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## ABSTRACT

The generalized Fibonacci numbers $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$,

$$
u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}, u_{1}=a, u_{2}=b,(a, b)=1,
$$

induce a unique additive partition of the set of positive integers formed by two disjoint subsets such that no two distinct elements of either subset have $u_{n}$ as their sum. We examine the values of a special function

$$
E_{n}(m)=m u_{n-1}-u\left[m u_{n-1} / u_{n}\right], m=1,2, \ldots, u_{n}-1, n \geqslant 2,
$$

and find relationships to the additive partition of $N$ as well as to $W y-$ thoff's pairs and to representations of integers using the double-ended sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and the extended sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-m}^{\infty}$. We write a Zeckendorf theorem for double-ended sequences and show completeness for the extended sequences.

## 1. TABULATION OF $E_{n}(m)$ FOR THE FIBONACCI AND LUCAS SEQUENCES

We begin with the ordinary Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$, where $F_{1}=1$, and $F_{2}=1$, and $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$. We tabulate and examine a special function $E_{n}(m)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(m)=m F_{n-1}-F_{n}\left[m F_{n-1} / F_{n}\right], m=1,2, \ldots, F_{n}-1, n \geqslant 2, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer function. Notice that $n=2$ gives the trivial $E_{2}(m)=0$ for all $m$, while $E_{3}(m)$ is 1 for $m$ odd and for $m$ even.

The table of values for $E_{n}(m)$ (Table 1.1 ) reveals many immediate patterns. First, $E_{n}(m)$ is periodic with period $F_{n}$, and the 2 th term in the
cycle is $E_{n}(r)=r F_{n-1}\left(\bmod F_{n}\right)$. We could easily show, using properties of modular arithmetic and of the greatest integer function, that

$$
E_{n}(1)=F_{n-1}, E_{n}(2)=F_{n-3}, E_{n}(3)=L_{n-2}, E_{n}(4)=2 F_{n-3} .
$$

Also, counting from the end of a cycle, we have

$$
E_{n}(-1)=F_{n-2}, E_{n}(-2)=2 F_{n-2}, E_{n}(-3)=F_{n-4}, E_{n}(-4)=L_{n-3},
$$

which also can be established by elementary methods, but these apparent patterns are not the main thrust of this paper.

TABLE 1.1
VALUES OF $E_{n}(m)$ FOR THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

| $E_{n}(m):$ | $\begin{gathered} n=4 \\ 2 m-3\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=5 \\ 3 m-5\left[\frac{3 m}{5}\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=6 \\ 5 m-8\left[\frac{5 m}{8}\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=7 \\ 8 m-13\left[\frac{8 m}{13}\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=8 \\ 13 m-21\left[\frac{13 m}{21}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m=1$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| $m=2$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $m=3$ | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| $m=4$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| $m=5$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $m=6$ | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| $m=7$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| $m=8$ | 1 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 20 |
| $m=9$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| $m=10$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $m=11$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| $m=12$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| $m=13$ | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $m=14$ | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| $m=15$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| $m=16$ | 2 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 19 |
| $m=17$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| $m=18$ | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $m=19$ | 2 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| $m=20$ | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| $m=21$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 0 |

We need two other number sequences, derived from the Fibonacci numbers. We write the disjoint sets $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$, which are formed by making a $1984]$
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partition of the positive integers such that no two distinct members from $A$ and no two distinct members from $B$ have a sum which is a Fibonacci number. We also write the first few Wythoff pairs ( $a_{n}, b_{n}$ ) [1] for inspection.

| $n$ | $A_{n}$ | $B_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 6 | 5 |
| 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 |
| 6 | 11 | 12 |
| 7 | 14 | 13 |
| 8 | 16 | 15 |
| 9 | 17 | 18 |
| 10 | 19 | 20 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |


| $n$ | $a_{n}$ | $b_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 8 | 12 | 20 |
| 9 | 14 | 23 |
| 10 | 16 | 26 |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |

We note that the Wythoff pairs are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=[n \alpha] \quad \text { and } \quad b_{n}=\left[n \alpha^{2}\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer contained in $x$ and $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the Golden Section ratio. Also, $b_{n}=a_{n}+n$, and $a_{n}$ is the smallest integer not yet used. It is also true that no two distinct $b_{n}$ 's have a Fibonacci number as their sum, and that $\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset\left\{B_{n}\right\}$.

Now, examine the periods of the values of $E_{n}(m)$ :
$n=5:$
$n=6:$
$n=7:$
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 1 \\ 4\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{ll}3 \\ a_{n} & 1\end{array}\right\} A_{n}$
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 2 \\ 7\end{array}\right\} b_{n} \quad \begin{array}{l}5 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 4\end{array}\right\} B_{n}$
2\} $\left.b_{n} \begin{array}{l}4 \\ 2\end{array}\right\} B_{n}$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
1 \\
6 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & 1 \\
6 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} A_{n}
$$
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$$
n=8:
$$



Notice that the integers 1, 2, 3, ..., $F_{n}-1$, all appear, but not in natural order. Each cycle is made up of early values of $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, and of early values of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$, not in order, but without omissions.

If we apply $E_{n}(m)$ to the Lucas numbers $L_{n}$, defined by $L_{1}=1, L_{2}=3$, $L_{n+2}=L_{n+1}+L_{n}$, so that we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(m)=m L_{n-1}-L_{n}\left[m L_{n-1} / L_{n}\right], m=1,2, \ldots, L_{n}-1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get the integers 1, 2, 3, ..., $L_{n}-1$ in some order. Recall our generalized Wythoff numbers $a_{n}, b_{n}$, and $c_{n}[1, \mathrm{p} .200$. We obtain within each cycle a segment of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$, a segment of $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$, and a segment of $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, where each segment is complete (the first few terms of each sequence without omission, but not in order). This same cycle contains the first few terms of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, out of order, but without omissions, followed by the first few terms of $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$, where $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ is the unique split of the positive integers induced by the Lucas sequence such that no two elements of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, and no two elements of $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$, have a Lucas number for their sum.

To illustrate the Lucas case, we write the first twelve values of the generalized Wythoff numbers, and early values of the partition sets:

| $n$ | $a_{n}$ | $b_{n}$ | $c_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| 3 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
| 4 | 8 | 14 | 13 |
| 5 | 11 | 18 | 17 |
| 6 | 12 | 21 | 20 |


| $n$ | $A_{n}$ | $B_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 |
| 6 | 11 | 13 |

```
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\begin{tabular}{rlll}
\(n\) & \(a_{n}\) & \(b_{n}\) & \(c_{n}\) \\
\hline 7 & 15 & 25 & 24 \\
8 & 16 & 28 & 27 \\
9 & 19 & 32 & 31 \\
10 & 22 & 36 & 35 \\
11 & 23 & 39 & 38 \\
12 & 26 & 43 & 42
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
\(n\) & \(A_{n}\) & \(B_{n}\) \\
\hline 7 & 12 & 14 \\
8 & 15 & 17 \\
9 & 16 & 18 \\
10 & 19 & 20 \\
11 & 22 & 21 \\
12 & 23 & 24 \\
13 & 26 & 25 \\
14 & 27 & 28
\end{tabular}

Now, examine the periods of values of \(E_{n}(m)\) for the Lucas sequence:


For comparison, the generalized Wythoff numbers are formed by letting \(a_{n}\) be the smallest positive integer not yet used, letting \(c_{n}=b_{n}-1\), and
forming \(b_{n}=a_{n}+d_{n}\), where \(d_{n} \neq b_{k}+1\). Letting the generalized Wythoff numbers be denoted with an asterisk, we can express them in terms of Wythoff pair numbers as
\[
a_{n}^{*}=2 a_{n}-n, \quad b_{n}^{*}=b_{n}+n=a_{n}+2 n, \quad c_{n}^{*}=a_{n}+2 n-1=a_{a_{n}}+n
\]

It is also true that \(a_{i}^{*}+a_{j}^{*} \neq L_{m}, b_{i}^{*}+b_{j}^{*} \neq L_{m}\), and the Lucas generalized Wythoff numbers and the Lucas partition sets have the subset relationships \(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset\left\{A_{n}\right\}\) and \(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset\left\{B_{n}\right\}\).

\section*{2. ZECKENDORF THEOREM FOR DOUBLE-ENDED SEQUENCES}

Before considering representations and additive partitions regarding the generalized Fibonacci sequence \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-m}^{\infty}\), where \(u_{1}=a\) and \(u_{2}=b, u_{n+2}=\) \(u_{n+1}+u_{n}\), we consider representations of the integers in terms of specialized \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}\), where \(u_{1}=1\) and \(u_{2}=p\).

Theorem 2.1 (Zeckendorf Theorem for double-ended sequences): Let \(p \geqslant 1\) be a positive integer, and let \(u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}, u_{1}=1, u_{2}=p\). Then every positive integer has a representation from \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\), provided that no two consecutive \(u_{j}\) are in the same representation.

Proof: We need to recall two major results from earlier work. David Klarner [2] has proved

Klarner's Theorem: Given the nonnegative integers \(A\) and \(B\), there exists a unique set of integers \(\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \ldots, k_{r}\right\}\) such that
\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=F_{k_{1}}+F_{k_{2}}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}} \\
& B=F_{k_{1}+1}+F_{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}+1}
\end{aligned}
\]
for \(\left|k_{i}-k_{j}\right| \geqslant 2, i \neq j\), where each \(F_{i}\) is an element of the sequence \(\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\).

When \(u_{1}=1\) and \(u_{2}=p\), we know from earlier work that
\[
u_{n+1}=p F_{n}+F_{n-1}
\]
for all integral \(n\). Next, if we wish a representation of an integer \(m>0\), we merely solve
\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=0=F_{k_{1}+1}+F_{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}+1} \\
& B=m=F_{k_{1}}+F_{k_{2}}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}}
\end{aligned}
\]
which has a unique solution by Klarner's Theorem. A constructive method of solution is given in [3]. Thus,
\[
\begin{aligned}
m & =u_{k_{1}+1}+u_{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+u_{k_{r}+1} \\
& =p\left(F_{k_{1}+1}+F_{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}+1}\right)+\left(F_{k_{1}}+F_{k_{2}}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

We note in passing that the representation we now have is independent of the explicit \(p>0\).

Theorem 2.2: The Fibonacci extended sequence is complete with respect to the integers.

Proof: Since 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ..., is complete with respect to the positive integers, one notes
\[
F_{-n}=(-1)^{n+1} F_{n},
\]
and, therefore, one can pick out an arbitrarily large negative Fibonacci number. Consider \(M\) an arbitrary negative integer, and there exists a Fibonacci number \(F_{-k}\) such that \(F_{-k}<M<0\). Now, \(M-F_{-k}=N\), which is positive and has a Zeckendorf representation using Fibonacci numbers, and \(M=N+F_{-k}\) is the representation we seek.

Since \(u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}\), if it consists of positive integers as \(n \rightarrow \infty\), then, as \(n \rightarrow-\infty\), the terms become alternating and negatively very large. Thus, the same thing holds for the generalized Fibonacci numbers once we know that they are complete with respect to the positive integers, finishing the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Completeness of the generalized sequence \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\) is equivalent to showing that every positive integer is expressible as the sum of a subsequence \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-m}^{\infty}, m>0\), where \(m\) is independent of the integer chosen. We show some special cases:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Case 1: & \(p=1\) & \(1,1,2,3,5, \ldots\) & Already complete. \\
Case \(2:\) & \(p=2\) & \(1,2,3,5,8, \ldots\) & Already complete. \\
Case 3: & \(p=3\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(1,3,4,7,11, \ldots\)
\end{tabular} \\
& &
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Case & \(p=4\) & 1, 4, 5, 9, 14, 23, ... Complete when \(u_{0}=3\) and \(u_{-1}=-2\) are added to the sequence. \\
\hline Case & \(p=5\) & \(1,5,6,11,17, \ldots \quad\) Complete when \(u_{0}=4\) and \(u_{-1}=-3\) are added to the sequence. \\
\hline Case & \(p=6\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(1,6,7,13,20, \ldots\) \\
Complete when \(u_{0}=5, u_{-1}=-4\), and \(u_{-2}=9\) are added.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Case & \(p=7\) & \(1,7,8,15,23, \ldots \quad\) Complete when \(u_{0}=6, u_{-1}=-5, u_{-2}=11\), and \(u_{-3}=-16\) are added. \\
\hline Case & \(p=8\) & 1, 8, 9, 17, 26, ... Becomes complete when \(u_{0}=7, u_{-1}=-6, u_{-2}=13\), and \(u_{-3}=-19\) are added. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Next we consider the generalized Fibonacci sequence.
Theorem 2.3: Let \(u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}\), where \(u_{1}=a, u_{2}=b\), and \((a, b)=1\), \(b \geqslant a \geqslant 1\). Then, every positive integer has a representation from \(\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\) provided that no two consecutive \(u_{j}\) are in the same representation.

Proof: It is known that the generalized Fibonacci numbers are related to the ordinary Fibonacci numbers by
\[
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=b F_{n}+\alpha F_{n-1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Let \(m\) be a positive integer, \(m \geqslant b\). Then we can always write
\[
m=b A+a B
\]
for some integers \(A\) and \(B\), since \((a, b)=1\). If both \(A\) and \(B\) are nonnegative, we are done, since the dual representation of \(A\) and \(B\), by Klarner's Theorem, leads to a representation of \(m\) via (2.1). If \(A\) or \(B\) is negative, notice that, since the ordered pair ( \(A, B\) ) is a lattice point for a line with slope \(-b / a\) and \(y\)-intercept \(m / a\), if we can add an arbitrarily large integer to \(m\), then we can raise the line so that it crosses the first quadrant and we will have nonnegative values for \(A\) and \(B\). Thus, choose \(u_{-k}<0\) with an absolute value sufficiently large, and we represent
\[
m-u_{-k}=b A^{*}+a B^{*}
\]
for \(A^{*}\) and \(B^{*}\) nonnegative. We then represent \(m-u_{-k}\) via Klarner's Theorem, and add \(u_{-k}\) to that representation to represent \(m\). Similarly, if \(m<b\), since the negatively subscripted terms of \(u_{n}\) become negatively as large as we please, choose \(u_{-k}<0\) so that \(m-u_{-k}>b\), represent \(m-u_{-k}\)
as above, and then add \(u_{-k}\) to the representation.

\section*{3. A PATTERN ARISING FROM KLARNER'S}

\section*{DUAL ZECKENDORF REPRESENTATION}

Recall the Klarner dual Zeckendorf representation given in Section 2, where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=F_{k_{1}+1}+F_{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}+1}=0 \\
& B=F_{k_{1}}+F_{k_{2}}+\cdots+F_{k_{r}}=n
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(n=1,2,3, \ldots,\left|k_{i}-k_{j}\right| \geqslant 2, i \neq j\), and \(F_{j}\) comes from \(\left\{F_{j}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\). The constructive method for solving for the subscripts \(k_{j}\) to represent \(A\) and \(B\) described in our earlier work [3] leads to a symbolic display with a generous sprinkling of Lucas numbers. Here we use only two basic formulas,
\[
u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad 2 u_{n}=u_{n+1}+u_{n-2}
\]

This allows us to push both right and left. We continue to add \(F_{-1}=1\) at each step, using the rules given to simplify the result. For example, for \(n=1\), we have \(F_{-1}=1\). For \(n=2, F_{-1}+F_{-1}=2 F_{-1}=F_{0}+F_{-3}=2\). For \(n=3, F_{-1}+F_{0}+F_{-3}\) becomes \(F_{1}+F_{-3}=1+2=3\). We display Table 3.1. Strangely enough, the Wythoff pairs sequences enter into this again. The basic column centers under \(F_{-1}\). The display is for expressions for \(B\) only; \(A\) is a translation of one space to the right. At each step, \(B=n\), and \(A=0\).

From Table 3.1, many patterns are discernible. There are always the same number of successive entries in a given column. Under \(F_{-2}\) there are \(L_{1}\); under \(F_{-3}, L_{2}\); under \(F_{-4}, L_{3}\); and under \(F_{-5}, L_{4}\). Under \(F_{-6}\) there are \(L_{5}\) successive entries, starting with \(B=30\), and under \(F_{-7}\) there are \(L_{6}\) successive entries. On the line for \(B=47\), there are only two entries, one corresponding to \(F_{-9}=34\) and one to \(F_{7}=13\), so that \(34+13=47\) as required, while \(F_{-8}=-21\) and \(F_{8}=21\) have a zero sum as required.

The columns to the right of \(F_{-1}\) (under \(F_{0}\), for instance) have \(L_{n} \pm 1\) alternately successive entries, but the same numbers of successive entries always appear in the columns. Once we have all spaces cleared except the
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extreme edges in the pattern being built, we start again in the middle, as in line 48 or line 19.

TABLE 3.1

4. REPRESENTATIONS AND ADDITIVE PARTITIONS FOR

THE SEQUENCE \(1,4,5,9,14,23, \ldots\)
We make the following array:
\[
\begin{aligned}
A_{n} & =\text { first positive integer not yet used } \\
B_{1}(n) & =B_{n}-2 \\
B_{2}(n) & =B_{n}-1 \\
B_{n} & =A_{n}+d_{n},
\end{aligned}
\]
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where \(d_{n} \neq A_{j}\) and goes through the complement of \(\left\{A_{n}\right\}\) in order, except we do not use \(B_{1}(n)\) opposite the second of a consecutive pair of \(A_{n}\); i.e., we do not use \(B_{1}(n)\) if \(A_{n}=A_{n-1}+1\). The underlined numbers in the following table cannot be used for \(d_{n}\).
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
\(n\) & \(A_{n}\) & \(B_{1}(n)\) & \(B_{2}(n)\) & \(B_{n}\) & \(d_{n}\) \\
\hline 0 & 0 & & & & \\
1 & \(\frac{1}{5}\) & \(\frac{2}{7}\) & 3 & 4 & 3 \\
2 & \(\frac{5}{6}\) & \(\frac{11}{16}\) & 12 & 9 & 4 \\
3 & \(\underline{10}\) & 17 & 13 & 7 \\
4 & \(\underline{14}\) & 21 & 22 & 23 & 8 \\
5 & \(\underline{15}\) & \(\underline{25}\) & 26 & 27 & 12 \\
6 & \(\underline{19}\) & 31 & 32 & 13 \\
7 & \(\underline{20}\) & \(\underline{34}\) & 35 & 36 & 16 \\
8 & \(\underline{24}\) & \(\underline{39}\) & 44 & 40 & 41 \\
10 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & 46 & 17 \\
\(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\)
\end{tabular}

We now have the following constant differences, where \(\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\) is a Wythoff pair:
\[
\begin{align*}
& B_{n+1}-B_{n}= \begin{cases}5, & n=a_{i} \\
4, & n=b_{j}\end{cases}  \tag{4.1}\\
& A_{n+1}-A_{n}= \begin{cases}4, & n=a_{i} \\
1, & n=b_{j}\end{cases} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
\]

A1ternately,
\[
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}=3 a_{n}-2 n=\left(2 n-a_{n}\right) \cdot 1+\left(a_{n}-n\right) \cdot 4  \tag{4.3}\\
& B_{n}=a_{n}+3 n=\left(2 n-a_{n}\right) \cdot 4+\left(a_{n}-n\right) \cdot 5 \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
\]

Apparently, \(d_{n} \neq B_{j}+1\) and \(d_{n} \neq B_{j}+2\).
This extends for the sequence \(1, p, p+1, \ldots, u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}\).
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We consider the general case for \((a, b)=1\), and
\[
u_{1}=a, u_{2}=b, u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n} .
\]

First we have a unique additive partition and the function
\[
\begin{gather*}
\text { ADDITIVE PARTITIONS OF THE POSITIVE INTEGERS } \\
\text { AND GENERALIZED FIBONACCI REPRESENTATIONS } \\
E_{n}(m)=m u_{n-1}-u_{n}\left[m u_{n-1} / u_{n}\right], m=1,2, \ldots, u_{n}-1 \tag{5.1}
\end{gather*}
\]
generates \(1,2,3, \ldots, u_{n}-1\), but, of course, not in natural order. One set of the additive partition includes \(1 \leqslant m \leqslant u_{n} / 2\), while the other has \(u_{n} / 2<m \leqslant u_{n}-1\). Suppose \(0<\alpha^{*}<b^{*}\); then the values of \(E_{n}(m)\) are split into \(b^{*}\) disjoint sets whose first elements are \(1,2,3, \ldots, a^{*}, \ldots, b^{*}\). The elements of the sets to the left of \(a^{*}\) are, correspondingly, 1 less, 2 less, 3 less, .... as we go to the left, while the sets between \(a^{*}\) and \(b^{*}\) have their values 1 less, 2 less, 3 less, ..., than \(b^{*}\). Each element satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{n+1}^{*}-\alpha_{n}^{\star}=b, n \in\left\{a_{k}\right\}, a_{k}=[k \alpha], \alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2},  \tag{5.2}\\
& \alpha_{n+1}^{*}-\alpha_{n}^{\star}=\alpha, n \in\left\{b_{k}\right\}, b_{k}=\left[k \alpha^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
\]

The \(a_{n}^{*}\) are the representations using
\[
a+\alpha_{2} b+\alpha_{3} u_{3}+\ldots, \alpha_{i}=0 \text { or } 1
\]
while we can show
\[
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}^{*}=\left(2 n-a_{n}\right) a+\left(a_{n}-n\right) b  \tag{5.3}\\
& b_{n}^{*}=\left(2 n-a_{n}\right) b+\left(a_{n}-n\right)(a+b) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
\]
because of the formula
\[
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=b F_{n}+a F_{n-1} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

Let \(\alpha^{*}=\left\{\alpha+\alpha_{2} u_{2}+\alpha_{3} u_{3}+\cdots\right\}\) in natural order. Then
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n}^{*} & =b F_{0}+\alpha F_{-1}+\alpha_{2}\left(b F_{1}+a F_{0}\right)+\cdots \\
& =b\left(F_{0}+\alpha_{2} F_{1}+\alpha_{3} F_{2}+\cdots\right)+\alpha\left(F_{-1}+\alpha_{2} F_{0}+\cdots\right) \\
& =a\left(2 n-\alpha_{n}\right)+b\left(a_{n}-n\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
since
\[
a_{n} \rightarrow n \rightarrow a_{n}-n \rightarrow 2 n-a_{n}
\]

Thus, once we know that \(a_{n+1}-a_{n}=2\) for \(n=a_{j}\) and \(a_{n+1}-a_{n}=1\) for \(n \neq a_{j}\), and \(u_{n+1}=b F_{n}+a F_{n-1}\), we have (5.3) and (5.4). Further, these \(a_{n}^{*}\) and \(b_{n}^{*}\) are the generalizations of the Wythoff pair numbers \(\alpha_{n}=[n \alpha]\) and \(b_{n}=\left[n \alpha^{2}\right]\) themselves \(\left(\alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\).
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6. REPRESENTATIONS AND ADDITIVE PARTITIONS ARISING FROM

TWO SUCCESSIVE FIBONACCI NUMBERS

It is well known that if we start with 1 and 2 , we get the Wythoff pairs and have a unique additive partition of the positive integers. Next, to see something else, take 2 and 3 . Since \((2,3)=1\), we still have the same additive partition of the positive integers, and the function \(E_{n}(m)\) of (5.1) and (1.1) still yields the residues mod \(F_{n}\), but our array changes in an interesting way.

TABLE 6.1
\(2,3,5,8,13,21, \ldots\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \(A_{n}\) & \(B_{n}\) \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 4 & 5 & 8 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 6 & 7 & 11 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 9 & 10 & 16 & 8 & 7 \\
\hline 12 & 13 & 21 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline 14 & 15 & & 11 & 12 \\
\hline & ... & . . & 14 & 13 \\
\hline & & & 16 & 15 \\
\hline \({ }^{a_{a_{n}}}\) & \(b_{n}\) & \(a_{b_{n}}\) & 17 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\(W=2\)} & \(W=3\) & 19 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note that, if we give \(a\) weight one and \(\bar{b}\) weight two, we have the weights abbreviated by \(W\) above. The successive values of \(E_{n}(m)\) are the same as before, but we now have a different split to look at. Note that \(A\) and \(B\) are formed so that no two elements of either set have 2, 3, 5, 8, 13,..., as their sum. Now let us look at \(E_{n}(m)\) for \(13=F_{n-1}\) and \(21=F_{n}\); i.e.,
\[
E_{n}(m)=13 m-21[13 m / 21]
\]

The twenty values in the cycle are:
\[
13,5,18,10,2,15,7,20,12,4,17,9,1,14,6,19,11,3,16,8 .
\]

The first 10 are elements of \(B_{n}\); the other 10 are \(A_{n}\). The first 8 have the form \(b_{n}\); the next 8 have the form \(\alpha_{\alpha_{n}}\); the last 4 have the form \(\alpha_{b_{n}}\).
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Now look at the array induced by 3 and 5 as a starting pair.
TABLE 6.2
\(3,5,8,13,21,34, \ldots\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & \(A_{n}\) & \(B_{n}\) \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 6 & 7 & 8 & 12 & 13 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 9 & 10 & 11 & 17 & 18 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 14 & 15 & 16 & 25 & 26 & 8 & 7 \\
\hline 19 & 20 & 21 & 33 & 34 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline 22 & 23 & 24 & 38 & 39 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{27
\(\ldots\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{28} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{29} & 46 & 47 & 14 & 13 \\
\hline & & & 51 & 52 & 16 & 15 \\
\hline & & & . . . & -•• & 17 & 18 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\underbrace{a_{a_{a_{n}}}}\)} & \(b_{a_{n}}\) & \(\alpha_{b_{n}}\) & \(a\) & \(b_{b_{n}}\) & 19 & 20 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(W=3\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\(W=4\)} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The \(A_{n}\) and \(B_{n}\) are the same as before.
Return to the values of \(E_{n}(m)\) for 13 and 21 given above. Notice that the first 3 values-13, 5, 18-come from \(b_{b_{n}}\); the next 5 from \(b_{\alpha_{n}}\); the next 3 from \(\alpha_{\alpha_{b_{n}}}\); then five from \(\alpha_{\alpha_{a_{n}}} ;\) and, lastly, 4 from \(a_{b_{n}}\). We begin to see familiar patterns emerging [4], [5].

We write the array induced by 5 and 8 in Table 6.3.
TABLE 6.3
\[
5,8,13,21,34,55, \ldots
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & & \(A_{n}\) & \(B_{n}\) \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 40 & 41 & 42 & 8 & 7 \\
\hline 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 53 & 54 & 55 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline 35 & 36 & 37 & 38 & 39 & 61 & 62 & 63 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline 43 & 44 & 45 & 46 & 47 & 74 & 75 & 76 & 14 & 13 \\
\hline \(\cdots\) & & . & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & & \(\ldots\) & \(\cdots\) & 16 & 15 \\
\hline \(\alpha_{\alpha_{a_{a}}}\) & \(b_{\alpha_{a_{n}}}\) & \(\alpha_{b_{a_{n}}}\) & \(a_{a_{b_{n}}}\) & \(b_{b_{n}}\) & \(a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}\) & ba & \(a_{b_{b_{n}}}\) & 17 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Five of weight 4} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Three of weight 5} & 19 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Since \(a_{n}\) and \(b_{n}\) are elements in complementary sets, the array on the left covers the positive integers. Note that the additive partition sequence \(A_{n}\) and \(B_{n}\) is the same for \((1,2),(2,3),(3,5),(5,8)\), and for all consecutive Fibonacci pairs.

Now, the weights mentioned under the arrays from \((2,3),(3,5)\), and ( 8,13 ) are precisely the unshortened sequence of 1 's and 2 's in the compositions of \(W\) (the weight) as laid out by our scheme in [4]. As each must end in a \(1=a_{1}\) or a \(2=b_{1}\), to get the proper representation, we simply replace 1 in each case by \(n\) and let \(n=1,2,3, \ldots\). This is a wonderful application of Wythoff pairs, Fibonacci representations, additive partitions of the positive integers, and the function \(E_{n}(m)\).

Before we prove all of this, we need some results for Wythoff's pairs from [1] and [5]. For Wythoff's pairs \(\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\),
\[
\begin{align*}
a_{b_{n}+1}-a_{b_{n}}= & 1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{\alpha_{n}+1}-a_{\alpha_{n}}=2  \tag{6.1}\\
& a_{a_{n}}+1=b_{n} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
\]

Return to the weights given in the array induced by 3 and 5 in Table 6.2. From (6.2), replacing \(n\) by \(a_{n}\), we get immediately that
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{a_{a_{n}}}+1=b_{a_{n}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Now,
\[
a_{\alpha_{\alpha_{n}}}+1=b_{\alpha_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{\alpha_{\alpha_{n}}+1}=a_{\alpha_{a_{n}}}+2
\]
from (6.1) rewritten as
\[
a_{a_{n}+1}=a_{a_{n}}+2
\]

Thus,
\[
b_{\alpha_{n}}+1=a_{a_{a_{n}}+1}=a_{b_{n}}
\]
as required.
We obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{a_{b_{n}}}+1=b_{b_{n}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
by replacing \(n\) by \(b_{n}\) in (6.2). These are all the weights appearing in Table 6.2.

Now, we move to Table 6.3, the array induced by 5 and 8, and examine the weights. From (6.2), by replacing \(n\) by \(\alpha_{a_{n}}\), we easily obtain
\[
a_{a_{a_{a_{n}}}}+1=b_{a_{a_{n}}} .
\]
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Then,
\[
a_{\alpha_{\alpha_{\alpha_{n}}}}+2=b_{\alpha_{\alpha_{n}}}+1=a_{\alpha_{a_{\alpha_{n}}}}+1=\alpha_{b_{a_{n}}} .
\]

Next, again from (6.2) with \(n\) replaced by \(b_{n}\) and then \(n\) replaced by \(a_{b_{n}}\), we have
\[
a_{a_{b_{n}}}+1=b_{b_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}+1=b_{a_{b_{n}}}
\]

Again using (6.2), we can also write
\[
b_{a_{b_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}+1}=a_{b_{b_{n}}} .
\]

This undoubtedly continues.
To get our next line of weighted 1 's, we simply add \(a_{n}\) to end each 1 of weight 4, and take those of weight 5 together with these. All of the following are of weight 5:
\[
\alpha_{a_{a_{a_{a_{n}}}}} \quad b_{a_{a_{a_{n}}}} \quad a_{b_{a_{a_{n}}}} \quad a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}} \quad b_{b_{a_{n}}} \quad a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} \quad b_{a_{b_{n}}} \quad a_{b_{b_{n}}}
\]

The five on the left end in \(\alpha_{n}\), and came from adding an \(\alpha_{n}\) to each 1 of weight 4; the three on the left ending in \(b_{n}\) are of weight 5 already.

To get the next five of weight 6 to the right, we add \(\alpha_{b_{n}}\) to the end of the weight \(3 a_{a_{a_{n}}}, b_{a_{n}}\), and \(\alpha_{b_{n}}\), then add \(b_{n}\) only to the weight \(4 a_{a_{b_{n}}}\) and \(b_{b_{n}}\) of Table 6.2, to form
\[
a_{a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}} \quad b_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} \quad a_{b_{a_{b_{n}}}} \text { and } \quad a_{a_{b_{b_{n}}}} \quad b_{b_{b_{n}}}
\]

Now, we would like to have
\[
\begin{equation*}
b_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

From \(a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1=b_{b_{a_{n}}}\), we have
\[
a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}}+2=b_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}+1}
\]

Now, \(a_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{b_{a_{n}}}\), so that
\[
a_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{b_{a_{n}}+1}=a_{a_{a_{a_{n}}+2}}=a_{a_{a_{a_{n}}+1}}=a_{a_{b_{n}}} .
\]

Thus, \(a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}}=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}\), establishing (6.5).
Finally, we write a complete proof based on (6.1) and (6.2). Notice that we have to show that the differences between successive columns are 1984]
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always 1 , except for the transition that comes between the columns headed by \(F_{n-1}\) and \(F_{n-1}+1\) in the array. Also, we need a rule for formuation.

The rule of formation of one array from the preceding array is as follows: To get the array with \(F_{n+1}\) columns, build up the left \(F_{n-1}\) and the right \(F_{n-2}\) columns of the array for \(F_{n}\) columns by extending the subscripts. Add \(a_{n}\) to the bottom of each subscript in the left part, copy down the right part next as is, and then copy down the old left part with \(b_{n}\) added to the bottom to get the rew right part.


Now, the entries before the dashed line in Lines 1, 2, 3, and 4 above are alternately odd- and even-subscripted Fibonacci numbers, while the entries on the far right are the next higher Fibonacci numbers if we replace \(n\) by 1. Thus, we have the sequence of representations in natural order.

We show that the columns always differ by one within the left part and within the right part. We count each \(a\) subscript \(l\) and each \(b\) subscript 2. Then the left part of Line 1 has weight 1 and the right part weight 2 , and the left part of Line 2 has weight 2 and the right part has weight 3 . The columns in the left part of Line 2 differ by 1 according to (6.2),
\[
a_{a_{n}}+1=b_{n}
\]
which generalizes to
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{\alpha_{A_{n}}}+1=b_{A_{n}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
for any \(A_{n}\). Next, for Line 3,
\[
\begin{array}{rlll}
a_{a_{n}} & b_{a_{n}} & a_{b_{n}} \mid & a_{a_{b_{n}}} \\
\text { Weight } 3 & b_{b_{n}} \\
\text { Weight } 4
\end{array}
\]
we have, from (6.2),
\[
b_{\alpha_{n}}+1=\alpha_{a_{a_{n}}}+2=\alpha_{\alpha_{a_{n}}+1}=\alpha_{b_{n}},
\]
and from (6.1) and (6.6),
\[
\begin{equation*}
b_{a_{A_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{A_{n}}}}+2=a_{a_{A_{A_{n}}}}=a_{b_{A_{n}}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
\]
so that
\[
a_{a_{b_{n}}}+1=b_{b_{n}}
\]
follows for \(A_{n}=b_{n}\).
Now, for
\[
\begin{array}{ccc:c}
a_{a_{a_{n}}} & b_{a_{a_{n}}} a_{b_{a_{n}}}^{*} & a_{a_{b_{n}}} & b_{b_{n}} \\
\text { Weight } 4 & a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} & b_{a_{b_{n}}} & a_{b_{b_{n}}} \\
& \text { Weight } 5
\end{array}
\]
note that all cases follow from earlier cases, except transition case 非, marked with an asterisk above:
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{b_{n}}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

But, \(\alpha_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{b_{a_{n}+1}}=a_{a_{a_{n}}+2}=a_{a_{a_{n}+1}}=a_{a_{b_{n}}}\).

We now display all of one more case:
\[
a_{a_{a_{a_{n}}}} b_{a_{a_{a_{n}}}} a_{b_{a_{a_{n}}}} a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}} b b_{b_{a_{n}}}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}} a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} b_{a_{b_{n}}} a_{b_{b_{n}}} \mid a_{a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}} b_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} a_{b_{a_{b_{n}}}} a_{a_{b_{b_{n}}}} b_{b_{b_{n}}}
\]

Weight 5
Weight 6
Note that all these columns differ by one within the left and right parts from earlier results, except transition case 非2, marked with an asterisk above,
\[
\begin{equation*}
b_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
\]
which is proved as follows:
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\[
b_{b_{a_{n}}}+1=a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1+1=a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}}+2=a_{a_{b_{a_{n}}}+1}=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}
\]
from (6.2) and (6.8), which was transition case 非1 above.
When we write the next line, our transition case will again be like \#1, as
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}}, \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
\]
proved from (6.1) and (6.9), which was transition case 非2 above, as
\[
a_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1=a_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}=a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}
\]

Next, the transition will again by 1ike \#2 above,
\[
\begin{equation*}
b_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
\]

\[
b_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}+1=a_{a_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}}+2=a_{a_{b_{b_{a_{n}}}}}+1=a_{a_{a_{a_{a_{b_{n}}}}}}
\]

The proof is now complete, by the principle of mathematical induction. That is, if, for the earlier cases, each term of the sequence plus 1 is the next one to the right, then, from the formation rules and general result (6.1), we get that it holds for the next case, but we have to prove the transition cases, since we get the results for each left and right part separately. To get a new left section, we add \(\alpha_{n}\) to the bottom of the old left section subscripts and use general result (6.7) and just copy down the right section as is, and these two parts are, separately, okay. The transition from left to right in the new left section is now proved in four cases by mathematical induction. The new right part, which is the former left part with \(b_{n}\) added on the end, yields to general result (6.1). This completes the discussion.

Suppose the line array, at some level, produces sequences whose elements cover the positive integers without overlap. Since \(a_{n}\) is added to the left portion to form the left part of the new left part and the \(b_{n}\) is added to the left portion to form the new right part, these two pieces
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cover all the integers together that we covered by the left part before, and the old right side is left intact, ao that the new line again covers the positive integers without overlap.
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\section*{1. INTRODUCTION}

In [3], the author considers the loci in the Euclidean plane satisfied by points whose Cartesian coordinates are pairs of successive members in recurrence sequences of a special type. The purpose of this paper is to extend that discussion.

We begin as in [1] and [3] by defining the general term of the sequence \(\left\{w_{n}(a, b ; p, q)\right\}\) as
\[
\begin{equation*}
w_{n+2}=p w_{n+1}-q w_{n}, w_{0}=a, w_{1}=b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(a, b, p, q\) belong to some number system, but are generally thought of as integers. In this paper, they will always be integers.

In [1], we find
\[
\begin{equation*}
w_{n} w_{n+2}-w_{n+1}^{2}=e q^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{equation*}
e=p a b-q a^{2}-b^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Combining (1.1) and (1.2) as in [3], we obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
q w_{n}^{2}-p w_{n} w_{n+1}+w_{n+1}^{2}+e q^{n}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
which, with \(w_{n}=x\) and \(w_{n+1}=y\), becomes
\[
\begin{equation*}
q x^{2}-p x y+y^{2}+e q^{n}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

The graph of (1.5) is a hyperbola if \(p^{2}-4 q>0\), an ellipse (or circle) if \(p^{2}-4 q<0\), and a parabola if \(p^{2}-4 q=0\) (degenerate cases excluded). The \(x y\) term can be eliminated by performing a counterclockwise rotation of the axes through the angle \(\theta\), where
\[
\begin{equation*}
\cot 2 \theta=\frac{1-q}{p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
using
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\[
\begin{align*}
& x=\bar{x} \cos \theta-\bar{y} \sin \theta \\
& y=\bar{x} \sin \theta+\bar{y} \cos \theta \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
\]

When \(q=1, \theta=\pi / 4\), and (1.5) becomes
\[
\begin{equation*}
(2-p) \bar{x}^{2}+(p+2) \bar{y}^{2}+2 e=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
\]
with
\[
\begin{equation*}
e=p a b-a^{2}-b^{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

When \(q \neq 1\), and therefore \(\theta \neq \pi / 4\), we let
\[
\begin{equation*}
r=\sqrt{p^{2}+(q-1)^{2}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting (1.7) into (1.5) and using the double angle formulas for \(\cos 2 \theta\) and \(\sin 2 \theta\), we find that
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}^{2}\left(\frac{q+1+(q-1) \cos 2 \theta-p \sin 2 \theta}{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\bar{y}^{2}\left(\frac{q+1-(q-1) \cos 2 \theta+p \sin 2 \theta}{2}\right)+e q^{n}=0
\end{aligned}
\]

Now, by (1.1), depending upon the values of \(q\) and \(p\), we have
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{q+1+p}{2}\right) \bar{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{q+1-p}{2}\right) \bar{y}^{2}+e q^{n}=0 \text { if } p<0  \tag{1.11}\\
\left(\frac{q+1-p}{2}\right) \bar{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{q+1+p}{2}\right) \bar{y}^{2}+e q^{n}=0 \text { if } p>0
\end{array}\right.
\]

We now consider the special cases when \(q=1\) (§2) and when \(q=-1\) (§3).

\section*{2. THE SPECIAL CASES WHEN \(q=1\)}

If \(p=2\), we have from (1.8) the degenerate conic \(2 \bar{y}^{2}=-e=(a-b)^{2}\) which gives rise to the parallel lines \(x-y=b-a\) and \(x-y=a-b\). The sequence of terms associated with this degenerate conic is
\[
\begin{equation*}
a, b, 2 b-a, 3 b-2 a, 4 b-3 a, 5 b-4 a, \ldots . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Since none of the successive pairs of (2.1) satisfy \(x-y=b-a\), we see that all pairs \(\left(w_{n}, w_{n+1}\right)\) of (2.1) 1ie on the line \(x-y=a-b\).

If \(p=-2\), the degenerate conic is \(2 \bar{x}^{2}=-e=(a+b)^{2}\), the sequence is
\[
\begin{equation*}
a, b,-2 b-a, 3 b+2 a,-4 b-3 a, 5 b+4 a, \ldots, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the successive pairs \(\left(w_{n}, w_{n+1}\right)\) of (2.2) satisfy \(x+y=a+b\) if \(n\) is even and \(x+y=-(a+b)\) when \(n\) is odd.

If \(p=0\), the sequence \(\left\{w_{n}(a, b ; 0,1)\right\}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
a, b,-a,-b, a, b,-a,-b, \ldots, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
\]
so that for (2.3) the only distinct pairs of successive coordinates on the circle \(x^{2}+y^{2}=-e=a^{2}+b^{2}\) are \((a, b),(b,-a),(-a,-b),(-b, a)\).

If \(p=1\), then equation (1.8) becomes \(\bar{x}^{2}+3 \bar{y}^{2}=2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-a b\right)\). But \(a^{2}+b^{2}-a b>0\) if \(a\) and \(b\) are not both zero, so the graph of (1.5) is always an ellipse with the equation \(x^{2}+y^{2}-x y=a^{2}+b^{2}-a b\). The sequence \(\left\{\omega_{n}(a, b ; 1,1)\right\}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
a, b, b-a,-a,-b,-b+a, a, b, \ldots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
\]

The only distinct pairs of successive coordinates on the ellipse for (2.4) are \((a, b),(b, b-a),(b-a,-a),(-a,-b),(-b,-b+a),(-b+a, a)\).

When \(p=-1\), equation (1.8) becomes \(3 \bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}=-2 e=2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+a b\right)\). If \(a\) and \(b\) are not both zero, then \(a^{2}+b^{2}+a b>0\), so that the graph of (1.5) with equation \(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}+a b\) is an ellipse. The sequence \(\left\{w_{n}(\alpha, b ;-1,1)\right\}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
a, b,-b-a, a, b,-b-a, \ldots, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
so that the only pairs of successive coordinates of (2.5) on the ellipse are \((a, b),(b,-b-a),(-b-a, a)\).

One might wonder about the case \(e=0\). Under this condition, since \(a\) and \(p\) are integers, we have \(p= \pm 2\), which has already been discussed, or \(a=b=0\), which is a trivial case.

For all other values of \(p\), the graph of (1.8), and hence of (1.5), is a hyperbola. Thus, there exists an infinte number of distinct pairs of integers ( \(w_{n}, w_{n+1}\) ) lying on each hyperbola for a given \(p\). The following facts help to characterize the hyperbola for a given \(p\).

If \(p>2\) and \(e<0\) or \(p<-2\) and \(e>0\), then the asymptotes for (1.5) are
\[
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{p \pm \sqrt{p^{2}-4}}{2} x, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
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the vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{\frac{-e}{p+2}},-\sqrt{\frac{-e}{p+2}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(-\sqrt{\frac{-e}{p+2}}, \sqrt{\frac{-e}{p+2}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
\]
the eccentricity is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{2 p}{p-2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{\frac{-2 p e}{p^{2}-4}},-\sqrt{\frac{-2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}\right) \text { and }\left(-\sqrt{\frac{-2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}, \sqrt{\frac{-2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{s-t}{p^{2}-4}, \frac{s+t}{p^{2}-4}\right),\left(\frac{s+t}{p^{2}-4}, \frac{s-t}{p^{2}-4}\right),\left(\frac{s+t}{4-p^{2}}, \frac{s-t}{4-p^{2}}\right),\left(\frac{s-t}{4-p^{2}}, \frac{s+t}{4-p^{2}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(s=(p+2) \sqrt{-e(p+2)}\) and \(t=-\sqrt{2 p e\left(p^{2}-4\right)}\).
If \(p>2\) and \(e>0\) or \(p<-2\) and \(e<0\), then the asymptotes and eccentricity are found by using (2.6) and (2.8). The vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{p-2}}, \sqrt{\frac{e}{p-2}}\right),\left(-\sqrt{\frac{e}{p-2}},-\sqrt{\frac{e}{p-2}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}, \sqrt{\frac{2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}\right),\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2 p e}{p^{2}-4}},-\sqrt{\frac{2 p e}{p^{2}-4}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{s_{1}-t_{1}}{p^{2}-4}, \frac{s_{1}+t_{1}}{p^{2}-4}\right),\left(\frac{s_{1}+t_{1}}{p^{2}-4}, \frac{s_{1}-t_{1}}{p^{2}-4}\right),  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left(\frac{s_{1}+t_{1}}{4-p^{2}}, \frac{s_{1}-t_{1}}{4-p^{2}}\right),\left(\frac{s_{1}-t_{1}}{4-p^{2}}, \frac{s_{1}+t_{1}}{4-p^{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
\]
where \(s_{1}=\sqrt{2 p e\left(p^{2}-4\right)}\) and \(t_{1}=(p-2) \sqrt{e(p-2)}\).

\section*{3. THE SPECIAL CASES WHEN \(q=-1\)}

Letting \(q=-1\) in (1.11) and simplifying, we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{2}-\bar{y}^{2}=\frac{2 e(-1)^{n}}{r}, p>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
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and
\[
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=\frac{2 e(-1)^{n}}{r}, p<0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where the values of \(e\) and \(r\) from (1.3) and (1.10) are now
\[
\begin{equation*}
e=p a b+a^{2}-b^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad r=\sqrt{p^{2}+4} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

The case \(p=0\) is trivial and, therefore, omitted.
Since (3.1) and (3.2) are always the equations of a hyperbola, unless \(e=0\), which is a trivial case, \(a=b=0\), there are always an infinite number of distinct pairs of integers \(\left(w_{n}, w_{n+1}\right)\) which lie on the original hyperbola of (1.5) for any value of \(p\), unless the sequence is cyclic. The following facts characterize the hyperbola for different values of \(p, e\), and \(n\).

The asymptotes of (1.5) which are perpendicular are always given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{p \pm p}{2} x, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the eccentricity is always 2, giving a rectangular hyperbola. These cases are in accord with the cases \(p=1\) and \(p=2\) given in [3].

If \(p>0\) and \(e(-1)^{n}>0\), then the vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
(u, v),(-u,-v), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
(u \sqrt{2}, v \sqrt{2}),(-u \sqrt{2},-v \sqrt{2}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{align*}
& (u \sqrt{2}-v, u+v \sqrt{2}),(u \sqrt{2}+v,-u+v \sqrt{2}), \\
& (-u \sqrt{2}-v, u-v \sqrt{2}),(-u \sqrt{2}+v,-u-v \sqrt{2}), \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(u=\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{e(-1)^{n}(r+2)}\) and \(v=\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{e(-1)^{n}(r-2)}\).
If \(p>0\) and \(e(-1)^{n}<0\), then the vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{1},-u_{1}\right),\left(-v_{1}, u_{1}\right), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(-v_{1} \sqrt{2}, u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right),\left(v_{1} \sqrt{2},-u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{1}-v_{1} \sqrt{2}, u_{1} \sqrt{2}+v_{1}\right),\left(u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{2},-u_{1} \sqrt{2}+v_{1}\right) \\
& \left(-u_{1}-v_{1} \sqrt{2}, u_{1} \sqrt{2}-v_{1}\right),\left(-u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{2},-u_{1} \sqrt{2}-v_{1}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(u_{1}=\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{e(-1)^{n+1}(r+2)}\) and \(v_{1}=\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{e(-1)^{n+1}(r-2)}\).
If \(p<0\) and \(e(-1)^{n}>0\), then the vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
(-u, v),(u,-v) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
(-u \sqrt{2}, v \sqrt{2}),(u \sqrt{2},-v \sqrt{2}) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{align*}
& (v-u \sqrt{2}, v \sqrt{2}+u),(v+u \sqrt{2},-v \sqrt{2}+u) \\
& (-v-u \sqrt{2}, v \sqrt{2}-u),(-v+u \sqrt{2},-v \sqrt{2}-u) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(u\) and \(v\) are as before.
If \(p<0\) and \(e(-1)^{n}<0\), then the vertices are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(-v_{1},-u_{1}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
\]
the foci are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{1} \sqrt{2}, u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right),\left(-v_{1} \sqrt{2},-u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the endpoints of the latera recta are
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{1} \sqrt{2}-u_{1}, v_{1}+u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right),\left(v_{1} \sqrt{2}+u_{1},-v_{1}+u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right) \\
& \left(-v_{1} \sqrt{2}-u_{1}, v_{1}-u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right),\left(-v_{1} \sqrt{2}+u_{1},-v_{1}-u_{1} \sqrt{2}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(u_{1}\) and \(v_{1}\) are as before.

\section*{4. CONCLUDING REMARKS}

Consider \(p>0\). Note that the hyperbola for \(e<0\) and \(n\) odd is the same as the hyperbola for \(e>0\) and \(n\) even for any pairs ( \(a, b\) ) giving the same value of \(e\), while the hyperbola for \(e<0\) and \(n\) even is the same as the hyperbola for \(e>0\) and \(n\) odd for any pair ( \(a, b\) ) giving the same \(e\). A similar statement holds if \(p<0\).

For the sequence \(Q=\left\{w_{n}(a, b ; p,-1)\right\}\), we know from (1.4) that
\[
p w_{n} w_{n+1}+w_{n}^{2}-w_{n+1}^{2}= \pm e
\]
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depending on whether \(n\) is even or odd. Let \(e<0\) for \(n=0\) and
\[
R=\left\{w_{n}\left(w_{2 m}, w_{2 m+1} ; p,-1\right)\right\}
\]

The successive pairs of \(Q\) and \(R\) lie on \(\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=\frac{-2 e}{r}\) if \(n\) is even and on \(\bar{x}^{2}-\bar{y}^{2}=\frac{-2 e}{r}\) if \(n\) is odd. Let
\[
S=\left\{w_{n}\left(w_{2 m+1}, w_{2 m+2} ; p,-1\right)\right\}
\]
then the successive pairs of \(S\) with \(n\) even lie on the same hyperbola as the successive pairs of \(Q\) with \(n\) odd. That is, they lie on
\[
\bar{x}^{2}-\bar{y}^{2}=\frac{2 e(-1)^{n}}{r}
\]

Furthermore, the successive pairs of \(S\) with \(n\) odd lie on the same hyperbola as the successive pairs of \(Q\) with \(n\) even. That is, they lie on
\[
\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=\frac{2 e(-1)^{n+1}}{r}
\]

We close by mentioning that the vertices for the Fibonacci sequence \(\left\{w_{n}(0,1 ; 1,-1)\right\}\) are
\[
\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}+2}{5}}, \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}-2}{5}}\right),\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}+2}{5}},-\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}-2}{5}}\right)
\]
when \(n\) is odd and
\[
\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}-2}{5}},-\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}+2}{5}}\right),\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}-2}{5}}, \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}+2}{5}}\right)
\]
when \(n\) is even. Furthermore, all the pairs ( \(F_{2 n}, F_{2 n+1}\) ) lie on the right half of the positive branch of \(\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=2 / r\) when \(n>0\), and on the left half of the positive branch of \(\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=2 / r\) when \(n<0\), so that no points \(\left(F_{n}, F_{n+1}\right)\) lie on the negative branch of the hyperbola. A similar remark holds for \(\left(F_{2 n+1}, F_{2 n+2}\right)\) and \(\bar{x}^{2}-\bar{y}^{2}=2 / r\).
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In a series of papers published over the past few years, [1], [2], a method called the combinatorics function technique, or CFT, was perfected to obtain the solution of any linear partial difference equation subject to a set of initial values. Fibonacci-like recursion relations are a special case of difference equations that could be solved by the CFT method. Although many applications of the CFT have been published elsewhere, [3], [4], the study here leads to original results and provides a natural generalization of the problem investigated by Hock and McQuistan in "Occupational Degeneracy for \(\lambda\)-Bell Particles on a Saturated \(\lambda \times N\) Lattice Space" [5].

For the reader who is not familiar with the CFT method, we summarize briefly the results of [2]. Consider a function \(B\) depending on \(n\) variables ( \(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, \ldots, m_{n}\) ). The evaluation point, \(M\), in the associated \(n\)-dimensional space whose coordinates are ( \(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\) ) and vector \(\mathbb{M}\), whose components are the same as the coordinates of point \(M\), will be used interchangeably for convenience. The multivariable function \(B\) is said to satisfy a partial difference equation when its value at point \(M, B\) (M), is linearly related to its values at shifted arguments such as \(\boldsymbol{M}-\mathbb{A}_{k}\), i.e.,
\[
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathbb{M})=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{A_{k}}(\mathbb{M}) B\left(\mathbb{M}-\mathbf{A}_{k}\right)+I(\mathbb{M}) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

The coefficients \(f_{A_{k}}(\mathbb{M})\) and the inhomogeneous term \(I(\mathbb{M})\) are assumed to be known and may not necessarily be constant. The problem to be investigated here is a difference equation with no inhomogeneous term, i.e.,
\[
\begin{equation*}
I(\mathbb{M})=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
one-dimensional ( \(n=1\) ), and with \(N=2\), thus corresponding to a three-term recursion relation.

The set formed by the \(N\) shifts or \(N\) displacement vectors, \(\mathbf{A}_{k}\), is denoted \(\mathscr{S}\);
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}=\left\{\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{N}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Generally, equation (1.1) holds for points \(M\) in the \(n\)-dimensional space belonging to a certain region, \(\mathscr{R}\), that may not necessarily be finite. The values of a function \(B\) at a boundary, called \(\mathscr{J}\), of region \(\mathscr{R}\), are also generally known as
\[
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\mathbf{J}_{\ell}\right)=\Lambda_{\ell} ; \ell=1,2, \ldots \text { and } \mathbf{J}_{\ell} \in \mathscr{J} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
\]

The evaluation points, \(J_{l}\), exhibited in equation (1.4) are referred to as boundary points for obvious reasons. Similarly, the region \(\mathscr{J}\) containing the boundary points \(J_{\ell}\) is interchangeably referred to as the set of boundary points or, simply, boundary set, while region \(\mathscr{R}\) is the set of points \(M\) for which equation (1.1) must hold.

Equation (1.1) and its boundary value conditions, equation (1.4), were first discussed by the author and collaborators in earlier papers [1] for the special case of a single-variable function \(B\) and therefore defined on a one-dimensional space \((n=1)\). Some applications were also discussed in connection with the Schrödinger equation with a central linear potential [3]. Equation (1.1) is not necessarily consistent with the boundary value condition (1.2). To obtain the consistency condition, it was essential to introduce in [2] a set, \(\mathscr{M}\), containing all points \(M\) in the \(n\)-dimensional space having the following property:

Every possible path reaching any point belonging to set \(\mathscr{M}\) by successive discrete displacement vectors \(\mathbf{A}_{k} \in \mathscr{A}\) should contain at least one point belonging to the boundary set \(\mathscr{J}\).

When such a relationship exists between two sets \(\mathscr{M}\) and \(\mathscr{J}\), then \(\mathscr{J}\) is called a full boundary of \(\mathscr{M}\) with respect to \(\mathscr{A}\). Also essential to the consistency problem was the notion of restricted discrete paths connecting a boundary point, say \(J_{l}\), to a point \(M\) belonging to set \(\mathscr{M}\). Such a restricted path, if it exists, does not contain any boundary point other than \(J_{l}\). In [2], we were able to show that there exists one and only one
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set, \(\mathscr{J}_{0}\), called the minimal full boundary of \(\mathscr{M}\) with respect to \(\mathscr{A}\), such that each and every element of \(\mathscr{g}_{0}\) can be connected to at least one element of \(\mathscr{M}\) by at least one restricted path. It then follows that:
(i) equation (1.1) is consistent with equation (1.4) provided \(\mathscr{R} \subset \mathscr{M}\) and,
(ii) if this is the case, its solution is unique and depends only on those \(\ell\) 's corresponding to boundary points \(J_{\ell} \subset \mathscr{J}_{0}\).
The CFT method gives an explicit and systematic way of constructing the solution of equation (1.1) in terms of the so-called combinatorics functions of the second kind. An early version of the combinatorics functions of the second kind can be found in [1], and their applications to some physical problems are discussed in [3] and [4]. An extended and more complete version of the \(e\) functions was obtained in [2]. We now give the definition of the combinatorics functions of the second kind leading to the construction of the solution of equation (1.1).

For every boundary point \(J_{\ell} \in \mathscr{J}_{0}\) and evaluation point \(M \in \mathscr{M}\), one considers all possible paths connecting \(J_{l}\) to \(M\) by discrete displacement vectors \(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{j} \in \mathscr{A}\). A given path is identified by two labels \(\omega\) and \(q\). Label \(\omega\) refers to the total number of displacements on a discrete path. Label \(q\) is used to distinguish among various paths, having the same number, \(\omega\), of displacement vectors. Corresponding to each ( \(\omega q\) )-path with displacement vectors \(\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\omega}\right)\), ordered sequentially from the boundary point \(J_{\ell}\) to the evaluation point \(M\), intermediate points, \(S_{i}\), on the path are generated and represented by vectors \(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\), according to:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{i}=\mathbf{J}_{l}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} \delta_{j} ; i=1, \ldots, \omega ; \mathbf{S}_{\omega} \equiv \mathbf{M} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

With each ( \(\omega q\) )-path one associates the functional
\[
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega}^{q}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{l} ; \boldsymbol{M}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\omega} W\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right) f_{\delta_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
\]

Here, the \(f_{\delta}^{\prime}\) 's are the coefficients appearing in the difference equation (1.1), and \(W\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right)\) is a weight function that may take the values 0 or 1 , according to:
\[
\begin{align*}
& W\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right)=0, \text { if } \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \in \mathscr{J}_{0} ;  \tag{1.7}\\
& W\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right)=1, \text { otherwise } .
\end{align*}
\]
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That is, \(F_{\omega}^{q}\) vanishes whenever a path connecting \(J_{l}\) to \(M\) contains an intermediate point \(S_{i}\) belonging to the boudary set \(\mathscr{J}_{0}\). In other words, restricted paths are automatically selected and \(F_{\omega}^{q}\) would otherwise be identically zero. The combinatorics function of the second kind associated with the boundary point \(J_{l}\) and the evaluation point \(M\) are then defined as
\[
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\mathbf{J}_{l} ; \mathbf{M}\right)=\sum_{\omega} \sum_{q} F_{\omega}^{q}\left(\mathbf{J}_{l} ; \mathbf{M}\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

Finally, the solution of a homogeneous ( \(I \equiv 0\) ) difference equation (1.1), subject to the initial conditions (1.4), when it exists, is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathbf{M})=\sum_{\ell} \Lambda_{\ell} C\left(\mathbf{J}_{\ell} ; \mathbf{M}\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

The problem we intend to discuss is the three-term Fibonacci-1ike recursion relation,
\[
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=a B_{m-1}+b B_{m-\lambda}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(a\) and \(b\) are constant parameters and \(\lambda\) is a positive integer greater than unity. The case \(\lambda=2\) was discussed in detail elsewhere using the CFT (see [4]). The case \(a=b=1\) with an unspecified value of \(\lambda\) describes exactly the occupational degeneracy for \(\lambda\)-bell particles on a saturated \(\lambda \times m\) lattice space when equation (1.10) is subject to a special set of initial conditions, as studied by Hock and McQuistan [5]. It is the purpose of this article to develop a unified approach to the problems of [4] and [5] that will be based on the generating function of Hock and McQuistan combined with our CFT method.

Section 2 develops the general solution of equation (1.10) subject to the unspecified initial value conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
B_{-\lambda+j} & =\Lambda_{j} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \lambda-1  \tag{1.11a}\\
B_{0} & =\Lambda_{0} \tag{1.11b}
\end{align*}
\]

The choice \(\Lambda_{0}\) for \(B_{0}\) instead of \(\Lambda_{\lambda}\), as might be suggested by (1.11a), is motivated by nicer looking equations appearing later in the paper.

Section 3 presents a class of generating functions that may be associated with equation (1.10). The method used there is somewhat more general than the one presented in [5].

\section*{GENERAL SOLUTION OF A FIBONACCI-LIKE RECURSION RELATION AND APPLICATIONS}

Section 4 makes the comparison between the CFT and generating function methods, thus leading to a very interesting sum rule that is a generalization of the sum rules obtained in [4] and [5].

The conclusion of the paper is presented in Section 5.

\section*{2. THE CFT SOLUTION}

The Fibonacci-1ike recursion relation,
\[
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=a B_{m-1}+b B_{m-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \geqslant 2, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
will be solved for a general set of initial values:
\[
\begin{align*}
B_{-\lambda+j} & =\Lambda_{j}, \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \lambda-1,  \tag{2.2}\\
B_{0} & =\Lambda_{0} .
\end{align*}
\]

This one-dimensional problem has boundary points \(J_{0}, J_{1}, \ldots, J_{j}, \ldots, J_{\lambda-1}\) of abscissae \(0,-\lambda+1, \ldots,-\lambda+j, \ldots,-1\), respectively. The onedimensional region, \(\mathscr{M}\), consistent with the boundary region \(\mathscr{J}\) consists of points \(M\) of positive integer abscissae. Paths connecting an evaluation point \(M\) of abscissa \(m(m>0)\) to a boundary point \(J_{j}\) of abscissa \(-\lambda+j\), if \(j \neq 0\), or 0 , if \(j=0\), are made of displacements or steps of lengths 1 and \(\lambda\). No intermediate point on these paths should belong to the boundary region \(\mathcal{Z} . \quad\) Boundary and evaluation points are represented on Figure 1.


FIGURE 1
The points represented by circles "o" are boundary points and those represented by crosses " \(x\) " are evaluation points

We now proceed along the lines set by the CFT method for the construction of the combinatorics function \(C\left(J_{j} ; M\right)\). In any path, a displacement by +1 produces a factor \(f_{a_{1}} \equiv a\) and a displacement by \(\lambda\) produces a factor \(f_{a_{2}} \equiv b\). Thus, for any given path connecting \(J_{j}\) to \(M\), we count the number of displacements +1 and the number of displacements \(\lambda\). This path is then represented, according to the CFT method, by the product
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\[
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega}^{q}=a^{(\text {number of displacements }+1)} \times b^{(\text {number of displacements } \lambda)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

It is convenient to discuss separately the construction of \(C\left(J_{0} ; M\right)\) and \(C\left(J_{j} ; M\right)\) for \(j=1, \ldots, \lambda-1\) :
\(C\left(J_{0} ; M\right)\)
Figure 2 indicates that none of the distinct paths made of displacements +1 and \(\lambda\) leaving boundary point \(J_{0}\) and reaching evaluation point \(M\) contains a boundary point other than \(J_{0}\). In this case, none of the weight functions \(W(S)\) is zero. Let \(\left[\frac{m}{\lambda}\right]\) refer to integer divisions and \(\bar{m}\) to the remainder, so that
\[
\begin{equation*}
m=\lambda\left[\frac{m}{\lambda}\right]+\bar{m} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
\]


FIGURE 2
None of the distinct paths made either of displacements +1 or \(\lambda\) leaving \(J_{0}\) and reaching the evaluation point \(M\) contains a boundary point other than \(J_{0}\)

Clearly, the maximum number of displacements of length \(\lambda\) from the origin \(J_{0}\) to \(M\) is \(\left[\frac{m}{\lambda}\right]\) corresponding to a minimum number of displacements of length +1 equal to \(\bar{m}\). If in a path connecting \(J_{0}\) to \(M\) there are \(k\) displacements of length \(\lambda\left(0 \leqslant k \leqslant\left[\frac{m}{\lambda}\right]\right)\), then the number of displacements of length +1 must be ( \(m-\lambda k\) ) since the length of segment \(J_{0} M\) is precisely equal to \(m\). The total number of displacements in such a path is \(\omega=k+(m-\lambda k)\). If \(q\) is the label of this particular path having \(\omega\) displacements, then
\[
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega}^{q}\left(J_{0} ; M\right)=a^{m-\lambda k} \times b^{k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

For a given total number of displacements \(\omega\), distinct paths may be generated by a reshuffling of the order of displacements of different lengths. Clearly, the distinct number of arrangements of these displacements for a given value of \(\omega\), i.e., for a given value of \(k\left(0 \leqslant k \leqslant\left[\frac{m}{\lambda}\right]\right)\) is the binomial
\[
\begin{equation*}
q_{\max }(\omega)=\binom{m-k(\lambda-1)}{k} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
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Thus, the combinatorics function \(C\left(J_{0} ; M\right)\) is given by
\[
\begin{align*}
C\left(J_{0} ; M\right) & =\sum_{\omega} \sum_{q=1}^{q_{\max }{ }^{(\omega)}} F_{\omega}^{q}\left(J_{0} ; M\right) \\
\sum_{k=0}^{[m / \lambda]} \sum_{q=1}^{q_{\max }} a^{m-k} b^{k} & =\sum_{k=0}^{[m / \lambda]} a^{m-\lambda k} b^{k}\binom{m-k(\lambda-1)}{k} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
\]
\(C\left(J_{j} ; M\right)\) for \(j=1\) to \(\lambda-1\)

Figure 3 shows that, in order to avoid intermeriate boundary points on any path that may connect \(J_{j}\) to point \(M\), the first displacement, when leaving \(J_{j}\), must be of length \(\lambda\), thus reaching point \(M_{j}\) of abscissa \(j\). Clearly, if the abscissa, \(m\), of the evaluation point \(M\) is less than \(j\), then every possible path contains at least one boundary point as an intermediate point, and the associated combinatorics function vanishes, i.e.,
\[
\begin{equation*}
C\left(J_{j} ; M\right) \equiv 0, \text { for } 0<m<j \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

On the other hand, if \(m \geqslant j\), then all possible paths that contain no boundary points other than \(J_{j}\) have the same first displacement \(\lambda\).


FIGURE 3
Here \(j=1\) to \(\lambda-1\). In order to avoid intermediate boundary points when leaving point \(J_{j}\) to reach the evaluation point \(M\) by displacements of lengths +1 and \(\lambda\), the first displacement must be of length \(\lambda\), thus reaching point \(M_{j}\), of abscissa \(j\)

This first displacement contributes to the functional \(F_{\omega}^{q}\) by producing an overall factor \(b\). It is then straightforward to show that
\[
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega}^{q}\left(J_{j} ; M\right)=b F_{\omega-1}^{q}\left(M_{j} ; M\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(F_{\omega-1}\left(M_{j} ; M\right)\) refers to the functional associated with the qth path cojnecting \(M_{j}\) to \(M\) and having \((\lambda-1)\) dispalcements of length 1 and \(\lambda\).

Since the length of segment \(M_{j} M\) is \(m-j\), one may write an equation similar to (2.4):
\[
\begin{equation*}
m-j=\lambda\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]+\overline{m-j}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
\]
where the square bracket [ ], and the top bar, - still have the same meaning as in (2.4). The analysis for the paths connecting \(J_{0}\) to \(M\) may be reproduced for the paths connecting \(M_{j}\) to \(M\). The functional associated with a path having \(\mathcal{K}\) displacements \(\lambda\) and ( \(m-j-\lambda k\) ) displacements of length +1 , when leaving \(M_{j}\) to reach \(M\), is
\[
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega-1}^{q}\left(M_{j} ; M\right)=a^{m-j-\lambda k} \times b^{k}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(k\) may vary from 0 to \(\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]\). Combining equations (2.9) and (2.11), one finally obtains
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C\left(J_{j} ; M\right)=\sum_{\omega} \sum_{q} b F_{\omega-1}^{q}\left(M_{j} ; M\right) \\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-j}{k}\right]} a^{m-j-\lambda k} \times b^{k+1}(m-j-k(\lambda-1)) ; \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \lambda-1 \\
& \quad \text { and } m \geqslant j .
\end{aligned}
\]

The domain of definition of (2.12) can easily be extended to include the region \(0<m<j\) with the understanding that the result of the operation,
\[
\left[\frac{m-j}{\sum_{k=0}^{k}}\right], \text { for } 0<m<j
\]
is exactly zero, so as to recover equation (2.8). With this definition in mind, the general solution of the Fibonacci-like recursion relation (2.1) subject to the boundary conditions (2.2) is
\[
\begin{align*}
& B_{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda_{j} C\left(J_{j} ; M\right) \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda_{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]} a^{m-j-k \lambda} b^{k+1-\delta_{0 j}}(m-j-k(\lambda-1)  \tag{2.13}\\
& k
\end{align*}
\]

In (2.13), \(\delta_{0 j}\) is Kronecker's symbol, which is zero for \(j \neq 0\), and unity otherwise.
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\section*{GENERAL SOLUTION OF A FIBONACCI-LIKE RECURSION RELATION AND APPLICATIONS}

\section*{3. THE STANDARD SOLUTION AND GENERATING FUNCTIONS}

The standard solution of equation (2.1) is obtained by searching for special solutions of the form [4]:
\[
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=R^{m} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

By requiring expression (3.1) to satisfy the recursion relation (2.1), one finds that the only possible values of \(R\) are the roots of the so-called characteristic equation, which, in this case, is of order \(\lambda\); namely,
\[
\begin{equation*}
R^{\lambda}-a R^{\lambda-1}-b=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
\]

This equation has \(\lambda\) roots we refer to as \(R_{k}\), with index \(k\) varying from 1 to \(\lambda\). The general solution of equation (2.1) is then presented in the form
\[
B_{m}=\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} L_{k} R_{k}^{m},
\]
where \(I_{k}\) are unspecified parameters.
Next, we will be developing a class of generating functions to the series of coefficients \(B_{m}\). Following Hock and McQuistan [5], we consider functions \(u_{m}(x)\) satisfying the recurrence relations
\[
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(x)=A(x) u_{m-1}(x)+B(x) u_{m-\lambda}(x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
\(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) are some chosen functions of \(x\) restricted to take on the values
\[
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{0}\right)=a, \quad B\left(x_{0}\right)=b, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
when the variable \(x=x_{0}\). Furthermore, for any value of the variable \(x\), one also requires
\[
\begin{align*}
u_{-\lambda+j}(x) & \equiv \Lambda_{j}, \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \lambda-1  \tag{3.6}\\
u_{0}(x) & \equiv \Lambda_{0} .
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, \(u_{m}(x)\) evaluated at \(x=x_{0}\) is precisely \(B_{m}\), whose explicit expression was obtained via the CFT method, namely, equation (2.13). The bivariant generating function \(u(x, y)\) is given by [5]:
\[
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_{m}(x) y^{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
\]
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One separates the summation over \(m\) into two pieces as follows:
\[
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lambda-1} u_{m}(x) y^{m}+\sum_{m=\lambda}^{\infty} u_{m}(x) y^{m} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

Next, one replaces \(u_{m}(x)\) appearing in the second summation by the righthand side of the recurrence relation (3.4),
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=\lambda}^{\infty} u_{m}(x) y^{m}=\sum_{m=\lambda}^{\infty} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m}+\sum_{m=\lambda}^{\infty} B(x) u_{m-\lambda}(x) y^{m} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

It is straightforward to recognize that
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m} & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m}-\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m} \\
& =A(x) y^{m} u(x, y)-\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m}, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
\]
and, also, that
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=\lambda}^{\infty} B(x) u_{m-\lambda}(x) y^{m}=B(x) y^{\lambda} u(x, y) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
\]

Combining (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) and (3.11), one finds
\[
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)\left[1-A(x) y-B(x) y^{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{m=0}^{\lambda-1} u_{m}(x) y^{m}-\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
\]

A last manipulation on the right-hand side of equation (3.12) is possible to obtain the explicit form of the bivariant generating function \(u(x, y)\); namely,
\(\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} u_{m}(x) y^{m}-\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} A(x) u_{m-1}(x) y^{m}=u_{0}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1}\left[u_{m}(x)-A(x) u_{m-1}(x)\right] y^{m}\).
This is followed by the use of the recurrence relation (3.4) and its initial conditions (3.6):
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1}\left[u_{m}(x)-A(x) u_{m-1}(x)\right]=\sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} B(x) u_{m-\lambda}(x) y^{m}=\sum_{m-1}^{\lambda-1} B(x) \Lambda_{m} y^{m} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
\]

Finally, combining equations (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), and, again, (3.6), one finds
\[
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\frac{\Lambda_{0}+B(x) \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda_{j} y^{j}}{1-A(x) y+B(x) y^{\lambda}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
\]

Equation (3.15) is a generalization of the bivariant generating function of [5], where \(\Lambda_{j}=0\) for \(j=1\) to \(\lambda-1, \Lambda_{0}=1, A(x)=1\), and \(B(x)=\dot{x}\).

\section*{4. SUM RULES}

The two approaches presented in Sections 2 and 3 must be equivalent. By making use of this equivalence, a sum rule will naturally emerge. One sets \(x=x_{0}\) in equations (3.7) and (3.15), and takes into account the restrictions \(A\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha, B\left(x_{0}\right)=b\), and the property \(u_{m}\left(x_{0}\right)=B_{m}\). It then follows that
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m} y^{m} \equiv \frac{\Lambda_{0}+b \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda_{j} y^{j}}{1-a y-b y^{\lambda}}=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} b^{1-\delta_{0 j}} \Lambda_{j} y^{j}}{1-a y-b y^{\lambda}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Let
\[
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=1-a y-b y^{\lambda} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
\]

This polynomial is of order \(\lambda\); it has \(\lambda\) roots we call \(y_{k}, k=1, \ldots, \lambda\). When comparing equations (4.2) and (3.2), it is evident that the roots \(R_{k}\) of equation (3.2) are the inverses of the roots \(y_{k}\) of equation (4.2), i.e.,
\[
\begin{equation*}
y_{k}=\frac{1}{R_{k}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

The standard expension of \(\frac{1}{f(y)}\) in terms of the zeros of the function \(f(y)\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f(y)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{D_{k}}{y-y_{k}}=-\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{\left(D_{k} R_{k}\right)}{1-y R_{k}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the residue \(D_{k}\) is obtained in the usual manner as
\[
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{k}} \frac{y-y_{k}}{f(y)}=-\frac{1}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{k}\right)}=-\frac{1}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus, equation (4.1) yields
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m} y^{m} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} b^{1-\delta_{0 j}} \Lambda_{j} y^{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{R_{k}}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}} \frac{1}{1-y R_{k}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
\]

The left-hand side (lhs) of equation (4.6) contains \(B_{m}\) whose explicit dependence on \(\Lambda_{j}\) has been derived in Section 2, equation (2.13). It can be written as
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\[
\begin{equation*}
(1 \mathrm{hs})=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda_{j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} y^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]} a^{m-j-k \lambda} b^{k+1-\delta_{j 0}}(m-j-k(\lambda-1)) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
\]

The right-hand side (rhs) of equation (4.6) can be rearranged using the power series expansion of \(\left(1-y R_{k}\right)^{-1}\) to yield
\[
\begin{equation*}
(\text { rhs })=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} b^{1-\delta_{0 j}} \Lambda_{j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} y^{j+m} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{R_{k}^{m-1}}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

Recalling that \(\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]} \equiv 0\) for \(0<m<j\), then
\[
\begin{equation*}
(1 \mathrm{hs})=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \Lambda b^{1-\delta_{0 j}} \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} y \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]} a^{m-j-k \lambda} b^{k}(m-j-k(\lambda-1)) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

Also, making the shift \(m \rightarrow m-j\) in the summation index \(m\) of (4.8), one has
\[
\begin{equation*}
(\text { rhs })=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} b^{1-\delta_{0 j}} \Lambda_{j} \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} y \sum_{k=1} \frac{R_{k}^{m+1-j}}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
\]

Clearly, since (lhs) and (rhs) are equivalent expressions, and, since \(\Lambda_{j}\) are completely arbitrary parameters, one necessarily has the identity:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\left.\frac{m-j}{\lambda}\right]}{\sum_{k=0}} a^{m-k \lambda} b^{k}(m-j-k(\lambda-1)) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{R_{k}^{m+1-j}}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}},\right. \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
which holds for \(m \geqslant j \geqslant 0\), or, simply,
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{[m / \lambda]} a^{m-k \lambda} b^{k}(m-k(\lambda-1)) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} \frac{R_{k}^{m-1}}{a+b \lambda R_{k}^{1-\lambda}}, \text { for } m \geqslant 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
\]

This identity reproduces the one derived by Hock and McQuistan [5] for \(a=b=1\) and any value of \(\lambda\), and the sum rule derived by Phares and Simmons [4] for \(\lambda=2\) and arbitrary values of \(\alpha\) and \(b\). Indeed, for \(\lambda=2\), the two roots \(R_{1}\) and \(R_{2}\) of equations (3.2) are (see [4]):
\[
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}=(1 / 2)\left[a+\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
& R_{2}=(1 / 2)\left[a-\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
\]

It is then easy to check that the left-hand side of equation (4.11), with \(\lambda=2\), and, the values of \(R_{1}\) and \(R_{2}\) given by equation (4.13), becomes
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\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{R_{k}^{m+1}}{a+2 b R_{k}^{-1}}=\frac{\left[a+\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]-\left[a-\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{m+1}}{2^{m+1}\left(a^{2}+4 b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
\]

Equation (4.14) shows that equation (4.12) reduces, for \(\lambda=2\), to equation (4.10) of [4].

\section*{5. CONCLUSION}

A Fibonacci recurrence relation with constant coefficients has been solved exactly for arbitrary initial conditions using the combinatorics function techniques. A class of generating functions involving two arbitrarily chosen functions \(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) has been obtained. The method of Hock and McQuistan applied to the generating function and combined with the CFT solution leads to a sum rule that reproduces the two special cases discussed in [4] and [5].

The flexibility shown in the application of the CFT method in the simple case presented here is not an exception. More complicated problems have been solved involving two-dimensional, homogeneous, three-term difference equations with variable coefficients. The interested reader may refer to [6].
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\section*{1. INTRODUCTION}

By a poset we mean a partially ordered set. If \(G\), \(H\) are posets, then their cardinal power \(G^{H}\) is defined by Birkhoff (see [1], p. 55) as a set of all order-preserving mappings of the poset \(H\) into the poset \(G\) with an ordering defined as follows.

For \(f, g \in G^{H}\) there holds \(f \leqslant g\) if and only if \(f(x) \leqslant g(x)\) for every \(x \in H\).

If \(G\) is a lattice, then \(G^{H}\) is usually called a function lattice. (It is easy to prove that if \(G\) is a lattice, or modular lattice, or distributive one, then so is \(G^{H}\) (see [1], p. 56).

Let \(A\) be a poset and let \(a, b \in A\) with \(\alpha<b\). If no \(x \in A\) exists such that \(a<x<b\), then \(b\) is said to be a successor of the element \(a\). Let \(n(a)\) denote the number of all the successors of the element \(\alpha \in A\). Further, let \(c(A)\) denote the number of all the components of the poset \(A\), i.e., the number of its maximal continuous subsets.

Finally, if \(X\) is a set, then \(|X|\) is its cardinal number.
Now we can introduce the following definition.
Definition: Let \(A \neq \phi\) be a finite poset. Put
(a) \(n(A)=\sum_{a \in A} n(\alpha)\)
(b) \(d(A)=\frac{n(A)}{|A|}\)
(c) \(v(A)=n(A)-|A|+c(A)\)

The number \(d(A)\) is called the density of the poset \(A\), the number \(v(A)\) is called the cyclomatic number of the poset \(A\).
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\section*{PARTITIONS, COMPOSITIONS AND CYCLOMATIC NUMBER OF FUNCTION LATTICES}

It is evident that, for a finite poset \(A, n(A)\) is equal to the number of edges in the Hasse diagram of the poset \(A\), thus \(v(A)\) is the cyclomatic number of the mentioned Hasse diagram in the sense of graph theory.

Now our aim is to determine the density and the cyclomatic number of functional lattices \(G^{H}\), where \(G, H\) are finite chains.

\section*{2. PARTITIONS AND COMPOSITIONS}

The symbols \(N, N_{0}\), will always denote, respectively, the positive integers, the nonnegative integers.

Let \(k, n, s \in N\). By a partition of \(n\) into \(k\) summands, we mean, as usual, a \(k\)-tuple \(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\) such that each \(\alpha_{i} \in N, \alpha_{1} \geqslant a_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant a_{k}\), and
\[
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}=n . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Let \(P(n, k)\) denote the set of all the partitions of the number \(n\) into \(k\) summands. Let \(P(n, k, s)\) denote the set of those partitions of \(n\) into \(k\) summands, in which the summands are not greater than the number s, i.e., such that \(s \geqslant a_{1} \geqslant a_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant a_{k} \geqslant 1\).

By a composition of the number \(n\) into \(k\) summands, we mean an ordered K-tuple ( \(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\) ), with \(\alpha_{i} \in N\), satisfying (2.1). Let \(C(n, k)\) denote the set of all these compositions.

Finally, let \(D(n, k)\) denote the set of all the compositions of the number \(n\) into \(k\) summands \(\alpha_{i} \in N_{0}\) [so that \(\left.C(n, k) \subseteq D(n, k)\right]\).

It is easy to determine the number of elements of the sets \(P(n, k)\), \(P(n, k, s), C(n, k)\), and \(D(n, k)\)-see, e.g., [2], [3], and [6].

Theorem 1: For \(k, n, s \in N\),
(a) \(|P(n, k)|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}|P(n-k, i)|\)
(b) \(|P(n, k, s+1)|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}|P(n-k, i, s)|\)
(c) \(|C(n, k)|=\binom{n-1}{k-1}\)
(d) \(|D(n, k)|=\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}\)
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Definition: Define the binary relation \(\rho\) on the set \(D(n, k)\) as follows:
If \(\alpha, \beta \in D(n, k), \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right), \beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)\), then \(\alpha \rho \beta\) if and only if \(i \in\{2,3, \ldots, k\}\) exists such that
\[
b_{i-1}=a_{i-1}+1, b_{i}=a_{i}-1, \text { and } b_{j}=a_{j} \text { for remaining } j
\]

Further, put, for \(\alpha \in D(n, k)\),
\[
\Gamma(\alpha)=\{\beta \in D(n, k) ; \alpha \rho \beta\} .
\]

Remark: Thus, for \(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in D(n, k)\), the elements from \(\Gamma(\alpha)\) are all the compositions of the form
\[
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}+1, a_{i}-1, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)
\]

From the definitions of the set \(D(n, k)\) and the relation \(\rho\), it follows that \(|\Gamma(\alpha)|\) is equal to the number of nonzero summands \(\alpha_{i}, i=2, \ldots, k\), in \(\alpha\).

Definition: For \(i \in N_{0}\), we denote
\[
\begin{equation*}
D^{i}(n, k)=\{\alpha \in D(n, k) ;|\Gamma(\alpha)|=i\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
\]

Theorem 2: For \(k, n \in N, i \in N_{0}\),
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{i}(n, k)\right|=\binom{n}{i}\binom{k-1}{i} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof: Let \(\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in D^{i}(n, k)\). Therefore, according to the above Remark, there are only \(i\) numbers that are nonzeros from all the summands \(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}, a_{1}\) being arbitrary. If now \(a_{1}=j\), then by (2.4) there exist precisely
\[
\binom{k-1}{i}\binom{n-j-1}{i-1}
\]
compositions of the required form. Hence,
\[
\left|D^{i}(n, k)\right|=\binom{k-1}{i}\left[\binom{n-1}{i-1}+\binom{n-2}{i-1}+\cdots+\binom{i-1}{i-1}\right]=\binom{k-1}{i}\binom{n}{i} .
\]

Remark: It is evident that
\[
D^{i}(n, k) \neq \phi \text { if and only if } i \leqslant \min [k-1, n] .
\]

44
[Feb.

PARTITIONS, COMPOSITIONS AND CYCLOMATIC NUMBER OF FUNCTION LATTICES

Let \(Y\) denote the set of all nonincreasing sequences \(\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right.\), \(\therefore\).) of nonnegative integers in which there are only finitely many \(a_{i} \neq 0\), i.e., such that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}<\infty
\]

We define the ordering \(\leqslant\) on the set \(Y\) by:
\(\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \leqslant\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)\) if and only if \(\alpha_{i} \leqslant b_{i}\) for every \(i \in N\). Then the poset \(Y\) is evidently a distributive lattice. It is the so-called Young lattice. For more details on its properties see, e.g., [4] and [5].

Identifying \(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in P(n, k)\) with \(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, 0,0, \ldots\right) \in Y\), we henceforth consider the partitions as elements of the Young lattice.

The elements with a height \(n\) in \(Y\) are evidently all the partitions of the number \(n\). [The element with the height 0 is obviously the sequence
\[
(0,0, \ldots)]
\]

Definition: For \(\alpha \in Y\), the principal ideal \(Y(\alpha)\) is given by
\[
Y(\alpha)=\{\beta \in Y ; \beta \leqslant \alpha\} .
\]

Definition: Let \(\sigma\) denote the covering relation on the lattice \(Y\), i.e., for \(\alpha, \beta \in Y\),
\(\alpha \sigma \beta\) if and only if \(\beta\) is a successor of the element \(\alpha\).
The next result follows immediately from the definition of the Young lattice.

Theorem 3: Let \(\alpha, \beta \in Y, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right), \beta=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)\). Then \(\alpha \sigma \beta\) if and only if there exists \(i \in N\) such that \(b_{i}=\alpha_{i}+1\), and \(a_{j}=b_{j}\) for \(j \in N, j \neq i\).

Definition: Let \(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Y\), let \(r\) be the number \(\alpha_{i} \in \alpha\), with \(\alpha_{i} \neq 0\). Then canonical mapping \(f: Y(\alpha) \rightarrow D\left(r, 1+\alpha_{1}\right)\) is defined as follows:

For \(\beta=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Y(\alpha)\), the image \(f(\beta)\) is the composition
\[
\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{1+a_{1}}\right) \in D\left(r, 1+\alpha_{1}\right)
\]
for which \(c_{i}\) is the number of values of \(j\) for which \(b_{j}=a_{1}+1-i\) in the \(r\)-tuple ( \(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\) ).

Remark: If \(\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}, 0,0, \ldots\right) \in Y(\alpha)\), then evidently \(0 \leqslant b_{i} \leqslant \alpha_{i}\) for all \(i=1, \ldots, r\). The image of the sequence \(\beta\) under the canonical mapping \(f\) is the composition ( \(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{1+a_{1}}\) ) with the following properties:
\(c_{1}\) is the number of integers \(a_{1}\) in \(\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right), c_{2}\) is the number of values of \(j\) for which \(b_{j}=a_{1}-1\) in \(\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\), etc., until \(c_{1}+a_{1}\) is the number of zeros in ( \(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\) ).

Theorem 4: Let \(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}, 0,0, \ldots\right) \in Y\), with \(\alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{r}=\mathcal{K}>0\). Then
\[
\begin{equation*}
(Y(\alpha), \sigma) \cong[D(r, k+1), \rho] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof: Let \(f: Y(\alpha) \rightarrow D(r, k+1)\) be the canonical mapping. Then \(f\) is evidently a bijection. Let \(\beta, \gamma \in Y(\alpha)\). If \(\beta=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)\) and if \(\beta \sigma \gamma\), then by Theorem 3, \(\gamma=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i}+1, b_{i+1}, \ldots\right)\) for some \(i\). Denote \(b_{i}\) by \(t\). Then there is in the sequence \(\gamma\) one less \(t\) and one more \(t+1\) than in the sequence \(\beta\). Combining this fact with the definition of the relation \(\rho\) on \(D(r, k+1)\), we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Bor if and only if } f(\beta) \rho f(\gamma) \text {. } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus, the canonical mapping \(f\) is an isomorphism from \((Y(\alpha), \sigma)\) on
\[
[D(r, k+1), \rho] .
\]

\section*{3. DENSITY AND CYCLOMATIC NUMBER OF FUNCTION LATTICES}

Let \(P, Q\) be arbitrary posets. If \(P=\phi, Q \neq \phi\), then \(P^{Q}=\phi\). If \(Q=\phi\), then \(P^{Q}=\{\phi\}, P\) being arbitrary. Henceforth, we shall consider only such functional lattices \(P^{Q}\), where \(P \neq \phi \neq Q\).

The basic properties of the functional lattices \(P^{Q}\), where \(P, Q\) are finite chains, are described in [6]. Namely, there holds

Theorem 5: Let \(p, q \in N\), let \(P, Q\) be chains such that \(|P|=p,|Q|=q\). Then
(a) \(\left|p^{Q}\right|=\binom{p+q-1}{q}\)
(b) For \(i \in N_{0}\), the number of elements in \(P^{Q}\) with height \(i\), is equal to \(|P(q+i, q, p)|\).
(c) \(P^{Q} \cong Y(\alpha)\), where \(\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}, 0,0, \ldots\right), a_{1}=\ldots=a_{q}=p-1\).

Proof: The assertion (a) is trivial. The proof of the assertion (b)
is in [6], p. 9. The assertion (c) results from the following: Put
\[
P=\{0<1<\cdots<p-1\}, Q=\{1<2<\cdots<q\}
\]

The isomorphism \(F: P^{Q} \rightarrow Y(\alpha)\) is given by
\[
F(f)=(f(q), f(q-1), \ldots, f(1), 0,0, \ldots),
\]
for each \(f \in P^{Q}\).
Lemma: For \(k, n \in N\),
(a) \(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{k}{i}\binom{n}{i}=\binom{k+n}{n}\)
(b) \(\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\binom{k}{i}\binom{n}{i}=\frac{k n}{k+n}\binom{k+n}{n}\)

Proof: (a) The assertion (3.2) is well known.
(b) In [8], Hagen states without proofs many combinatorial identities. As the 17 th there is stated:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{a+b i}{(p-i d)(q+i \bar{d})}\binom{p-i d}{n-i}\binom{q+i d}{i}=\frac{a(p+q-n i)+b n q}{q(p+q)(p-i d)}\binom{p+q}{n} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
\]

The first very complicated proof of formula (3.4) was given by Jensen in 1902. The simplest of the known proofs is given in [9].

Substituting \(a=0, b=1, p=n, q=k, d=0\) into (3.4), we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{i}{k n}\binom{n}{n-i}\binom{k}{i}=\frac{k n}{(k+n) k n}\binom{k+n}{n},
\]
by which formula (3.3) is proved.
Theorem 6: Let \(p, q \in N\), let \(P, Q\) be chains such that \(|P|=p,|Q|=q\). Then
\[
\begin{equation*}
n\left(p^{Q}\right)=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1} \cdot\binom{p+q-1}{q} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof: If \(p=1\), then \(\left|P^{Q}\right|=1\) so that \(n\left(P^{Q}\right)=0\) and (3.5) is evidently valid. Thus let \(p>1\). By Theorem 5(c), we have \(P^{Q} \cong Y(\alpha)\), where 1984]
\(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}, 0,0, \ldots\right), \alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{q}=p-1\). Let \(f: Y(\alpha) \rightarrow D(p, q)\) be the canonical mapping. For \(\beta \in Y(\alpha), n(\beta)=|\Gamma[f(\beta)]|\) by Theorem 4. Combining this fact with (2.7) and (3.3), we obtain
\[
n\left(P^{Q}\right)=\sum_{\beta \in Y(\alpha)} n(\beta)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} i\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1}(p+q-1) .
\]

Remark: Combining (3.1), (3.5), and the proof of Theorem 6, we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
n\left(p^{Q}\right)=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1}\binom{p+q-1}{q}=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1} \cdot\left|p^{Q}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{q} i\binom{q}{i}(p-1) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
\]

Now it is easy to determine the density and also the cyclomatic number of the functional lattice \(P^{Q}\).

Theorem 7: Let \(p, q \in N\), let \(P, Q\) be chains such that \(|P|=p,|Q|=q\). Then
(a) \(d\left(p^{Q}\right)=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1}\)
(b) \(\quad v\left(P^{Q}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{q}(i-1)\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}\)

Proof: (a) The assertion (3.7) follows from (1.2) and (3.6).
(b) If \(A\) is a connected poset, then \(c(A)=1\). Combining this fact with (1.3), (3.6), (3.1), and (3.2), we obtain
\[
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(P^{Q}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{q} i\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}-\binom{p+q-1}{q}+1 \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{q} i\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{q}\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}+1 \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{q} i\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{q}(i-1)\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i} \cdot
\end{aligned}
\]

Remark: Combining (3.6), (3.8), and (3.1), we obtain
\(\nu\left(p^{Q}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{q}(i-1)\binom{q}{i}\binom{p-1}{i}=\frac{q(p-1)}{p+q-1}\binom{p+q-1}{q}-\binom{p+q-1}{q}+1\).

Let \(p, q, r, s \in N\), and let \(P, Q, R, S\) be chains such that \(|P|=p\), \(|Q|=q,|R|=r,|S|=s . \quad B y\) (3.7) and (3.8),
    if \(r=q+1, s=p-1\), then \(d\left(P^{Q}\right)=d\left(R^{S}\right), \nu\left(P^{Q}\right)=v\left(R^{S}\right)\).

48

But in [7] we have proved that for \(p>1\),
\[
P^{Q} \cong R^{S} \text { if and only if } p=r, q=s \text { or } r=q+1, s=p-1
\]
(3.10) is now evident.
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As usual, let \(\sigma(n)\) denote the sum of all the divisors of \(n\) [with \(\sigma(1)\) \(=1]\) and let \(\omega(n)\) denote the number of different prime factors of \(n\) [with \(\omega(1):=0]\). The set of prime numbers will be denoted by \(\mathscr{P}\). The set of hyperperfect numbers \(\left(H P^{\prime} s\right)\) is the set \(M:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n}\), where
\[
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}:=\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m=1+n[\sigma(m)-m-1]\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]

We also define the sets
\[
\begin{equation*}
k M_{n}:=\left\{m \in M_{n} \mid \omega(m)=k\right\}, k, n \in \mathbf{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
and \(k M:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k M_{n}\); clearly, we have \(M_{n}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} k M_{n}\). We will also use the related set \(M^{*}:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n}^{*}\), where
\[
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{*}:=\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m=1+n[\sigma(m)-m]\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the sets
\[
\begin{equation*}
k M_{n}^{*}:=\left\{m \in M_{n}^{*} \mid \omega(m)=k\right\}, k \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
and \(k M^{*}:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k M_{n}^{*}\), so that also \(M_{n}^{*}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} k M_{n}^{*}\).
It is not difficult to verify that \({ }_{1} M_{n}=\emptyset, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\), and that
\[
\begin{cases}{ }_{0} M_{n}^{*}=\{1\}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N} \text { and }  \tag{5}\\ M_{1} M_{n}^{*}= \begin{cases}\left\{(n+1)^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbf{N}\right\}, & \text { if } n+1 \in \mathscr{P} \\ \emptyset, & \text { if } n+1 \notin \mathscr{P}\end{cases} \end{cases}
\]
\(M_{1}\) is the set of perfect numbers [for which \(\sigma(m)=2 m\) ]. The \(n\)-hyperperfect numbers \(M_{n}\), introduced by Minoli and Bear [1], are a meaningful generalization of the even perfect numbers because of the following rule.

\section*{RULES FOR CONSTRUCTING HYPERPERFECT NUMBERS}

RULE 0 (from [2]): If \(p \in \mathscr{P}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\), and if \(q:=p^{\alpha+1}-p+1 \in \mathscr{P}\), then \(p^{\alpha} q \in M_{p-1}\).

There are 71 hyperperfect numbers below \(10^{7}\) (see [2], [3], [4], [5]). Only one of them belongs to \({ }_{3} M\), all others are in \({ }_{2} M\). In [6] and [7] the present author has constructively computed several elements of \({ }_{3} M\) and two of \(4^{M}\).

In Section 2 of this paper, we shall give rules by which one may find (with enough computer time) an element of \({ }_{(k+2)} M_{n}\) and of \({ }_{(k+1)} M_{n}\) from an element of \({ }_{k} M_{n}^{*}(k \geqslant 0)\), and an element of \({ }_{k} M_{n}^{*}\) from an element of \((k-2) M_{n}^{*}\) \((k \geqslant 2)\). Because of (5), this suggests the possibility to construct HP's with \(k\) different prime factors for any positive integer \(k \geqslant 2\). By actually applying the rules, we have found many elements of \({ }_{3} M\), seven elements of \({ }_{4} M\), and one element of \({ }_{5} M .{ }^{1}\)

In Section 3, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for numbers of the form \(p^{\alpha} q, \alpha \in \mathbf{N}\), to be hyperperfect. For example, for \(\alpha \geqslant 3\), these conditions imply that there are no other \(H P^{\prime} s\) of the form \(p^{\alpha} q\) than those characterized by Rule 0 . The results of this section enable us to compute very cheaply \(\alpha\) ZL HP's of the form \(p^{\alpha} q\) below a given bound. Unfortunately, we have not been able to extend these results to more complicated HP's like those of the form \(p^{\alpha} q^{\beta}, \alpha \geqslant 2\) and \(\beta \geqslant 2\), or \(p^{\alpha} q^{\beta} r^{\gamma}\) with \(\alpha \geqslant 1, \beta \geqslant 1\) and \(\gamma \geqslant 1\), etc. (However, these numbers are extremely scarce compared to HP's of the form \(p^{\alpha} q\), and no \(H P^{\prime}\) s of the form \(p^{\alpha} q^{\beta}\) and \(p^{\alpha} q^{\beta} r^{\gamma}\) with \(\alpha \geqslant 2\) and \(\beta \geqslant 2\) have been found to date.)

Because of the importance of the set \(M^{*}\) for the construction of hyperperfect numbers, we given in Section 4 the results of an exhaustive search for all \(m \in M^{*}\) with \(m \leqslant 10^{8}\) and \(\omega(m) \geqslant 2\). It turned out that elements of \({ }_{3} M^{*}\) are very rare compared with \({ }_{2} M^{*}\), in analogy with the sets \({ }_{3} M\) and \({ }_{2} M\) 。 This search also gave all elements \(\leqslant 10^{8}\) of \(M\), at very low cost, because of the similarity of the equations defining \(M^{*}\) and \(M\). See note 1 below.

The paper concludes with a few remarks, in Section 5, on a possible generalization of hyperfect numbers to so-called hypercycles, special cases of which are the ordinary perfect numbers and the amicable number pairs.
\({ }^{1}\) Lists of these numbers may be obtained from the author on request.

\section*{RULES FOR CONSTRUCTING HYPERPERFECT NUMBERS}

Remark: After completing this paper, the author computed, with the rules given in Section 2, 860 HP 's below the bound \(10^{10}\). See note 1 above.

\section*{2. RULES FOR CONSTRUCTING HYPERPERFECT NUMBERS}

We have found the following rules [we write \(\bar{\alpha}\) for \(\sigma(\alpha)\) ]:

RULE 1: Let \(k \in \mathbf{N}, n \in \mathbf{N}, a \in{ }_{k} M_{n}^{\star}\), and \(p:=n \bar{\alpha}+1-n\); if \(p \in \mathscr{P}\), then \(\alpha p \in(k+1)^{M_{n}}\).

RULE 2: Let \(k \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, n \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha \in{ }_{k} M_{n}^{*}\), and \(p:=n \bar{a}+A, q:=n \bar{\alpha}+B\), where \(A B=1-n+n \bar{\alpha}+n^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2}\); if \(p \in \mathscr{P}\) and \(q \in \mathscr{P}\), then \(a p q \in(k+2)_{n}\).

RULE 3: Let \(k \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, n \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha \in{ }_{k} M_{n}^{*}\), and \(p:=n \bar{\alpha}+A, q:=n \bar{a}+B\), where \(A B=1+n \bar{a}+n^{2} \bar{a}^{2}\); if \(p \in \mathscr{P}\) and \(q \in \mathscr{P}\), then \(\alpha p q \in(k+2) M_{n}^{M_{n}^{*}}\).

The proofs of these rules don't require much more than the application of the definitions, and are therefore left to the reader. In fact, the proof of Rule 2 was already given in [7], although the rule itself was formulated there less explicitly.

Rule 1 can be applied for \(k \geqslant 1\), but not for \(k=0\), since \({ }_{0} M_{n}^{*}=\{1\}\) and \(a=1\) gives \(p=1 \notin \mathscr{P}\). For \(k=n=1\), Rule 1 reads:
\[
\text { If } p:=2^{\alpha+1}-1 \in \mathscr{P} \text {, then } 2^{\alpha} p \in{ }_{2} M_{1}
\]
which is Euclid's rule for finding even perfect numbers. For \(k=1\), Rule 1 is equivalent to Rule 0, given in Section 1.

Rules 2 and 3 can both be applied for \(k \geqslant 0\). For instance, for \(k=0\), Rule 2 reads:

Let \(n \in \mathbf{N}\) be given; if \(p:=n+A \in \mathscr{P}\) and \(q:=n+B \in \mathscr{P}\),
where \(A B=1+n^{2}\), then \(p q \in{ }_{2} M_{n}\).
For \(n=1,2\), and 6 , this yields the hyperperfect numbers \(2 \times 3,3 \times 7\), and \(7 \times 43\), respectively. Rule 3 reads, for \(k=0\) :

Let \(n \in \mathbf{N}\) be given; if \(p:=n+A \in \mathscr{P}\) and \(q:=n+B \in \mathscr{P}\), where \(A B=1+n+n^{2}\), then \(p q \in{ }_{2} M_{n}^{*}\).

For \(n=4\) and \(n=10\), we find that \(7 \times 11 \in{ }_{2} M_{4}^{*}\) and \(13 \times 47 \in{ }_{2} M_{10}^{*}\), respectively.
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Rule 3 shows a rather curious "side-effect" for \(k \geqslant 1\) : if both the numbers \(p\) and \(q\) in this rule are prime, then not only \(\alpha p q \in(k+2)^{M_{n}^{*}}\), but also the number \(b:=p q\) is an element of \({ }_{2} M_{n \bar{a}}^{*}\). Indeed, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{b-1}{\sigma(b)-b}=\frac{p q-1}{p+q+1} & =\frac{n^{2} \bar{a}^{2}+n \bar{a}(A+B)+A B-1}{2 n \bar{a}+A+B+1} \\
& =\frac{n^{2} \bar{a}^{2}+n \bar{a}(A+B)+n \bar{a}+n^{2} \bar{a}^{2}}{2 n \bar{\alpha}+A+B+1}=n \bar{a} \in \mathbf{N} .
\end{aligned}
\]

For example, we know that \(7 \times 11 \in{ }_{2} M_{4}^{*}\). From Rule 3 with \(k=2, n=4\), and \(\alpha=7 \times 11\), we find that \(7 \times 11 \times 547 \times 1291 \in{ }_{4} M_{4}^{\dot{*}}\); the side-effect is that
\[
547 \times 1291 \in{ }_{2} M_{(4 \times 8 \times 12)}^{*}={ }_{2} M_{384}^{*}
\]

In [6] we gave the following additional rule.
RULE 4: Let \(t \in \mathbf{N}\) and \(p:=6 t-1, q:=12 t+1\); if \(p \in \mathscr{P}\) and \(q \in \mathscr{P}\), then \(p^{2} q \in{ }_{2} M_{(4 t-1)}\).

For example, \(t=1\) and \(t=3\) give \(5^{2} 13 \in{ }_{2} M_{3}\) and \(17^{2} 37 \in{ }_{2} M_{11}\), respectively. In Section 3 we will prove that with Rules 1,2 , and 4 it is possible to find all HP's of the form \(p^{\alpha} q, \alpha \in \mathbf{N}\), below a given bound. We leave it to interested readers to discover why there is no rule (at least for \(k \geqslant 1\) ), analogous to Rule 1 , for finding an element of ( \(k+1)^{M_{n}^{*}}\) from an element of \({ }_{k} M_{n}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}\).

From Rules \(1-3\), it follows that elements of \({ }_{k} M_{n}\) for some given \(k \in \mathbf{N}\) may be found from \((k-1)^{M *}\) (with Rule 1) and from \((k-2)^{M_{n}^{*}}\) (with Rule 2) provided that sufficiently many elements of \((k-1)^{M_{n}^{*}}\) resp. \((k-2)^{M_{n}^{*}}\) are available; these can be found with Rule 3 and the "starting" sets \({ }_{0} M_{n}^{*}\) and \({ }_{1} M_{n}^{*}\) given in (5). We have carried out this "program" for the constructive computation of HP's with three, four, and five different prime factors.
(i) Construction of elements of \({ }_{3} M_{n}\). With Rule 1, we found 34 HP's of the form \(p q r\), from numbers \(p q \in{ }_{2} M_{n}^{*}\) :
the smallest is \(61 \times 229 \times 684433 \in{ }_{3} M_{48}\);
the largest one is \(9739 \times 13541383 \times 1283583456107389 \in{ }_{3^{M}} M_{972}\). The elements of \({ }_{2} M_{n}^{*}\) were "generated" with Rule 3 from \(0_{0}^{M_{n}^{*}}=\{1\}\). Using Rule 2 we found, from prime powers \(p^{\alpha} \in{ }_{1} M_{n}^{*}, 67 \mathrm{HP}\) 's of the form pqr:
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five of the smallest are given in [6], the largest is \(8929 \times 79727051 \times 577854714897923 \in{ }_{3} M_{8928}\);
48 HP 's of the form \(p^{2} q r\),
the smallest five are given in [6],
the largest is \(7459^{2} 414994003583 \times 34444004601637408163219 \in{ }_{3} M_{7458}\);
9 of the form \(p^{3} q r\),
the smallest is given in [6],
the largest is \(811^{3} 432596915921 \times 89927962885420066391 \in{ }_{3} M_{810}\);
4 of the form \(p^{4} q r\),
the smallest is \(7^{4} 30893 \times 36857 \in{ }_{3} M_{6}\),
the largest is \(223^{4} 553821371657 \times 130059326113901 \in{ }_{3} M_{222}\);
and, furthermore,
\(7^{6} 1340243 \times 2136143 \in \in_{3}{ }_{3} M_{6}\),
\(13^{7} 815787979 \times 11621986347871 \in{ }_{3} M_{12}\),
and
\(19^{8} 322687706723 \times 11640844402910006759 \in{ }_{3} M_{18}\).
(ii) Construction of elements of \({ }_{4} M_{n}\). In order to construct elements of \({ }_{4} M_{n}\) with Rule 1 , sufficiently many elements of \({ }_{3} M_{n}^{*}\) had to be available. This was realized with Rule 3 , starting with elements \(p^{\alpha} \in{ }_{1} M_{(p+1)}, p \in \mathscr{P}\). The following four HP's with four different prime factors were found:
```

3049 人 9297649 * 69203101249 人 5981547458963067824996953 \in [ M M 3048,

```
\(4201 \times 17692621 \times 7061044981 \times 2204786370880711054109401 \in \mathcal{4}_{4200}\),
\(181^{2} 5991031 \times 579616291 \times 20591020685907725650381 \in{ }_{4} M_{180}\),
\(181^{3} 1108889497 \times 33425259193 \times 39781151786825440683346549261 \in{ }_{4} M_{180}\). By means of Rules 2 and 3, the following three additional elements of \({ }_{4} M_{n}\) were found:
\(1327 \times 6793 \times 10020547039 \times 17769709449589 \in{ }_{4} M_{1110}(\) is in [6]),
\(1873 \times 24517 \times 79947392729 \times 80855915754575789 \in{ }_{4} M_{1740}\) (is in [7]),
\(5791 \times 10357 \times 222816095543 \times 482764219012881017 \in{ }_{4} M_{3714}\).
(iii) Construction of an element of \({ }_{5} M_{n}\). We have also constructively computed one element of \({ }_{5} M_{n}\) with Rule 1 . The elements of \({ }_{4} M_{n}^{*}\) needed for this purpose were computed from \({ }_{0} M_{n}^{\star}\) by twice applying Rule 3 (first yielding elements of \({ }_{2} M_{n}^{*}\), then elements of \({ }_{4} M_{n}^{*}\) ). The HP found is the largest 54
[Feb.
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one we know of (apart from the ordinary perfect numbers). It is the 87digit number:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 209549717187078140588332885132193432897405407437906414 \\
& 236764925538317339020708786590793 \\
& =4783 \times 83563 \times 1808560287211 \times 297705496733220305347 \\
& \times 973762019320700650093520128480575320050761301 \in{ }_{5} M_{4524^{\circ}}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{3. CHARACTERIZATION OF ALL HP'S OF THE FORM \(p^{\alpha} q\)}

The hyperperfect numbers of the form \(p^{\alpha} q\) are characterized by the following theorem.

Theorem: Let \(m:=p^{\alpha} q(\alpha \in \mathbf{N}, p \in \mathscr{P}, q \in \mathscr{P})\) be a hyperperfect number, then
(i) \(\alpha=1 \Rightarrow\left(\exists n \in \mathbf{N}\right.\) with \(m \in{ }_{2} M_{n}\) such that \(p=n+A, q=n+B\), with \(\left.A B=1+n^{2}\right) ;\)
(ii) \(\alpha=2 \Rightarrow\left(\exists t \in \mathbf{N}\right.\) with \(m \in{ }_{2} M_{(4 t-1)}\) and \(p=6 t-1\) and \(\left.q=12 t+1\right)\) \(v\left(m \in{ }_{2} M_{(p-1)}\right.\) with \(\left.q=p^{3}-p+1\right)\);
(iii) \(\alpha>2 \Rightarrow\left(m \in{ }_{2} M_{(p-1)}\right.\) with \(\left.q=p^{\alpha+1}-p+1\right)\).

Proof: (i) This case follows immediately from Rule 2 (with \(k=0\) ). (ii) If \(p^{2} q\) is hyperperfect, then the number \(\left(p^{2} q-1\right) /((p+1)(p+q))\) must be a positive integer. Consider the function
\[
f(x, y):=\frac{x^{2} y-1}{(x+1)(x+y)}, x, y \in \mathbf{N}
\]

To characterize all pairs \(x, y\) for which \(f(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}\), we can safely take \(x \geqslant 2\) and \(y \geqslant 2\). Let \(x \geqslant 2\) be fixed, then we have for all \(y \geqslant 2\),
\[
f(x, y)<\frac{x^{2} y}{(x+1)(x+y)}<\frac{x^{2}}{x+1}=x-1+\frac{1}{x+1} .
\]

Hence, the largest integral value which could possibly be assumed by \(f\) is \(x-1\), and one easily checks that this value is actually assumed for \(y=\) \(x^{3}-x+1\). So we have found
\[
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, x^{3}-x+1\right)=x-1, x \in \mathbf{N}, x \geqslant 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
\]

One also easily checks that \(f\) is monotonically increasing in \(y\) ( \(x\) fixed), so that
\[
\begin{equation*}
2 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{3}-x+1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
\]

1984]
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Now, in order to have \(f \in \mathbf{N}\), it is necessary that \(x+1\) divides \(x^{2} y-1\), or, equivalently, that \(x+1\) divides \(y-1\), since
\[
\frac{x^{2} y-1}{x+1}=y(x-1)+\frac{y-1}{x+1}
\]

Therefore, we have \(y=k(x+1)+1\), with \(k \in \mathbf{N}\) and \(1 \leqslant k \leqslant x(x-1)\) by (7). Substitution of this into \(f\) yields
\[
f(x, y)=\frac{k x^{2}+x-1}{(k+1)(x+1)}=x-1-\frac{x^{2}-x-k}{(k+1)(x+1)}=: x-1-g(x, k)
\]

It follows that \(x+1\) must divide \(x^{2}-x-k\), or, equivalently, that \(x+1\) must divide \(k-2\). Hence, \(k=j(x+1)+2\), with \(j \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}\) and \(0 \leqslant j \leqslant\) \(x-2\). Substitution of this into \(g\) yields
\[
g(x, j(x+1)+2)=\frac{x-2-j}{j(x+1)+3}
\]

This function is decreasing in \(j\), and for \(j=0,1, \ldots, x-2\) it assumes the values:
\[
\begin{aligned}
g(x, 2) & =(x-2) / 3 \\
g(x, x+3) & =\frac{x-3}{x-4}<1 \\
& \vdots \\
g(x, x(x-1)) & =0
\end{aligned}
\]

It follows that there is precisely one more possibility [in addition to (6)] for \(f\) to be a positive integer, viz., when \(j=0, k=2, y=2 x+3\), and \(x(\bmod 3)=2\). So we have found
\[
\begin{equation*}
f(3 t-1,6 t+1)=2 t-1, t \in \mathbf{N} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
\]

The statement in the Theorem now easily follows from (6) and (8).
(iii) As in the proof of (ii), we now have to find out for which values of \(x, y \in \mathbf{N}, x \geqslant 2\), and \(y \geqslant 2\), the function \(f(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}\), where
\[
f(x, y):=\frac{x^{\alpha} y-1}{\left(x^{\alpha-1}+\cdots+1\right)(x+y)}, \alpha>2
\]

For fixed \(x \geqslant 2\), we have
\[
f(x, y)<\frac{x^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha-1}+\cdots+1}=x-1+\frac{1}{x^{\alpha-1}+\cdots+1}
\]
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As in the proof of (ii) we find that \(f(x, y)=x-1\) for \(y=x^{\alpha+1}-x+1\) and that \(2 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{\alpha+1}-x+1\). Furthermore, \(x^{\alpha-1}+\cdots+1\) must divide \(x^{\alpha} y-1\), so that \(y=k\left(x^{\alpha-1}+\ldots+1\right)+1\), with \(1 \leqslant k \leqslant x(x-1)\). Substitution of this into \(f\) yields a certain function \(g\), in the same way as in the proof of (ii), but in this case \(g\) can only assume integral values for \(k=x(x-1)\). This implies the statement in the Theorem, case (iii). Q.E.D.

It is easy to see that the characterizations given in this Theorem are equivalent to Rule \(2(k=0)\) when \(\alpha=1\), to Rule 4 or Rule \(1(k=1)\) when \(\alpha=2\), and to Rule \(1(k=1)\) when \(\alpha>2\).

This Theorem enables us to find very cheaply all HP's of the form \(p^{\alpha} q\), \(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\), below a given bound. For example, to find all HP's in \(M_{n}\) of the form \(p q\) below \(10^{8}\), we only have to check whether
\[
p:=n+A \in \mathscr{P} \quad \text { and } \quad q:=n+B \in \mathscr{P}
\]
for all possible factorizations of \(A B=1+n^{2}\), for \(1 \leqslant n \leqslant 4999\). This range of \(n\) follows from the fact that if \(p q \in M_{n}\) then \(p q>4 n^{2}\). The following additional restrictions can be imposed on \(n\) :
(i) \(n\) should be 1 or even since, if \(n\) is odd and \(n \geqslant 3\), then \(n^{2}+1 \equiv 2\) \((\bmod 4)\), so that one of \(A\) or \(B\) is odd and one of \(p\) or \(q\) is even and \(\geqslant 4\).
(ii) If \(n \geqslant 3\), then \(n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\), since if \(n \equiv 1\) or \(2(\bmod 3)\), then \(n^{2}+1 \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\), so that one of \(A\) or \(B\) is \(\equiv 1(\bmod 3)\) and the other is \(\equiv 2(\bmod 3)\); consequently, one of \(p\) or \(q\) is \(\equiv 0(\bmod 3)\) and \(>3\) 。

Hence, the only values of \(n\) to be checked are \(n=1, n=2\), and \(n=6 t\), \(1 \leqslant t \leqslant 833\). It took about 6 seconds CPU-time on a CDC CYBER 175 computer to check these values of \(n\), and to generate in this way all HP's of the form \(p q\) below \(10^{8}\).

\section*{4. EXHAUSTIVE COMPUTER SEARCHES}

From the rules given in Section 2, it follows that it is of importance to know elements of \(M^{*}\) when one wants to find elements of \(M\). Therefore,
we have carried out an exhaustive computer search for all elements of \(M^{*}\) below the bound \(10^{8}\). Because of (5) the search was restricted to elements with at least two different prime factors. A check was done to determine whether \((m-1) /(\sigma(m)-m) \in \mathbf{N}\), for all \(m \leqslant 10^{8}\) with \(\omega(m) \geqslant 2\). Since the most time-consuming part is the computation of \(\sigma(m)\), a second check was done to determine whether \((m-1) /(\sigma(m)-m-1) \in \mathbf{N}\) [in the case where \((m-1) /(\sigma(m)-m) \notin \mathbf{N}]\). If so, \(m\) was an HP; thus, our program also produced, almost for free, all HP's below \(10^{8}\). (The search took about 100 hours of "idle" computer time on a CDC CYBER 175.) The results are as follows.

Apart from the ordinary perfect numbers, there are 146 HP 's below \(10^{8}\). Only two of them have the form \(p^{\alpha} q\) r:
\[
13 \times 269 \times 449 \in{ }_{3} M_{12} \quad \text { and } \quad 7^{2} 383 \times 3203 \in \in_{3} M_{6} ;
\]
these were also found in the searches described in Section 2. All others have the form characterized in Section 3, and could have been found with a search based on that characterization (using the fact that if \(p^{\alpha} q \in{ }_{2} M_{n}\), then \(p>n\) and \(q>n\) ). A question that naturally arises is the following: Are there any HP's that cannot be constructed with one of Rules 1,2 , or \(4 ?^{2}\)

There are 312 numbers \(m \leqslant 10^{8}\) which belong to \(M^{*}\) and which have \(\omega(m)\) \(\geqslant 2\). Of these, 306 have the form \(p q\) and could have been (and, as a check, actually were) found very cheaply with Rule 3 of Section 2. The others are:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \times 61 \times 229 \in{ }_{3} M_{6}^{*}, 113 \times 127 \times 2269 \in{ }_{3} M_{58}^{*}, \\
& 149 \times 463 \times 659 \in{ }_{3} M_{96}^{*}, 19 \times 373 \times 10357 \in{ }_{3} M_{18}^{*} \\
& 151 \times 373 \times 1487 \in{ }_{3} M_{100}^{*}, 7 \times 11 \times 547 \times 1291 \in{ }_{4} M_{4}^{*} ;
\end{aligned}
\]
the second, third, and fifth numbers could not have been found using Rule 3.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) The referee has answered this question in the affirmative by giving the example \(12161963773=191 \times 373 \times 170711 \in M_{126}\).
}
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\section*{5. HYPERCYCLES}

A possible generalization of hyperperfect numbers can be obtained as follows. Let \(n \in \mathbf{N}\) be given, and define the function \(f_{n}: \mathbf{N} \backslash\{1\} \Rightarrow \mathbf{N}\) as
\[
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(m):=1+n[\sigma(m)-m-1], m \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{1\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
\]

Starting with some \(m_{0} \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{1\}\), one might investigate the sequence
\[
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}, f_{n}\left(m_{0}\right), f_{n}\left(f_{n}\left(m_{0}\right)\right), \ldots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
\]

For \(n=1\), this is the well-known aliquot sequence of \(m_{0}\), which can have cycles of length 1 (perfect numbers), length 2 (amicable pairs), and others. In order to get some impression of the cyclic behavior for \(n>1\), we have computed, for \(2 \leqslant n \leqslant 20\), five terms of all sequences (10) with starting term \(m_{0} \leqslant 10^{6}\), and we have registered the cycles with length \(\geqslant 2\) and \(\leqslant 5\) in the following table.

TABLE 1
HYPERCYCLES*
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline \hline\(n\) & \(k\) & \(m_{0}, m_{1}, \cdots, m_{k-1}\) \\
\hline 5 & 2 & \(19461=3 \times 13 \times 499,42691=11 \times 3881\) \\
7 & 3 & \(925=5^{2} 37,1765=5 \times 353,2507=23 \times 109\) \\
8 & 2 & \(28145=5 \times 13 \times 433,66481=19 \times 3499\) \\
& 3 & \(238705=5 \times 47741,381969=3^{3} 7 \times 43 \times 47,2350961=79 \times 29759\) \\
& 4 & \(94225=5^{2} 3769,181153=7^{2} 3697,237057=3 \times 31 \times 2549\), \\
& \(714737=61 \times 11717\) \\
9 & 2 & \(3452337=3^{2} 7 \times 54799,17974897=53 \times 229 \times 1481\) \\
& 2 & \(469=7 \times 67,667=23 \times 29\) \\
& 2 & \(1315=5 \times 263,2413=19 \times 127\) \\
& \(1477=7 \times 211,1963=13 \times 151\) \\
10 & 2 & \(2737=7 \times 17 \times 23,6463=23 \times 281\) \\
12 & 2 & \(1981=7 \times 283,2901=3 \times 967,9701=89 \times 109\) \\
& 2 & \(397=17 \times 41,2041=13 \times 157\) \\
14 & 2 & \(54265=5 \times 13 \times 43,12169=43 \times 283\) \\
& \(1261=13 \times 97,1541=230\) \\
& 3 & \(508453=11 \times 17 \times 2719,1106925=3 \times 5^{2} 14759\), \\
& \(10126397=281 \times 36037\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
*Different numbers \(m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}\) such that \(m_{k}=m_{0}\), where \(m_{i+1}:=f_{n}\left(m_{i}\right), f_{n}\) defined in (9).
}

TABLE 1 (continued)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline \hline\(n\) & \(k\) & \(m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}\) \\
\hline 19 & 2 & \(9197=17 \times 541,10603=23 \times 461\) \\
& 4 & \(184491=3^{3} 6833,1688493=3 \times 562831,10693847=709 \times 15083\), \\
& \(300049=31 \times 9679\) \\
& \(5151775=5^{2} 251 \times 821,24124073=89 \times 271057\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{1. INTRODUCTION}

Lucas [2] defined the fundamental and the primordial functions \(U_{n}(p, q)\) and \(V_{n}(p, q)\), respectively, by the second-order recurrence relation
\[
W_{n+2}=p W_{n+1}-q W_{n} \quad(n \geqslant 0),
\]
where
\[
\begin{cases}\left\{W_{n}\right\}=\left\{U_{n}\right\} & \text { if } W_{0}=0, W_{1}=1, \text { and }  \tag{1.1}\\ \left\{W_{n}\right\}=\left\{V_{n}\right\} & \text { if } W_{0}=2, W_{1}=p .\end{cases}
\]

Let \(X\) be a matrix defined by
\[
X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{1.2}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right]
\]

Taking
\[
\operatorname{tr} . X=p \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{det} . X=q
\]
and using matrix exponential functions
\[
e^{X}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} X^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad e^{-X}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} X^{-n}
\]

Barakat [1] obtained summation formulas for
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_{n}(p, q), \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} V_{n}(p, q), \text { and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_{n+1}(p, q)
\]

Walton [7] extended Barakat's results by using the sine and cosine functions of the matrix \(X\) to obtain various other summation formulas for the functions \(U_{n}(p, q)\) and \(V_{n}(p, q)\). Further, using the relation between \(\left\{U_{n}\right\}\), \(\left\{V_{n}\right\}\), and the Chebychev polynomials \(\left\{S_{n}\right\}\) and \(\left\{T_{n}\right\}\) of the first and second kinds, respectively, he obtained the following summation formulas:
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \sin 2 n \theta}{(2 n)!}=-\sin (\cos \theta) \sinh (\sin \theta)  \tag{1.3}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \sin (2 n+1) \theta}{(2 n+1)!}=\cos (\cos \theta) \sinh (\sin \theta) \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos 2 n \theta}{(2 n)!}=\cos (\cos \theta) \cosh (\sin \theta) \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos (2 n+1) \theta}{(2 n+1)!}=\sin (\cos \theta) \cosh (\sin \theta)
\end{array}\right.
\]

The question-Can the summation formulas for \(U_{n}\) and \(V_{n}\) and identities in (1.3) be further extended?-then naturally arises. The object of this paper is to obtain these extensions, if they exist, by using generalized circular functions.

\section*{2. GENERALIZED CIRCULAR FUNCTIONS}

Pólya and Mikusiński [3] appear to be among the first few mathematicians who studied the generalized circular functions defined as follows.

For any positive integer \(r\),
\[
M_{r, j}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{r n+j}}{(m+j)!}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, r-1
\]
and
\[
N_{r, j}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{r n+j}}{(m+j)!}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, r-1
\]

The notation and some of the results used here are according to [4]. Note that
\[
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1,0}(t)=e^{-t}, M_{2,0}(t)=\cos t, M_{2,1}(t)=\sin t \\
& N_{1,0}(t)=e^{t}, \quad N_{2,0}(t)=\cosh t, N_{2,1}(t)=\sinh t
\end{aligned}
\]

Following Barakat [1] and Walton [7], we define generalized trigonometric and hyperbolic functions of any square matrix \(X\) by
\[
\begin{cases}M_{r, j}(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} X^{r n+j}}{(m+j)!}, & j=0,1, \ldots, r-1, \text { and }  \tag{2.1}\\ N_{r, j}(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{r n+j}}{(m+j)!}, & j=0,1, \ldots, r-1\end{cases}
\]

\section*{3. SUMMATION FORMULAS FOR THE FUNDAMENTAL FUNCTION}

We use the following Lemmas.
Lemma 1: Let \(X\) be the matrix defined in (1.2), and \(U_{n}(p, q)\) the fundamental functions defined by (1.1). Then
\[
\begin{equation*}
X^{n}=U_{n} X-q U_{n-1} I \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(I\) is the \(2 \times 2\) unit matrix.
This lemma is proved by Barakat [1].
Lemma 2: If \(f(t)\) is a polynomial of degree \(\leqslant N-1\), and if \(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\) are the \(N\) distinct eigenvalues of \(X\), then
\[
\begin{equation*}
f(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N \\ j \neq i}}\left[\frac{X-\lambda_{i} I}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
\]

This is Sylvester's matrix interpolation formula (see [6]).
Lemma 3: (a) The following identities are proved in [3]:
\[
\begin{gathered}
M_{3,0}(x+y)=M_{3,0}(x) M_{3,0}(y)-M_{3,1}(x) M_{3,2}(y)-M_{3,2}(x) M_{3,1}(y), \\
M_{3,1}(x+y)=M_{3,0}(x) M_{3,1}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{3,0}(y)-M_{3,2}(x) M_{3,2}(y), \\
M_{3,2}(x+y)=M_{3,0}(x) M_{3,2}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{3,1}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{3,0}(y) . \\
\quad(b) \quad M_{r, j}(t)=\omega^{j / 2} M_{r, j}\left(\omega^{-1 / 2} t\right), \text { where } \omega=e^{2 \pi i / r} .
\end{gathered}
\]

The proof is straightforward and thus omitted (for notation, see [4]). Lemma 4: We have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& M_{3, j}(x)-M_{3, j}(-x)= \begin{cases}-2 N_{6, j+3}(x), & j=0,2, \\
2 N_{6,1}(x), & j=1,\end{cases} \\
& M_{3, j}(x)+M_{3, j}(-x)= \begin{cases}2 N_{6, j}(x), & j=0,2, \\
-2 N_{6,4}(x), & j=1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proof: } \\
& M_{3, j}(x)-M_{3, j}(-x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{3 n+j}}{(3 n+j)!}\left[1-(-1)^{3 n+j}\right], \quad j=0,1,2 . \\
&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{3 n+j}}{(3 n+j)!}\left[1-(-1)^{n+j}\right]
\end{aligned}
\]
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\[
\begin{aligned}
& = \begin{cases}\sum_{n=1,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{n} x^{3 n+j}}{(3 n+j)!}, & j=0,2 \\
\sum_{n=0,2, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{n} x^{3 n+j}}{(3 n+j)!}, & j=1\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}-2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{6 n+3+j}}{(6 n+3+j)!}, & j=0,2 \\
2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{6 n+j}}{(6 n+j)!}, & j=1\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}-2 N_{6,3+j}, \quad j=0,2 \\
2 N_{6, j}, \quad j=1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

The other formula can be similarly proved.
Theorem 1: The following formulas hold for \(\left\{U_{n}(p, q)\right\}\).
\[
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n}}{(3 n)!}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =-\frac{2}{\delta}\left\{M_{3,0}(p / 2) N_{6,3}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,1}(p / 2) N_{6,5}(\delta / 2)+M_{3,2}(p / 2) N_{6,1}(\delta / 2)\right\} \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n+1}}{(3 n+1)!}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\frac{2}{\delta}\left\{M_{3,0}(p / 2) N_{6,1}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,1}(p / 2) N_{6,3}(\delta / 2)+M_{3,2}(p / 2) N_{6,5}(\delta / 2)\right\} \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n+2}}{(3 n+2)!}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =-\frac{2}{\delta}\left\{M_{3,0}(p / 2) N_{6,5}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,1}(p / 2) N_{6,1}(\delta / 2)+M_{3,2}(p / 2) N_{6,3}\right. \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n-1}}{(3 n)!}=\frac{1}{\delta q}\left\{\lambda_{1} M_{3,0}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{2} M_{3,0}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n}}{(3 n+1)!}=\frac{1}{\delta q}\left\{\lambda_{1} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{2} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n+1}}{(3 n+2)!}=\frac{1}{\delta q}\left\{\lambda_{1} M_{3,2}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{2} M_{3,2}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
\]
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Here \(p=\operatorname{tr} . X, q=\operatorname{det} . X\), where \(X\) is the matrix defined in (1.2) and \(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\) are its eigenvalues. Further \(\delta=\sqrt{p^{2}-4 q}\).

Proof: We prove (3.4) and (3.7). The proofs of the others are similar. Since \(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\) are the eigenvalues of \(X\), they satisfy its characteristic equation \(\lambda^{2}-p \lambda+q=0\). Therefore,
\[
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=p, \quad \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=q, \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{p+\delta}{2}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{p-\delta}{2} .
\]

Now, using (3.2), we have
\[
M_{3,1}(X)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\left\{\left(X-\lambda_{1} I\right) M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\left(X-\lambda_{2} I\right) M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right\}
\]
i.e.,
\[
\begin{equation*}
M_{3,1}(X)=\frac{1}{\delta}\left\{\left[M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right] X-\left[\lambda_{1} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{2} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right] I\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

Using Lemma 3, we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)= & M_{3,1}\left(\frac{p+\delta}{2}\right)-M_{3,1}\left(\frac{p-\delta}{2}\right) \\
= & M_{3,0}(p / 2)\left[M_{3,1}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,1}(-\delta / 2)\right] \\
& +M_{3,1}(p / 2)\left[M_{3,0}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,0}(-\delta / 2)\right] \\
& -M_{3,2}(p / 2)\left[M_{3,2}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,2}(-\delta / 2)\right] .
\end{aligned}
\]

Now, using Lemma 4, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{3,1} & \left(\lambda_{1}\right)-M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& =2 M_{3,0}(p / 2) N_{6,1}(\delta / 2)-2 M_{3,1}(p / 2) N_{6,3}(\delta / 2)+2 M_{3,2}(p / 2) N_{6,5}(\delta / 2) .
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting (3.10) in (3.9), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{3,1}(X)= & \frac{2}{\delta}\left\{\left[M_{3,0}(p / 2) N_{6,1}(\delta / 2)-M_{3,1}(p / 2) N_{6,3}(\delta / 2)\right.\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.\left.+M_{3,2}(p / 2) N_{6,5}(\delta / 2)\right] X-\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{2} M_{3,1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right] I\right\} .
\end{align*}
\]

Now, by (3.1) and (2.1), we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
M_{3,1}(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(3 n+1)!}\left[U_{3 n+1} X-q U_{3 n} I\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
\]

Equating the coefficients of \(X\) and \(I\) in (3.11) and (3.12), we get (3.4) and (3.7).
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Starting with \(M_{3,0}(X)\) and \(M_{3,2}(X)\) and following a similar procedure, we obtain (3.3), (3.6), and (3.5) and (3.8).

Remark 1: The right-hand sides of (3.6)-(3.8) are expressible in terms of \(p\) and \(\delta\); however, the formulas become messy and serve no better purpose.

\section*{4. SUMMATION FORMULAS FOR THE CHEBYCHEV POLYNOMIALS}

Theorem 2: The following summation formulas hold for \(\left\{S_{n}(x)\right\}\) and \(\left\{T_{n}(x)\right\}\). Let \(x=\cos \theta\) and \(y=\sin \theta\). Then
\[
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} S_{3 n}(x)}{(3 n)!}  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\frac{1}{y}\left[M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,3}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,5}(y)-M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,1}(y)\right] \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} S_{3 n+1}(x)}{(3 n+1)!}  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\frac{1}{y}\left[M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,1}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,3}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,5}(y)\right] \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} S_{3 n+2}(x)}{(3 n+2)!}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{y}\left[-M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,5}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,1}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,3}(y)\right] \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} T_{3 n}(x)}{(3 n)!}  \tag{4.4}\\
& =M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,0}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,2}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,4}(y) \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} T_{3 n+1}(x)}{(3 n+1)!}  \tag{4.5}\\
& =-M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,4}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,0}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,2}(y) \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} T_{3 n+2}(x)}{(3 n+2)!}  \tag{4.6}\\
& =-M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,2}(y)-M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,4}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,0}(y)
\end{align*}
\]
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Proof: If \(p=2 x=2 \cos \theta\) and \(q=1\), then \(U_{n}(p, q)\) are the Chebychev polynomials \(S_{n}(x)\) of the first kind; i.e.,
where
\[
U_{n}(2 x, 1)=S_{n}(x)=\frac{\sin n \theta}{\sin \theta} \quad(n \geqslant 0)
\]
with
\[
S_{n+2}=2 x S_{n+1}-S_{n}
\]
\[
S_{0}=0, S_{1}=1
\]

We prove (4.2) and (4.5) as follows. Now,
\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n+1}}{(3 n+1)!} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} S_{3 n+1}(x)}{(3 n+1)!}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \sin (3 n+1) \theta}{(3 n+1)!\sin \theta} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(3 n+1)!}\left[\frac{e^{i(3 n+1) \theta}-e^{-i(3 n+1) \theta}}{2 i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i \sin \theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(3 n+1)!}\left[\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{3 n+1}-\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)^{3 n+1}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i \sin \theta}\left[M_{3,1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-M_{3,1}\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\]

Hence,
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} U_{3 n+1}}{(3 n+1)!}=\frac{1}{2 i y}\left[M_{3,1}(x+i y)-M_{3,1}(x-i y)\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
\]

By Lemma 3,
\[
\begin{aligned}
M_{3,1}(x+i y)-M_{3,1}(x-i y)= & M_{3,0}(x)\left[M_{3,1}(i y)-M_{3,1}(-i y)\right] \\
& +M_{3,1}(x)\left[M_{3,0}(i y)-M_{3,0}(-i y)\right] \\
& -M_{3,2}(x)\left[M_{3,2}(i y)-M_{3,2}(-i y)\right]
\end{aligned}
\]
so that by Lemma 4,
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{3,1} & (x+i y)-M_{3,1}(x-i y)  \tag{4.8}\\
& =2 M_{3,0}(x) N_{6,1}(i y)-2 M_{3,1}(x) N_{6,3}(i y)+2 M_{3,2}(x) N_{6,5}(i y)
\end{align*}
\]

Further, by Lemma 3(b),
\[
\begin{aligned}
N_{6, k}(i y) & =N_{6, k}\left(w^{3 / 2} y\right), \text { where } w=e^{2 \pi i / 6}, k=0,1, \ldots, 5 \\
& =w^{k / 2} M_{6, k}(w y) \\
& =w^{k / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} w^{6 n+k} y^{6 n+k}}{(6 n+k)!}
\end{aligned}
\]
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so that
\[
\begin{equation*}
N_{6, k}(i y)=w^{3 k / 2} M_{6, k}(y) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

Note that \(w^{3 / 2}=i, w^{9 / 2}=-i\), and \(w^{15 / 2}=i\). Hence, substituting (4.9) in (4.8), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
& M_{3,1}(x+i y)-M_{3,1}(x-i y)  \tag{4.10}\\
& \quad=2 i\left[M_{3,0}(x) M_{6,1}(y)+M_{3,1}(x) M_{6,3}(y)+M_{3,2}(x) M_{6,5}(y)\right] .
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting (4.10) in (4.7), we get (4.2). It is easy to see that (4.1) and (4.3) can be similarly obtained.

Noting that
\[
V_{n}(2 x, 1)=2 T_{n}(x)=2 \cos \theta,
\]
and using similar techniques, we obtain (4.4)-(4.6).
Remark 2: Since \(S_{n}(x)=\frac{\sin n \theta}{\sin \theta}\), and \(T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta\), (3.13)-(3.18) also give summation formulas for
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \sin (3 n+j) \theta}{(3 n+j)!} \text { and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos (3 n+j) \theta}{(3 n+j)!}, j=0,1,2 .
\]

For example, from (3.14) we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \sin (3 n+1) \theta}{(3 n+1)!} & =M_{3,0}(\cos \theta) M_{6,1}(\sin \theta) \\
& +M_{3,1}(\cos \theta) M_{6,3}(\sin \theta)+M_{3,2}(\cos \theta) M_{6,5}(\sin \theta)
\end{aligned}
\]

Remark 3: Shannon and Horadam [5] studied the third-order recurrence relation
\[
S_{n}=P S_{n-1}+Q S_{n-2}+R S_{n-3} \quad(n \geqslant 4), \quad S_{0}=0,
\]
where they write
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{S_{n}\right\}=\left\{J_{n}\right\} \text { when } S_{1}=0, S_{2}=1, \text { and } S_{3}=P, \\
& \left\{S_{n}\right\}=\left\{K_{n}\right\} \text { when } S_{1}=1, S_{2}=0, \text { and } S_{3}=0,
\end{aligned}
\]
and
\[
\left\{S_{n}\right\}=\left\{L_{n}\right\} \text { when } S_{1}=0, S_{2}=0, \text { and } S_{3}=R
\]

Following Barakat, and using the matrix exponential function, they then obtained formulas for
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{n}}{n!}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_{n}}{n!}, \text { and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_{n}}{n!}
\]
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```
in terms of eigenvalues of the matrix
\[
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]
\]

Using matrix circular functions and their extensions and following similar techniques could be a matter of discussion for an additional paper on the derivation of the higher-order formulas for \(\left\{J_{n}\right\},\left\{K_{n}\right\}\), and \(\left\{L_{n}\right\}\).

Remark 4: A question naturally arises as to whether Theorems 1 and 2 can be extended further. This encounters some difficulties, due to the peculiar behavior of \(M_{r, j}(x)\) and \(N_{r, j}(x)\) for higher values of \(r\). This will be the topic of discussion in our next paper.
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The mean distances of planets and satellites from their primary, divided by the next one out, bears a loose resemblance to the golden mean and the Fibonacci sequence. B. A. Read [6] explored this resemblance and related the deviation or offset of the planets from an exact Fibonacci spacing to the density of a planet and that of the next planet inward from it. However, when the aphelion and apogee distances are considered, the resemblance is no longer loose. Instead, as can be seen from the accompanying tables, the resemblance is close enough to reflect some underlying natural law. In Table l, the observed aphelion distances of the planets from the sun are compared to distances calculated in direct proportion to the Fibonacci sequence as well as to distances calculated in proportion to the golden mean. The golden mean, 1.618034, an irrational number, is the limit that one Fibonacci number, divided by the preceding Fibonacci number, converges towards, which is equal to \((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2\). Its reciprocal is 0.618034 , which is the form of the golden mean used in this paper.

As can be seen from Table 1, Mercury deviates considerably from calculated distances, as would be expected from tidal interactions, as do the innermost satellites of Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus in Table 2. The deviations of Jupiter and Saturn are not so easily dispensed with, and the gap between Jupiter and Saturn may be suggestive of a missing planet. However, Pluto's distance fits well, suggesting that Pluto is a normal member of the solar system rather than an asteroidal member. At the bottom of Table 1 is a statistical workup of the various calculated spacings compared with the observed spacings.

In the case of the planets, the Fibonacci sequence gives a better fit than the golden mean; however, the apogee distances of the satellites of Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus fit the golden mean distances as well as the Fibonacci distances, as can be seen in Table 2. The Fibonacci and golden
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mean distances are calculated from assumed "true values" which are underlined. The asteroidal satellites of Jupiter form two families, the Himalia group consisting of Ananke, Carme, Sinape, and Pasiphae. The Himalia group satellites are close together and have a weighted apogee mean somewhat under the calculated value such that it appears more reasonable that they are fragments of a shattered moon rather than captured asteriodal objects. Likewise for the retrograde group; however, Ananke's inclusion may be doubtful and, if so, then the weighted mean would be \(30360 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Km}\), which fits better than the weighted mean for all four bodies.

Retrograde bodies may well be normal satellites or fragments of normal satellites. The break from direct to retrograde motion occurs at about the same value of the gravitational gradient for both Jupiter and Saturn. (The gravitational gradient is proportional to mass/distance cubed.) It would not surpirse these writers if both Uranus and Neptune were found to have outer retrograde satellites, and if planets beyond Pluto were found to be retrograde. In the case of the sun, Pluto lies farther out with respect to gravitational gradient than do the retrograde satellites of Jupiter and Saturn; thus, there is probably more to retrograde motion than gravitational gradient.

In Table 3, the aphelion and apogee distances are divided by the distance of the next body outward from the primary. For purposes of comparison and averaging over intermediate and skipped spacings, the resultant ratios in Table 1 are raised to appropriate exponents. Thus, it can be seen that the ratios of closely-spaced satellite orbits of Saturn correspond to the square root, 0.78615 , of the golden mean reciprocal. In the statistical workup for the overall mean, the values for the innermost bodies, Mercury, Amalthea, the moonlets, and Miranda, were rejected since they would be the most subject to tidal forces. This workup yields a mean spacing ratio of 0.62103 , which comes within \(0.5 \%\) of the reciprocal of the golden mean. And if Phoebe and the "asteroidal" satellites of Jupiter are also rejected, the overall mean comes to 0.61877 , which is within approximately \(0.1 \%\) of that reciprocal. This golden mean orbital interval corresponds to a constant increase in the gravitational gradient by a factor
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TABLE 1
COMPARISON OF OBSERVED APHELION DISTANCES WITH FIBONACCI
AND GOLDEN MEAN RATIOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Planet} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Aphelion \\
Distance \\
from Sun \\
\(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{Km}\)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Fibonacci Number} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Distances Proportional to \\
Fibonacci Numbers \(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{Km}\)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Golden Mean Ratio Best Fit} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Titius-Bode Law \\
Numbers and \\
Distances with \\
Uranus at "True Value"
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & With & With & & & & \\
\hline & & & at "True Value" & at "True Value" & \begin{tabular}{l}
Adjusted \\
Best Fit
\end{tabular} & & Number & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Distance } \\
& \times 10^{6} \mathrm{Km}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline MERCURY & 69.86 & 1 & 50.98 & 51.22 & 51.88 & 61.04 & 4 & 61.3 \\
\hline VENUS & 108.8 & 2 & 101.9 & 102.4 & 103.8 & 98.77 & 7 & 107.2 \\
\hline EARTH & 152.1 & 3 & 152.9 & 153.6 & 155.6 & 159.8 & 10 & 153.2 \\
\hline MARS & 249.1 & 5 & 254.9 & 256.1 & 259.3 & 258.6 & 16 & 245.1 \\
\hline ASTER. & & 8 & 407.8 & 409.7 & 414.8 & 418.4 & 28 & 248.9 \\
\hline JUPITER & 815.8 & 13 & 662.7 & 665.8 & 674.1 & 677.0 & 52 & 796.4 \\
\hline & & 21 & 1070 & 1075 & 1089 & 1095 & - & - \\
\hline SATURN & 1504 & 34 & 1733 & 1741 & 1763 & 1772 & 100 & 1532 \\
\hline URANUS & 3002 & 55 & 2804 & 2817 & 2852 & 2868 & 196 & 3002 \\
\hline NEPTUNE & 4537 & 89 & 4537 & 4558 & 4615 & 4640 & - & - \\
\hline PLUTO & 7375 & 144 & 7340 & 7375 & 7467 & 7508 & 388 & 5943 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{The above
\[
\frac{\text { Calculated Value }}{\text { Observed Value }}-1
\]}} & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & MERCURY & -0.270 & -0.267 & -0.257 & -0.126 & & \\
\hline & & VENUS & -0.063 & -0.059 & -0.046 & -0.093 & & \\
\hline & & EARTH & 0.006 & 0.010 & 0.023 & 0.051 & & \\
\hline & & MARS & 0.023 & 0.028 & 0.041 & 0.038 & & \\
\hline & & JUPITER & -0.188 & -0.184 & -0.174 & -0.170 & & \\
\hline & & SATURN & 0.152 & 0.157 & 0.172 & 0.178 & & \\
\hline & & URANUS & -0.066 & -0.062 & -0.050 & -0.045 & & \\
\hline & & NEPTUNE & 0.000 & 0.005 & 0.017 & 0.023 & & \\
\hline & & PLUTO & 0.005 & 0.000 & 0.013 & 0.018 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Sum, less Mercury} & & -0.131 & -0.109 & -0.002 & 0.000 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The aphelion distances were taken from Joseph Armento's compilation [1]. The TitiusBode law relationship, which works best with mean distances is shown for comparison only.
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TABLE 2

> COMPARISON OF THE APOGEE DISTANCES OF THE SATELLITES OF JUPITER, SATURN, AND URANUS WITH THE FIBONACCI AND GOLDEN MEAN RATIOS
(The satellites are listed in order of increasing apogee distances.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Satellite & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mean \\
Distance \\
\(\times 10^{3} \mathrm{Km}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Eccen- \\
tricity
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inclina- } \\
& \text { tion }
\end{aligned}
\] & Apogee Distance \(\times 10^{3} \mathrm{Km}\) & Fibonacci Number & Distance Proportional to Fibonacci & Distance Proportional Golden Mean \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{JUPITER} \\
\hline Amalthea & 181.3 & 0.003 & 0.4 & 181.8 & 1 & 233.7 & 256.3 \\
\hline Io & 421.6 & 0.000 & 0.0 & 241.6 & 2 & 447.3 & 414.7 \\
\hline Europa & 670.9 & 0.0001 & 0.5 & 671.0 & 3 & 671.0 & 671.0 \\
\hline Ganymede & 1070 & 0.0014 & 0.2 & 1072 & 5 & 1118 & 1086 \\
\hline Callisto & 1883 & 0.0074 & 0.2 & 1897 & 8 & 1789 & 1757 \\
\hline Leda & 11110 & 0.1478 & 26.7 & 12750 & & & \\
\hline Lysithea & 11710 & 0.1074 & 29 & 12970 & & & \\
\hline Himalia & 11470 & 0.1580 & 28 & 13280 & & & \\
\hline Elara & 11720 & 0.2072 & 28 & 14180 & & & \\
\hline Weighted & mean of th & above f & ur . & 13370 & 55 & 12300 & 12040 \\
\hline Ananke & 20700 & 0.169 & 147 & 24200 & & & \\
\hline Carme & 22350 & 0.207 & 163 & 26980 & & & \\
\hline Sinape & 23700 & 0.275 & 157 & 30220 & & & \\
\hline Pasiphae & 23300 & 0.410 & 148 & 32850 & & & \\
\hline Weighted & mean of th & above f & ur & 29750 & 144 & 32210 & 31530 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{SATURN} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Weighted mean apogee distance of} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{A and B ring moonlets} \\
\hline 1980S2 & , S27, S26 & S3, and & Sl & 150 & \(\sqrt{2}\) & 138 & 145 \\
\hline Mimas & 186 & 0.020 & 1.5 & 190 & 2 & 196 & 184 \\
\hline Enceladus & 238 & 0.005 & 0.0 & 239 & \(2 \sqrt{3 / 2}\) & 240 & 235 \\
\hline Tethys & 295 & 0.000 & 1.1 & 295 & 3 & 294 & 298 \\
\hline Dione & 378 & 0.002 & 0.0 & 379 & \(3 \sqrt{5 / 3}\) & 379 & 379 \\
\hline Rhea & 528 & 0.001 & 0.3 & 529 & 5 & 489 & 482 \\
\hline Titan & 1223 & 0.029 & 0.3 & 1258 & 13 & 1272 & 1267 \\
\hline Hyperion & 1484 & 0.104 & 0.6 & 1638 & \(13 \sqrt{21 / 13}\) & 1616 & 1605 \\
\hline Iapetus & 3562 & 0.028 & 14.7 & 3662 & 34 & 3327 & 3304 \\
\hline Phoebe & 12960 & 0.163 & 150 & 15070 & 144 & 14090 & 14000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{URANUS} \\
\hline Miranda & 130.5 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 130.5 & \(1 / \sqrt{2}\) & 137.0 & 145.4 \\
\hline Ariel & 191.8 & 0.003 & 0.0 & 192.4 & 2 & 193.8 & 185.0 \\
\hline Umbriel & 267.2 & 0.004 & 0.0 & 268.3 & 3 & 290.6 & 299.4 \\
\hline Titania & 483.4 & 0.002 & 0.0 & 484.4 & 5 & 484.4 & 484.4 \\
\hline Oberon & 586.3 & 0.001 & 0.0 & 586.9 & \(5 \sqrt{8 / 5}\) & 612.8 & 616.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Weighted means were found by multiplying apogee distances by radii cubed. In the case of the Himalia group, the diameters of Leda, Lysithea, Himalia, and Elara are 8, 19, 170 , and 80 km , respectively. In the retrograde group, the diameters of Ananke, Carme, Sinape, and Pasiphae are \(17,24,21\), and 27 Km , respectively.

Assumed "true values" from which calculations were started are underlined.
Satellite data were obtained from Patrick Moore's compilation [2]. A and B moonlets distance calculated from Robert Burnham's compilation [3].
}

THE GOLDEN MEAN IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM

TABLE 3
ORBITAL RATIOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Planet or Satellite & \[
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Exponent } \\
y
\end{gathered}
\] & \(\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}\right)^{y}\) \\
\hline Mercury & 0.64180 & 1 & 0.64180 \\
\hline Venus & 0.71579 & 1 & 0.71579 \\
\hline Earth & 0.61043 & 1 & 0.61043 \\
\hline Mars & 0.30537 & 1/2 & 0.53260 \\
\hline Jupiter & 0.54224 & 1/2 & 0.73637 \\
\hline Saturn & 0.50112 & 1 & 0.50112 \\
\hline Uranus & 0.66177 & 1 & 0.66177 \\
\hline Neptune & 0.61516 & 1 & 0.61516 \\
\hline Amalthea & 0.43121 & 1 & 0.43121 \\
\hline Io & 0.62832 & 1 & 0.62832 \\
\hline Europa & 0.62622 & 1 & 0.62622 \\
\hline Ganymede & 0.56484 & 1 & 0.56484 \\
\hline Callisto & 0.14191 & \(1 / 4\) & 0.61374 \\
\hline Himalia Group & 0.44038 & 1/2 & 0.66361 \\
\hline Moonlets & 0.78947 & 2 & 0.62327 \\
\hline Mimas & 0.79498 & 2 & 0.63199 \\
\hline Enceladus & 0.81017 & 2 & 0.65637 \\
\hline Tethys & 0.77836 & 2 & 0.60585 \\
\hline Dione & 0.71645 & 2 & 0.51329 \\
\hline Rhea & 0.42051 & 1/2 & 0.64847 \\
\hline Titan & 0.76801 & 2 & 0.58984 \\
\hline Hyperion & 0.44730 & 2/3 & 0.58488 \\
\hline Iapetus & 0.24300 & 1/3 & 0.62400 \\
\hline Miranda & 0.67827 & 2 & 0.46006 \\
\hline Ariel & 0.71711 & 1 & 0.71711 \\
\hline Umbriel & 0.55388 & 1 & 0.55388 \\
\hline Titania & 0.82535 & 2 & 0.68121 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean ratio with Mercury, Amalthea,
moonlets, and Miranda excluded . . . . . 0.62103
This table shows the aphelion and apogee distances, \(d_{1}\), of planets and satellites divided by the distance, \(d_{2}\), of the next body outward from the primary. The ratios are raised to various powers for purposes of averaging over intermediate spacings or skipped spacings.
of 4.236068 , the cube of the golden mean, which is equal to \(2+\sqrt{5}\), going from one orbit inward to the next orbit nearer to the primacy.

Concerning the motions of Mercury and Venus, Robert R. Newton [4] has come up with some interesting observations. He has carefully analyzed astronomical observations since Babylonian times and has noted that Mercury has been persistently gaining energy and, likewise, Venus to a lesser extent. The angular accelerations he has come up with, in seconds of arc per century squared are: Mercury, 4.1520; Venus, 1.6225. These numbers are maximum values; thus, the true values are probably one-half or less of these numbers. These numbers are of the right magnitude to account for the deviation from golden mean positions for these planets. Robert R. Newton [4] has noted a small increase in Saturn's angular motion, but not enough to account for the observed discrepancy. No change has been noted for Jupiter. Possibly the explanation lies in the large mass of Jupiter and Saturn.

The authors conclude that there is some underlying law involving gravitation and the golden mean that determines both aphelion and apogee distances. With respect to some underlying gravitational principle, R. Louise [5] remarked: "that satellite systems mimic the planetary system suggests some possible unsuspected property of gravitation."
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1. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider a distribution property of sequences of integers. Let us denote \(\alpha=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\) an infinite sequence of integers. For integers \(N \geqslant 1, m \geqslant 2\), and \(j(0 \leqslant j \leqslant m-1)\), let us define \(A_{N}(j, m, \alpha)\) as the number of terms among \(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\) satisfying the congruence \(\alpha_{n} \equiv j\) (mod m).

A sequence \(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\) is said to be uniformly distributed modulo \(m\) (u.d. mod \(m\) ) if, for every \(j=0,1, \ldots, m-1\),
\[
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{N}(j, m, a)}{N}=\frac{1}{m} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

A sequence \(a=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\) is said to be uniformly distributed in \(\mathbf{Z}\) if, for any integer \(m \geqslant 2, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\) is uniformly distributed modulo \(m\).

This notion was first introduced by Niven [6] and various results are already obtained (see Kuipers \& Niederreiter's book [4]), among which the sequence of Fibonacci numbers and its generalizations were investigated with respect to uniform distribution property modulo \(m\). The sequence of generalized Fibonacci numbers is defined by the following linear recurrence formula of second order,
\[
\begin{equation*}
h_{n+2}=h_{n+1}+h_{n} \quad(n \geqslant 1), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
with initial values \(h_{1}=a\) and \(h_{2}=b\).
The sequence of Fibonacci numbers \(\left(h_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\) with \(h_{1}=h_{2}=1\) is not uniformly distributed mod \(m\) for any \(m \neq 5^{k}(k=1,2\), ...). Any sequence of generalized Fibonacci numbers is not uniformly distributed mod \(m\) for any \(m \neq 5^{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)\) and even for \(m=5^{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)\) for certain initial values \(a\) and \(b\) [3].

\section*{DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY OF RECURSIVE SEQUENCES}

Various modifications for the recurrence formula (1.2) can be considered. In this note we shall consider the following congruential recurrence formula:
\[
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1} \equiv u_{n}+u_{n}^{-1}(\bmod m) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Since our interest is the distribution property of integer sequences modulo \(m\), the congruential recurrence will be sufficient for our purpose.

For two given integers \(s\) and \(m\), where \(m \geqslant 2\) is the modulus and \(s=u_{1}\) is the starting point, we can generate a sequence of integers \(u=u(s, m)\) mod \(m\) by the recurrence formula (1.3). We give our attention only to infinite sequences, and the set of these starting points is denoted by \(A_{m}\).

The structure of \(A_{m}\) will be discussed in the next section. Similarly to the notion of uniform distribution modulo \(m\), we define the function \(A_{N}(j, m, u(s, m)\) ) for \(j\) each invertible element in the ring \(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\), and we call \(u=u(s, m)\) for \(s \in A\) uniformly distributed in \((\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})\) * if, for any invertible element \(j \in \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\),
\[
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{N}(j, m, u(s, m))}{N}=\frac{1}{\phi(m)},
\]
where \(\phi(\cdot)\) denotes the Euler function.
It will be proved that recursive sequences \(u(s, m)\) are not uniformly distributed in ( \(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\) )* except for \(m=3\).

Finally, we generalize the recurrence formula (1.3) as
\[
u_{n+1} \equiv a u_{n}+b u_{n}^{-1}(\bmod m),
\]
and a similar result will be given.
\[
\text { 2. THE STRUCTURE OF } A_{m}
\]

We consider the solvability of the congruence
\[
u_{n+1} \equiv u_{n}+u_{n}^{-1}(\bmod m)
\]
in \((\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}\).

\section*{Case I: \(m\) is even}

In this case, invertible elements in \(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\) are odd and their inverses are necessarily odd. Therefore, the sum of an invertible element and its 1984]

\section*{DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY OF RECURSIVE SEQUENCES}
inverse is even. Here we get
Theorem 1: If \(m\) is even, then \(A_{m}=\phi\).

Case II: \(m=p\) (odd prime)
In this case, the only noninvertible element in \(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}\) is 0 , so we can start with any starting point \(s\), except 0 , the recurrence
\[
u_{n+1} \equiv u_{n}+u_{n}^{-1}(\bmod p)
\]

We consider the condition on \(s \in(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}\) for which
\[
\begin{equation*}
s+s^{-1} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

This congruence is equivalent to
\[
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
since \(s\) and \(p\) are relatively prime.
The first complementary law of reciprocity [1] shows that for any odd prime \(p\),
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\) is the Legendre symbol. Thus, we have

\section*{Theorem 2:}
i) For any prime \(p\) of the form \(4 n+3\),
\[
A_{p}=(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}
\]
ii) For any prime \(p\) of the form \(4 n+1\), no sequences \(u(s, p)\) are uniformly distributed in \((\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}\) for any starting point \(s \in(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}\).

Case III: \(m\) is a power of an odd prime \(p\)
In this case, \(m=p^{\alpha}, \alpha>1\), and we shall consider the following congruence,
\[
s+s^{-1} \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)
\]
where \(s \in\left(\mathbf{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{*}\) and \(p\) divides \(\alpha\). This is equivalent to
\[
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \equiv a s-1\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
since \(s\) and \(p^{\alpha}\) are relatively prime.

\footnotetext{
[Feb.
}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY OF RECURSIVE SEQUENCES}

Letting \(f(x)=x^{2}-a x+1\), then \(f^{\prime}(x)=2 x-\alpha\). If the congruence
\[
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \equiv a s-1(\bmod p) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
has a solution \(s_{0}\), then (2.4) has a solution, since
\[
f^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=2 s_{0}-a \equiv 2 s_{0} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)
\]

But (2.5) is identical to (2.2) because \(p\) divides \(a\). Thus, we have
Theorem 3: Let \(m=p^{\alpha}\) with \(\alpha>0\) and \(p\) an odd prime.
i) If \(p\) is of the form \(4 n+3\), then \(A_{p^{\alpha}}=\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{*}\).
ii) If \(p\) is of the form \(4 n+1\), then no \(u\left(s, p^{\alpha}\right)\) is uniformly distributed in \(\left(\mathbf{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}\right) *\).

Case IV: \(m\) is odd
In this case,
\[
m=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}
\]
where the \(p_{i}^{\prime}\) 's are odd primes and \(\alpha_{i}>0\).
Considering the congruence,
\[
s^{2}-\alpha s+1 \equiv 0(\bmod m),
\]
where \(a\) divides \(m\), the solvability of
\[
s^{2}-a s+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)
\]
depends on the value \(\left(\frac{a^{2}-4}{p_{i}}\right)\). Thus, we cannot conclude, as in previous cases, that the structure of \(A_{m}\) is in a compact form.

\section*{3. DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY OF \(u(s, m)\)}

In the preceding section, we saw that for infinitely many \(m, A_{m} \neq \phi\). We shall prove in this section that the distribution property of \(u(s, m)\) is quite similar to that of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers.

Direct calculation gives
Theorem 4: For any \(s \in A_{3}=(\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z})^{*}, u(s, 3)\) is uniformly distributed in \((\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}) *\).

We now present the main statement of the paper as Theorem 5.

\section*{DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY OF RECURSIVE SEQUENCES}

Theorem 5: Let \(m\) be a positive integer greater than 1 satisfying \(A_{m} \neq \phi\). For any \(s \in A_{m}, u(s, m)\) is not uniformly distributed in \((\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})\) * , except for \(m=3\).

We now generalize the recurrence formula (1.3) as follows:
\[
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1} \equiv a u_{n}+b u_{n}^{-1}(\bmod m) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(a\) and \(b\) are invertible elements in \(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\). The sequence generated by (3.2) is denoted by \(u(s ; a, b, m)\), where \(s=u_{1}\) is the invertible starting value, and the set of starting values that generates infinite sequences is written as \(A_{m ; a, b}\).

Similarly to Theorem 3, for even \(m, A_{m ; a, b}=\phi\). We do not mention the structure of \(A_{m ; a, b}\) since the distribution property of \(u(s ; a, b, m)\) is in question.

Theorem 6: For any \(s\) contained in nonempty \(A_{m ; a, b}\), no sequence \(u(s ; a, b, m)\) is uniformly distributed in \((\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}\), except in the case of Theorem 4.

Proof: As Theorem 6 includes Theorem 5, we only give the proof of the 1atter.

We know that we only have to consider odd \(m\) greater than 2 . If a sequence generated by (3.2) is uniformly distributed in \(G=(\mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{m} \mathbf{Z})^{*}\), then every element of \(G\) must appear in the sequence (considered mod \(m\) ). In particular, for every \(c \in G\), there exists \(s \in G\) with
\[
a s+b s^{-1} \equiv c(\bmod m)
\]

Hence the function \(f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}\), defined by
\[
f(s)=a s+b s^{-1}
\]
is a bijection of \(G\). But
\[
f(s)=f\left(b a^{-1} s^{-1}\right)
\]
for all \(s \in G\), and since \(f\) is a bijection, we get
\[
s \equiv b a^{-1} s^{-1}(\bmod m) ;
\]
hence,
\[
s^{2} \equiv b \alpha^{-1}(\bmod m)
\]
for all \(s \in G\). Setting \(s=1\) gives
\[
b \alpha^{-1} \equiv 1(\bmod m),
\]
and setting \(s=2\) gives \(m=3\).
Inspection shows that only the case \(a=b=1\) yields a uniformly distributed sequence in \((\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z})^{*}\). Q.E.D.
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\section*{FIBONACCI RESEARCH CONFERENCE ANNOUNCEMENT}

The Fibonacci Association and the University of Patras, Greece, would like to announce their intentions to jointly sponsor an international conference on Fibonacci numbers and their applications. This conference is tentatively set for late August or early September of 1984. Anyone interested in presenting a paper or attending the conference should contact:
```

G. E. BERGUM, EDITOR PROFESSOR ANDREAS N. PHILIPPOU
THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF PATRAS
SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY PATRAS, GREECE

```

PROFESSOR ANDREAS N. PHILIPPOU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF PATRAS
PATRAS, GREECE
BOX 2220
BROOKINGS, SD 57007-1297

\section*{FREE TEXTBOOK OFFER!}

For a limited time, The Fibonacci Association will provide free copies of its publication AN INTRODUCTION TO FIBONACCI DISCOVERY by Brother Alfred Brousseau to any qualified teacher of mathematics, or any institution, offering an undergraduate course in Fibonacci numbers.

This publication, designed and written by one of the cofounders of The Fibonacci Association, has been used as a textbook by teachers of undergraduate mathematics students for many years. For those instructors or mathematics department heads who are not familiar with the contents of AN INTRODUCTION TO FIBONACCI DISCOVERY, a complimentary copy of this publication is available upon request.

The only cost for these free textbooks will be a minimum charge for postage and handling. Instructors or institutions contemplating a course in Fibonacci numbers should write to THE FIBONACCI ASSOCIATION, UNIVERSITY OF SANTA CLARA, SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA 95053, indicating the dates of the courses to be offered and the number of textbooks that will be needed.
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\hline BRUCKMAN, Paul S. & HUDSON, Richard H. & SELMER, Ernst S. \\
\hline Fair Oaks, California & Univ of South Carolina & Univ. of Bergen \\
\hline BUMBY, Richard T. & JONES, Burton W. & SHALLIT, J.O. \\
\hline Rutgers Univ. & Univ. of Colorado & Univ. of Chicago \\
\hline BURTON, David M. & KALMAN, Dan & SHANE, H.D. \\
\hline Univ. of New Hampshire & Sioux Falls, S.D. & Baruch College of CUNY \\
\hline CHARALAMBIDES, Ch. A. & KEMP, Dan & SHANNON, A.G. \\
\hline Univ. of Athens & South Dakota State Univ. & N.S.W. Inst. of Technology \\
\hline COHEN, M. E. & KERR, J.R. & SINGMASTER, David B. \\
\hline Calif. State Univ., Fresno & National Univ. of Singapore & Polytech of the South Bank \\
\hline COHN, John H.E. & KIMBERLING, Clark & SOMER, Lawrence \\
\hline Royal Holloway College & Univ. of Evansville & Washington, D.C. \\
\hline COWLES, John R. & KONHAUSER, Joseph D. E. & STALLEY, Robert \\
\hline Univ. of Wyoming & Macalester College & Oregon State Univ. \\
\hline CREUTZ, Michael & LEHMER, D.H. & STERNHEIMER, R.M. \\
\hline Brookhaven National Laboratory & Univ. of California-Berkeley & Brookhaven National Laboratory \\
\hline DeLEON, M. J. & LIGH, Steve & STRAUS, E.G. \\
\hline Florida Atlantic Univ. & Univ. of Southwestern Louisiana & Univ. of Calif. at L.A. \\
\hline DESMOND, James E. & LIVINGSTON, Marilyn L. & SUBBARAO, M.V. \\
\hline Pensacola Jr. College & Southern Illinois Univ. & Univ. of Alberta \\
\hline DUDLEY, Underwood & LORD, Graham & SUN, Hugo S. \\
\hline De Pauw Univ. & Princeton, N.J. & California State Univ.-Fresno \\
\hline DUNKL, Charles & MACBEATH, A.M. & TERRAS, Riho \\
\hline Univ. of Virginia & Univ. of Pittsburgh & Univ. of California-San Diego \\
\hline EGGAN, L. C. & McQuistan, R.B. & TURNER, Stephen John \\
\hline Illinois State Univ. & Univ. of Wisconsin-Milwaukee & Babson College \\
\hline ERCOLANO, Joseph L. & MIRON, D.B. & UPPULURI, V. R. R. \\
\hline Baruch College & South Dakota State Univ. & Union Carbide Corp.-Texas \\
\hline FERGUSON, Thomas S. & NAJAR, R.M. & VINCE, Andrew J. \\
\hline Univ. of Calif. at L.A. & Univ. of Wisconsin-Whitewater & Univ. of Florida \\
\hline FUCHS, Eduard & NIEDERREITER, Harold G. & WADDILL, Marcellus E. \\
\hline Univ. of J.E. Purkyne & Austrian Acad. of Science & Wake Forest Univ. \\
\hline GEORGHIOU, C. & NEUMANN, Bernard H. & WALTON, J. E. \\
\hline Univ. of Patras & Australian National Univ. & Northern Rivers College \\
\hline GESSEL, Ira M. & PATIL, S.A. & \\
\hline M.I.T. & Union Carbide Corp.-Texas & \\
\hline GRATZER, George A. & PHILIPPOU, Andreas N. & \\
\hline Univ. of Manitoba & Univ. of Patras & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{\(\bullet \diamond \diamond \diamond\)}

\title{
ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS
}

\section*{Edited by}
A. P. HILLMAN

Assistant Editors
GLORIA C. PADILLA and CHARLES R. WALL

Send all communications regarding ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS and SOLUTIONS to PROFESSOR A. P. HILLMAN; 709 Solano Dr.,S.E.; Albuquerque, NM 87108. Each solution or problem should be on a separate sheet (or sheets). Preference will be given to those typed with double spacing in the format used below. Solutions should be received within four months of the publication date. Proposed problems should be accompanied by their solutions.

\section*{DEFINITIONS}

The Fibonacci numbers \(F_{n}\) and the Lucas numbers \(L_{n}\) satisfy
and
\[
F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}, F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1
\]
\[
L_{n+2}=L_{n+1}+L_{n}, L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1
\]

Also \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) designate the roots \((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2\) and \((1-\sqrt{5}) / 2\), respectively, of \(x^{2}-x-1=0\).

\section*{PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE}

B-514 Proposed by Philip L. Mana, Albuquerque, NM
\[
\text { Prove that }\binom{n}{5}+\binom{n+4}{5} \equiv n(\bmod 2) \text { for } n=5,6,7, \ldots .
\]

B-515 Proposed by Walter Blumberg, Coral Springs, FL
Let \(Q_{0}=3\), and for \(n \geqslant 0, Q_{n+1}=2 Q_{n}^{2}+2 Q_{n}-1\). Prove that \(2 Q_{n}+1\) is a Lucas number.

B-516 Proposed by Walter Blumberg, Coral Springs, FL
Let \(U\) and \(V\) be positive integers such that \(U^{2}-5 V^{2}=1\). Prove that \(U V\) is divisible by 36.

B-517 Proposed by Charles R. Wall, Trident Tech. College, Charleston, SC
Find all \(n\) such that \(n!+(n+1)!+(n+2)!\) is the square of an integer.

B-518 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, VA
Let the measures of the legs of a right triangle be \(F_{n-1} F_{n+2}\) and \(2 F_{n} F_{n+1}\). What feature of the triangle has \(F_{n-1} F_{n}\) as its measure?

B-519 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, VA
Do as in Problem B-518 with each Fibonacci number replaced by the corresponding Lucas number.

\section*{SOLUTIONS}

\section*{Lucas Addition Formula}

B-490 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, VA
Prove that the arithmetic mean of \(L_{2 n} L_{2 n+3}\) and \(5 F_{2 n} F_{2 n+3}\) is always a Lucas number.

Solution by J. Suck, Essen, GERMANY
This is an instance of the addition formula
\[
\begin{equation*}
2 L_{m+n}=L_{m} L_{n}+5 F_{m} F_{n}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
\]
a companion to \(2 F_{m+n}=F_{m} L_{n}+L_{m} F_{n}\) (compare Hoggatt's \(I_{38}\) ). Proof of (*) from the Binet forms \(F_{n}=\left(\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}\right) / \sqrt{5}\), \(L_{n}=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\) :
\[
\begin{aligned}
L_{m} L_{n}+5 F_{m} F_{n} & =\left(\alpha^{m}+\beta^{m}\right)\left(\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}\right)+5\left(\alpha^{m}-\beta^{m}\right)\left(\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}\right) /(\sqrt{5} \sqrt{5}) \\
& =2 \alpha^{m} \alpha^{n}+2 \beta^{m} \beta^{n}=2\left(\alpha^{m+n}+\beta^{m+n}\right)=2 L_{m+n} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, C. Georghiou, L. Kuipers, John W. Milsom, Andreas N. Philippou, George N. Philippou, Bob Prielipp, Heinz-Jürgen Seiffert, Sahib Singh, Robert L. Vogel, Charles R. Wall, and the proposer.

Application of the Addition Formula
B-491 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY
Let \(j, k\), and \(n\) be integers. Prove that
\[
F_{k} F_{n+j}-F_{j} F_{n+k}=\left(L_{j} L_{n+k}-L_{k} L_{n+j}\right) / 5
\]

Solution by J. Suck, Essen, GERMANY
Using (*) in the above solution to B-490, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
5\left(F_{k} F_{n+j}-F_{j} F_{n+k}\right) & =2 L_{k+n+j}-L_{k} L_{n+j}-\left(2 L_{j+n+k}-L_{j} L_{n+k}\right) \\
& =L_{j} L_{n+k}-L_{k} L_{n+j} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, Herta T.Freitag, C. Georghiou, L. Kuipers, John W. Milsom, George N. Philippou, Bob Prielipp, Heinz-Jürgen Seiffert, Sahib Singh, Robert L. Vogel, Charles R. Wall, and the proposer.

\section*{New Look at Previous Application}

B-492 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY
Let \(j, k\), and \(n\) be integers. Prove that
\[
F_{n} F_{n+j+k}-F_{n+j} F_{n+k}=\left(L_{n+j} L_{n+k}-L_{n} L_{n+j+k}\right) / 5
\]

Solution by J. Suck, Essen, GERMANY
The same as \(B-491:\) rename \(k \leftrightarrow n, j \rightarrow n+j\).
Remark: A companion problem (from Hoggatt's \(I_{38}\) ) would have been
\[
L_{k} F_{n+j}-L_{j} F_{n+k}=F_{j} L_{n+k}-F_{k} L_{n+j}, j, k, n \in \mathbf{z}
\]

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, Herta T. Freitag, C. Georghiou, John W. Milsom, George N. Philippou, Bob Prielipp, Heinz-Jürgen Seiffert, Sahib Singh, Robert L. Vogel, Charles R. Wall, and the proposer.

\section*{Exponent of 2 in Sum}

B-493 Proposed by Valentina Bakinova, Rondout Valley, NY
Derive a formula for the largest integer \(e=e(n)\) such that \(2^{e}\) is an integral divisor of
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 5^{i}\binom{n}{2 i}
\]
where \(\binom{n}{k}=0\) for \(k>n\).
Solution by C. Georghiou, University of Patras, GREECE
Note that, for \(n \geqslant 0\),
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 5^{i}\binom{n}{2 i}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+\sqrt{5})^{n}+(1-\sqrt{5})^{n}\right]=2^{n-1} L_{n} .
\]

From \(2 \nmid L_{3 n \pm 1}, 2\left|L_{6 n}, 4 \nmid L_{6 n}, 4\right| L_{6 n+3}\), and \(8 \nmid L_{6 n+3}\), we get
\[
e(n)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
n & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 6) \\
n+1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\
n-1 & \text { if } n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
\end{aligned}\right.
\]

Also solved by Paul|S. Bruckman, L. Kuipers, Sahib Singh, J. Suck, Charles R. Wall, and the proposer.

\section*{Sum of Consecutive Integers}

B-494 Proposed by Philip L. Mana, Albuquerque, NM
For each positive integer \(n\), find positive integers \(a_{n}\) and \(b_{n}\) such that \(101 n\) is the following sum of consecutive positive integers:
\[
a_{n}+\left(a_{n}+1\right)+\left(a_{n}+2\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)
\]

Solution by Bob Prielipp, University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, WI
We begin by observing that
\[
a_{n}+\left(a_{n}+1\right)+\left(a_{n}+2\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)=\left(b_{n}+1\right) a_{n}+b_{n}\left(b_{n}+1\right) / 2
\]

Next, we 1et
\(a_{n}=51-n\) and \(b_{n}=2 n-1\) for each integer \(n, 1 \leqslant n \leqslant 50\)
and
\(a_{n}=n-50\) and \(b_{n}=100\) for each integer \(n, n \geqslant 51\).
Clearly, \(a_{n}\) and \(b_{n}\) are always positive integers. Also,
(1) if \(a_{n}=51-n\) and \(b_{n}=2 n-1\), then
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(b_{n}+1\right) a_{n}+b_{n}\left(b_{n}+1\right) / 2 & =(2 n)(51-n)+(2 n-1) n \\
& =102 n-2 n^{2}+2 n^{2}-n \\
& =101 n ;
\end{aligned}
\]
(2) if \(a_{n}=n-50\) and \(b_{n}=100\), then
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(b_{n}+1\right) a_{n}+b_{n}\left(b_{n}+1\right) / 2 & =101(n-50)+50(101) \\
& =101 n-50(101)+50(101) \\
& =101 n .
\end{aligned}
\]

Also solved by Ada Booth, Paul S. Bruckman, M. J. DeLeon, Herta T. Freitag, H. Klauser \& E. Schmutz \& M. Wachtel, L. Kuipers, Sahib Singh, J. Suck, and the proposer.

Sum of Consecutive Squares
B-495 Proposed by Philip L. Mana, Albuquerque, NM
Characterize an infinite sequence whose first 24 terms are:
\(1,4,5,9,13,14,16,25,29,30,36,41,49,50,54,55\), \(61,64,77,81,85,86,90,91, \ldots\)
[Note that all perfect squares occur in the sequence.]

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Carmichael, CA
The indicated sequence may be characterized as the sequence of positive integers which can be expressed either as squares or as sums of consecutive squares, then arranged in increasing order. Equivalently, if the given sequence is denoted by \(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) and if
\[
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \quad\left(\text { with } S_{0} \equiv 0\right) \text {, }
\]
the sequence is characterized as the set of all differences \(S_{a}-S_{b}\), where \(a>b \geqslant 0\), in increasing order.

Also solved by Ada Booth, John W. Milsom, E. Schmutz \& M. Wachtel, J. Suck, and the proposer.
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PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE
H-365 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY
Call a Fibonacci-Lucas identity divisible by 5 if every term of the identity is divisible by 5. Prove that, for every Fibonacci-Lucas identity not divisible by 5, there exists another Fibonacci-Lucas identity not divisible by 5 that can be derived from the original identity in the following way:
1) If necessary, restate the original identity in such a way that a derivation is possible.
2) Change one factor in every term of the original identity from \(F_{n}\) to \(L_{n}\) or from \(L_{n}\) to \(5 F_{n}\) in such a way that the result is also an identity. If the resulting identity is not divisible by 5, it is the derived identity.
3) If the resulting identity is divisible by 5, change one factor in every term of the original identity from \(L_{n}\) to \(F_{n}\) or from \(5 F_{n}\) to \(L_{n}\) in such a way that the result is also an identity. This is equivalent to dividing every term of the first resulting identity by 5. Then, the second resulting identity is the derived identity.

For example, \(F_{n} L_{n}=F_{2 n}\) can be restated as
\[
F_{n} L_{n}=F_{2 n} \pm F_{0}(-1)^{n} .
\]

This is actually two distinct identities, of which the derived identities are
and
\[
L_{n}^{2}=L_{2 n}+L_{0}(-1)^{n}
\]
and
\[
5 F_{n}^{2}=L_{2 n}-L_{0}(-1)^{n}
\]

\section*{ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS}

H-366
Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz, Merrimack, NH
The Fibonacci polynomials are defined by the recursion
\[
f_{n}(x)=x f_{n-1}(x)+f_{n-2}(x)
\]
with the initial conditions \(f_{1}(x)=1\) and \(f_{2}(x)=x\). Prove that the discriminant of \(f_{n}(x)\) is \((-1)^{(n-1)(n-2) / 2} 2^{n-1} n^{n-3}\) for \(n>1\).

Remark: The idea of investigating discriminants of interesting polynomials was suggested by [1]. The definition of the discriminant of a polynomial can be found in [2]. Fibonacci polynomials are well known, see, for example, [3] and [4]. I ran a computer program to find the discriminant of \(f_{n}(x)\) as \(n\) varies from 2 to 11 , and by analyzing the results, reached the conjecture given in Problem H-366. The discriminant was calculated by finding the resultant of \(f_{n}(x)\) and \(f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\) using a computer algebra system similar to the MACSYMA program described in [5]. Much useful material can be found in [6] where the problem of finding the discriminant of the Hermite, Laguerre, and Chebyshev polynomials is discussed. The discriminant of the Fibonacci polynomials should be provable using similar techniques; however, I was not able to do so.

\section*{References}
1. Phyllis Lefton. "A Trinomial Discriminant Formula." The Fibonacci Quarterly 20 (1982):363-65.
2. Van der Warden. Modern Algebra. New York: Ungar, 1940, I, 82.
3. M. N. S. Swamy. Problem B-84. The Fibonacci Quarterly 4 (1966):90.
4. S. Rabinowitz. Problem H-129. The Fibonacci Quarterly 6 (1968):51.
5. W. A. Martin \& R. J. Fateman. "The MACSYMA System." Proceedings of the 2nd Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation, Association for Computing Machinery, 1971, pp. 59-75.
6. D. K. Faddeev \& I. S. Sominskii. Problems in Higher Algebra. Trans. J. L. Brenner. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman, 1965, Problems 833-851.

H-367 Proposed by M. Wachtel, Zurich, Switzerland
A. Prove the identity:
\[
\sqrt{\left(L_{2 n}-L_{n-2}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(L_{2 n+4}-L_{n}^{2}\right)+30}=5 F_{2 n}-3(-1)^{n}
\]
B. Prove the identities:
\[
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sqrt{\left(F_{n+1}^{2}-F_{2 n+3}\right) \cdot\left(F_{n+3}^{2}-F_{2 n+7}\right)} \\
\sqrt{\left(F_{n+3}^{2}-F_{2 n+5}\right) \cdot\left(F_{n+5}^{2}-F_{2 n+9}\right)} \\
\sqrt{\left(F_{n+4}^{2}-F_{2 n+6}\right) \cdot\left(F_{n+6}^{2}-F_{2 n+10}\right)}
\end{array}\right\}=F_{n+2} F_{n+4} \quad \text { or } \quad F_{n+3}^{2}+(-1)^{n}
\]

\section*{SOLUTIONS}

\section*{Woops!}

The published solution to \(H-335\), which appeared in the May 1983 issue of this quarterly is incorrect. The proposer (Paul Bruckman) pointed out that the polynomial in question can be factored as
\[
(x-1)\left(x^{2}+b x-a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+a x-b^{2}\right)
\]
where
\[
a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2 \text { and } b=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2
\]

The desired roots may easily be obtained from this.

\section*{0ld Timer}

H-277 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY (Vol. 15, No. 4, December 1977)

If \(p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 10)\) is prime and \(x \equiv \sqrt{5}\) is of even order (mod \(p)\), prove that \(x-3, x-2, x-1, x, x+1\), and \(x+2\) are quadratic nonresidues of \(p\) if and only if \(p \equiv 39(\bmod 40)\).

Solution by the proposer
Let \(f \equiv(x+1) / 2(\bmod p)\). Then \(f^{3} \equiv x+2(\bmod p)\). But \((f / p)=\left(f^{3} / p\right)\) and therefore

In other words,
\[
\left(\frac{x+1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{x+2}{p}\right)=(2 / p)
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
(2 / p)=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]
is a necessary condition to have the six consecutive quadratic nonresidues of \(p\).

Also, \(f^{2} \equiv(x+3) / 2(\bmod p)\). But \((2 / p)=1\) has been established and, therefore,
\[
\left(\frac{x+3}{p}\right)=1
\]

Since \((x+3)(x-3) \equiv-4(\bmod p)\), we have
\[
\left(\frac{x+3}{p}\right)\left(\frac{x-3}{p}\right)=(-1 / p) .
\]

But \(\left(\frac{x-3}{p}\right)=-1\) is required and, therefore,
\[
\begin{equation*}
(-1 / p)=-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
is another necessary condition.
Since \((-1 / p)=-1\) has been established and \(x\) is of even order (mod \(p\) ), therefore \((x / p)=-1\). Since \(2 f^{-1} \equiv x-1(\bmod p)\), therefore
\[
\left(\frac{x+1}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{x-1}{p}\right)
\]

Since \(f^{-3} \equiv x-2(\bmod p)\), therefore
\[
\left(\frac{x+2}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{x-2}{p}\right)
\]

In [1], page 24, the following result is given:
\[
(\sqrt{p} / 5)=\left(\frac{-2 x(x+1)}{p}\right) .
\]

Since \((2 / p)=1,(-1 / p)=-1\), and \((x / p)=-1\) have been established, and
\[
\left(\frac{x+1}{p}\right)=-1
\]
is required, therefore
\[
\begin{equation*}
(\sqrt{p} / 5)=-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]
is a third necessary condition.
There are, by inspection, no further necessary conditions. Therefore the logical product of (1), (2), and (3), which is equivalent to \(p \equiv 39\) (mod 40), is a necessary and sufficient condition that \(x-3, x-2, x-1\), \(x, x+1\), and \(x+2\) are quadratic nonresidues of \(p\).

\section*{Reference}
1. Emma Lehmer. "Criteria for Cubic and Quartic Residuacity." Mathematika 5 (1958):20-29.

Late Acknowledgment: E. Schmutz and P. Wittwer solved Problem H-333.
Not in Prime Condition
H-345 Proposed by Albert A. Mullin, Huntsville, \(A L\) (Vol. 20, No. 4, November 1982)

Prove or disprove: No four consecutive Fibonacci numbers can be products of two distinct primes.

Solution by Lawrence Somer, Washington D.C.
The assertion is true. We, in fact, prove the following more general result:

Theorem: No three consecutive Fibonacci numbers can each be products of two distinct primes, except for the case
\[
F_{8}=21=3 \cdot 7, F_{9}=34=2 \cdot 17, F_{10}=55=5 \cdot 11
\]

Proof: We first show that a Fibonacci number \(F_{n}\) can be the product of exactly two distinct primes only if \(n=8\) or \(n\) is of the form \(p, 2 p\), or \(p^{2}\), where \(p\) is a prime. A prime \(p\) is a primitive divisor of \(F_{n}\) if \(p \mid F_{n}\) but \(p \nmid F_{m}\) for \(0<m<n\). R. Carmichael [1] proved that \(F_{n}\) has a primitive

\section*{ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS}
prime factor for every \(n\) except \(n=1,2,6\), or 12 . In none of these cases is \(F_{n}\) a product of exactly two distinct primes. It is also known that if \(m \mid n\), then \(F_{m} \mid F_{n}\). Thus, if \(n\) has two or more distinct proper divisors \(r\) and \(s\) that are not equal to \(1,2,6\), or 12 , then \(F_{n}\) has at least three prime factors-the primitive prime factors of \(F_{r}, F_{s}\), and \(F_{r s}\), respectively. Since \(F_{6}=8=2^{3}\), it follows that if \(n\) is a multiple of 6 , then \(F_{n}\) is not a product of exactly two distinct prime factors. Since \(F_{1}=1\) is not a product of two prime factors, it follows that if \(F_{n}\) is a product of two distinct prime factors, then \(F_{n}\) is of the form \(F_{2^{3}}=F_{8}, F_{p}, F_{2 p}\), or \(F_{p^{2}}\), where \(p\) is a prime.

By inspection, one sees that if \(n \leqslant 9\), then \(F_{n}, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}\) are each products of two distinct primes only if \(n=8\). Now assume \(n \geqslant 10\). Among the three consecutive integers \(n, n+1\), and \(n+2\), one of these numbers is divisible by 3. Call this number \(k\). If the Fibonacci number \(F_{k}\) is the product of two distinct primes, then \(k\) is of the form \(p, 2 p\), or \(p^{2}\), where \(p\) is prime. This is impossible, since \(3 \mid k\) and \(k>9\). The theorem is now proved.

\section*{Reference}
1. R. D. Carmichae1. "On the Numerical Factors of the Arithmetic Forms \(a^{n}+b^{n} . "\) Annals of Mathematics (2nd Ser.) 15 (1913):30-70.

Also solved by P. Bruckman and S. Singh.
Pell-Mell

H-346 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr. (Deceased) (Vol. 20, No. 4, November 1982)

Prove or disprove: Let \(P_{1}=1, P_{2}=2, P_{n+2}=2 P_{n+1}+P_{n}\) for \(n=1\), 2, 3, ..., then \(P_{7}=169\) is the largest Pell number which is a square and there are no Pell numbers of the form \(2 s^{2}\) for \(s>1\).

Solution by M. Wachtel, Zurich, Switzerland
1.1 The roots of \(x^{2}-2 x-1\) are \(1 \pm \sqrt{2}\), and the quotient \(\frac{P_{n+1}}{P_{n}}=1+\sqrt{2}\). 2.1 Pell numbers with odd index show the identity: \(P_{n}^{2}+P_{n+1}^{2}=P_{2 n+1}\).
2.2 \(P_{2 n+1}\) will only be a square if
\[
P_{n}^{2}+P_{n+1}^{2}=P_{2 n+1} \quad[\text { see }(2.1)]
\]
is identical with \((2 m+1)^{2}+\left(2 m^{2}+2 m\right)^{2}=\left(2 m^{2}+2 m+1\right)^{2}\).
2.3 Obviously (1.1) and (2.2) will only be satisfied if \(m=2\) and \(n=3\), i.e., \(5^{2}+12^{2}=169\). For \(m>2\), the quotient \(2 m^{2}+2 m / 2 m+1\) is rising, thus 169 is the greatest Pell number which is a square.
2.4 Using the general formula \(\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 m n)^{2}=\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}\), and setting \(m-n=d\) (odd) yields:

\section*{ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS}
\[
\left(2 d m+d^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 m^{2}+2 d m\right)^{2}=\left(2 m^{2}+2 d m+d^{2}\right)^{2}
\]

To satisfy (1.1), we have to set \(m=2 d\), which leads to \(5 d^{2}+12 d^{2}=\) \(13 d^{2}\). It is easy to see that (2.2) will only be satisfied if \(d=1\), whereas setting \(d=3,5,7, \ldots\) will yield consecutive terms with common divisors, which is contrary to the Pe11 formula.
2.5 Pell numbers with odd index always are odd and can never be \(2 s^{2}\).
3.1 Pell numbers with even index show the identity:
\[
2 P_{n+1}\left(P_{n}+P_{n+1}\right)=P_{2 n+2}
\]
3.2 Obviously, \(P_{n}\) and \(P_{n+1}\) are coprime, from which it follows that also \(P_{n+1}\) and \(\left(P_{n}+P_{n+1}\right)\) are coprime. Thus, \(P_{2 n+2}\) can neither be a square nor twice a square.

Also solved by the proposer.

\section*{It All Adds Up}

H-347 Proposed by Paul S. Bruckman, Sacramento, CA (Vol. 20, No. 4, November 1982)

Prove the identity:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{1+x^{2 n}}\right\}^{2}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{\left(1+(-x)^{n}\right)^{2}}, \text { valid for all real } x \neq 0, \pm 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]

In particular, prove the identity:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_{2 n}}\right\}^{2}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_{n}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]

Solution by the proposer
Let
\[
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^{2}}, \text { where }-1<x<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Theorems 311 and 312 in [1] state (using our notation):
\[
\begin{equation*}
(f(x))^{2}=1+4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} x^{2 n-1}}{1-x^{2 n-1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
while Theorem 385 in [1] states:
\[
(f(x))^{2}=1+8 \sum \frac{m x^{m}}{1-x^{m}}, \begin{align*}
& \text { where } m \text { runs through all positive inte- }  \tag{5}\\
& \text { gral values which are not multiples of } 4 .
\end{align*}
\]

We may rearrange the terms in (4) and (5), since the series are absolutely convergent for \(|x|<1\). Thus,

\section*{ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS}
\[
\begin{aligned}
(f(x))^{2} & =1+4 \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} x^{(2 n-1) m}=1+4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(-x^{2 m}\right)^{n} \\
& =1+4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m}}{1+x^{2 m}}
\end{aligned}
\]

If
\[
u_{m}(x)=\frac{x^{m}}{1+x^{2 m}}
\]
we note that for all \(x \neq 0\),
\[
u_{0}(x)=1 / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad u_{m}(x)=u_{-m}(x)=u_{m}(1 / x)
\]

Therefore, the transformed series for \((f(x))^{2}\) converges for all real \(x \neq\) \(0, \pm 1\). It follows that
\[
\begin{equation*}
(f(x))^{2}=2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{1+x^{2 n}}, \text { for all real } x \neq 0, \pm 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
\]

By similar reasoning,
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n x^{n}}{1-x^{n}}=\sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} n x^{m n}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) x^{m n}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m}}{\left(1-x^{m}\right)^{2}}
\]

Therefore, using (5),
\[
\begin{aligned}
(f(x))^{4} & =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n x^{n}}{1-x^{n}}-32 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n x^{4 n}}{1-x^{4 n}} \\
& =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{\left(1-x^{n}\right)^{2}}-32 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 n}}{\left(1-x^{4 n}\right)^{2}} \\
& =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n-1}}{\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{\left(1-x^{2 n}\right)^{2}}-32 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 n}}{\left(1-x^{4 n}\right)^{2}} \\
& =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n-1}}{\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{\left(1-x^{4 n}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(1+x^{2 n}\right)^{2}-4 x^{2 n}\right\} \\
& =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n-1}}{\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{\left(1-x^{4 n}\right)^{2}}\left(1-x^{2 n}\right)^{2} \\
& =1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n-1}\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{\left(1+x^{2 n}\right)^{2}}\right.} \\
& =8 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m}}{\left(1+(-x)^{m}\right)^{2}} . \\
&
\end{aligned}
\]

If
we note that for all \(x \neq 0\),
\[
v_{0}(x)=1 / 4 \quad \text { and } \quad v_{m}(x)=v_{-m}(x)=v_{m}(1 / x)
\]

As before, the transformed series for \((f(x))^{4}\) must therefore converge for all real \(x \neq 0, \pm 1\). We then see that
\[
\begin{equation*}
(f(x))^{4}=4 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{\left(1+(-x)^{n}\right)^{2}}, \text { for all real } x=0, \pm 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
\]

Squaring both sides of (6) and comparing with (7) yields (1). As a special case, we set \(x=b^{2}\), where \(b=(1 / 2)(1-\sqrt{5})\), and obtain (2).

It should be pointed out that (2) was derived in [2] and given there as relation (59), using elliptic function theory. Indeed, relations (4) and (5) above have their basis in elliptic function theory.
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