{n}$ by $M$, residues were formed in a Fibonacci-type series until a residue of zero was reached. The succession of residues so formed may be called a group and the number of residues in the group, including the terminal zero is the group size. (Note: "Group size" is identical numerically to "entry point" found in [2]. Editor.)

If the residue immediately preceding the terminal zero is unity, the next residue will be an exact repetition of the first residues calculated. Therefore, the group ending 1,0 marks the end of the group and the period. The period may contain 1,2 , or 4 groups. For example, when the modulus $M=5$, the period contains four groups:


| GROUP | RESIDUES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1,1,2,3,0$ |
| 2 | $3,3,1,4,0$ |
| 3 | $4,4,3,2,0$ |
| 4 | $2,2,4,1,0$ |

Note that each group ends in a zero and that the last group (and the period) ends in a 1,0 . Succeeding residues will merely recapitulate the residues in the order shown, starting with the first residue, 1 , in the first group.

After calculating the group and period sizes for successive moduli from 2 through 200 (see Table 1), certain regularities were noted, though the table apparently shows nothing of the kind. The group size $G_{M}$ (but not the period size) of any modulus given in Table 1 can be calculated from the following two rules.
Rule 1. Determine the prime factors of the modulus, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=A^{\ell} B^{m} C^{n} \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B, C, \cdots$ are primes and $\ell, m, n, \cdots, \geqslant 1$. Then the group size $G_{M}$ for modulus $M$ is the product of the group sizes of moduli equal to these factors, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{M}=G_{A^{\ell}} \cdot G_{B^{m}} \cdot G_{C^{n}} \cdots, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

except that, if any two of the factor group sizes $G_{A^{\ell}}, G_{B}{ }^{m}, G_{C^{n}}, \cdots$, contain some common factor $D$, divide one or the other of the factor group sizes by $D$ so that the quotient obtained is prime relative to the other factor group size in the pair containing that factor $D$. Continue until all the quotients are prime relative to each other.
Thus:

$$
G_{132}=G_{2^{2}} \cdot G_{3} \cdot G_{11}=6 \times 4 \times 10
$$

The numbers $6,4,10$ have common factor $D=2$. Divide 6 by 2 , giving quotient 3 which is prime relative to 4 . (Note that dividing the 4 by 2 is incorrect because the quotient 2 is not prime relative to 6 .) This leaves

$$
G_{132}=3 \times 4 \times 10
$$

Now, taking the pair 4 and 10, divide 10 by 2 , getting 5 which is prime to 4 . The final result is

$$
G_{132}=3 \times 4 \times 5=60
$$

which will be found to be correct.
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As a second example of application of Rule 1, calculate

$$
G_{126}=G_{2} \cdot G_{3^{2}} \cdot G_{7}=3 \times 12=8 .
$$

The pair 8 and 12 contain $D=4$. Divide 12 (not the 8 ) by 4 to get a quotient of 3 which is prime to 8 . Notice that the quotient 3 is not prime to the first factor 3 . However, the requirement is that the quotient must be prime to the other number in the pair, not to all the other factor group sizes. So there remains

$$
G_{126}=3 \times 8 \times 3
$$

The two 3 's taken as a pair contain $D=3$ and one of them is reduced by division to 1 , making

$$
G_{126}=1 \times 8 \times 3=24
$$

which will be found to be correct.
Rule 2. Powers. If $M$ contains only one prime factor $A^{\ell}$, then $\ell=1$.
(2.1)
(i) If the final digit in $M$ is 3 or $7, G_{M}=(M+1) / a$;
(ii) If the final digit in $M$ is 1 or $9, G_{M}=(M-1) / a$ :
where $a$ is some integer, $a \geqslant 1$; and when $\ell>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{M}=A G_{A^{\ell-1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At least up to $M=200$, there are only two exceptions to Rule 2. For $M=5, G_{M}=M=5$. Here, (2.1) does not apply, since 5 is not a final digit mentioned. However, since 5 is the only prime whose terminal digit is 5 , this exception is easy to bear. It is interesting to note that $G_{5}$ is the average of $(M+1) / a$ and $(M-1) / 2$ if $a=1$. The second exception is that for $M=8, G_{M}=6$. Going by (2.2), $G_{2^{3}}$ should be equal to $2 G_{2^{2}}=2 \times 6=12$. If rule (1.1) is applied, which Rule 2 specifically forbids, $G_{8}$ comes out as $2 \times 3=6$. This exception cannot be explained.
While these rules will enable one to calculate group size, one sould not deprive himself of the pleasure of calculating and recording the individual residues as described in [1]. Of particular interest is the examination of corresponding residues in successive groups. Look for equality of corresponding residues or for two residues whose sum is $M$. These will normally occur at the $a G^{\text {th }}$ residue, where $G$ is one of the factor group sizes and $a$ is an integer, $a \geqslant 1$. Thus, for $M=200$,

$$
G_{2^{3}} G_{5^{2}}=6 \times 25
$$

the $75^{\text {th }}$ residue in each of the groups is 50 .

|  | Group 1 |  |  |  | Group 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | $125^{\text {th }}$ |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |$\quad 125^{\text {th }}$

Note the mirror image characteristic. This is again shown in the residues which occur in every sixth place of both groups. These residues always add up to $M=200$ and are arranged symmetrically about the $75{ }^{\text {th }}$ residue already identified as 50 . Thus:

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 1 | Group 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 192 | 50 | 50 |
| 144 | 56 | 64 | 136 |
| 184 | 16 | 88 | 112 |
| 168 | 32 | 120 | 80 |
| 40 | 160 | 72 | 128 |
| 152 | 48 | 176 | 24 |
| 96 | 104 | 96 | 104 |
| 176 | 24 | 152 | 48 |
| 72 | 128 | 40 | 160 |
| 120 | 80 | 168 | 32 |
| 88 | 112 | 184 | 16 |
| 64 | 136 | 144 | 56 |
| 50 | 50 | 8 | 192 |

Note that no residue in Group 1 occurs in Group 2 but that corresponding residues in the two groups add up to $M=200$. Also, these numbers have other unusual characteristics. Add any two and the sum will be some one or the other of the numbers or, if the sum is greater than 200 , subtract $M=200$ and the remainder will be found somewhere in the list. Subtract any two numbers with the same result. Of course, the reader's inspection has already noted that the numbers above the central 50 are arranged as mirror images of those below.

It is interesting to note that mirror-image molecules (stereoisomers) are of the utmost importance in biochemical considerations and in heredity. Since the connection between the Fibonacci Series and certain facts in heredity has long been noted, perhaps further investigation of the self-reproductive nature of the Fibonacci Series and of its tendency to form mirror images would be fruitful.
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Proof. By pairwise association and use of the relationship [3, p. 285],

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x / S)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 1 /(i+x / S)^{2}
$$

which is uniformly convergent for $x \geqslant 1$, one establishes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(j ; k_{1}, k_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{j}^{j+k_{1}} d x /(x+i S)^{2} \\
& =(1 / S)^{2} \int_{j}^{j+k_{1}} \psi^{\prime}(x / S) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which integrates into the statement (3). The integral form of the psi function occurring in (4) is listed in [4, p. 16] and the integral evaluation is a celebrated theorem of Gauss [3, p; 286;4, p. 18].
Corollary. Formula (4) can be extended to an arbitrary positive rational argument via the identity [4, p. 16],

$$
\psi(n+z)=\psi(z)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 /(z+i) .
$$

An $\omega$-series with an arbitrary even number of $k_{i}$ parameters can be grouped into a series of successive cycles of parametric incrementation within which the terms are pairwise associated. This procedure leads to an expression in terms of the biparameter $\omega$-series, and application of Lemma 2 yields an explicit summation formula in terms of the psi function.
Theorem 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(j ; k_{1}, \cdots, k_{2 n}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega\left(j+s_{2 i} ; k_{2 i+1}, S-k_{2 i+1}\right) \\
& =(1 / S) \sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i+1} \psi\left(\left(j+s_{2 i}\right) / S\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[Continued on page 172.]

# PERIODIC LENGTHS OF THE GENERALIZED FIBONACCI SEOUENCE MODULO $p$ 

C. C. YALAVIGI AND H. V. KRISHNA<br>Government College, Mercara India and Manipal Engineering College, Manipal, India

## INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns the periodic lengths of the Generalized Fibonacci Sequence modulo $p$, where $p$ is a prime integer. The GF sequence will be denoted by $H_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=P, \quad H_{2}=b P+c Q, \quad H_{n}=b H_{n-1}+c H_{n-2} \quad(n>2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its periodic length reduced modulo $p$, i.e., the periodic length of the recurring series

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(\bmod p), \quad n=1,2, \cdots, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be represented by $k(H, p)$. Clearly for $P=1, Q=0$ the periodic length of the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=1, \quad U_{2}=b, \quad U_{n}=b U_{n-1}+c U_{n-2} \quad(n>2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by $k(U, p)$. We prove the following theorems.

## 2. NATURE OF $k\left(H_{s} p\right)$

The orem a. For primes whose quadratic residue is $b^{2}+4 c$, if $(b, c, P, Q)=1$, then $k(H, p, \|(p-1)$.
Proof. In the known formula,
(4) $\quad H_{n}=\left(1 r^{n}-m s^{n}\right) /(r-s), \quad(r+s=b, \quad r s=-c, \quad 1=P-s Q$ and $m=P-p Q)$, let $\left.r, s=\left(b \pm \sqrt{\left(b^{2}+4 c\right.}\right)\right) / 2$ so that it may be simplified by the use of binomial theorem to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{n} H_{n}= & \left\{b^{n}(1-m)+\binom{n}{1} b^{n-1} \sqrt{\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)}(1+m)+\binom{n}{2} b^{n-2}\left(\sqrt{\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)}\right)^{2}(1-m)\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \left.\left.+\cdots+\binom{n}{n}\left(\sqrt{\left(b^{2}+4 c\right.}\right)\right)^{n}\left(1-(-1)^{n} m\right)\right\} /\left(\sqrt{\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is easy to show for $n=p$ and $p+1$ that
(6)

$$
H_{p} \equiv P(\bmod p), \quad H_{p+1} \equiv b P+c Q(\bmod p)
$$

if $\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ and $(b, c, P, Q)=1$. Hence the desired result follows.
The orem $b$. For primes whose quadratic nonresidue is $b^{2}+4 c$, if $(b, c, P, Q)=1$, then $k(H, p) \|\left(p^{2}-1\right)$.
Proof. On using the known formula $H_{n}=P U_{n}+c Q U_{n-1},\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ and the following set of congruences, viz.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{p} \equiv-1, \quad U_{p+1} \equiv 0, \quad U_{p+2} \equiv-c  \tag{7}\\
U_{2 p+1} \equiv 1, \quad U_{2 p+2} \equiv 0, \quad U_{2 p+2} \equiv(-c)^{2} \\
\vdots \\
U_{p(p-1)+p-2} \equiv 1, \quad U_{p(p-1)+p-1} \equiv 0, \quad U_{p(p-1)+p} \equiv(-c)^{p-1},
\end{gather*}
$$

it is easy to show that
(8)

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{p+1} \equiv-c Q, \quad H_{p+2} \equiv-c P, \quad H_{p+3} \equiv-c(b P+c Q), \\
H_{2 p+2} \equiv c Q, \quad H_{2 p+3} \equiv(-c)^{2} P, \quad H_{2 p+4} \equiv(-c)^{2} b P+c(c Q), \\
\vdots \\
H_{p(p-1)+p-1} \equiv c Q, \quad H_{p(p-1)+p} \equiv(-c)^{p-1} P, \quad H_{p^{2}+1} \equiv(-c)^{p-1} b P+c(c Q), \\
H_{p(p+1)} \equiv-c Q, \quad H_{p(p+1)+1} \equiv(-c)^{p} P, \quad H_{p(p+1)+2} \equiv(-c)^{p} b P+c(-c Q) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly $(-c)^{p} \equiv-c(\bmod p)$ and $(8)$ shows that $k(H, p) 川\left(p^{2}-1\right)$.
Theorem $c$. Forprimes of the form $2 g(2 t+1)+1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h+2 g+1 \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 10)$, if
$U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}+1+c U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $c^{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}+1+c U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}-1)$, then $k(H, p)=(p-1) / g$.
Proof. From the well known formulas,
(9) $U_{2 n+1}=U_{n+1}\left(U_{n+1}+c U_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^{n-1} c^{n}, \quad U_{2 n}=U_{n}\left(U_{n+1}+c U_{n-1}\right)$ and $H_{n}=P U_{n}+c Q U_{n-1}$,
let us set
(10)

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{(p-1) / g \equiv 0(\bmod U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}+1+c U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}-1),} \\
U_{(p-1) / g+1} \equiv(-1)\{(p-1) / 2 g\}-1 c^{(p-1) / 2 g\left(\bmod U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}+1+c U_{\{(p-1) / 2 g\}-1)}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is then easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(p-1) / g} \equiv 0(\bmod p), \quad U_{\{(p-1) / g\}+1} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

when it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(p-1) / g} \equiv Q(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad H\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv P(\bmod p) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $k(H, p)=(p-1) / g$.
The orem d. For primes of the form $4 g t+1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h+1 \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 10)$, if

$$
U_{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(-c)^{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p),
$$

then $k(H, p)=(p-1) / g$.
Proof. From the known formulas,
(13) $U_{2 n}=U_{n}\left(U_{n+1}+c U_{n-1}\right), U_{2 n+1}=U_{n+1}\left(U_{n+1}+c U_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^{n-1} \cdot c^{n}$ and $U_{n}^{2}-U_{n+1} U_{n-1}=(-c)^{n-1}$,
it is easy to show that
(14) $\quad U_{(p-1) / g} \equiv 0\left(\bmod U_{(p-1) / 2 g}\right), \quad U\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv(-c)^{(p-1) / 2 g}\left(\bmod U_{(p-1) / 2 g}\right)$.
when it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(p-1) / g} \equiv Q(\bmod p), \quad H\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv P(\bmod p) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $k(H, p)=(p-1) / g$.
Theorem $e$. For primes of the form $2 g(2 t+2)+1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g+4 g h+1 \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 10)$, if

$$
U\{(p-1) / 2 g\}+1+c U(p-1) / 2 g-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p) \text { and }(-c)^{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p),
$$

then $k(H, p)=2(p-1) / g$.
Proof. We have from $(14), U_{(p-1) / g} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $U\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p-1) / g \equiv-Q(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad H\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv P(\bmod p) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the desired result follows.
The orem $f$. For primes of the form $2 g(2 t+1)+1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h+2 g+1 \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 10)$, if

$$
U_{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(-c)^{(p-1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p),
$$

then $k(H, p)=2(p-1) / g$.
Proof. Let us use (13) to obtain

$$
U_{(p-1) / g} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad U\{(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv-1(\bmod p)
$$

Then it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2(p-1) / g} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad U\{2(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv(\bmod p) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

when we get
(18)

$$
H_{2(p-1) / g} \equiv Q(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad H\{2(p-1) / g\}+1 \equiv P(\bmod p)
$$

and the desired result follows.
Analogously, we state the following theorems.
Theorem $g$. For primes of the form $2 g(2 t+1)-1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h+2 g-1 \equiv \pm 3(\bmod$ 10 ), if

$$
U\{(p+1) / 2 g\}+1+c U\{(p+1) / 2 g\}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad c^{(p+1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p),
$$

then $k(H, p)=(p+1) / g$.
Theorem $h$. For primes of the form $4 g t-1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h-1 \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 10)$, if

$$
U_{(p+1) / 2 g} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(-c)^{(p+1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \text {, }
$$

then $k(H, p)=(p+1) / g$.
The orem $i$. For primes of the form $2 g(2 t+2)-1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g+4 g h-1 \equiv \pm 3(\bmod$ p), if

$$
U\{(p+1) / 2 g\}-1+c U\{(p+1) / 2 g\}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(-c)^{(p+1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
$$

then $k(H, p)=2(p+1) / g$.
Theorem $j$. For primes of the form $2 g(2 t+1)-1$, where $t \equiv h(\bmod 10)$ and $4 g h+2 g-1 \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 10)$, if

$$
H(p+1) / 2 g \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(-c)^{(p+1) / 2 g} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \text {, }
$$

then $k(H, p)=2(p+1) / g$.
The proofs for Theorems g -j are left to the reader.
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Therefore,
(7)

$$
F(0,1)=[1,1,1, \cdots]=\frac{1+\sqrt{4+1}}{2}
$$

or
(8)

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{\alpha-1}(2 a)}{I_{\alpha}(2 a)}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}=\phi \text { (the "golden" ratio). }
$$

Expressing $\phi$ in this manner as the limit of a ratio of modified Bessel Functions appears to be new [2].
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# ON A PROPERTY OF CONSECUTIVE FAREY-FIBONACCI FRACTIONS 

KRISHNASWAMI ALLADI<br>The University of California, Los Angeles, California<br>and<br>A. G. SHANNON

The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Broadway, Australia

Krishnaswami Alladi [1] defined the Farey sequence of Fibonacci numbers of order $F_{n}$ (where $F_{n}$ is the $n{ }^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number) as the set of all possible fractions $F_{i} / F_{j}, i=0,1, \cdots, n-1 ; j=1,2, \cdots, n ;(i<j)$ arranged in ascending order of magnitude, with the last item $1\left(=F_{1} / F_{2}\right)$ and the first term $0\left(=F_{0} / F_{n-1}\right)$.
Now, the necessary and sufficient condition that the fractions $h / k, h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$, of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$, the $n^{\text {th }}$ ordinary Farey section, be consecutive is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}\right|=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h+h^{\prime}\right) /\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not in $F_{n}$.
All terms in $F_{n+1}$ which are not in $F_{n}$ are of the form $\left(h+h^{\prime}\right) /\left(k+k^{\prime}\right)$, where $h / k$ and $h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$ are consecutive terms of $F_{n}$. (Proofs of these results are given in Hardy and Wright [3].)
The usefulness of this result in the description of continued fractions in terms of Farey sections (Mack [5]) is an incentive to determine its Fibonacci analogue. (Also relevant are Alladi [2] and Mack [4] .)
In the notation of Alladi where $f \cdot f_{n}$ denotes a Farey sequence of order $F_{n}$, the analogue of (2) above is:
All terms of $f \cdot f_{n+1}$ which are not already in $f \cdot f_{n}$ are of the form $\left(F_{j}+F_{j}\right) /\left(F_{k}+F_{k+1}\right)$ where $F_{i} / F_{k}$ and $F_{j} / F_{k+1}$ are consecutive terms of $f \cdot f_{n}$ (with the exception of the first term which equals $0 / F_{n}$ ).
The result follows from Alladi's definition of "generating fractions" and it can be illustrated by
$f \cdot f_{5}: \quad 0 / 3,1 / 5,1 / 3,2 / 5,1 / 2,3 / 5,2 / 3,1 / 1$
and

$$
f \cdot f_{6}: \quad 0 / 5, \quad 1 / 8, \quad 1 / 5, \quad 2 / 8, \quad 1 / 3, \quad 3 / 8, \quad 2 / 5, \quad 1 / 2,3 / 3, \quad 5 / 8, \quad 2 / 3, \quad 1 / 1 ;
$$

the terms of $f \cdot f_{6}$ which are not in $f \cdot f_{5}$ are

$$
\frac{0}{5}, \frac{1}{8}=\frac{0+1}{3+5}, \quad \frac{2}{8}=\frac{1+1}{3+5}, \quad \frac{3}{8}=\frac{1+2}{3+5}, \quad \frac{5}{8}=\frac{3+2}{5+3} .
$$

It is of interest to consider the analogue of $(1)$ and here we have a result similar to Theorem 2.3 of Alladi [1]. Our problem is the following:
If $f(r)_{n}=h / k$, and $f_{(r+1) n}=h / k$ then to find $k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}$ purely in terms of $r$ and $n$. We have the following theorem to this effect.

Theorem: Let $f_{(r)_{n}}=h / k$ and $f_{(r+1)_{n}}=h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$. Then

$$
k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}= \begin{cases}F_{n-1} & \text { for } r=1 \\ F_{n-m} & \text { for } 1<r \leqslant\left(n^{2}-7 n+14\right) / 2 \\ 1 & \text { for } r>\left(n^{2}-7 n+14\right) / 2\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
m=2+[(\sqrt{8 r-15}-1) / 2]
$$

in which [•] is the greatest integer function.

Proo $f$. The theorem follows if we combine Theorems 2.3 and 3.1a of Alladi [1]. By Theorem 2.3, if $h / k$ and $h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$ are consecutive in $f \cdot f_{n}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F_{i}} \leqslant \frac{h}{k}<\frac{h^{\prime}}{k^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{1}{F_{i-1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
h^{\prime}-h^{\prime}=F_{i-2} .
$$

So we first need to find the position of $1 / F_{i}$ in $f \cdot f_{n}$. By Theorem 3.1a, if $f_{(r) n}=1 / F_{n-m}$ then
(5)

$$
r=2+\{1+2+3+\cdots+\}
$$

So by (3) and (4) if $f(r) n=h / k$, and $f(r+1)=h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$ then

$$
k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}=F_{n-m}
$$

if and only if
(6)

$$
\frac{1}{F_{n-m+2}} \leqslant f_{(r)_{n}} \leqslant f_{(r+1) n} \leqslant \frac{1}{F_{n-m+1}}
$$

Now (6) and (5) combine to give
(7) $2+\{1+2+\cdots+m-2\}=\frac{m^{2}-3 m+6}{2} \leqslant r<r+1 \leqslant 2+\{1+2+\cdots+m-1\}=\frac{m^{2}-m+4}{2}$

Now the first inequality of (7) is essentially
(8)

$$
m^{2}-3 m+6 \leqslant 2 r \Leftrightarrow\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{15}{4} \leqslant 2 r \Leftrightarrow(2 m-3)^{2}+15 \leqslant 8 r
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow m \leqslant 2+\frac{\sqrt{8 r-15}-1}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{8 r-15}+3}{2} .
$$

Similarly the second inequality in (7) may be expressed as
(9)

$$
r+1 \leqslant \frac{m^{2}-m+4}{2} \Leftrightarrow r \leqslant \frac{m^{2}-m+2}{2} \Leftrightarrow 2 r \leqslant(m-1 / 2)^{2}+\frac{7}{4}
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow 8 r \leqslant(2 m-1)^{2}+7 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{8 r-7}+1}{2} \leqslant m .
$$

Now consider for $r \geqslant 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\sqrt{8 r-15}+3}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{8 r-7}+1}{2}=\frac{2+\sqrt{8 r-15}-\sqrt{8 r-1}}{2}<1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (10), (9) and (8) together imply

$$
m=\left[\frac{\sqrt{8 r-15}+3}{2}\right]=2+\left[\frac{\sqrt{8 r-15}-1}{2}\right]
$$

and that proves the theorem for $r \geq 2$. For $r=1$, the first statement is trivially true.
Since it is of interest if $k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}=1$, let us determine when this occurs. This will happen if and only if (by (6) and (4))
(11)

$$
\frac{1}{F_{4}} \leqslant f(r)_{n}
$$

By (5) and (11) we have

$$
r \geqslant 2+\{1+2+\cdots+n-4\}=\frac{n^{2}-7 n+16}{2}
$$

which is for

$$
r>\frac{n^{2}-7 n+14}{2}
$$

and that completes the proof.
REMARK. Note, in our theorem, if $f(r)_{n}=h / k$, and $f(r+1)_{n}=h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$, we need not know the values of $h / k$, and $h^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$ to determine $k h^{\prime}-h k^{\prime}$. This is determined purely in terms of $r$ and $n$.

## REFERENCES

1. Krishnaswami Alladi, "A Farey Sequence of Fibonacci numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 13, No. 1, (Feb. 1975), pp. 1-10.
2. Krishnaswami Alladi, "Approximation of Irrationals with Farey Fibonacci Fractions," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 13, No. 3 (Oct. 1975), pp. 255-259.
3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965, Ch. III.
4. J.M. Mack, "A Note on Simultaneous Approximation," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol. 3 (1970), pp. 81-83.
5. J.M. Mack, "On the Continued Fraction Algorithm," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol. 3 (1970), pp. 413-422.

## *

## SUMS OF PRODUCTS INVOLVING FIBONACCI SEQUENCES

DORON ZEILBERGER
The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
dedicated to jane legrange

Definition. $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ is Fibonacci if $H_{n}=H_{n-1}+H_{n-2}, n>1$. Every Fibonacci sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ can be written as $H_{n}=A a^{n}+B \beta^{n}$, where $a, \beta$ are the roots of $x^{2}-x-1=0$. Thus
Theorem.

$$
\sum_{i, j=0}^{n} a_{i j} H_{i} K_{j}=0
$$

for any two Fibonacci sequences if and only if

$$
P(z, w)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{n} a_{i j} z^{i} w^{j}
$$

vanishes on $\{(a, a),(a, \beta),(\beta, a),(\beta, \beta)\}$.
Example. (Berzsenyi [1]): If $n$ is even, prove that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k} K_{k+2 m+1}=H_{m+n+1} K_{m+n+1}-H_{m+1} K_{m+1}+H_{0} K_{2 m+1}
$$

The corresponding $P(z, w)$ is easily seen to satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem (using $a \beta=-1, a^{2}-a-1=0$ ).
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# convergent generalized fibonacci sequences 

WALTER GERDES<br>Universität Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider sequences of numbers defined by the recursion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+2}=a a_{n+1}+\beta a_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with real parameters $a, \beta$ and arbitrary real numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}$. The sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ will be called generalized Fibonacci sequence and its elements $a_{n}$ the $n^{\text {th }}$ generalized Fibonacci number. Sequences like these have been introduced previously by, for example, Bessel-Hagen [1] and Tagiuri [4]. Special cases of (1) are known as the classical Fibonacci sequence with $a=\beta=1, a_{1}=a_{2}=1$, the Lucas sequence with $a=\beta=1, a_{1}=1, a_{2}=3$, the Pell sequence with $a=2, \beta=1, a_{1}=1, a_{2}=2$ and the Fermat sequences with $a=3, \beta=-2, a_{1}=1, a_{2}=3$ or $a_{1}=2, a_{2}=3$. Basic properties of the generalized Fibonacci sequences have been given by A. F. Horadam [3] . In this paper we consider generalized Fibonacci sequences from an analytic point of view. We start with a real representation of the generalized formula of Binet in the second section. In the third section we repeat and complete some properties of finite sums of generalized Fibonacci numbers [3]. With these preparations we are able to characterize convergent generalized Fibonacci sequences in the fourth section and finally in the fifth section we give some limits of Fibonacci series.

## 2. BINET'S FORMULA

For the generalized Fibonacci numbers defined by (1) the (generalized) formula of Binet holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{a_{2}-a_{1} q_{2}}{q_{1}-q_{2}} q_{1}^{n-1}+\frac{a_{1} q_{2}-a_{2}}{q_{1}-q_{2}} q_{2}^{n-1}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q_{1}, q_{2}$ defined by

$$
q_{1}=\frac{a}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta}, \quad q_{2}=\frac{a}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta} .
$$

The proof of (2) can be given by induction.
Theorem 1. Binet's formula (2) has the following real representations
(3a)
(3c)

$$
a_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{a_{2}-a_{1} q_{2}}{q_{1}-q_{2}} q_{1}^{n-1}+\frac{a_{1} q_{1}-a_{2}}{q_{1}-q_{2}} q_{2}^{n-1},  \tag{3b}\\
\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{n-2}\left[(n-1) a_{2}-\frac{a^{2}}{2}(n-2) a_{1}\right], \\
\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta=0, \\
\frac{r^{n-2}}{\sin \phi}\left[a_{2} \sin (n-1) \phi-a_{1} r \sin (n-2) \phi\right], \quad \frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta<0, \\
r: \sqrt{-\beta}>0, \quad 0<\phi:=2 \arctan \frac{\sqrt{-\beta}-(a / 2)}{\sqrt{-\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta}}<\pi
\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots,
$$

with $q_{1}, q_{2}$ defined as in (2).
Proof. Setting $R:=\left(a^{2} / 4\right)+\beta$ the case $R>0$ follows immediately from (2). If $R<0$, then $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are the conjugate complex numbers

$$
q_{1 / 2}=\frac{a}{2} \pm i \sqrt{-R}
$$

or in polar form $q_{1 / 2}=r e^{ \pm i \phi}$ with $r=\sqrt{-\bar{\beta}}>0$ and

$$
\tan \frac{\phi}{2}=\frac{1-\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}=\frac{\sqrt{-\beta}-\frac{a}{2}}{\sqrt{-R}} \quad \text { or } \quad 0<\phi=2 \arctan \frac{\sqrt{-\beta}-\frac{a}{2}}{\sqrt{-R}}<\pi \text {, }
$$

respectively. Further rewriting (2) with $q_{2}=\bar{q}_{1}$

$$
a_{n}=a_{1} q_{1} \bar{q}_{1} \frac{\bar{q}_{1}^{n-2}-q_{1}^{n-2}}{q_{1}-\bar{q}_{1}}+a_{2} \frac{q_{1}^{n-1}-\bar{q}_{1}^{n-1}}{q_{1}-\bar{q}_{1}}
$$

employing the polar form mentioned above and using

$$
\frac{q_{1}^{m}-\bar{q}_{1}^{m}}{q_{1}-\bar{q}_{1}}=r^{m-1} \frac{\sin m \phi}{\sin \phi}, \quad m=1,2, \cdots,
$$

we conclude statement (3c). (3b) follows from (3c) as limit for $\phi \rightarrow 0$. From (3a) and (3b) we get two special cases, which will be useful in the following discussion.
First let $a+\beta=1$. Then

$$
a_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a_{2}-a_{1}}{a-2}(a-1)^{n-1}+\frac{a_{1}(a-1)-a_{2}}{a-2}, & a \neq 2,  \tag{4}\\
(n-1) a_{2}-(n-2) a_{1}, \quad a=2,
\end{array}\right\} \quad n=1,2, \cdots .
$$

Let be $\beta-a=1$. Then

$$
a_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{a_{2}+a_{1}}{a+2}(a+1)^{n-1}+\frac{a_{1}(a+1)-a_{2}}{a+2}(-1)^{n-1}, \quad a \neq-2,  \tag{5}\\
(-1)^{n}\left[(n-1) a_{2}+(n-2) a_{1}\right], \quad a=-2,
\end{array}\right\} \quad n=1,2, \cdots .
$$

3. SUMS OF GENERALIZED FIBONACCI NUMBERS

In this chapter we consider some simple properties of finite sums of generalized Fibonacci numbers.
Property 1. The sum of the first $n$ generalized Fibonacci numbers is given by
(6a)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu} \frac{1}{a+\beta-1}\left[a_{n+1}+\beta a_{n}-a_{2}-(1-a) a_{1}\right], \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

if $a+\beta \neq 1$ and by
(6b)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
n \frac{a_{1}(a-1)-a_{2}}{a-2}+\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{(a-2)^{2}}\left[1-(a-1)^{n}\right], & a \neq 2 \\
\frac{n}{2}\left[n\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+3 a_{1}-a_{2}\right], & a=2
\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots
$$

if $a+\beta=1$.
Repeated use of the recursion formula yields statement (6a).
If $a+\beta=1, a \neq 2$, we get the first part of (6b) from (4) using the formula of the finite geometric series. The second part in (6b) follows immediately from (3b) with $a=2$. Since the following properties can be shown in a similar way, we omit their proofs.
Property 2. The sum of generalized Fibonacci numbers with odd suffixes is given by
(7a)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu-1}=a_{1}+\frac{1}{a^{2}-(\beta-1)^{2}}\left[a_{2 n}+\beta(1-\beta) a_{2 n-1}-a_{2}-\beta(1-\beta) a_{1}\right]
$$

$n=1,2, \cdots$, if $a+\beta \neq 1, \beta-a \neq 1$, and by
(7b) $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n \frac{a_{2}+a_{1}(1-a)}{2-a}+\frac{a a_{1}-a_{2}}{a(2-a)^{2}}\left[1-(a-1)^{2 n}\right], & a \neq 2, \\ n\left[(n-1) a_{2}-(n-2) a_{1}\right], & a=2,\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots$,
if $a+\beta=1$ and by
(7c) $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}n \frac{a_{1}(1+a)-a_{2}}{2+a}-\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}}{a(2+a)^{2}}\left[1-(a+1)^{2 n}\right], \quad a \neq-2, \\ -n\left[(n-1) a_{2}+(n-2) a_{1}\right], \quad a=-2,\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots$, if $\beta-a=1$.
Property 3. The sum of generalized Fibonacci numbers with even suffixes is given by
(8a) $\quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu}=\frac{1}{a^{2}-(\beta-1)^{2}}\left[a a_{2 n+1}+\beta(1-\beta) a_{2 n}+(\beta-1) a_{2}-a \beta a_{1}\right], \quad n=1,2, \cdots$,
if $a+\beta \neq 1, \beta-a \neq 1$, and by
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (8b) } \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n \frac{a_{2}-a_{1}(a-1)}{2-a}+\frac{(a-1)\left(a a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}{a(2-a)^{2}}\left[1-(a-1)^{2 n}\right], & a \neq 2, \\ n\left[n a_{2}-(n-1) a_{1}\right], \quad a=2,\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots, \text {, } \quad \text { if } a+\beta=1 \text { and by }\end{array}\right\}$
(8c) $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n \frac{a_{2}-a_{1}(1+a)}{2+a}-\frac{(1+a)\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right)}{a(2+a)^{2}}\left[1-(1+a)^{2 n}\right], & a \neq-2, \\ n\left[n a_{2}+(n-1) a_{1}\right], \quad a=-2,\end{array}\right\} n=1,2, \cdots$, if $\beta-a=1$.
Property 4. The sum of generalized Fibonacci numbers with alternating signs is given by
(9a)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}(-1)^{\nu-1} a_{\nu}=\frac{1}{a-\beta+1}\left[(-1)^{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}-\beta a_{n}\right)-2+(a+1) a_{1}\right]
$$

$n=1,2, \cdots$, if $\beta-a \neq 1$ and by
(9b) $\quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n}(-1)^{\nu-1} a_{\nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}n \frac{a_{1}(1+a)-a_{2}}{2+a}+\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}}{(2+a)^{2}}\left[1+(-1)^{n-1}(a+1)^{n}\right], & a \neq-2, \\ -\frac{n}{2}\left[(n-1) a_{2}+(n-3) a_{1}\right], \quad a=-2,\end{array}\right\}$
$n=1,2, \cdots$, if $\beta-a=1$.
We terminate this section with one nonlinear property.
Property 5. The sum of squares of the generalized Fibonacci numbers is given by
(10)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}=\frac{1}{1+\beta}\left[a_{1} \sigma_{n}+\left(a_{2}-a a_{1}\right) \tau_{n-1}+\beta a_{n}^{2}\right], \quad \beta \neq-1, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

with $\sigma_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$ defined by

$$
\sigma_{n}:=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 v-1}, \quad \tau_{n}:=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{2 v}
$$

The explicit form of (10) may be found with the formulas (7) and (8).

## 4. CONVERGENT FIBONACCI SEQUENCES

Using Binet's formula (2) we are able to characterize the convergent Fibonacci sequences.
Theorem 2. Generalized Fibonacci sequences are convergent if and only if the parameters $a, \beta$ are points of the region (see Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D:=\left\{(a, \beta) \in R^{2} \mid a+\beta \leqslant 1, \quad \beta-a<1, \quad \beta>-1\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the interior $\underline{D}$ of the region $D$ the generalized Fibonacci sequences converge to zero. On the bounday

$$
a+\beta=1, \quad 0<a<2, \quad-1<\beta<1,
$$

the limit $a$ of the generalized Fibonacci sequences is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\frac{a_{2}+a_{1} \beta}{1+\beta} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. With the representations (3a)-(3c) for Binet's formula we conclude the following necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of the generalized Fib onacci sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leqslant 1, \frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta>0, \text { from (3a), } \\
& \left|\frac{a}{2}\right|<1, \frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta=0, \text { from (3b) } \\
& r=\sqrt{-\beta}<1, \frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta<0, \text { from (3c). }
\end{aligned}
$$

This means in detail in (3a)

$$
-1<\frac{a}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta} \leqslant 1
$$

which leads together with $\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta>0$ to $a+\beta \leqslant 1, a<2$, and in an analogous way from

$$
-1<\frac{a}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\beta} \leqslant 1
$$

to $\beta-a<1, a>-2$, by (3b) we have $a^{2}=-4 \beta,-2<a<2$, and from (3c) $a^{2}<-4 \beta, \beta>-1$. All these conditions yield the required convergence domain $D$ for the parameters $a, \beta$. In $D$ it follows from

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{1 / 2}^{n}=0, \quad\left|q_{1 / 2}\right|<1, \quad \text { from } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{n}=0, \quad\left|\frac{a}{2}\right|<1
$$

and from

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{n}=0, \quad 0<r<1
$$

that all limits vanish. On the boundary of $D_{\mu} a+\beta=1,|a|<2$, we get from (4) for $n \rightarrow \infty$ the required result ${ }^{1}$

$$
a:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\frac{a_{1}(a-1)-a_{2}}{a-2}=\frac{a_{2}+\beta a_{1}}{1+\beta} .
$$

## 5. FIBONACCI SERIES

Finally we will consider some Fibonacci series, which are defined as convergent series with generalized Fibonacci numbers as terms. Since terms of convergent series necessarily converge to zero, we have to choose the parameters $a, \beta$ from the interior $\underline{D}$ of the convergence domain $D(11)$. Tending $n$ to infinity and using Theorem 2 we get the following limits from the properties $1-5$ :
(13)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\nu}=\frac{a_{2}+(1-a)_{a_{1}}}{1-a-\beta}, \quad(a, \beta) \in \underline{D}
$$

${ }^{1}$ In [2] a special case of this general result is mentioned with $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2, a=\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}\right) / 3$. This result is obtained by the only use of the recurrence relation (1).


Fig. 1 Region $D$ of Convergence of Generalized Fibonacci Sequences

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{2 \nu-1}=\frac{\left(a^{2}+\beta-1\right) a_{1}-a_{a_{2}}}{a^{2}-(\beta-1)^{2}}, \quad(a, \beta) \in \underline{D},  \tag{14}\\
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{2 \nu}=\frac{(\beta-1) a_{2}-a \beta a_{1}}{a^{2}-(\beta-1)^{2}}, \quad(a, \beta) \in \underline{D},  \tag{15}\\
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{\nu-1} a_{\nu}=\frac{(a+1) a_{1}-a_{2}}{a-\beta+1}, \quad(a, \beta) \in \underline{D},  \tag{16}\\
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\nu}^{2}=\frac{a_{2}^{2}(\beta-1)-2 a \beta a_{1} a_{2}+\left[a^{2}(1+\beta)+\beta-1\right) a_{1}^{2}}{(1+\beta)\left[a^{2}-(\beta-1)^{2}\right]}, \quad(a, \beta) \in \underline{D} . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Naturally this list can be extended to other, e.g., cubic or binomial, sums using Theorem 2.
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The orem. Consider the following three statements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(x) t^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
\ln \psi(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n}(x) t^{n}}{n}
$$

(3)

$$
n \phi_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}(x) \phi_{n-k}(x)
$$

Any two of these statements imply the third.
Proof. For convenience in sum manipulation, let us define $A_{O}=1$ so that (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) \phi_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(x) \phi_{n-k}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also normalize the $\phi_{n}(x)$ so that $\phi_{0}(x)=1$.
Now assume that (1) and (4) are true; then from (4) we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \phi_{n} t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k} \phi_{n-k} t^{n}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} \phi_{n} t^{n+k} .
$$

Hence by (1)

$$
\frac{d}{d t}[t \psi(x, t)]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k},
$$

or

$$
\frac{d}{d t}[t \psi(x, t)]=\psi(x, t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\frac{d}{d t}[t \psi(x, t)]}{t \psi(x, t)}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k-1}
$$

or, by integration,

$$
\ln [t \psi(x, t)]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k} t^{k}}{k}+\ln t+K(x)
$$

Hence

$$
\ln \psi(x, t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k} t^{k}}{k}+K(x)
$$

We may assume $K(x)=0$ since we assume the $\phi_{k}(x)$ do not all have a common factor.

$$
\therefore \ln \psi(x, t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k} t^{k}}{k},
$$

which is statement (2).
If we assume (2) and (4) are true, then we have from (4)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \phi_{n} t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k} \phi_{n-k} t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k},
$$

or

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n}\right]=t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k-1}
$$

Divide and integrate, and we obtain

$$
\ln \left[t \sum_{n=0} \phi_{n} t^{n}\right]=\sum_{k=1} \frac{A_{k} t^{k}}{k}+\ln t+\ln K(x) .
$$

Therefore, using (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(x) t^{n}=K(x) \psi(x, t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), In $\psi(x, 0)=0$, so that $\psi(x, 0)=1$. Let $t \rightarrow 0$ in (5) and we get $\phi_{0}(x)=K(x)$, so $K(x)=1$ since $\phi_{0}(x)=1$. Hence

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n}=\psi(x, t)
$$

which is statement (1).
If we assume (1) and (2) are true, we get

$$
\ln [t \psi(x, t)]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k} t^{k}}{k}+A_{0} \ln t
$$

by adding $\operatorname{In} t$ to both sides of (2) and remembering that $A_{O}=1$. Replacing $\psi(x, t)$ by its sum given in (1) and differentiating with respect to $t$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\frac{d}{d t}}{d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n+1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k-1}+\frac{A_{0}}{t} \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \phi_{n} t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} t^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} t^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \phi_{n-k} A_{k} t^{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Equating coefficients of $t^{n}$,

$$
(n+1) \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \phi_{n-k} A_{k}
$$

which is (4).

By rewording the previous theorem, we obtain this rendition:
If $\psi=\Sigma \phi_{n} t^{n}$, so that $t \psi=\Sigma \phi_{n} \mathrm{t}^{n+1}$, then

$$
e^{t \psi}=\sum \Theta_{n} t^{n}, \quad \text { where } n \Theta_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \phi_{k-1} \phi_{n-k}
$$

This naturally leads to all manner of strange generating functions. Omitting the trivial intervening steps, we list a small sample and note it is mildly surprising that the left-hand side should generate such a nice set of coefficients.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \{t\} \exp \{x t\} \exp \left\{J_{0}\left(t \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)\right\}=\sum \phi_{n} t^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
n \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\overline{(k-1)!}) P_{k-1} \phi_{n-k}
$$

$$
\exp \left\{t\left(1-2 x t+t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\}=\sum \phi_{n} t^{n}
$$

31
where

$$
n \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k P_{k-1} \phi_{n-k}
$$

$$
\exp \left\{t(1-t)^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right\} \exp \left\{2^{F_{1}}\left[\frac{1+a+\beta}{2}, 1+\frac{a+\beta}{2} ; 1+a ; \frac{2 t(x-1)}{(1-t)^{2}}\right]\right\}=\sum \phi_{n} t^{n}
$$

where

$$
n \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k(a+\beta+1)_{k-1}}{(1+a)_{k-1}} p_{k-1}^{\alpha, \beta} \phi_{n-k}
$$

4

$$
\exp \left\{t^{2}\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{-1}\right\}=\sum \phi_{n} t^{n}
$$

where

$$
n \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{k}{(k-1)!}\right) B_{k-1} \phi_{n-k}
$$

In these equations, $P_{n}$ and $P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ are the Legendre and Jacobi polynomials, respectively, and $B_{n}$ are the Bernoulli numbers. The $\phi_{n}$ are polynomials of degree $n$ except in 4|.
The class of integrals easily obtained from these generating functions should delight any collector of the esoteric.
We close with two direct applications of the Theorem. Both are known, but the derivation is quite simplified.
5) Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-t)^{-1-\alpha} \exp \left(\frac{-x t}{1-t}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\alpha} t^{n} \\
-(1+a) \ln (1-t)-\frac{x t}{1-t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1+a-x(n+1)}{n+1}\right] t^{n+1} \\
n L_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(1+a-k x) L_{n-k}^{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $L_{n}^{\alpha}$ are the Laguerre polynomials.
6) Since $\left(1-2 t \cos x+t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}(\cos x) t^{n}$ and $-1 / 2 \ln \left(1-2 t \cos x+t^{2}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{r} \cos r x}{r}$,
then

$$
(n+1) P_{n}(\cos x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \cos k x P_{n-k}(\cos x)
$$
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The purpose of this note is to state and prove a result in analytic number theory that seems largely to have been overlooked. The usefulness of this result is illustrated by applying it to obtain an extremely simple proof of an estimate for a certain set of integers.

Let the letter $p$ be used to denote primes.
Theorem 1. If $f$ is multiplicative, then a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)
$$

converge absolutely is that

$$
\prod_{p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f\left(p^{n}\right)\right|
$$

converge. Furthermore, in the case of convergence,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)=\prod_{p}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f\left(p^{n}\right)\right)
$$

Before we prove the theorem a few comments seem to be in order. The necessity is proved by Hardy and Wright [7, Theorem 286]. However, Hardy and Wright do not prove or even state the sufficiency condition above. Both necessary and sufficient conditions are stated by Ayoub [1, Theorem 1.5], but his statement of the sufficiency condition is careless and the proof given is not adequate.
Proof of Sufficiency. Let the increasing sequence of positive primes be denoted $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots$ and let $t$ be a fixed integer. Then the general term in the product

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|f\left(p_{i}^{k}\right)\right|\right)
$$

is of the form

$$
\left|f\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) \| f\left(p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right| \cdots\left|f\left(p_{t}^{\alpha t}\right)\right|=\left|f\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{t}^{\alpha t}\right)\right|
$$

where

$$
a_{i} \geqslant 0 \quad(1 \leqslant i \leqslant t)
$$

The last equality is true because $f$ is multiplicative. An integer $n$ will appear in this product (as argument of $f$ ) if and only if it has no prime factors other than $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{t}$. By the unique factorization theorem it will then appear only once. Thus

$$
\prod_{i \leqslant t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|f\left(p_{i}^{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{(t)}|f(n)|
$$

where the last summation is over all integers $n$ whose only prime factors are in the set $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{t}$. Thus

$$
\prod_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|f\left(p^{k}\right)\right|=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i \leqslant t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|f\left(p_{i}^{k}\right)\right|=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(t)}|f(n)|
$$

Now

$$
A_{t} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{p_{t}}|f(n)| \leqslant \sum_{(t)}|f(n)| \equiv B_{t} .
$$

since the summation on the right includes at least those on the left. Since $\left\{B_{t}\right\}$ converges, it is bounded, and therefore $\left\{A_{t}\right\}$ is a bounded, non-decreasing sequence. The fundamental theorem on monotone sequences applies and hence $\left\{A_{t}\right\}$ converges. But $\left\{A_{t}\right\}$ is a subsequence of the partial sums $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|f(n)|
$$

It follows that $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ converges and the proof is complete.
Before we obtain the asymptotic result mentioned above we need the following definition. Let $L$ represent the set of positive integers $n$ with the property that $p$ divides $n$ implies that $p^{2}$ divides $n$. An integer in $L$ is called a square-full integer. The characteristic function of $L$ will be denoted by $1(n)$ and the summatory function of $1(n)$ will be denoted $L(x)$, so that

$$
L(x)=\sum_{n \leqslant x} 1(n) .
$$

The proof of our result depends upon a famous theorem on series due to Kronecker (cf. [9, p. 129]). We give it in arithmetical form.

Lemma 1. If $f$ is an arithmetical function and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) / n
$$

is a convergent series, then $f$ has mean value 0 , that is,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n)=0
$$

We now prove that $L$ has density 0 .
Theorem 2. The set $L$ has density 0 ; that is,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x)}{x}=0
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 we need only show that $\Sigma 1(n) / n$ converges. But by Theorem 1 and the multiplicativity of 1 (n), it suffices to show that

$$
\prod_{p}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1\left(p^{n}\right)}{p^{n}}\right)
$$

is convergent. By definition of $1(n)$

$$
\prod_{p}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cdot \frac{1\left(p^{n}\right)}{p^{n}}\right)=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1(p)}{p}+\frac{1\left(p^{2}\right)}{p^{2}}+\cdots\right)=\prod_{p}\left(1+1 / p^{2}+1 / p^{3}+\cdots\right)=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)
$$

which is convergent.
Earlier proofs of this result were given by Feller and Tournier [6, §9] and Schoenberg [10, §12]. In addition Erdos and Szekeres [5], Hornfack [8], and Cohen [2], [3] have considered generalizations of the above problem. For a discussion of previous results including refinements of Theorem 2, see [3] and [4].
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## ADDITIVE PARTITIONS I

## V. E. HOGGATT, JR.

San Jose State University, San Jose, California 95192

David Silverman in July 1976 found the following property of the Fibonacci Numbers. This Theorem I was subsequently proved by Ron Evans, Harry L. Nelson, David Silverman, and Krishnaswami Alladi with myself, all independently.
Theorem I. The Fibonacci Numbers uniquely split the positive integers, $N$, into two sets $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0} \cup A_{1}=N \\
& A_{0} \cap A_{1}=\phi
\end{aligned}
$$

and so that no two members of $A_{O}$ nor two members of $A_{1}$ add up to a Fibonacci number.
Theorem. (Hoggatt) Every positive integer $n \neq F_{k}$ is the sum of two members of $A_{o}$ or the sum of two members of $A_{1}$.
Theorem. (Hoggatt) Using the basic ideas above the Fibonacci Numbers uniquely split the Fibonacci Numbers, the Lucas Numbers uniquely split the Lucas Numbers and uniquely split the Fibonacci Numbers, and $\{5 F\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ uniquely splits the Lucas Sequence.

# PROOF OF A SPECIAL CASE OF DIRICHLET'S THEOREM 

## BARRY POWELL

## 195 Lake Ave. West, Kirkland, Washington 98033

For any prime $p$ I give a simple proof that there are infinitely many primes $q \equiv-1 \bmod p$, a special case of Dirichlet's Theorem that if g.c.d. $(a, m)=1$ there are infinitely many primes $\equiv a(\bmod m)$. The proof is of interest in that it utilizes several number-theoretic properties of the Fibonacci Numbers, which are also developed herein.
In this paper $F_{n}$ represents the Pseudo-Fibonacci Numbers, defined as $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$, and $F_{n+1}={ }_{a} F_{n}+b F_{n-1}$, where $a$ and $b$ are non-zero relatively prime integers.
$F_{n}$ may then be written non-recursively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{\left(\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{a-\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}{2}\right)^{n}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+4 \bar{b}}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a derivation of this result see Niven and Zuckerman [1].
We will need the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. For any positive integer $r$ that divides $F_{n}$ for some $n$, let $h$ be the smallest positive integer such that $r$ divides $F_{h}$. Then $h$ is a divisor of $n$.

Lemma 2. For any positive integer $n$, g.c.d. $\left(F_{n}, b\right)=1$.
These results are noted in a paper by Hoggatt and Long [2].
Lemma 3. For any odd prime $q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{q} & \equiv\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(\bmod q)  \tag{2}\\
2 F_{q+1} & \equiv a\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}+a(\bmod q)  \tag{3}\\
2 b F_{q-1} & \equiv-a\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}+a(\bmod q) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Replacing $n$ by $q$ in (1), expanding the right-hand side by the binomial expansion, and multiplying by $2^{q-1}$ we get modulo $q$.

$$
2^{q-1} F_{q} \equiv\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}
$$

This gives (2) because $2^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q$.
Similarly, if we replace $n$ by $q+1$ in (1) and expand, noting that $\binom{q+1}{i} \equiv 0 \bmod q$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant q-1$, and then multiply by $2^{q}$, we get

$$
2^{q} F_{q+1} \equiv(q+1) a\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}+(q+1) a^{a}(\bmod q) .
$$

this reduces to (3) by use of $a^{q} \equiv a \bmod q$. Then (4) follows from (2) and (3) and the equality

$$
2 F_{q+1}=2 a F_{q}+2 b F_{q-1}
$$

Theorem (Diricblet). For any prime $p$ there exist infinitely many primes $q \equiv-1(\bmod p)$.
Proof. If $p=2$ every odd prime satisfies $q \equiv-1(\bmod 2)$. So henceforth let $p$ be a fixed odd $p$ rime. Suppose
there are only finitely many primes $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ satisfying the congruence. By Theorem 2.27, Chapter 2 of Niven and Zuckerman [3], there exist $(p-1) / 2$ positive integers $k \leqslant p-1$ satisfying $k^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Hence there also exist $(p-1) / 2$ positive integers $j \leqslant p-1$ satisfying $j^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv-1 \bmod p$. Let $\lambda$ be one of these positive integers $j$ and define the positive integers $a=2$,

$$
\theta=\lambda \prod_{j=1}^{m} q_{j}^{2}, \quad b=4 \theta-1
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+4 b=16 \theta, \quad \frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}{2}=1+2 \sqrt{\theta} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these values of $a$ and $b$ in (1) and using (2) from Lemma 3 with $q$ replaced by $p$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p} \equiv\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv(16 \theta)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 4^{p-1}\left(\Pi q_{j}\right)^{p-1} \lambda^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod p) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (1) and (5) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p}=\frac{(1+2 \sqrt{\theta})^{p}-(1-2 \sqrt{\theta})^{p}}{4 \sqrt{\theta}}, \quad F_{p} \equiv p(\bmod 4 \theta), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second result here is obtained by expanding the first result and taking every thing modulo $4 \theta$.
Now let $q$ be a prime factor of $F_{p}$. From (6) we see that $q \neq p$, and from the second part of (7) we see that $q$ is not a divisor of $4 \theta$, so $q$ is different from the primes $2, q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$.
We note that

$$
\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv(16 \theta)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv 4^{q-1}\left(\Pi q_{j}\right)^{q-1} \lambda^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv \lambda^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv \epsilon \bmod q
$$

where $\epsilon=+1$ or $\epsilon=-1$.
If $\epsilon=+1$ we use (4) from Lemma 3 to conclude that $q$ is a divisor of $2 b F_{q-1}$. But $q$ is odd and by Lemma 2 is not a divisor of $b$, since ( $\left.F_{p}, b\right)=1$ and $q$ is a divisor of $F_{p}$, and so $q$ is a divisor of $F_{q-1}$. By Lemma 1, with $n$ replaced by $q-1, h$ replaced by $p$, and $r$ by $q$, we see that $p$ is a divisor of $q-1$ and so $q \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Now if this congruence holds for every prime divisor $q$ of $F_{p}$ it would follow from the multiplication of such congruences that $F_{p} \equiv 1 \bmod p$, contrary to (6). Hence we must have $\epsilon=-1$ for at least one prime divisor $q$ of $F_{p}$.
In the case $\epsilon=-1$ we use (3) from Lemma 3 to conclude that $q$ is a divisor of $2 F_{q+1}$, and so a divisor of $F_{q+1}$. By Lemma 1 we see that $p$ is a divisor of $q+1$, so $q \equiv-1(\bmod p)$, contrary to the assumption that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are the only primes satisfying this congruence. O.E.D.

Corollary. From the same analysis used to establish the above result, with $a=2$ and $b=4 \lambda-1$ substituted into (1), $p \cdot 1$, for any prime $p$

$$
F_{p}=\frac{(1+2 \sqrt{\lambda})^{p}-(1-2 \sqrt{\lambda})^{p}}{4 \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}}
$$

is divisible by a prime $q \equiv-1 \operatorname{lnod} p)$. Since $\lambda \leqslant p-1$, a prime

$$
q \equiv-1(\bmod p)<(2 \sqrt{p-1}+1)^{p}
$$

For a proof of the existence of infinitely many primes $q \equiv-1(\bmod m)$, $(m$ any positive integer $\geqslant 2)$ using polynomial theory, see Nagell [4]. For a simple proof of the existence of infinitely many primes $q \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ see Ivan Niven and Barry Powell [5].

## ADDITIONAL RESULTS

Theorem: Consider any odd prime $p$ which dioes not divide $\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)$, where $(a, b)=1$ as in (1), $p \cdot 1$. Then $F_{p} \equiv 0 \bmod q, q$ prime, $\rightarrow q \in 1 \bmod p$ or $q \equiv-1 \bmod p$ if and only if

$$
\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \bmod q \quad \text { or } \quad\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv-1 \bmod q
$$

[Co-discovered by Professor Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., per telephone communication.]
Proof. We have, from (1), p. 1,

$$
F_{p}=\frac{\left(\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}{2}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{a-\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}{2}\right)^{p}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $2^{p-1}$ and using the binomial expansion, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{p-1} F_{p} \equiv p a^{p-1} \bmod \left(a^{2}+4 b\right) .  \tag{8}\\
& F_{p} \equiv 0 \bmod q \rightarrow q \nmid\left(a^{2}+4 b\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
q \mid\left(a^{2}+4 b\right) & \rightarrow 2^{p-1} F_{p} \equiv p a^{p-1} \bmod q \text { from (8), } \\
& \rightarrow p a^{p-1} \equiv 0 \bmod q \rightarrow q \mid p \text { or } q \mid a . \\
q \mid p \rightarrow q= & p \rightarrow F_{p} \equiv 0 \bmod p \rightarrow p \mid\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2) of Lemma 3, contradicting the assumption that $p \nmid\left(a^{2}+4 b\right) . q \nmid a$, since

$$
a=F_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod q \rightarrow 2 \mid p
$$

by Lemma 1 , and $p$ is odd.
Thus from Lemma 3, (3) and (4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and (4), } \\
& F_{q+1} \equiv 0 \bmod q \text { iff }\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv-1 \bmod q
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
2 b F_{q-1} \equiv 0 \bmod q \text { iff }\left(a^{2}+4 b\right) \equiv 1 \bmod q .
$$

$F_{p} \equiv 0 \bmod q$ and $F_{q+1} \equiv 0 \bmod q \rightarrow q \equiv-1 \bmod p$ by Lemma 1 with $h$ replaced by $p$. Since

$$
p\left|(q+1) \rightarrow F_{p}\right| F_{q+1}
$$

$p\left|(q+1) \rightarrow F_{p}\right| F_{q+1}$
Therefore $F_{q+1} \equiv 0 \bmod q$. Thus $F_{q+1} \equiv 0 \bmod q$ iff $q \equiv-1 \bmod p$. Hence $\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv-1 \bmod q$ iff $q \equiv-1$

$$
\frac{q-1}{2}
$$ $\bmod q$.

Similarly $F_{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q$ iff $q \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and hence $\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \bmod q$ iff $q \equiv 1 \bmod p$ follows from Lemma 1, Lemma 2, and the fact that $p\left|(q-1) \rightarrow F_{p}\right| F_{q-1}$.
Conjecture. For $n$ any positive integer sufficiently large, there exists at least 1 prime $q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod n$ dividing $F_{n}$.
EXAMPLES. $F_{15}$ of the Fibonacci sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
=610=61 \cdot 10 \text { and } 61 \equiv 1 \bmod 15 . \\
F_{18}=136 \cdot 19 \text { and } 19 \equiv 1 \bmod 18 . \\
F_{20}=165 \cdot 41 \text { and } 41 \equiv 1 \bmod 20 . \\
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# DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS INVOLVING THE GREATEST INTEGER FUNCTION 

## RONALD EVANS

## University of California, San Diego, California

## DEDICATED TO JACKSON STATE UNIVERSITY 1877-1977

It is known [1, p. 142] that if $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are fixed positive irrationals such that $\mu \lambda=\mu+\lambda$, then the equation $[n \lambda]=[m \mu]$ has no solution in integers $m$ and $n$, where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$. We prove the following generalization.
Theorem. Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be fixed positive irrationals. The equation $[n \lambda]=[m \mu]$ has no solution in integers $m$ and $n$ if and only if $\mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$ for some integers $b$ and $c$ such that $\lambda>b>0$.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{Z}$ denote the set of integers. Suppose first that $\mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$, where $b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}, \lambda>b>0$. Assume (for the purpose of contradiction) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n \lambda]=[m \mu] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $m, n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Write $\theta=\mu / \lambda, \epsilon=m \theta-[m \theta]$. Since $\mu=b \theta+c, \theta$ is irrational and thus $0<\epsilon<1$. By (1), $n \lambda=m \mu+\sigma$, where $-1<\sigma<1$. Thus $n=m \theta+\sigma / \lambda=[m \theta]+(\epsilon+\sigma / \lambda)$. Since $\lambda>1,-1<(\epsilon+\sigma / \lambda)<$ 2. Therefore, $n=[m \theta]+\delta$, where $\delta=0$ or 1 .

We have
(2)

$$
m \mu=m b \theta+m c=b \epsilon+b[m \theta]+m c .
$$

Hence,
(3)

$$
[m \mu]=[b \in]+b[m \theta]+m c .
$$

We have, using (2),
(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[n \lambda]=[(m \theta+\delta-\epsilon) \lambda] } & =[m \mu+(\delta-\epsilon) \lambda]=[b \epsilon+b[m \theta]+m c+(\delta-\epsilon) \lambda] \\
& =[b \epsilon+(\delta-\epsilon) \lambda]+b[m \theta]+m c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left sides of (3) and (4) are equal,

$$
[b \epsilon]=[b \epsilon+(\delta-\epsilon) \lambda] .
$$

If $\delta=0$, then $[b \epsilon]=[(b-\lambda) \epsilon]$, a contradiction, since $b \epsilon>0$ and $(b-\lambda) \epsilon<0$. If $\delta=1$, then

$$
b>[b \epsilon]=[b \epsilon+(1-\epsilon) \lambda] \geqslant[b \epsilon+(1-\epsilon) b]=b
$$

a contradiction. This proves that there are no integers $m, n$ for which (1) holds.
To prove the converse, it suffices to show that (1) has a solution in each of the following three cases. Case 1 : $\mu, \theta$, and 1 are linearly independent over the rationals, i.e., if $a \mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$ with $a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, then $a=b=c=0$; Case 2: $a \mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$, where $a, b$, and $c$ are relatively prime integers, $a \geqslant 0$, and $a \neq 1$; Case 3: $\mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$, where $b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and either $b<0$ or $\lambda<b$.
Case 1. By Kronecker's Theorem [2, p. 382], there exist $m, z_{1}, z_{2} \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that

$$
m \mu=1 / 2+z_{1}+E_{1}
$$

and

$$
m \theta=1 / 3\left(1+\lambda+z_{2}+E_{2},\right.
$$

where $\left|E_{i}\right|<1 / 6(1+\lambda)$ for $i=1,2$. Then

$$
\epsilon=m \theta-[m \theta]=1 / 3(\lambda+1)+E_{2}
$$

and

$$
m \mu-\epsilon \lambda=(1 / 2-\lambda / 3(\lambda+1))+z_{1}+\left(E_{1}-\lambda E_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\left|E_{1}-\lambda E_{2}\right|<1 / 6<1 / 2-\lambda / 3(\lambda+1)$, we have $[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=z_{1}$. Since $[m \mu]=z_{1}$, we have

$$
[m \mu]=[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[(m \theta-\epsilon) \lambda]=[[m \theta] \lambda],
$$

so that Eq. (1) has a solution with $n=[m \theta]$.
Case 2. If $a=0$, then (1) has the solution $m=b, n=-c$. Thus assume $a \geqslant 2$. Since $(a, b, c)=1$, either $a \nmid b$ or $a \nmid c$. Without loss of generality, we assume $a \nmid b$. Since $\mu=b \theta / a+c / a, \theta$ is irrational. Thus there exist $p, q \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that $p \theta=\eta+q+E$, where $\eta=1 / a+1 / 2 a(a \lambda+|b|)$ and $|E|<\eta-1 / a$. Let $m=a p$ and $\epsilon=m \theta-[m \theta]$. Then

$$
m \theta=(a q+1)+(a \eta-1)+a E,
$$

so that
(5)

$$
[m \theta]=a q+1
$$

Also, $\epsilon=(a \eta-1)+a E$, so that
(6)

$$
0<\epsilon<2(a \eta-1)=1 /(a \lambda+|b|) .
$$

By (5),
(7) $\quad m \mu=m b \theta / a+m c / a=b \epsilon / a+b[m \theta] / a+m c / a=b \epsilon / a+b / a+b q+p c$.

Thus,
(8)

$$
[m \mu]=[b \in / a+b / a]+b q+p c .
$$

Since $b \nmid a$ and since $|b \in / a|<1 / a$ by (6), it follows from (8) that
(9)

$$
[m \mu]=[b / a]+b q+p c .
$$

By (7),

$$
m \mu-\epsilon \lambda=(b-a \lambda) \epsilon / a+b / a+b q+p c,
$$

so that
(10)

$$
[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[(b-a \lambda) \epsilon / a+b / a]+b q+p c .
$$

Since $\mid(b-a \lambda / \epsilon / a \mid<1 / a$ by ( 6 ), it follows from (10) that
(11)

$$
[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[b / a]+b q+p c .
$$

By (9) and (11),

$$
[m \mu]=[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[(m \theta-\epsilon) \lambda]=[[m \theta] \lambda] .
$$

Thus (1) has a solution with $n=[m \theta]$.
Case 3. We argue as in Case 2 with $a=1$. By (8) with $a=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m \mu]=[b \in]+b+b q+p c . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10) with $a=1$,
(13)

$$
[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[(b-\lambda) \epsilon]+b+b q+p c .
$$

By (6), with $a=1,0<\epsilon<1 /(\lambda+|b|)$. Thus $|b \epsilon|<1$ and $\mid(b-\lambda / \epsilon \mid<1$. Moreover, by the hypotheses of Case $3, b \in$ and $(b-\lambda) \epsilon$ have the same sign. Thus, by (12) and (13),

$$
[m \mu]=[m \mu-\epsilon \lambda]=[(m \theta-\epsilon) \lambda]=[[m \theta] \lambda] .
$$

Therefore (1) has a solution with $n=[m \theta] . \quad$ Q.E.D.
Corollary 1. Let $\lambda$ be a positive irrational. Then $[n \lambda]=\left[m \lambda^{2}\right]$ has no solution with $n, m \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ if and only if $\lambda=\left(b+\left(b^{2}+4 c\right)^{1 / 2}\right) / 2$ for some positive integers $b$ and $c$.
Proof. Note that if $\mu \lambda=b \mu+c \lambda$ with $b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $\lambda>b>0$, then $(\lambda-b)(\mu-c)=b c$, so that $c>0$. Hence Corollary 1 follows from the Theorem with $\mu=\lambda^{2}$. Q.E.D.
Corollary 2. Let $\lambda$ be a positive irrational. Then $[n \lambda]=[m \lambda]+m$ has no solution with $n, m \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ if and only if

$$
\lambda=\left((b+c-1)+\left((b+c-1)^{2}+4 b\right)^{1 / 2}\right) / 2
$$

for some positive integers $b$ and $c$.
Proof. This follows from the Theorem with $\mu=\lambda+1$.
Corollary 3. Let $\sigma$ be a positive irrational. Then $[n \sigma]+n=[m / \sigma]+m$ has no solution with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This follows from the Theorem with $\mu=1+1 / \sigma, \lambda=\sigma+1$, and $b=c=1$. Q.E.D.
(Corollary 3 is part of Problem 22 in [3, p. 84].)
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## [Continued from page 149.]

* 

For an $\omega$-series with an arbitrary odd number of $k_{i}$ parameters two cycles of parametric incrementation are required to bring the series into alignment for grouping. Use of the identity

$$
G(z)=\psi(z / 2+1 / 2)-\psi(z / 2),
$$

[4, p. 20], and Lemma 1 render the following summation expression.
The orem 2.

$$
\omega\left(j ; k_{1}, \cdots, k_{2 n+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{i} \omega\left(j+s_{i} ; S\right)=(1 / 2 S) \sum_{i=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{i} G\left(\left(j+s_{i}\right) / S\right)
$$

## 3. EXAMPLES

Some calculations for the uniparameter $\omega$-series are to be found in [1] and for the biparameter series in [2]. The above theorems and their proofs can be illustrated with the following triparameter $\omega$-series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(1 ; 1,1,2)= & {[(1-1 / 2)+(1 / 3-1 / 5)+(1 / 6-1 / 7)]+[(1 / 9-1 / 10)+(1 / 11-1 / 13)+\ldots] } \\
& +[(1 / 17-1 / 18)+\ldots]+\ldots \\
= & (1-1 / 2)+(1 / 9-1 / 10)+(1 / 17-1 / 18)+\cdots+(1 / 3-1 / 5)+(1 / 11-1 / 13)+\ldots \\
& +(1 / 6-1 / 7)+\ldots \\
= & \omega(1 ; 1,7)+\omega(3 ; 2,6)+\omega(6 ; 1,7) \quad \\
= & (1 / 8)[G(3 / 4)-G(1 / 2)+G(1 / 4)] \\
= & (1 / 8)[\sqrt{2}(\pi-21 n(1+\sqrt{2})-\pi+\sqrt{2}(\pi+21 n(1+\sqrt{2}))] \\
= & (\pi / 8)[2 \sqrt{2}-1] .
\end{aligned}
$$
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# THE FIBONACCI SERIES AND THE PERIODIC TABLE OF ELEMENTS 

## AVERY A. MORTON

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

The ratio, 0.6180 , of the short, $a$, to the long, $b$, part of a line, divided so that $a / b=b / a+b$, is common in nature; often is called the "golden mean." An analogous line for the chemical elements is the distance between the centers of atoms in a compound. The alkali metal halide salts, which form from atoms at the extremes of reactivity in each series of the periodic table, should serve as reference compounds. If the short, $a$, part of the line is the covalent radius of the halogen atom, $X$, and the long part, $b$, is the corresponding radius of the alkali metal atom, $M$, in the same series, the mean of the ratio, $X / M$, for the five series is $0.605 \pm 0.043$, an understandable variation of $7 \%$ within itself as Table 1 shows. This mean is within $2.2 \%$ of the golden mean and possibly should be the same within experimental error. Only the covalent radii (see the Table) give this result. Calculations based on the ionic radii show a ratio as high as 2.27 and a $36 \%$ decrease from the first to the fourth series. Data are lacking for calculations based on the atomic radii, but in the present case the atoms in a compound, not the separate atoms, are under consideration.

Table 1
Covalent Radii of the Halide Salts and Calculation of the Factor, $R$, in the Fibonacci Equation

| Column 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halide pair | Observed* <br> covalent <br> radus, A |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & X / M \end{aligned}$ | Summation of observed radii |  | Correction <br> Factor, $R$, <br> Ratio Obs./Sum |  |
|  | $\chi$ | M |  | $x$ | M | $x$ | M |
| FLi | 0.72 | 1.23 | 0.585 |  |  |  |  |
| ClNa | 0.99 | 1.54 | 0.643 | 2.26 | 1.95 | 0.44 | 0.79 |
| BrK | 1.14 | 2.03 | 0.562 | 3.02 | 2.53 | 0.38 | 0.80 |
| IRb | 1.33 | 2.16 | 0.616 | 3.30 | 3.17 | 0.40 | 0.68 |
| AtCs | 1.45 | 2.35 | 0.618 | 3.68 | 3.49 | 0.39 | 0.67 |
| ? Fr |  |  |  |  | 3.80 |  |  |
| Avg. or Min. Theory |  | Avg. | 0.605 |  | Min. | 0.39 | 0.67 |
|  |  |  | 0.618 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calcul | lated $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ? Fr | 1,56 | 2,55 | 0.610 |  |  |  |  |

To approximate the position of the periodic table in the Fibonacci series we first use the lengths in angstrom units, $\AA$, of the lithium and fluorine covalent radii for the construction in Fig. 1 of the smallest rectangle with dimensions of $a+b$ by $b$. From that rectangle, and the $b$ by $b$ square, larger and still larger rectangles and squares can be constructed in the usual manner $[1,3]$. The centers of each square are marked with an alkali metal in increasing order, Li to Fr . Thus the pattern for a Fibonacci series is evident. A curved line, rather than the straight lines shown, could connect the centers between which the symbols for the other elements could be written (not done here for lack of space). In that way the periodic table would appear as a spiral, analogous to other spirals [1], the galaxies, the whirls in some flowers and plants, the horns of some animals, and the spirals in shells, all called the "golden horn." A simple calculation back to zero angstrom units suggests that the first series in the periodic table is roughly at the ninth number in the Fi bonacci series.


Fig. 1 Geometrical Arrangement According to the Fibonacci Pattern of the Five-Plus Series in the Periodic Table

The numbers in Column 2 of the Table, however, do not follow exactly the simple Fibonacci way where each succeeding number is the sum of the two preceding ones as in

$$
U_{n}=U_{n-1}+U_{n-2} .
$$

This situation is seen in Column 4, where the summation for chloride is 2.26 instead of the observed 0.99 ; and that for sodium is 1.95 instead of 1.54 . Such abnormality probably results from the fact that the line (the sum of the two radii) does not pass through uniformly similar territory, for the specific volume of the halogen is much less and the atomic weight is much more than for the metal of each pair. To compensate for this situation the ratios of the observed to the summation for each radius are recorded in Column 5 for the $X$ and $M$ component of each pair. These values appear to attain minima-- 0.39 for the halogen and 0.67 for the metal. In other words in the formula $U_{n}=R\left(U_{n-1}+U_{n-2}\right)$ the value of $R$ is 0.39 when $U_{n}$ is a halogen and 0.67 when a metal.

With these ratios, the value for the unknown halogen which would be paired with francium can be estimated as 1.56 , and for francium would be 2.55 . Then the ratio, $X / M$ for that undiscovered salt would be 0.610 , within $1.3 \%$ of the golden mean.
Whether that unknown halogen will ever be prepared may be doubted. Its atomic number would be 117 if the number of elements in the sixth series is the same as in the fifth. Wlodorski [4] has used the Fibonacci series to estimate the limiting stability of the nucleus in the transuranium elements and has concluded that efforts [5] to extend the series above number 114 cannot succeed. No objection to that prediction is here intended. However, attention should be drawn to a recent paper by Anders and co-workers [6] about the possibility of elements 115 (or 114,113 ) having been found in a meterorite.
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# ON FIBON $4 C C I$ AND TRIANGULAR NUMBERS 

W. E. GREIG<br>West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506

The infinite sequence discovered by the author in [1], namely the numerators of $C_{k}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2 k} C_{k}=\left(1+L_{k}+F_{2 k-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are related to the Triangular numbers $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$, where $T_{-1}=0=T_{0}$ and
(2) $\quad T_{n}=n(n+1) / 2$ for all integral $n$,
in general. It is interesting that four members of the sequence defined by $T_{-1+F_{n}}$ are zero, namely those for $n=-1,0,1,2$. It will be shown that
(3)

$$
F_{2 k} C_{k}=T_{1+F_{k+1}}+T_{-1+F_{k-2}}
$$

for all natural numbers $k$. The first term on the right-hand side merely picks off the $2,3,4,6,9^{\text {th }} \ldots$ terms of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. The proof is direct and easy considering that (3) is not obvious. We first need

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 F_{k+1}-F_{k-2}=2 L_{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily derived from $F_{k+1}+F_{k-1}=L_{k}$. Next we need

$$
F_{k+1}^{2}=F_{2 k}+F_{k-1}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{k-1}^{2}=F_{2 k-3}-F_{k-2}^{2}
$$

which are $\left(I_{10}\right)$ and $\left(/_{11}\right)$ of Hoggatt [2] which enables us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k+1}^{2}+F_{k-2}^{2}=2 F_{2 k-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we write
$2 T_{1+F_{k+1}}+2 T_{-1+F_{k-2}}=\left(1+F_{k+1}\right)\left(2+F_{k+1}\right)+\left(-1+F_{k-2}\right) F_{k-2}=2+3 F_{k+1}+F_{k+1}^{2}+F_{k-2}^{2}-F_{k-2}$
which via (4) and (5) $\quad=2+2 L_{k}+2 F_{2 k-1}$
as was to be shown.
Table of $C_{k} F_{2 k}$ Numbers and Triangular Numbers

| $\quad k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $C_{k} F_{2 k}$ | 4 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 21 | 46 | 108 | 263 | 658 | 1674 | 4305 | 11146 | 28980 |
| $T_{1+T_{k+1}}$ | 3 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 21 | 45 | 105 | 253 | 630 | 1596 | 4095 | 10585 | 27495 |
| $T_{-1+F_{k-2}}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 28 | 78 | 210 | 561 | 1485 |

Now it would be nice if a generalization obtained for the generalized $C_{j, k}$ in the author's second paper on sums of Fibonacci reciprocals [3]. Such is the case. First we must define generalized Triangular numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n, j}=n(n+j) / 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may not always be integers. Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ be any generalized sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}=j P_{n}+P_{n-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ is an integer; then using the general Binet formula one can show that
(8)

$$
P_{2 n+1}=P_{n+1}^{2}+P_{n}^{2}
$$

and it definitely is equally obvious that we can show
(9)

$$
j P_{2 n}=P_{n+1}^{2}-P_{n-1}^{2}
$$

Using (8) and (9), we may show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k+1}^{2}+P_{k-2}^{2}=j P_{2 k}+P_{2 k-3}=\left(j^{2}+1\right) P_{2 k-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to (5) in the Fibonacci case. Now the author [3, (9)] has shown that the numerators of $C_{j k}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2 k} C_{j, k}=\left(1+P_{k}^{*}+P_{2 k-1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $j$ subscript has been dropped from the $P^{\prime}$ s for neatness but they are still a function of $j$ and ideally we should write $P_{j, k}$,

## Theorem.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+P_{k}^{*}+P_{2 k-1}\right)=\left(1+2 T_{P_{k, j}}+2 T_{P_{k-2}, 2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is straightforward and note that $P_{k}^{*}=P_{k+1}+P_{k-1}$ is by definition the Lucas complement of $P_{k}$. From (6) Eq. (12) becomes
(13) $\left(1+P_{k}\left(P_{k}+j\right)+P_{k-1}\left(P_{k-1}+2\right)=\left(1+j P_{k}+2 P_{k-1}+P_{k}^{2}+P_{k-1}^{2}\right)=\left(1+P_{k+1}+P_{k-1}+P_{2 k-1}\right)\right.$
by using (8). Note that we did not use (9) and that has led to (12) being different from (3). I illustrate this by taking $C_{3,4}=1309 / 3927$. Now $\left\{P_{3, k}\right\}$ is $0,1,3,10,33,109,360,1189, \ldots$. According to (11) and (12) the numerator of $C_{3,4}$ is $1+33(33+3)+10(10+2)=1309$ as it should. In (12) be careful to note that $j$ and 2 are subscripts of $T$ and not of $P$.
H. W. Gould has called my attention to a known theorem [4] that an integer $m$ is the sum of two triangular numbers if and only if $4 m+1$ is the sum of two squares, say $4 m+1=u^{2}+v^{2}$, where $(u-v) \geqslant 3$. Hence for the sequence $G_{k}=\mathcal{C}_{k} F_{2 k}$ we have the following table.

| $k$ | $\left(1+4 C_{k} F_{2 k}\right)=\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$ |  | $k$ | $\left(1+4 C_{k} F_{2 k}\right)=\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $17=4^{2}+1^{2}$ |  | 5 | $185=8^{2}+11^{2}$ |
| 1 | $13=2^{2}+3^{2}$ |  | 6 | $433=12^{2}+17^{2}$ |
| 2 | $25=4^{2}+3^{2}$ |  | 7 | $1053=18^{2}+29^{2}$ |
| 3 | $41=4^{2}+5^{2}$ | 8 | $2633=28^{2}+43^{2}$ |  |
| 4 | $85=6^{2}+7^{2}$ | 9 | $6697=44^{2}+69^{2}$ |  |

We noticed that the differences between adjacent $u$ numbers seems to be twice the Fibonacci numbers and that a similar relation holds for the $v$ numbers. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., in a letter dated Jan. 22, 1977, has found the following closed form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+4 G_{k}=1+4 C_{k} F_{2 k}=\left(2\left(1+F_{k-1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(1+2 F_{k}\right)^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Sloane [5] contains the sequence $N^{2}+(N-1)^{2}$, his No. 1567, which generates a lot of primes. The sequence above may also be prime rich since 17, 13, 41, 433, 2633 are primes. Also $G$ numbers for negative $k$ values may be found in the recently submitted [6]. Then the sequence $\left(1+4 G_{-k}\right)$ for $k=0,1,2, \cdots$ gives: $17,9,37,41,169,317,1009,2329,6581, \ldots$ all of which are primes but 2329 and the perfect squares 9 and 169.
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# THE PERIODIC GENERATING SEQUENCE 

CHI-LEUNG LAU<br>Teaching Fellow, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon

Given an integer sequence $S=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right\}, a_{i}>0$. Form a new sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ by first choosing two integers $r_{-1}$ and $r_{0}$, then setting

$$
r_{m}=r_{m-1} a_{m}+r_{m-2}, \quad a_{m} \in S
$$

We call $S$ a Generating Sequence.
Notice that for each $r_{k} \in\left\{r_{n}\right\}$, we can reduce $r_{k}$ to $r_{k}=A(k) r_{0}+B(k) r_{-1}$, where $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ are integers. Hence $\left\{r_{0}, r_{-1}\right\}$ can be viewed as a "basis" for $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{-1}=A(-1) r_{0}+B(-1) r_{-1} \Rightarrow A(-1)=0, \quad B(-1)=1, \\
r_{0}=A(0) r_{0}+B(0) r_{-1} \Rightarrow A(0)=1, \quad B(0)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1. Suppose two sequences $\left\{r_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are generated from the same sequence with different choices of $r_{-1}^{\prime}, r_{0}^{\prime}$ and $r_{-1}^{\prime \prime}, r_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r_{k-1}^{\prime} & r_{k}^{\prime} \\
r_{k-1}^{\prime \prime} & r_{k}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{k}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r_{-1}^{\prime} & r_{0}^{\prime} \\
r_{-1}^{\prime \prime} & r_{0}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Proof. By induction.
Notation: Let

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A(k) & B(k) \\
A(k-1) & B(k-1)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Notice that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{k} \\
r_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]=L\left[\begin{array}{c}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Lemma. $\operatorname{det}(L)=(-1)^{k}$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
r_{k-1}^{\prime} & r_{k}^{\prime} \\
r_{k-1}^{\prime \prime} & r_{k}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right| & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(k-1) r_{0}^{\prime}+B(k-1) r_{-1}^{\prime} & A(k) r_{0}^{\prime}+B(k) r_{-1}^{\prime} \\
A(k-1) r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+B(k-1) r_{-1}^{\prime \prime} & A(k) r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+B(k) r_{-1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\{A(k) B(k-1)-A(k-1) B(k)\}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r_{-1}^{\prime} & r_{0}^{\prime} \\
r_{-1}^{\prime \prime} & r_{0}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\operatorname{det}(L)\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r_{-1}^{\prime} & r_{0}^{\prime} \\
r_{-1}^{\prime \prime} & r_{0}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \Rightarrow \operatorname{det}(L)=(-1)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$
S=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right\}
$$

be the generating sequence for $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(m)=A(m-1) a_{m}+A(m-2) \\
& B(m)=B(m-1) a_{m}+B(m-2), \quad a_{m} \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{m}=r_{m-1} a_{m}+r_{m-2} \Rightarrow[A(m) B(m)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right]= & {[A(m-1) B(m-1)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] a_{m} } \\
& +[A(m-2) B(m-2)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow[A(m) B(m)]=\left[A(m-1) a_{m}+A(m-2) B(m-1) a_{m}+B(m-2)\right] .
$$

Remark: The above theorem shows that $\{A(n)\}$ and $\{B(n)\}$ are also sequences generated by $S$. Recall that

$$
A(-1)=0, \quad A(0)=1 ; \quad B(-1)=1, \quad B(0)=0 .
$$

We shall now investigate what happens when the generating sequence is an infinite periodic sequence

$$
P=\left\{\overline{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}}\right\} .
$$

We will let $k$ be the period of $P$ for the rest of our work.
Theorem 3. If $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is generated from $P$, then

$$
[A(n k+u) B(n k+u)]=[A(u) B(u)] L^{n} .
$$

Proof. Recall

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A(k) & B(k) \\
A(k-1) & B(k-1)
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{k} \\
r_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]=L\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{u}=[A(u) B(u)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& r_{k+u}=[A(u) B(u)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{k} \\
r_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]=[A(u) B(u)] L\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& r_{2 k+u}=[A(u) B(u)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{2 k} \\
r_{2 k-1}
\end{array}\right]=[A(u) B(u)] L\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{k} \\
r_{k-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=[A(u) B(u)] L^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n k+u}=[A(u) B(u)] L^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] & \Rightarrow[A(n k+u) B(n k+u)]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right]=[A(u) B(u)] L^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow[A(n k+u) B(n k+u)]=[A(u) B(u)] L^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary.

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A(n k+u) & B(n k+u) \\
A(n k+v) & B(n k+v)
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{n k}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(u) & B(u) \\
A(v) & B(v)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, we get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A(n k+u) & B(n k+u) \\
A(n k+v) & B(n k+v)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A(u) & B(u) \\
A(v) & B(v)
\end{array}\right] L^{n} \Rightarrow\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A(n k+u) & B(n k+u) \\
A(n k+v) & B(n k+v)
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A(u) & B(u) \\
A(v) & B(v)
\end{array}\right| \operatorname{det}\left(L^{n}\right) .
$$

Theorem 4. If a sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is generated from an infinite periodic sequence $P$ with period $k$, then

$$
r_{n+2 k}-C(k) r_{n+k}+(-1)^{k} r_{n}=0
$$

where $C(k)$ is a positive integer independent of the choice of $r_{-1}$ and $r_{0}$.
Proof. Consider

$$
r_{n+2 k}+x r_{n+k}+y r_{n}=0
$$

Assume the theorem is true except for the existence of $x$ and $y$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n+2 k}+x r_{n+k}+y r_{n}=0 & \Rightarrow\{[A(n+2 k) B(n+2 k)]+x[A(n+k) B(n+k)]+y[A(n) B(n)]\}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{-1}
\end{array}\right]=0 \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A(n+2 k)+x A(n+k)+y A(n)=0 \\
B(n+2 k)+x B(n+k)+y B(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

These are solvable iff

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(n+k) & B(n+k) \\
A(n) & B(n)
\end{array}\right| \neq 0 .
$$

Then by Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[A(n+k) B(n+k)] } & =[A(n) B(n)] L=[A(n) A(k)+A(k-1) B(n) A(n) B(k)+B(n) B(k-1)] \\
\Rightarrow D & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A(n+k) & B(n+k) \\
A(n) & B(n)
\end{array}\right| \\
& =A(n) A(k) B(n)+A(k-1) B(n)^{2}-A(n)^{2} B(k)-A(n) B(n) B(k-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The only possibilities for making $D$ vanish are either $n=k-1$ or $n=k$.
When $n=k-1$.

$$
D=A(k) A(k-1) B(k-1)-A(k-1)^{2} B(k)=A(k-1) \operatorname{det}(L) \neq 0 .
$$

When $n=k$,

$$
D=A(k-1) B(k)^{2}-A(k) B(k) B(k-1)=-B(k) \operatorname{det}(L) \neq 0 .
$$

Hence $x$ and $y$ exist. Then let $n=0$, we have

$$
A(2 k)+x A(k)+y A(0)=0, \quad B(2 k)+x B(k)+y B(0)=0 .
$$

Since $A(0)=1, B(0)=0$, we get

$$
x=-B(2 k) / B(k), \quad y=A(k)[B(2 k) / B(k)]-A(2 k) .
$$

By Theorem 3, we obtain

$$
[A(2 k) B(2 k)]=[A(0) B(0)] L^{2}=[10] L^{2}=\left[A(k)^{2}+A(k-1) B(k) A(k) B(k)+B(k) B(k-1)\right]
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=-B(2 k) / B(k)=-(A(k)+B(k-1)) \Rightarrow C(k)=A(k)+B(k-1) \\
y=A(k)[A(k)+B(k-1)]-\left[A(k)^{2}+A(k-1) B(k)\right] \\
\\
=A(k) B(k-1)-A(k-1) B(k)=\operatorname{det}(L)=(-1)^{k} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark. Since $\{A(n)\}$ and $\{B(n)\}$ are also generated from $P$, then

$$
A(n+2 k)-C(k) A(n+k)+(-1)^{k} A(n)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B(n+2 k)-C(k) B(n+k)+(-1)^{k} B(n)=0 .
$$

By Theorem 3, this leads us to

$$
\left.[A(n) B(n)]\left\{L^{2}-C(k) L+(-1)^{k}\right]\right\}=0 \Rightarrow L^{2}-C(k) L+\operatorname{det}(L) \|=0,
$$

$I$ is the identity matrix.
What happens when $P=\{\bar{a}\}$ since $k$ can be chosen as large as one desires?
Theorem 5. Suppose $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is generated from $P=\{\bar{a}\}$ such that

$$
r_{n+2 k}-C(k) r_{n+k}+(-1)^{k} r_{n}=0
$$

Then $\{C(n)\}$ is also a sequence generated from $P$ with $C(0)=2, C(-1)=-a$.
Proof. Recall $C(k)=A(k)+B(k-1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(k)-C(k-1) a-C(k-2) & =\{A(k)-A(k-1) a-A(k-2)\}-\{B(k-1)-B(k-2) a-B(k-3)\} \\
& =0 \Rightarrow C(k)=C(k-1) a+C(k-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,
But then

$$
C(0)=A(0)+B(-1)=2, \quad C(1)=A(1)+B(0)=a .
$$

But then

$$
C(1)=C(0)_{a}+C(-1) \Rightarrow C(-1)=-a .
$$

Remark. Since $\{C(n)\}$ is generated from $P=\{\bar{a}\}$, there exists another sequence $\left\{C^{\prime}(n)\right\}$ such that

$$
C(n+2 k)-C^{\prime}(k) C(n+k)+(-1)^{k} C(n)=0 .
$$

Notice that $\left\{c^{\prime}(n)\right\}=\{c(n)\}$. For example, when $P=\{\bar{\gamma}\}$, then

$$
\{A(n)\}=\left\{f_{n+1}\right\}
$$

and $\{B(n)\}=\left\{f_{n}\right\}, C(n)=f_{n+1}+f_{n-1, r}\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence. Remember

$$
A(n+2 k)-C(k) A(n+k)+(-1)^{k} A(n)=0 \Rightarrow f_{n+2 k+1}-\left(f_{k+1}+f_{k-1}\right) f_{n+k+1}+(-1)^{k} f_{n+1}=0
$$

and

$$
B(n+2 k)-C(k) B(n+k)+(-1)^{k} B(n)=0 \Rightarrow f_{n+2 k}-\left(f_{k+1}+f_{k-1}\right) f_{n+k}+(-1)^{k} f_{n}=0 .
$$

Also from Theorem 5 and the last remark,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(n+2 k)-C^{\prime}(k) C(n+k)+(-1)^{k} C(n)=0 & \Rightarrow\left\{f_{n+2 k+1}+f_{n+2 k-1}\right\}-\left(f_{k+1}+f_{k-1}\right)\left\{f_{n+k+1}+f_{n+k-1}\right\} \\
& +(-1)^{k}\left\{f_{n+1}+f_{n-1}\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 6. Suppose $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is generated from $P=\{\bar{a}\}$, then there exist $x$ and $y$ such that $u \geqslant s>t \geqslant 0$,

$$
r_{n+u}+x r_{n+s}+y r_{n+t}=0,
$$

$x$ and $y$ rational.
Proof. Think of $n$ as $k$ since the periodicity can vary.
Then follow the proof for Theorem 4. Carrying out the proof, we also find that

$$
x=-\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(u) & B(u) \\
A(t) & B(t)
\end{array}\right|, \quad y=-\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(s) & B(s) \\
A(t) & B(t)
\end{array}\right|, \quad\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(u) & B(s) \\
A(s) & B(u)
\end{array}\right| .\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(s) & B(s) \\
A(t) & B(t)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

In particular, when $P=\{\bar{I}\}$, we get

$$
f_{n+u}-\left|\begin{array}{l}
f_{u+1} \\
f_{u} \\
f_{t+1}
\end{array}\right| \frac{f_{t}}{f_{t}}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{s+1} & f_{s} \\
f_{t+1} & f_{t}
\end{array}\right| \quad f_{n+s}-\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f_{s+1} & f_{s} \\
f_{y+1} & f_{u}
\end{array}\right| f_{n+t}=0
$$

For example, when $u=9, s=6$ and $t=2$,

$$
f_{n+9}-(13 / 3) f_{n+6}+(2 / 3) f_{n+2}=0
$$

We are going to relate some of the above results to Continued Fractions.
A simple purely periodic continued fraction is denoted by $c=\left[\overline{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}}\right]$. If we take $P=\left\{\overline{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}}\right\}$, then immediately we see that $A(n) / B(n)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ convergent of $c$. We also know that

$$
A(n+2 k)-C(k) A(n+k)+(-1)^{k} A(n)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B(n+2 k)-C(k) B(n+k)+(-1)^{k} B(n)=0 .
$$

If we regard these as second-order difference equations, then the auxiliary quadratic equation for them is

$$
x^{2}-c(k) x+(-1)^{k}=0
$$

and

$$
x=\left\{C(k) \pm \sqrt{C(k)^{2}-4(-1)^{k}}\right\} / 2, \quad C(k)^{2}-4(-1)^{k}>0 .
$$

Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be the distinct zeros such that $\left|m_{1}\right|>\left|m_{2}\right|$, then $A(n k+u)=a_{1} m_{1}^{n}+\beta_{1} m_{2}^{n}$,

$$
B(n k+u)=a_{2} m_{1}^{n}+\beta_{2} m_{2}^{n}, \quad u<k .
$$

By choosing the appropriate initial conditions for $\{A(n)\}$ and $\{B(n)\}$, respectively, we can solve for $a_{1}, \beta_{1}$ and $a_{2}, \beta_{2}$. One can take $A(u), A(k+u)$ to be the initial conditions for $\{A(n)\}$ and $B(u), B(k+u)$ for $\{B(n)\}$. Then the $(n k+u)^{t h}$ convergent of $c$ is given by

$$
\frac{A(n k+u)}{B(n k+u)}=\frac{a_{1}+\beta_{1}\left(m_{2} / m_{1}\right)^{n}}{a_{2}+\beta_{2}\left(m_{2} / m_{1}\right)^{n}} .
$$

Hence limit of

$$
c=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\{A(n k+u) / B(n k+u)\}=a_{1} / a_{2} .
$$

Notice that $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are quadratic irrationals. Is the limit unique? Yes, by Theorem 3, we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A(n k+u) & B(n k+u) \\
A(n k+v) & B(n k+v)
\end{array}\right|=\operatorname{det}\left(L^{n}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A(u) & B(u) \\
A(v) & B(v)
\end{array}\right|= \pm \sigma,
$$

$\sigma$ is a constant. Then

$$
\frac{A(n k+u)}{B(n k+u)}-\frac{A(n k+v)}{B(n k+v)}=\frac{ \pm \sigma}{B(n k+u) B(n k+v)}
$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{A(n k+u)}{B(n k+u)}-\frac{A(n k+v)}{B(n k+v)}=0 .
$$

If $c=\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j}, \overline{a_{j}+1}, \cdots, \overline{a_{j+k}}\right]$, then take

$$
P=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j}, \overline{a_{j+1}}, \cdots, \overline{a_{j+k}}\right\}
$$

as the generating sequence, the limit of $c$ is then given by

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n k+u+j)}{B(n k+u+j)}, \quad u>0 .
$$

Remark. Actually we have proved just now a theorem in continued fractions: A continued fraction $c$ is peridic iff $a$ is a quadratic irrational, for which $c$ is the continued fraction expansion.

## *

ADDITIVE PARTITIONS II

## V. E. HOGGATT, JR.

San Jose State University, San Jose, California 95192

Theorem (Hoggatt). The Tribonacci Numbers,

$$
1,2,4,7,13,24, \cdots, T_{n+3}=T_{n+2}+T_{n+1}+T_{n}
$$

with 3 added to the set uniquely split the positive integers and each positive integer $n \neq 3$ or $\neq T_{m}$ is the sum of two elements of $A_{0}$ or two elements of $A_{1}$. (See "Additive Partitions I," page 166.)
Conjecture. Let $A$ split the positive integers into two sets $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ and be such that $p \notin A \cup\{1,2\}$, and $p$ is representable as the sum of two elements of $A_{0}$ or the sum of two elements of $A_{1}$. We call such a set saturated (that is $A \cup\{1,2\}$ ). Krishnaswami Alladi asks: "Does a saturated set imply a unique additive partition?' My conjecture is that the set $\{1,2,3,4,8,13,24, \ldots\}$ is saturated but does not cause a unique split of the positive integers. Here we have added 3 and 8 to the Tribonacci sequence and deleted the 7. PauI Bruckman points out that this fails for 41. EDITOR

# A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PASCAL'S TRIANGLE AND FERMAT'S NUMBERS 

DENTON HEWGILL<br>University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C.

There are many relations known among the entries of Pascal's triangle. In [1], Hoggatt discusses the relation between the Fibonacci numbers and Pascal's triangle. He also gives several references to other related works.
Here, we propose to show a relation between the triangle and the Fermat numbers $f_{i}=2^{2}+1$ for $i=0,1$, $2, \cdots$. Let $c(n, j)$ be Pascal's triangle, where $n$ represents the row index and $j$ the column index, both indices starting at zero. Let a $n \mathrm{n}]$ be the sequence of numbers constructed from Pascal's triangle as follows: construct a new Pascal's triangle by taking the residue of $c(n, j)$ modulo base 2 , then, consider each horizontal row of the new triangle as a whole number which is written in binary arithmetic. In symbols, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a[n]=\sum_{j=0}^{n} c^{*}(n, j) 2^{j} \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{*}(n, j)$ is the residue modulo base 2 of $c(n, j)$. The first few terms of this sequence are $1,3,5,15,17,51$, $85,255,257,771$, etc., starting with a[0].
Proposition. The sequence of numbers

$$
a[n]=\sum_{j=0}^{n} c^{*}(n, j) 2^{j} \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots,
$$

constructed from Pascal's triangle, is equal to the sequence of numbers

$$
b[n]=\left(f_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{0}}\left(f_{k-1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots(3)^{\alpha_{k}} \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots,
$$

where $n=a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{\mathrm{k}}$ in binary number expansion, and $f_{i}$ are the Fermat numbers.
Proof. The proof is by induction. For the purpose of starting the induction, let us verify the relation for $a[0]$ through $a[8]$ by means of the following table:

| $n$ | $n$ (binary) | $a[n]$ (binary) | $a[n]$ (decimal) | $b[n]$ (Fermat form) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 000 | 1 | 1 | $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$ |
| 1 | 001 | 11 | 3 | $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3$ |
| 2 | 010 | 101 | 5 | $1 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$ |
| 3 | 011 | 1111 | 15 | $1 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | 100 | 10001 | 17 | $17 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$ |
| 5 | 101 | 110011 | 51 | $17.1 \cdot 3$ |
| 6 | 110 | 1010101 | 85 | $17.5 \cdot 1$ |
| 7 | 111 | 1111111 | 255 | 17.5 .3 |
| 8 | 1000 | 100000001 | 257 | $257 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$ |

To complete the induction proof, we assume the theorem is true for $n \leqslant 2^{k}$, and prove the theorem for the range $2^{k}<n \leqslant 2^{k+1}$. We are performing induction on $k$, and note that the table proves the induction hypothesis for $k=2$ and 3 . If $n$ is in the range $2^{k} \leqslant n<2^{k+1}$, then it has a binary expansion of the form $1 a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{\mathbf{k}}$. Next, we observe a pattern forming in the binary construction of $a_{n}$ between the levels $2^{k}$ and $2^{k+1}$. For example, the above table shows the pattern above $n=4$ being repeated, in duplicate, side by side, down to level
$n=7$, but changing at $n=8$. The reason that this pattern is formed is that Pascal's triangle can be constructed by addition (sums must be reduced modulo 2 ) with the well known formula

$$
c(n-1, r-1)+c(n-1, r)=c(n, r)
$$

We will now describe relationship of the numbers below level $2^{k}$ to those above $2^{k}$. Since $f_{k}$ is equal to one plus the number represented by 1 followed by $2^{k}$ zeros, we can form $\left.a^{[ } 2^{k}+j\right]$, for $j=1,2, \cdots, 2^{k-1}$, by multiplying $a[j]$ by $f_{k}$. This multiplication has the effect of repeating the pattern above level $2^{k}$, side by side, down to level $2^{k+1}-1$, which will then consist of $2^{k+1}$ "ones." If we now construct $a\left[2^{k+1}\right]$ using the addition method, we see that it will consist of one plus the number represented by 1 followed by $2^{k+1}$ zeros. Thus, we have the two relations

$$
a\left[2^{k}+j\right]=a[j] f_{k} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2,3, \cdots, 2^{k-1}
$$

and

$$
a\left[2^{k+1}\right]=f_{k+1}
$$

If we apply the induction hypothesis to $a[j]$ for $j<2^{k}$, then

$$
a[n]=\left(f_{k}\right)^{1}\left(f_{k-1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots(3)^{\alpha_{k}} \quad n<2^{k+1}
$$

where

$$
n=1 a_{1} \cdots a_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \text { and } \quad a\left[2^{k+1}\right]=f_{k+1}
$$

This completes the proof.
REMARK. The same proof easily covers the more general case where Pascal's triangle is computed modulo base $\ell$. The resulting sequence is then compared to the Fermat numbers to the base $\ell$.
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# ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS 

Edited by<br>RAYMOND E. WHITNEY<br>Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania 17746

Send all communications concerning Advanced Problems and Solutions to Raymond E. Whitney, Mathematics Department, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania 17745. This department especially welcomes problems believed to be new or extending old results. Proposers should submit solutions or other information that will assist the editor. To facilitate their consideration, solutions should be submitted on separate signed sheets within two months after publication of the problems.

## H-272 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

Show that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{r}{j}\binom{p}{m-j}\binom{q}{m-j}\binom{p+q-m+j}{j} \equiv C_{m}(p, q, r)
$$

is symmetric in $p, q, r$.

## H-273 Proposed by W. G. Brady, Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania.

Consider, after Hoggatt and $\mathrm{H}-257$, the array $D$, indicated below in which $L_{2 n+1}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ is written in staggered columns

| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 29 | 11 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 76 | 29 | 11 | 4 | 1 |

i. Show that the row sums are $L_{2 n+2}-2$.
ii. Show that the rising diagonal sums are $F_{2 n+3}-1$, where $L_{2 n+1}$ is the largest element in the sum.
iii. Show that if the columns are multiplied by $1,2,3, \cdots$ sequentially to the right then the row sums are $L_{2 n+3}-(2 n+3)$.

SOLUTIONS
LOOK-SERIES
H-251 Proposed by P. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.
Prove the identity:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n^{2}}}{\left[(x)_{n}\right]^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(x)_{n}}
$$

where

$$
(x)_{n}=(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{n}\right), \quad(x)_{0}=1
$$

Solution by the Proposer.
Define $f(z, y)$ by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, y)=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1+y^{2 r-1} z\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we may set

$$
f(z, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{m}(y) z^{m}
$$

also observing that $f(0, y)=1=A_{O}(y)$.
Now,

$$
f\left(y^{2} z, y\right)=(1+y z)^{-1} f(z, y)
$$

which is readily derived from the definition of $f(z, y)$, i.e.,

$$
f(z, y)=(1+y z) f\left(y^{2} z, y\right) .
$$

Translating this relation into series notation, we obtain the following:

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{m}(y) z^{m}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{m}(y) y^{2 m} z^{m}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m-1}(y) y^{2 m-1} z^{m}
$$

This yields the simple recursion:

$$
\left(1-y^{2 m}\right) A_{m}(y)=y^{2 m-1} A_{m-1}(y)
$$

with $A_{O}(y)=1$. By an easy induction, we derive the formula:

$$
A_{m}(y)=\frac{y^{m^{2}}}{\left(y^{2}\right)_{m}} \quad(m=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

Hence,

$$
f(z, y)=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1+y^{2 r-1} z\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{m^{2}}}{\left(y^{2}\right)_{m}} z^{m}
$$

Similarly,
(3)

$$
f\left(z^{-1}, y\right)=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1+y^{2 r-1} z^{-1}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n^{2}}}{\left(y^{2}\right)_{n}} z^{-n}
$$

We now employ the well known Jacobi identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, y) \cdot f\left(z^{-1}, y\right) \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1-y^{2 r}\right)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} y^{k^{2}} z^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta(y)$ denote the coefficient of $z$ in $f(z, y) \cdot f\left(z^{-1}, y\right)$. Multiplying the series in (2) and (3), we see that $\theta(y)$ is obtained by letting $m=n$; hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k^{2}}}{\left\{\left(y^{2}\right)_{k}\right\}^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, from (4),

$$
\theta(y)=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1-y^{2 r}\right)^{-1}
$$

Making the substitution $x=y^{2}$ we obtain the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{r}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n^{2}}}{\left\{(x)_{n}\right\}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the infinite product in (6) is the well known generating function for $p(n)$, the number of partitions of $n$; however, it is also equal to the series:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(x)_{n}}
$$

To establish this, define
and set

$$
g(z, x)=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1-z x^{r}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
g(z, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}(x) z^{n}
$$

observing that $g(0, x)=1=B_{0}(x)$. By inspection of the infinite product definition of $g(z, x)$, we may obtain the relation: $g(z x, x)=(1-z x) g(z, x)$; as before, translating this into the infinite series expansions, we obtain the recursion:

$$
\left(1-x^{n}\right) B_{n}(x)=x B_{n-1}(x), \quad B_{o}(x)=1
$$

From this, we readily establish that

$$
B_{n}(x)=x^{n} /(x)_{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots .
$$

Hence, we have derived the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{r}\right)^{-1}=g(1, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(x)_{n}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n^{2}}}{\left\{(x)_{n}\right\}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable region of convergence (actually, for $|x|<1$.) This establishes the result.
Also solved by G. Lord and P. Tracy.

## SUB PRODUCT

H-252 Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, California.
Let $A_{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ lower semi-matrix and $B_{n \times n}, C_{n \times n}$ be matrices such that $A_{n \times n} B_{n \times n}=C_{n \times n}$. Let $A_{k \times k}, B_{k \times k}, C_{k \times k}$ be the $k \times k$ upperleft submatrices of $A_{n \times n}, B_{n \times n}$, and $C_{n \times n}$. Show $A_{k \times k} B_{k \times k}=C_{k \times k}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$.
Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago Circle, Illinois.
Let $a_{i j}, b_{i j}$ and $c_{i j}$ denote the entries of $A, B$ and $C$, respectively $(i, j=1,2, \cdots, n)$. By hypothesis,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{i r} b_{r j}=c_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \cdots, n  \tag{1}\\
a_{i r}=0 \text { if } i<r
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (1) and (2), we thus have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{i} a_{i r} b_{i j}=c_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \cdots, n . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we impose the restriction: $i \leqslant k$, where $k \leqslant n$, then in view of (2) we may as well extend the sum in (3) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{k} a_{i r} b_{r j}=c_{i j}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, k, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{k} a_{i r} b_{r j}=c_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \cdots, k . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to the desired result.

## TRIPLE PLAY

H-253 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
(\beta-1)_{n}+t+1
\end{array}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1}\binom{n-k-1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(-1)^{n+m+k+1}\binom{j}{m} \\
& \times \sum_{r=0}^{n+m-t-j-1^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
n+m-j-t-r-1
\end{array}\right)\binom{2 j+r-1}{r}=2^{n-k-1}\binom{\beta n}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is an arbitrary complex number and $n$ and $k$ are positive integers, $k<n$.
This identity, in the case $\beta=2$, arose in solving a combinatorial problem in two different ways.
Solution by the Proposer.
To prove the identity we replace $n$ by $n+k+1$ and use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{a+\beta k}{k} w^{k}=\frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w x^{\beta}-x+1=0$. This follows from the Lagrange expansion formula (cf. Pólya and Szegö, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, I, Berlin, Springer, 1954, p. 125).
From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{n}\binom{(\beta n+\beta k+\beta}{k} w^{k}=\frac{2^{n} x^{\beta n+\beta+1}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w x^{\beta}-x+1=0$. Also from (1) we get

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{k}\binom{(\beta-1)(n+k)+t+\beta}{t} w^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(-1)^{n+m}\binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n+k+m-t-j}\binom{j}{n+k+m-j-t-r}\binom{2 j+r-1}{r}
$$

$$
=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^{k} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\binom{(\beta-1)(n+k)+\beta t+\beta}{t} w^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(-1)^{n+m}\binom{i}{m}
$$

$$
x \sum_{r=0}^{n+k+m-j}\binom{j}{n+k+m-j-r}\binom{2 j+r-1}{r}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^{k}\left(\frac{x^{(\beta-1)(n+k)+\beta+1}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{m=0}^{j}(-1)^{n+j-m}\binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n+k-m}\binom{j}{n+k-m-r}\binom{2 j+r-1}{r}
$$

where $w x^{\beta}-x+1=0$.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^{k} x^{(\beta-1)(n+k)} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(-1)^{n+j-m}\binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n+k-m}\binom{j}{n+k-m-r}\binom{2 j+r-1}{r} \\
& \quad=\frac{x^{\beta+1} w^{-n}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\binom{2 j+r-1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{k+r} \sum_{m=0}^{m i n}\{k, j\} \\
& (-1)^{n+j+m}\binom{j}{m}\binom{j}{k-m} \\
& \quad=\frac{x^{\beta+1} w^{-n}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\left(1-x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{-2 j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+j+m}\binom{j}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{j}{k}\left(x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{k+m}=
\end{aligned}
$$

[Continued on page 192.]
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DEFINITIONS
The Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ and the Lucas numbers $L_{n}$ satisfy

$$
F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}, \quad F_{0}=0, \quad F_{1}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad L_{n+2}=L_{n+1}+L_{n}, \quad L_{0}=2, \quad L_{1}=1 .
$$

PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE
B-352 Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, Califomia.
Let $S_{n}$ be defined by $S_{O}=1, S_{1}=2$, and

$$
S_{n+2}=2 S_{n+1}+c S_{n} .
$$

For what value of $c$ is $S_{n}=2^{n} F_{n+1}$ for all nonnegative integers $n$ ?
B-353 Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, California.
For $k$ and $n$ integers with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, let $A(k, n)$ be defined by $A(0, n)=1=A(n, n), A(1,2)=c+2$, and

$$
A(k+1, n+2)=c A(k, n)+A(k, n+1)+A(k+1, n+1) .
$$

Also let $S_{n}=A(0, n)+A(1, n)+\cdots+A(n, n)$. Show that

$$
S_{n+2}=2 S_{n+1}+c S_{n}
$$

## B-354 Proposed by Phil Mana, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Show that

$$
F_{n+k}^{3}-L_{k}^{3} F_{n}^{3}+(-1)^{k} F_{n-k}\left[F_{n-k}^{2}+3 F_{n+k} F_{n} L_{k}\right]=0
$$

B-355 Proposed by Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, Pennsy/vania.
Show that

$$
F_{n+k}^{3}-L_{3 k} F_{n}^{3}+(-1)^{k} F_{n-k}^{3}=3(-1)^{n} F_{n} F_{k} F_{2 k}
$$

## B-356 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, Virginia.

Let

$$
S_{n}=F_{2}+2 F_{4}+3 F_{6}+\cdots+n F_{2 n}
$$

Find $m$ as a function of $n$ so that $F_{m+1}$ is an integral divisor of $F_{m}+S_{n}$.

## B-357 Proposed by Frank Higgins, Naperville, Illinois.

Let $m$ be a fixed positive integer and let $k$ be a real number such that

$$
2 m \leqslant \frac{\log (\sqrt{5} k)}{\log a}<2 m+i
$$

where $a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. For how many positive integers $n$ is $F_{n} \leqslant k$ ?

## SOLUTIONS

## SUM OF SQUARES AS A. P.

B-328 Proposed by Walter Hansell, Mill Valley, California, and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose, California
Show that

$$
6\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\cdots+n^{2}\right)
$$

is always a sum

$$
m^{2}+\left(m^{2}+1\right)+\left(m^{2}+2\right)+\cdots+\left(m^{2}+r\right)
$$

of consecutive integers, of which the first is a perfect square.
Solution by Bob Prielipp, The University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh.
Since

$$
6\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\cdots+n^{2}\right)=n(n+1)(2 n+1)=(2 n+1) n^{2}+[2 n(2 n+1)] / 2
$$

and

$$
m^{2}+\left(m^{2}+1\right)+\left(m^{2}+2\right)+\cdots+\left(m^{2}+r\right)=(r+1) m^{2}+[r(r+1)] / 2,
$$

the desired result follows upon letting $m=n$ and $r=2 n$.
Also solved by Wray G. Brady, Frank Higgins, Mike Hoffman, Herta T. Freitag, Graham Lord, Jeffrey Shallit, Sahib Singh, Gregory Wulczyn, David Zeitlin, and the Proposers.

## UNVEILING AN IDENTITY

B-329 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, Virginia.
Find $r, s$, and $t$ as linear functions of $n$ such that $2 F_{r}^{2}-F_{s} F_{t}$ is an integral divisor of $L_{n+2}+L_{n}$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$.
Solution by Mike Hoffman, Warner Robins, Georgia.
Let

$$
a=1 / 2(1+\sqrt{5}) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=1 / 2(1-\sqrt{5}) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 F_{r}^{2}-F_{s} F_{t} & =2\left(\frac{a^{r}-\beta^{r}}{\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{a^{s}-\beta^{s}}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\left(\frac{a^{t}-\beta^{t}}{\sqrt{5}}\right) \\
& =2 \frac{a^{2 r}-2(a \beta)^{r}+\beta^{2 r}}{5}-\frac{a^{s+t}-a^{s} \beta^{t}-\beta^{s} a^{t}+\beta^{s+t}}{5} \\
& =\frac{2 a^{2 r}+2 \beta^{2 r}-a^{s+t}-\beta^{s+t}-4(a \beta)^{r}+a^{s} \beta^{t}+a^{t} \beta^{s}}{5} \\
& =\frac{2 L_{2 r}-L_{s+t}-4(a \beta)^{r}+(a \beta)^{t}\left(a^{s-t}+\beta^{s-t}\right)}{5} \\
& =\frac{2 L_{2 r}-L_{s+t}+L_{s-t}(-1)^{t}-4(-1)^{r}}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Binet form for the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, as well as the fact $a \beta=-1$. Now put $r=n+3, s=n+3$, and $t=n-1$. The above becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 F_{r}^{2}-F_{s} F_{t} & =\frac{2 L_{2 n+2}-L_{2 n+1}+L_{3}(-1)^{n-1}-4(-1)^{n+1}}{5} \\
& =\frac{L_{2 n+2}+L_{2 n+2}-L_{2 n+1}+4(-1)^{n-1}-4(-1)^{n+1}}{5}=\frac{L_{2 n+2}+L_{2 n}}{5}=F_{2 n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have
for positive integers $n$.

$$
L_{2 n+2}+L_{2 n}=5\left(2 F_{r}^{2}-F_{s} F_{t}\right)
$$

Also solved by the Proposer.
FINDING A G.C.D.
B-330 Proposed by George Berzsenyi, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas.
Let

$$
G_{n}=F_{n}+29 F_{n+4}+F_{n+8} .
$$

Find the greatest common divisor of the infinite set of integers $\left\{G_{0}, G_{1}, G_{2}, \cdots\right\}$.
Solution by Graham Lord, Universite Laval, Quebec, Canada.
It is easy to show that $G_{n}=36 F_{n+4}$ by using repeatedly the classical Fibonacci recursion relation. Hence, as two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are relatively prime, the g.c.d. of the numbers $G_{0}, G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots$, is equal to 36.

Also solved by Wray G. Brady, Herta T. Freitag, Frank Higgins, Mike Hoffman, Bob Prielipp, Jeffrey Shallit, Sahib Singh, Gregory Wulczyn, David Zeitlin, and the Proposer.

## SOME FIBON ACCI SQUARES MOD 24

B-331 Proposed by George Berzsenyi, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas.
Prove that $F_{6 n+1}^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 24)$.
Solution by Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, Pennsy/vania.
A congruence table of $F_{n}$ (modulo 24) is

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{n}(\bmod 24)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 0 |


| $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $F_{n}(\bmod 24)$ | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 17 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Hence $F_{6 n+1} \equiv 1,13,17,5(\bmod 24)$ and $F_{6 n+1}^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 24)$.
Also solved by Herta T. Freitag, Frank Higgins, Mike Hoffman, Graham Lord, Bob Prielipp, Sahib Singh, David Zeitlin, and the Proposer.

## ONE SINGLE AND ONE TRIPLE PART

## B-332 Proposed by Phil Mana, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Let $a(n)$ be the number of ordered pairs of integers $(r, s)$ with both $0 \leqslant r \leqslant s$ and $2 r+s=n$. Find the generating function

$$
A(x)=a(0)+x a(1)+x^{2} a(2)+\cdots
$$

Solution by Graham Lord, Universite Laval, Quebec, Canada.
If $s$ is written as $r+t$, where $t \geqslant 0$ then the decomposition $n=2 r+s$ is the same as $3 r+t$, where the only restriction on $r$ and $t$ is that they be nonnegative integers. Thus $a(n)$ counts the number of partitions of $n$ in the form $3 r+t$ and so has the generating function

$$
A(x)=\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots\right) \cdot\left(1+x^{3}+x^{6}+x^{9}+\cdots\right)=\left[(1-x)(1-x)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\right]^{-1} .
$$

Also solved by Wray G. Brady, Frank Higgins, Mike Hoffman, Sahib Singh, Gregory Wulczyn, and the Proposer.

## BIJECTION IN $Z^{+} \times Z^{+}$

B-333 Proposed by Phil Mana, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
Let $S_{n}$ be the set of ordered pairs of integers $(a, b)$ with both $0<a<b$ and $a+b \leqslant n$. Let $T_{n}$ be the set of ordered pairs of integers ( $c, d$ ) with both $0<c<d<n$ and $c+d>n$. For $n \geqslant 3$, establish at least one bijection (i.e., 1-to-1 corresp ondence) between $S_{n}$ and $T_{n+1}$.
I. Solution by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, Virginia; Frank Higgins, Naperville, Illinois; and the Proposer (each separately).
or inversely,

$$
c=b \quad \text { and } \quad d=n+1-a
$$

$$
a=n+1-d \quad \text { and } \quad b=c .
$$

## II. Solution by Mike Hoffman, Warner Robins, Georgia; and the Proposer (separately).

$$
c=n+1-b \quad \text { and } \quad d=n+1-a
$$

or, inversely,

$$
a=n+1-d \quad \text { and } \quad b=n+1-c .
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $a+b \leqslant n$ if and only if $c+d>n$ and hence that each of I and II gives a one-to-one correspondence.
[Continued from page 188.]

## ADV ANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{x^{\beta+1} w^{-n}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\left(1-x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{-2 j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+j+m}\binom{j}{m}\left(x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{m}\left(1+x^{\beta-1} w\right)^{j} \\
& =\frac{x^{\beta+1}(-w)^{-n}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j}\left(\frac{1+x^{\beta-1} w}{1-x^{\beta-1} w}\right)^{j}=\frac{x^{\beta+1}(-w)^{-n}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta)}\left(\frac{-2 x^{\beta-1} w}{1-x^{\beta-1} w}\right)^{n} \\
& =\frac{x^{\beta+1} 2^{n}}{((1-\beta) x+\beta)}\left(\frac{x^{\beta-1}}{1-x^{\beta-1} w}\right)^{n}=\frac{2^{n} x^{\beta n+\beta+1}}{(1-\beta) x+\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (1), it is clear that we have proved the identity.

## CORRECTION

H-267 (Corrected)
Show that

$$
S(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k n+1)^{n-1} X^{n}}{n!}
$$

satisfies $S(x)=e^{x S^{k}(x)}$.
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