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1. Introduction. As is well known, a number of remarkable and interesting relationships exist between the golden ratio of the Greeks and the numbers in the Fibonacci sequence. Binet's formula is one example of such a relationship and another is the familiar equation

$$
\alpha=1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{1+\cdots}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_{n}}
$$

where $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ denotes the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number. In this paper, we derive other interesting relationships involving the Fibonacci numbers and the simple continued fraction expansions of multiples of the golden ratio. We also extend these results to obtain more general theorems about a certain class of quadratic surds.

Specifically we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for integral multiples of the golden ratio to be of period one, obtain sufficient conditions for these multiples to be of period two and establish some partial converses for those of period two. We then generalize by replacing the golden ratio by arbitrary simple continued fractions of period one and then by arbitrary simple continued fractions of period two. Some results are exactly analogous while others are only partial. Some curious side results are also established.
2. Results involving the golden ratio. We begin by considering the following table of simple continued fraction expansions of positive integral multiples of $\alpha$. Of course, these expansions are periodic and the repeating part of the expansion is indicated by dots in the style of Hardy and Wright [1].

Careful scrutiny of the table reveals a variety of patterns. Some of the patterns are only apparent but others, as indicated by the theorems following the table are generally true.

Note that small Latin letters will always be used to denote positive integers. Also, $L_{n}$ will always denote the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number.

| n | Expansion of $\mathrm{n} \alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [1, i] |
| 2 | $[3,4]$ |
| 3 | $[4, \dot{1}, \stackrel{\circ}{5}]$ |
| 4 | $[6, \dot{2}, \dot{8}]$ |
| 5 | [8, i1] |
| 6 | $[9,1,2,2,2,1,12]$ |
| 7 | [11, 3, 15] |
| 8 | [12, i, 16] |
| 9 | [14, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 19] |
| 10 | $[16, \dot{5}, 1,1,5, \dot{22}]$ |
| 11 | $[17, \dot{1}, 3,1, \dot{23}]$ |
| 12 | [19, $2,2,2,26]$ |
| 13 | [21, 29] |
| 14 | $[22,1,1,1,7,6,7,1,1,1,30]$ |
| 15 | $[24, \dot{3}, 1,2,3,2,1,3, \dot{3} 3]$ |
| 16 | [25, i, 7, 1, 34] |
| 17 | [27, i, 1, 37] |
| 18 | [29, 8, 40] |
| 19 | $[30,1,2,1,7,1,2,1,41]$ |
| 20 | $[32, \dot{2}, 1,3,2,1,1,10,1,1,2,3,1,2,44]$ |
| 21 | [33, 1, 45] |
| 22 | [35, i, 1, 2, 11, 1, 8, 1, 11, 2, 1, 1, 48] |
| 29 | [ $46, \mathrm{i}, 11,1,63]$ |
| 34 | [55, 76] |
| 36 | [58, $\dot{4}, 8$ 80] |
| 47 | [76, $\dot{2} 1,1 \dot{1} 5]$ |
| 55 | [88, i, 121] |
| 89 | [144, 199] |

Theorem 1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $n \alpha=[a, b]$ if and only if $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$, and $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}$ for some $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$.

Theorem 2. Let n be a positive integer. Then $\mathrm{n} \alpha=[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{i}}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}]$ if and only if $n=F_{2 m}, \quad a=F_{2 m+1}$, and $c=L_{2 m}-2$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. If we admit the expansions $\alpha=\lfloor 2, \dot{1},-1,1, \dot{3}]$ and $4 \alpha=$ $[6, \dot{1}, 0,1, \dot{8}]$, then for every integer $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$, we have
a)

$$
\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}} \alpha=\left[\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}+1}, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~F}}_{2 \mathrm{r}}, 5 \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~F}}_{2 \mathrm{r}}\right]
$$

and
b)

$$
\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \alpha=\left[\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-1, \dot{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2,1,5 \dot{\mathrm{~F}}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2\right]
$$

Unlike Theorems 1 and 2, the converse of Theorem 3 is not true as is easily seen by considering the expansions of $4 \alpha, 16 \alpha$, and $36 \alpha$. The following theorem, however, provides a partial converse of the first assertion of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $n \alpha=\lfloor a, \dot{b}, \dot{c}]$ if and only if $n b=F_{2 m}$, $\quad a b=F_{2 m+1}-1$, and $b c=L_{2 m}-2$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Before proving these results we derive two lemmas which incidentally provide unusual characterizations of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers.

Lemma 1. The Pell equation $x^{2}-5 y^{2}=-4$ is solvable in positive integers if and only if $x=L_{2 n-1}$ and $y=F_{2 n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $x=y=1$ is the least positive solution of the given equation, it is well known [2] that every positive solution is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+y \sqrt{5} & =2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2 n-1}{2 k} 5^{k}+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2^{2 n-2}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{2 n-1}{2 j-1} 5^{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, by Binet's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{5}}}\left\{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 n-1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 n-1}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{2 n-1}{2 j-1} 5^{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2 n-1} & =\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 n-1}+\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2 n-1}{2 k} 5^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these three results we have that all positive integral solutions to $\mathrm{x}^{2}-5 \mathrm{y}^{2}=-4$ are given by $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ as claimed. Lemma 2. The Pell equation $x^{2}-5 y^{2}=4$ is solvable in positive integers if and only if $x=L_{2 n}$ and $y=F_{2 n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 1, it is easy to show that

$$
\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{k}-1}}(1+\sqrt{5})^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{k} \geq 0
$$

where we take $L_{0}=2$. Therefore, since $x=3, y=1$ is the least positive integral solution of the given equation, every solution in positive integers is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y} \sqrt{5} & =2\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =2\left\{\frac{2+(1+\sqrt{5})}{2}\right\}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{k}-1}}(1+\sqrt{5})^{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}+\sqrt{5} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is a result of Lucas [3, p. 191]. Thus, all solutions in positive integers are given by $x=L_{2 n}, y=F_{2 n}$ for $n \geq 1$ as claimed.

We note in passing that Lucas [3, p. 199] observes that $L_{n}^{2}-5 F_{n}^{2}= \pm 4$ and that Wasteels [4] proved that if $5 \mathrm{x}^{2} \pm 4$ is the square of an integer then x is a Fibonacci number.

Proof of Theorem 1. By direct calculation we obtain

$$
[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{~b}}]=\frac{2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}+\sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4}}{2}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{n} \alpha=[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{n} \sqrt{5}=\sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second of these equations is equivalent to

$$
b^{2}-5 n^{2}=-4
$$

and, by Lemma 1 , this is solvable in positive integers if and only if $n=F_{2 m-1}$ and $b=L_{2 m-1}$. Finally, since $F_{m}+L_{m}=2 F_{m+1}$ for every $m$, it follows from (1) that

$$
\mathrm{a}=\frac{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{b}}{2}=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}+\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}}{2}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}
$$

and the proof is complete.
The proofs of Theorems 2 and 4, which depend on Lemma 2, are exactly analogous to the proof of Theorem 1 and will therefore be omitted. Of course, Theorem 2 is the special case of Theorem 4 with $b=1$ 。

Proof of Theorem 3. Part (a) follows directly from Theorem 4 with $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}, \quad \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}+1}, \quad \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{r}}, \quad \mathrm{c}=5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}}$, and $\mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{r}$ since it is easily shown that $L_{2 r} F_{2 r}=F_{4 r}, L_{2 r+1} F_{2 r}=F_{4 r+1}-1$, and $5 F_{2 r}^{2}=L_{4 r}-2$.

To obtain Part (b) we define the sequence $\beta_{i}$ for $c^{\prime} \geq 1$ by the following series of calculations which depend on Lemma 1: Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1} & =\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \alpha-\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}+1 \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}}{2}+1 \\
& =\frac{-10}{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} & =1+\frac{10}{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-10} \\
& =1+\beta_{2}, \\
\frac{1}{\beta_{2}} & =\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-10}{10} \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2+\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+10}{10} \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2+\beta_{3}, \\
\frac{1}{\beta_{3}} & =\frac{10}{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+10} \\
& =\frac{10\left(\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}\right)}{-20+10\left(\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}\right)} \\
& =1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2} \\
& =1+\beta_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\beta_{4}} & =\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2}{2} \\
& =5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2+\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}}{2}+1 \\
& =5 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2+\beta_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta_{5}=\beta_{1}$, the sequence now repeats and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \alpha & =\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-1+\beta_{1} \\
& =\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-1+\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}} \\
& =\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2+\beta_{3}}} \\
& =\cdots \\
& =\left[\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1, \dot{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2,1,5 \dot{\mathrm{~F}}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-2\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
3. More general results. Since $F_{n+1} / F_{n}$ is a convergent in the simple continued fraction expansion of $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, the results of the preceding section suggest that one ask if there is any interesting connection between the simple continued fraction expansion of a quadratic surd $\xi$ and the simple continued fraction expansion of $q_{n} \xi$ where $p_{n} / q_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ convergent to $\xi$. The following theorems, which generalize those of Section. 2 , answer this question in the affirmative for surds of the form $\xi=[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ or $\xi=[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{b}}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}]$.

Theorem 5. Let $\xi=\left\lfloor a, \dot{b}_{j}\right]$, let $n$ be a positive integer, let $p_{k} / q_{k}$ denote the $k^{\text {th }}$ convergent to $\xi$ and let $t_{k}=q_{k-1}+q_{k+1}$. Then $n \xi=[r, \dot{s}]$ if and only if $n=q_{2 m-2}, \quad r=p_{2 m-2}$, and $s=t_{2 m-2}$ for some integer $m \geq$ 1.

Theorem 6. Let $\xi, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be as in Theorem 5. Then $\mathrm{n} \xi=$ $[u, \dot{v}, \dot{w}]$ if and only if $v n=q_{2 m-1}, \quad v u=p_{2 m-1}-1$, and $v w=t_{2 m-1}-2$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 7. Let $\xi=[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{b}}, \dot{\mathrm{c}}]$, let $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ convergent to $\xi$, let $t_{k}=q_{k-1}+q_{k+1}$, and let $s_{k}=p_{k-1}+p_{k+1}$. Then, for every integer $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$, we have
a)
b)

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}} \xi=\left[\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\circ}, \frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\circ}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \xi=\left\lfloor\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1, \dot{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\dot{\circ}}-2\right]
$$

c)

$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \xi=\left[\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}, \dot{q_{2 r-1}},\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}+\frac{\dot{4 \mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~b}}\right) \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}}\right]
$$

and
d)

$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}} \xi=\left[\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-1, \dot{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-2,1,(\mathrm{bc}+4) \dot{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}}-2\right]
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. The convergents to $\xi=[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{b}}]$ are given by the difference equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{n}=b q_{n-1}+q_{n-2} \\
& p_{n}=b p_{n-1}+p_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the initial conditions $q_{0}=1, q_{1}=b, p_{0}=a$, and $p_{1}=a b+1$. These are easily solved to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}+4}}\left\{\left(\frac{b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4}}{2}\right)^{n+1}-\left(\frac{b-\sqrt{b^{2}+4}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right\} \\
& p_{n}=\frac{a}{2} \cdot t_{n-1}+\frac{a b+2}{2} \cdot q_{n-1} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}+\sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

and it is easily shown by induction that $t_{n}=q_{n-1}+q_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$.
Moreover, since $[a, \dot{b}]=\left(2 a-b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4}\right) / 2$, it follows that $n \xi=$ [ $r, \dot{s}]$ if and only if the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}(2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})=2 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{n} \sqrt{\mathrm{~b}^{2}+4}=\sqrt{\mathrm{s}^{2}+4} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

simultaneously hold. The second of these equations is equivalent to

$$
s^{2}-n^{2}\left(b^{2}+4\right)=-4
$$

and $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}=1$ is clearly the minimal positive solution. Therefore, every solution ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{n}$ ) in positive integers is given by the equation

$$
\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{n} \sqrt{\mathrm{~b}^{2}+4}=2\left(\frac{\mathrm{~s}+\sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4}}{2}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}, \quad \mathrm{~m}=1,2, \cdots
$$

and it is easily shown by expanding the powers here and in (2) that this reduces to

$$
s+n \sqrt{b^{2}+4}=t_{2 m-2}+q_{2 m-2} \sqrt{b^{2}+4}
$$

Also, from the second equation in (2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{n(2 a-b)+s}{2} \\
& =\frac{(2 a-b) q_{2 m-2}+t_{2 m-2}}{2} \\
& =a q_{2 m-2}+\frac{q_{2 m-3}+q_{2 m-1}-b q_{2 m-2}}{2} \\
& =a q_{2 m-2}+q_{2 m-3} \\
& =p_{2 m-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since it is easily proved by induction that $a q_{n}+q_{n-1}=p_{n}$ for all $n$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 6. Note in particular that the preceding argument essentially shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4}\right)^{k}}{2^{k-1}}=t_{k-1}+q_{k-1} \sqrt{b^{2}+4}, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it is easily shown by induction that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} b^{k} q_{k-1}=q_{2 m-1} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m+1}\binom{m}{k-1} b^{k} q_{k-1}=b q_{2 m} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} b^{k_{t} t_{k-1}}=q_{2 m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} b^{k} q_{k-2}=q_{2 m-2}
$$

Now, as in the preceding proof, one can show that $n \xi=[u, \dot{v}, \dot{w}]$ if and only if $v w+2$ and $v n$ are simultaneously positive integral solutions of the Pell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v w+2)^{2}-(v n)^{2}\left(b^{2}+4\right)=4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of $n(2 a-b)=2 u-w$. Also, the general solution of (6) is given by

$$
(v w+2)+\operatorname{vn} \sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}+4}=2\left\{\frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}+2+\mathrm{b} \sqrt{\mathrm{~b}^{2}+4}}{2}\right\}^{m} \quad, \quad \mathrm{~m}=1,2, \cdots
$$

Using the equalities in (4) and (5) this may be simplified to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\{\frac{\left(b^{2}+2\right)+b \sqrt{b^{2}+4}}{2}\right\}^{m} & =2\left\{\frac{2+b\left(b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4}\right)}{2}\right\}^{m} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} \frac{\left(b+\sqrt{\left.b^{2}+4\right)}\right.}{2^{k-1}} k^{k} b^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(t_{k-1}+q_{k-1} \sqrt{\left.b^{2}+4\right)} b^{k}\right. \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} t_{k-1}+\sqrt{b^{2}+4} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} b^{k} q_{k-1} \\
& =t_{2 m-1}+\sqrt{b^{2}+4} \cdot q_{2 m-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $v w+2=t_{2 m-1}, \quad v n=q_{2 m-1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vu} & =\frac{\mathrm{vn}(2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})+\mathrm{vw}}{2} \\
& =\frac{(2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}+\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}-2}{2} \\
& =\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

as in the preceding proof.
Proof of Theorem 7. For

$$
\xi=[a, \dot{b}, \dot{c}]=a+\frac{-b c+\sqrt{b^{2} c^{2}+4 b c}}{2 b}
$$

define

$$
\mathrm{A}=\xi-\mathrm{a}, \quad \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{c}, \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{bA} / \mathrm{c}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{bB} / \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{b} .
$$

The following identities are useful:
a)

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}}^{2}=1+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} / \mathrm{b}
$$

b)
c)
d)
e)
f)
h)

$$
q_{2 k+1}^{2}=b\left(q_{2 k+2} q_{2 k}+1\right) / c
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{bt} \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-2}
$$

i)

$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathbf{r}+1}=c \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathbf{r}}+\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}
$$

j)

$$
\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{at}_{2 \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}
$$

k)

$$
s_{2 k+1}=a t_{2 k+1}+c t_{2 k} / b
$$

m)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { c) } & \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{aq} \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} / \mathrm{b} \\
\text { d) } & \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{aq}_{2 k+1}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \\
\text { e) } & \left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{cq}_{2 k+1} / \mathrm{b}\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} / \mathrm{b}\right)=\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b} \\
\text { f) } & \left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)=\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c} \\
\text { g) } & \left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+2}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+2}-1\right)=\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+2}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}}-2=\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}-2
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{ct}_{2 \mathrm{k}}^{2}-\mathrm{bt}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}=\mathrm{ct}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}+2}-\mathrm{bt}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}^{2}=-\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{bc}+4)
$$

n)

$$
\left(t_{2 r-1} B-c t_{2 r} / b\right)\left(t_{2 r-1} A+c t_{2 r} / b\right)=c(b c+4) / b
$$

o)

$$
\left(t_{2 r-1} D-t_{2 r}\right)\left(t_{2 r-1} C+t_{2 r}\right)=b(b c+4) / c
$$

These are proved in a straightforward manner.
To prove 7a, we have by identity c) and the definition of B that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2 k} \xi & =q_{2 k} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A} \\
& =\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} / \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} / \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A} \\
& =\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{Bq}_{2 \mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} / \mathrm{b}, \quad \text { definition of } \mathrm{B}, \\
& =\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{k}}+1 / \beta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using identity e) and the definitions of $C, D$ and $t_{2 k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1} & =\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} / \mathrm{b}\right) / \mathrm{c} \\
& =\mathrm{q}_{2 k} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}, \quad \quad \text { definition of } \mathrm{C} \\
& =q_{2 k+1}+q_{2 k-1}+q_{2 k} C=q_{2 k-1} \\
& =t_{2 k}+q_{2 k} D-q_{2 k+1} \\
& =t_{2 k}+1 / \beta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using identity $f$ ) and the definitions of $C$ and $t_{2 k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{2} & =\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right) / \mathrm{b} \\
& =\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1} / \mathrm{b} \\
& =\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}\right) / \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{cq}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} / \mathrm{b} \\
& =\mathrm{ct}_{2 \mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{b}+1 / \beta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore have

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \xi=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{k}}, & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}}}, & \mathrm{c} \dot{t_{2 k}} / \mathrm{b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

proving 7 a .
The proof of 7 b is similar and uses identity g ) at a key point in the argument. The argument will not be presented here.

To prove 7c, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{2 r-1} \xi & =t_{2 r-1} a+t_{2 r-1} A \\
& =s_{2 r-1}+t_{2 r-1} A-c t_{2 r-2} / b \\
& =s_{2 r-1}+\left(t_{2 r-1} B-c t_{2 r} / b\right) \\
& =s_{2 r-1}+\frac{c(b c+4) / b}{t_{2 r-1} A+c t_{2 r} / b} \\
& =s_{2 r-1}+\frac{1}{\beta_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta_{1}=\left(\mathrm{bt}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{ct}_{2 \mathrm{r}}\right) / \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc}+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A+c t_{2 r}-c(b c+4) q_{2 r-1}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A+c q_{2 r+1}-c(b c+3) q_{2 r-1}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r} A+\mathrm{cbq}_{2 r}-c(b c+2) q_{2 r-1}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A+c b q_{2 r}-(b c+2)\left(q_{2 r}-q_{2 r-2}\right)\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A-2 q_{2 r}+(b c+2) q_{2 r-2}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A-2\left(c q_{2 r-1}+q_{2 r-2}\right)+(b c+2) q_{2 r-2}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A-2 c q_{2 r-1}+b c q_{2 r-2}\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(b t_{2 r-1} A-c\left(q_{2 r-1}+q_{2 r-3}\right)\right) / c(b c+4)
$$

$$
=\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+\left(\mathrm{bt} \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1} \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{r}-2}\right)\right) / \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc}+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(t_{2 r-1} C-t_{2 r-2}\right) /(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\left(t_{2 r-1} D-t_{2 r}\right) /(b c+4)
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\frac{b(b c+4) / c}{(b c+4)\left(t_{2 r-1} C+t_{2 r}\right)}
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\frac{1}{t_{2 r-1} A+c t_{2 r} / b}
$$

$$
=q_{2 r-1}+\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}=q_{2 r-1}+\frac{1}{\beta_{1}\left(\left(b c^{2}+4 c\right) / b\right)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{2} & =\left(b c^{2}+4 \mathrm{c}\right) \beta_{1} / \mathrm{b}=\left(\left(\mathrm{bc}{ }^{2}+4 \mathrm{c}\right) / \mathrm{b}\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\mathrm{bc}^{2}+4 \mathrm{c}\right) / \mathrm{b}\right) \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1} \xi=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}, & \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}, & \left.\left(\left(\mathrm{bc} \mathrm{c}^{2}+4 \mathrm{c}\right) / \mathrm{b}\right) \mathrm{q}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof of part d) is similar and the argument is omitted.

The following theorem, which is stated without proof, is a partial converse of Theorem 7.

Theorem 8. Let $\xi, p_{k}, q_{k}, s_{k}$, and $t_{k}$ be as in Theorem 7 and let $n$, $u$, and $v$ be positive integers.
a) If $v$ is such that $b$ divides $c v$ and $n \xi=[u, \dot{v}, c \dot{v} / b]$, then $n=q_{2 r}$, $u=t_{2 r}$, and $v=p_{2 r}$ for some positive integer $r$.
b) If $n \xi=[u, \dot{1}, \dot{v}]$, then $n=q_{2 r-1}, u=p_{2 r-1}-1$, and $v=t_{2 r}-2$ for some positive integer $r$.

Remark. When a simple continued fraction has a partial quotient 1 the corresponding approximation of the convergent to the number in question is not as good as when other integers are partial quotients. The 1's can be eliminated as all but the first partial quotient if it is permitted to have -1 's as numerators. The corresponding convergents would then be better approximations than the original ones.

Setting about to purge the 1's from the expressions obtained in Theorems $2,3 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ and 7 d we ran across an interesting pattern that allowed us to simplify the notation. Let us define the symbol $-\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right]$ to be the expression

$$
a_{0}+\frac{-1}{a_{1}+\frac{-1}{a_{2}+\cdots}}
$$

Although this expression might not always be meaningful, it is in the cases we consider here.

With the new notation we are able to restate a few of the theorems as Theorem 9:
a)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\alpha}=(-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\left[\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}+1}, \dot{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\alpha}=(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}+1} & \dot{\mathrm{~F}}_{\mathrm{k}}, & 5 \dot{\mathrm{~F}}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

c)

$$
\text { If } \xi=[\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{~b}}] \text { then } \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{\xi}=(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}, \dot{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]
$$

d)

$$
\text { If } \xi=[a, \dot{b}, \dot{c}] \text { and } k \text { odd, then } q_{k} \xi=-\left[p_{k}, \dot{t}_{k}\right]
$$

e) If $\xi=[a, \dot{b}, \dot{c}]$ and $k$ even, then $t_{k} \xi=-\left[s_{k}, \dot{q}_{k},(b c+\dot{4}) q_{k}\right]$.

The proofs are quite similar to the original proofs and are omitted.
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## RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR SEQUENCES LIKE $\left\{F_{F_{n}}\right\}$ GARY G. FORD㐫 <br> University of Santa Clara, Santa Clara, California

In [1] the problem of finding recurrence relations for the sequences $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ - where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively - is proposed. What follows is an investigation of this problem and some of its generalizations.

Let $r$ and $s$ be any two nonzero elements of a field $F^{\star}=(F, \quad+, \circ$ in which $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is defined in the usual way with the field operations, $t_{\circ} \circ$. Define $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) /(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s})$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}}$ for all integers n . Furthermore, let $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be any generalized Fibonacci sequence consisting of integers - that is $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ are integers and $H_{n+2}=H_{n+1}+H_{n}$ for all integers n . Some recurrence relations for sequences such as $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ will be derived here.

Let $\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be any sequence in n obeying the recurrence relation $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}+2}$ $=(r+s) g_{n+1}-r s g_{n}$ for all integers $n$. Then there are constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in $F^{*}$ such that $g_{n}=C_{1} r^{n}+C_{2} s^{n}$ for all integers $n$. Define $\left\{X_{n}\right\},\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ for all integers $\mathrm{n}_{\text {. }}$ From here on, when n is written, understand that n can take on all integer values unless otherwise indicated. For convenience write $R_{n}=r H_{n}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}$.

Consider the product $G_{n+2} Y_{n+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+1} & =\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} R_{\mathrm{n}+2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} S_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{C}_{1} R_{n+2} R_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{C}_{1} R_{n+2} S_{n+1}+C_{2} R_{n+1} S_{n+2} \\
& =C_{1} R_{n+3}+C_{2} S_{n+3}+R_{n+1} S_{n+1}\left(C_{1} R_{n}+C_{2} S_{n}\right) \\
& =G_{n+3}+(r s) H_{n+1} \cdot G_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,
(1)

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}+3}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-(\mathrm{rs})^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

A corollary to (1) is the relatively simple recurrence relation for $\left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$.
(2)

$$
Y_{n+3}=Y_{n+2} Y_{n+1}-(r s)^{H_{n+1}} \cdot Y_{n}
$$

When $\mathrm{rs}= \pm 1$, (2) is especially simple;

$$
\mathrm{r}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5}) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{s}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5})
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+3}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+2}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}}-(-1)^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number.
Consider the product $Y_{n+2} G_{n+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n+2} G_{n+1} & =C_{1} R_{n+2} R_{n+1}+C_{2} S_{n+2} S_{n+1}+C_{1} R_{n+1} S_{n+2}+C_{2} R_{n+2} S_{n+1} \\
& =G_{n+3}+R_{n+1} S_{n+1}\left(C_{1} S_{n}+C_{2} R_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
C_{1} s^{n}+C_{2} r^{n}=\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) V_{n}-\left(C_{1} r^{n}+C_{2} s^{n}\right)=g_{0} V_{n}-g_{n}
$$

Thus

$$
C_{1} S_{n}+C_{2} R_{n}=g_{0} Y_{n}-G_{n}
$$

and

$$
Y_{n+2} G_{n+1}=G_{n+3}+(r s)^{H_{n+1}}\left(g_{0} Y_{n}-G_{n}\right)
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n+3}=Y_{n+2} G_{n+1}+(r s)^{H_{n+1}}\left(G_{n}-g_{0} Y_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Add (1) and (4) to get
(5)

$$
2 G_{n+3}=G_{n+1} Y_{n+2}+G_{n+2} Y_{n+1}-(r s)^{H_{n+1}} g_{0} Y_{n}
$$

Now consider the product $(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s})^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r-s)^{2} X_{n+1} X_{n+2} & =\left(R_{n+2}-S_{n+2}\right)\left(R_{n+1}-S_{n+1}\right) \\
& =R_{n+3}+S_{n+3}-R_{n+1} S_{n+1}\left(R_{n}+S_{n}\right) \\
& =Y_{n+3}-(r s)^{H}{ }^{n+1} Y_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+3}=(r-s)^{2} X_{n+2} X_{n+1}+(r s)^{H_{n+1}} Y_{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some second-order recurrence relations can be obtained by using the following simple and easily verified identities - which hold for all integers a and b - by putting $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ or $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{a+b}=r^{a} U_{b}+s^{b_{0}} U_{a} \\
V_{a+b}=r^{a} V_{b}-(r-s) s^{b_{U}} U_{a}=s^{a} V_{b}+(r-s) r^{b} U_{a} \\
(r-s) U_{a+b}=r^{a_{2}} V_{b}-s^{b_{2}} V_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

Some of the recurrence relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+2} & =R_{n} X_{n+1}+S_{n+1} X_{n}=S_{n} X_{n+1}+R_{n+1} X_{n}  \tag{7}\\
Y_{n+2} & =R_{n} Y_{n+1}-(r-s) S_{n+1} X_{n} \\
& =S_{n} Y_{n+1}+(r-s) R_{n+1} X_{n} \\
& =(r-s) R_{n} X_{n+1}+S_{n+1} Y_{n} \\
& =-(r-s) S_{n} X_{n+1}+R_{n+1} Y_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

From (7) it immediately follows that
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$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 X_{n+2}=X_{n+1} Y_{n}-X_{n} Y_{n+1}  \tag{71}\\
& 2 Y_{n+2}=(r-s)^{2} X_{n+1} X_{n}-Y_{n+1} Y_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

For a fixed integer j define $\left\{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{j}}$. Thus,

$$
(r-s) Z_{n}=r^{j_{R}}{ }_{n}-s^{j_{S}} S_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{n}=r^{j_{R}}{ }_{n}+s^{j_{S}}{ }_{n}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r-s) Z_{n+2}= & r^{j} R_{n+1} R_{n}-s^{j} S_{n+1} S_{n} \\
= & R_{n}\left(r^{j_{R}}{ }_{n+1}-s^{j} S_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}+S_{n+1}\left(r^{j} R_{n}-s^{j} S_{n}\right) .
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n+2}=R_{n} Z_{n+1}+S_{n+1} Z_{n}-(r s)^{H}{ }_{n} S_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n+2}=S_{n} Z_{n+1}+R_{n+1} Z_{n}-(r s)^{H}{ }_{n} R_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Add (8) and (9) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 Z_{n+2}=Y_{n} Z_{n+1}+Y_{n+1} Z_{n}-(r s)^{H} n_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n+2}= & r^{j} R_{n+1} R_{n}+s^{j} S_{n+1} S_{n} \\
= & R_{n}\left(r^{j} R_{n+1}-s^{j} S_{n+1}\right)+S_{n+1}\left(r^{j} R_{n}+s^{j} S_{n}\right) \\
& \quad-R_{n} S_{n+1}\left(r^{j}-s^{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n+2}=(r-s) R_{n} Z_{n+1}+S_{n+1} W_{n}-(r-s)(r s){ }^{H}{ }_{n_{S}}{ }_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n+2}=(s-r) S_{n} Z_{n+1}+R_{n+1} W_{n}-(s-r)(r s){ }^{H}{ }_{n} R_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Add (11) and (12) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 W_{n+2}=(r-s)^{2} X_{n} Z_{n+1}+Y_{n+1} W_{n}+(r-s)^{2}(r s)^{H}{ }_{n} X_{n-1} U_{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\mathrm{r}=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $\mathrm{s}=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2, \quad(10)$ and (13) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+2}+\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{j}}-(-1){ }^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{j}}}  \tag{14}\\
& 2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+2}+\mathrm{j}}=5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{j}}+5(-1){ }_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}-1}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{j}}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number and $L_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number. The techniques used above in deriving recurrence relations are not entirely inhibited when sequences of the type $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$, where $\left\{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence of integers obeying a linear, homogeneous recurrence relation with constant coefficients, are considered. Let $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ obey the recurrence relation

$$
K_{n+m}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j} K_{n+m-j}
$$

where $m$ is a fixed integer, and with $p_{j}, K_{n}$ being integers when $n$ is nonnegative. Then $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ are defined for n nonnegative; if $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ $\pm 1$, then the definition applies for all n . Repeated application of the identity $U_{a+b}=r^{a} U_{b}+s^{b} U_{a}$ gives $U_{a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots a_{m}}$ as a linear combination of $U_{a j}$, $j=1,2, \cdots, m$, with the coefficients being products of powers of $r$ and $s$. By putting $a_{j}=p_{j} K_{n+m-j}$, when $n+m-j$ is nonnegative, and by utilizing repeatedly the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}_{-\mathrm{n}}=-(\mathrm{rs})^{-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{U}_{(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\left[(\mathrm{rs})^{\mathrm{kn}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{rs})^{\mathrm{jn}} \mathrm{~V}_{2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{n}}\right], \mathrm{k} \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$m^{\text {th }}$ order recurrence relations are easily produced for $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$. $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\}$ may be treated similarly by repeated application of the identity $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}=\dot{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{b}}-(\mathrm{r}-$ s) $s^{b} U_{a}$ and by utilization of the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{-\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{rs})^{-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{kn}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{kn}}^{2}-2(\mathrm{rs})^{\mathrm{kn}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(-\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\left(-\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~s}^{n}\right)^{j} \mathrm{~V}_{2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{n}} \quad, \quad \mathrm{k} \geq 1 \\
& (\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A special case of interest occurs when $m=3$ and $p_{j}=1, j=1,2,3$. Letting $A_{b^{n}}=r^{K_{n}}$ and $B_{n}=s^{K_{n}}, D_{n}=U_{K_{n}}$ and $E_{n}=V_{K_{n}}$, then $U_{a+b}=$ $r^{a} U_{b}-s^{b^{n}} U_{a}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{n+3} & =A_{n} A_{n+1} D_{n+2}+A_{n} B_{n+2} D_{n+1}+B_{n+1} B_{n+2} D_{n}  \tag{15}\\
& =A_{n} A_{n+1} D_{n+2}+B_{n} B_{n+2} D_{n+1}+A_{n+1} B_{n+2} D_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
2 D_{n+3}=2 A_{n} A_{n+1} D_{n+2}+B_{n+2} E_{n} D_{n+1}+B_{n+2} E_{n+1} D_{n} .
$$

Similarly,
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$$
2 D_{n+3}=2 B_{n} B_{n+1} D_{n+2}+A_{n+2} E_{n} D_{n+1}+A_{n+2} E_{n+1} D_{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
4 D_{n+3}=2\left(A_{n} A_{n+1}+B_{n} B_{n+1}\right) D_{n+2}+E_{n} E_{n+2} D_{n+1}+E_{n+1} E_{n+2} D_{n}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n} A_{n+1}+B_{n} B_{n+1} & =A_{n}\left(A_{n+1}+B_{n+1}\right)-B_{n+1}\left(A_{n}-B_{n}\right) \\
& =A_{n} E_{n+1}-B_{n+1}(r-s) D_{n} \\
& =B_{n} E_{n+1}+A_{n+1}(r-s) D_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
2\left(A_{n} A_{n+1}+B_{n} B_{n+1}\right)=E_{n} E_{n+1}+(r-s)^{2} D_{n} D_{n+1}
$$

and
(16) $4 D_{n+3}=\left(E_{n} E_{n+1}+(r-s)^{2} D_{n} D_{n+1}\right) D_{n+2}+E_{n} E_{n+2} D_{n+1}+E_{n+1} E_{n+2} D_{n}$.

Also, $V_{a+b}=r^{a} V_{b}-(r-s) s^{b_{U}}$ and $_{a}(r-s) U_{a+b}=r^{a} V_{b}-s^{b^{2}} V_{a}$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n+3} & =A_{n} A_{n+1} E_{n+2}-A_{n} B_{n+2} E_{n+1}+B_{n+1} B_{n+2} E_{n}  \tag{17}\\
& =A_{n} A_{n+1} E_{n+2}+B_{n} B_{n+2} E_{n+1}-A_{n+1} B_{n+2} E_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
2 E_{n+3}=2 A_{n} A_{n+1} E_{n+2}-(r-s) B_{n+2} D_{n} E_{n+1}-(r-s) B_{n+2} D_{n+1} E_{n}
$$

Similarly,

$$
2 E_{n+3}=2 B_{n} B_{n+1} E_{n+2}+(r-s) A_{n+2} D_{n} E_{n+1}+(r-s) A_{n+2} D_{n+1} E_{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 E_{n+3}=2\left(A_{n} A_{n+1}\right. & \left.+B_{n} B_{n+1}\right) E_{n+2}+(r-s)^{2} D_{n} D_{n+2} E_{n+1} \\
& +(r-s)^{2} D_{n+1} D_{n+2} E_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
4 E_{n+3}=\left(E_{n} E_{n+1}+(r-s)^{2} D_{n} D_{n+1}\right) E_{n+2} & +(r-s)^{2} D_{n} D_{n+2} E_{n+1}  \tag{18}\\
& +(r-s)^{2} D_{n+1} D_{n+2} E_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Given $D_{0}, D_{1}, D_{2}, E_{0}, E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, (16) and (18) completely determine $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$, for $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$.
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# AN APPLICATION OF UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONS TO THE FIBONACCI NUMBERS 

R. L. DUNCAN

Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania
Let $\mu_{1}=1, \quad \mu_{2}=2$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}=\mu_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mu_{\mathrm{n}-2}(\mathrm{n} \geq 3)$ be the Fibonacci numbers and let $p_{n}$ be the number of digits in $\mu_{n}$. It is known [1] that the number of divisions required to determine $\left(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n}\right)$ by the Euclidean Algorithm is n . Also, it is shown in the proof of Lame's theorem [2] that

$$
\mathrm{n}<\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+1, \quad \text { where } \quad \xi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

A similar argument [1] shows that

$$
\mathrm{n}>\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-1}{\log \xi}
$$

Combining these results, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{p_{n}-1}{\log \xi}\right] \leq n-1 \leq\left[\frac{p_{n}}{\log \xi}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown by Brown [3] that the upper bound in (1) is attained for infinitely many $n$. The object of this note is to show that both the upper and lower bounds in (1) are attained for sets of values of $n$ having positive density.

Let $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the fractional part (mantissa) of $\log \mu_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then, since $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $1+\left[\log \mu_{n}\right]$, we have $p_{n}=1+\log \mu_{n}-\phi_{n}$. Also, since

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{n}} \sim \frac{\xi^{\mathrm{n}+1}}{\sqrt{5}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=1+(n+1) \log \xi-\log \sqrt{5}-\phi_{n}+o(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathrm{n}-1=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-1}{\log \xi}-2+\frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log \xi}+\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+o(1)
$$

and it follows that

$$
\mathrm{n}-1<\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-1}{\log \xi}-\frac{1}{4}+5 \phi_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

for all sufficiently large n. Thus

$$
\mathrm{n}-1 \leq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-1}{\log \xi}\right]
$$

and

$$
n-1=\left[\frac{p_{n}-1}{\log \xi}\right]
$$

if

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \frac{1}{20}
$$

and n is sufficiently large.
It also follows from (2) that

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi-\log \sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{10}+\mathrm{o}(1)
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{n}-1 \geq \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+\frac{\log \sqrt{5}-\frac{1}{10}}{\log \xi}-2+o(1)
$$

when

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}} \geq \frac{9}{10}
$$

Hence

$$
n-1>\frac{p_{n}}{\log \xi}-1
$$

and it follows that

$$
n-1 \geq\left[\frac{p_{n}}{\log \xi}\right]
$$

and

$$
n-1=\left[\frac{p_{n}}{\log \xi}\right]
$$

when

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}} \geq \frac{9}{10}
$$

and $n$ is sufficiently large.
The desired result will follow when we show that the sequence $\left\{\log \mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one [4]. By (2) we have

$$
\log \mu_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi-\log \sqrt{5}+o(1)
$$

Thus, for every positive integer $h$,
$\exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{ih} \log \mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\exp (-2 \pi \mathrm{ih} \log \mathrm{V} 5) \exp (o(1)) \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{ih}(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi)$

$$
=\mathrm{c}(1+\mathrm{o}(1)) \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{ih}(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi),
$$

where c is a constant.
Hence

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{ih} \log \mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{c} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{ih}(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi)+\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{~m})
$$

since $\log \xi$ is irrational, the sequence $\{(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one and it follows from Weyl's criterion that the sequence $\left\{\log \mu_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one.

## REFERENCES

1. R. L. Duncan, "Note on the Euclidean Algorithm," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 367-368.
2. J. V. Uspensky and M. A. Heaslet, Elementary Number Theory, McGrawHill, 1939, pp. 43-45.
3. J. L. Brown, Jr., "On Lame's Theorem," The Fibonacci Quarterly,Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 153-160
4. Ivan Niven, Irrational Numbers, Carus Monograph No. 11, M. A. A., 1956, Chapter 6.

All subscription correspondence should be addressed to Brother A. Brousseau, St. Mary's College, Calif. All checks ( $\$ 4.00$ per year) should be made out to the Fibonacci Association or the Fibonacci Quarterly. Manuscripts intended for publication in the Quarterly should be sent to Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., Mathematics Department, San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif. All manuscripts should be typed, double-spaced. Drawings should be made the same size as they will appear in the Quarterly, and should be done in India ink on either vellum or bond paper. Authors should keep a copy of the manuscripts sent to the editors.

# THE HEIGHTS OF FIBONACCI POLYNOMIALS AND AN ASSOCIATED FUNCTION 

V. E. HOGGATT, JR., and D. A. LIND

San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif., and University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.
Define the sequence of Fibonacci polynomials $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ by

$$
f_{1}(x)=1, f_{2}(x)=x ; f_{n}(x)=x f_{n-1}(x)+f_{n-2}(x) \quad(n \geq 3)
$$

Then it has been shown [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}\binom{n-j-1}{j} x^{n-2 j-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [x] represents the greatest integer contained in $x$. Since $f_{n+1}(x)=$ $\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{ix} / 2)$, where the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, we note that the Fibonacci polynomials are essentially the Chebyshev polynomials. Define the Fibonacci sequence $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-2}(\mathrm{n} \geq 3)$, and the Lucas sequence $\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ by $\mathrm{L}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=3, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ $=L_{n-1}+L_{n-2}(n \geq 3)$. It then follows from these recurrence relations that $f_{n}(1)=F_{n}$. By the height of $f_{n}(x)$, denoted by $m(n)$, we mean the greatest coefficient of $f_{n}(x)$, that is

$$
m(n)=\max \left\{\binom{n-j-1}{j}\right\} \quad(j=0,1, \cdots,[(n-1) / 2])
$$

Since the coefficients in (1) are diagonals of Pascal's Triangle, the $m(n)$ are the maximum entries along these diagonals, and they form the pattern exhibited below. Interest was first aroused in these numbers when it was observed that if the heights $m(n)$ and $m(n+1)$ were in adjacent columns, then they were in the ratio of consecutive Fibonacci numbers (e.g., 1:2, 4:6 = 2:3, $21: 35=3: 5)$. Although this is not true in general, some interesting properties derived from these ratios were found.


In order to define these cross-over ratios, we must first verify that this initial pattern continues, so that the only changes in the column pattern are lateral jumps of one column. We do this in the following:

Theorem 1. Denote logical implication by " $\Rightarrow$ " . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \geq\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{k}+1} \Rightarrow\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{k}+1} \geq\binom{\mathrm{n}-2}{\mathrm{k}+2} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{k}+1}>\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \Rightarrow\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}+1}>\binom{\mathrm{n}+1}{\mathrm{k}} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{k}>\binom{n+1}{k-1} \Rightarrow\binom{n+1}{k=1}>\binom{n+2}{k-1} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv)

$$
\binom{n}{k}>\binom{n-1}{k+1} \text { and }\binom{n+1}{k} \leq\binom{ n}{k+1} \Longrightarrow\binom{n}{k+1}>\binom{n-1}{k+2}
$$

Proof. We prove (i), the other parts using similar techniques. (i) is trivial for $n \leq k+1$. Assume $n-k \geq 2$, so that denoting logical equivalence by " $\Leftrightarrow$ "we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \geq\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{k}+1} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k}+1) \geq(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-1) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k}+1)+\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-2=(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{k}+2) \\
& \geq(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k})^{2}-2+8-5(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k})=(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-2)(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-3) \Longleftrightarrow\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{k}+1} \geq\binom{\mathrm{n}-2}{\mathrm{k}+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A little reflection will show that these results imply that if

$$
m(n)=\binom{u}{v}, \text { then } m(n+1)=\binom{u+1}{v} \quad \text { or }\binom{u}{v+1}
$$

Call the column of ones the $0^{\text {th }}$ column, and label the other columns of Pascal's Triangle consecutively. Choose $n$ such that $m(n)$ appears in the $(k-1)^{\text {th }}$ column and $m(n+1)$ appears in the $k^{\text {th }}$ column. Then $r_{k}=m(n) / m(n+1)$ is called the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ cross-over ratio. By Theorem $1, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is well-defined and unique.

Theorem 2. For $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}$ as defined above we have

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k} /\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{k}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)\right]
$$

where [ x ]. denotes the greatest integer contained in x .
Proof. If n is the greatest integer for which

$$
\binom{n}{k-1}>\binom{n-1}{k}
$$

then clearly

$$
\binom{n}{k-1}
$$

is the greatest height in the $(\mathrm{k}-1)^{\text {th }}$ column. This criterion is equivalent to

$$
n k>(n-k-1)(n-k) \Longleftrightarrow n^{2}-(3 k-1) n+k^{2}-k<0
$$

The greatest n for which this holds is the greatest integer contained in the largest root of

$$
n^{2}-(3 k-1) n+k^{2}-k=0
$$

so that

$$
\mathrm{n}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \mathrm{k}-1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)\right] .
$$

Thus

$$
r_{k}=\binom{n}{k-1} /\binom{n}{k}=\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{k} /\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{k}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)\right]
$$

This result makes computation of the cross-over ratio for a given column simple. A limited evaluation of the expression in the denominator is given later in the paper. From Theorem 2 we may conclude

Theorem 3. For $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 / \alpha
$$

Proof. Since
$\mathrm{n} /\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{n}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{n}^{2}-2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \leq \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{n} /\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{n}-1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{n}^{2}-2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}$, the result follows from
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n /\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(n+1+\sqrt{5 n^{2}-2 n+1}\right)\right\}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n /\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(n-1+\sqrt{5 n^{2}-2 n+1}\right)\right\}=1 / \alpha$

It has been shown [4] that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n} / F_{n+1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n} / L_{n+1}=1 / \alpha
$$

so it is not surprising to observe that $F_{n} / F_{n+1}$ is a cross-over ratio for $n \geq 2$, and $L_{n} / L_{n+1}$ is one for $n \geq 4$. It is our aim to prove this holds in general.

Theorem 4. Let $h(k)=\left[{ }_{2}^{1}\left(k+1+\sqrt{5 k^{2}-2 k+1}\right)\right.$. Then $h\left(F_{n}\right)=F_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$, and $h\left(L_{n}\right)=L_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. We will prove $h\left(F_{n}\right)=F_{n+1}$, the proof for Lucas numbers involving no new ideas. Since $\mathrm{x}-1<[\mathrm{x}] \leq \mathrm{x}$, the assertion is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{n}-1+\sqrt{\left.5 F_{n}^{2}-2 F_{n}+1\right)}<F_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{n}+1+\sqrt{5 F_{n}^{2}-2 F_{n}+1}\right)\right.
$$

The right side is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)^{2} & =\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)^{2} \leq 5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}+1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \leq 2\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is known [2; Identity XI] that $L_{n}^{2}-5 F_{n}^{2}=4(-1)^{n}$, so the last inequality is valid for $\mathrm{n}>2$. The case $\mathrm{n}=2$ is verified directly. The left side is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 F_{n+1}-F_{n}+1\right)^{2} & =\left(L_{n}+1\right)^{2}>5 F_{n}^{2}-2 F_{n}+1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow L_{n}^{2}-5 F_{n}^{2}>-2\left(L_{n}+F_{n}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow 4(-1)^{n}>-4 F_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is valid for $n>1$, completing the proof.
Theorem 5. $F_{n} / F_{n+1}$ is a cross-over ratio for $n \geq 2$, and $L_{n} / L_{n+1}$ is a cross-over ratio for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. By Theorems 2 and 4 we have $r_{F_{n}}=F_{n} / F_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$, and $r_{L_{n}}=L_{n} / L_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 4$.

We mention in passing that the results of Theorem 4 ,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+1}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{n}+1+\sqrt{5 F_{n}^{2}-2 F_{n}+1}\right)\right] & (n \geq 2) \\
L_{n+1}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{n}+1+\sqrt{5 L_{n}^{2}-2 L_{n}+1}\right)\right] & (n \geq 4),
\end{array}
$$

form an essentially different solution to Problem B-42 [1], perhaps an improvement over the published solution since the value of $n$ is not required.

We shall apply these results in a test to determine whether a given integer is a Fibonacci number, but we need first to establish a certain property of Fibonacci-type sequences.

Theorem 6. Define a Fibonacci sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ by specifying two integers $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$, along with the recurrence relation $f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}$. Then

$$
\left|f_{n+1}^{2}-f_{n+1} f_{n}-f_{n}^{2}\right|=\left|f_{1}^{2}-f_{1} f_{0}-f_{0}^{2}\right|=D
$$

for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$.
Proof. We proceed by induction. The statement is true for $\mathrm{n}=0$. Assume it is true for $n=k \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left|f_{k+1}^{2}-f_{k+1} f_{k}-f_{k}^{2}\right|=\left|-f_{k+1}^{2}+f_{k+1} f_{k}+f_{k}^{2}+f_{k+1}^{2}+f_{k+1} f_{k}-f_{k+1}^{2}-f_{k+1} f_{k}\right| \\
& =\left|\left(f_{k+1}+f_{k}\right)^{2}-f_{k+1}\left(f_{k+1}+f_{k}\right)-f_{k+1}^{2}\right|=\left|f_{k+2}^{2}-f_{k+2} f_{k+1}-f_{k+1}^{2}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

so the assertion is true for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}+1$, completing the induction step and the proof.

Now for the Fibonacci sequence $D=\left|F_{1}^{2}-F_{1} F_{0}-F_{0}^{2}\right|=1$. Since $h\left(F_{n}\right)$ $=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, all Fibonacci numbers $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ satisfy

$$
\left|h^{2}\left(F_{n}\right)-F_{n} h\left(F_{n}\right)-F_{n}^{2}\right|=1
$$

We shall show that only Fibonacci numbers satisfy this equation, thus providing a necessary and sufficient condition for an integer to be a Fibonacci number.

Theorem 7. Let $m$ be a positive integer, and $g(m)=\mid h^{2}(m)-m h(m)$ $-m^{2} \mid$. Then $m$ is a Fibonacci number if and only if $g(m)=1$. Also, $m \geq 7$ is a Lucas number if and only if $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m})=5$.

Proof. We have shown above that if m is a Fibonacci number then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m})=1$. Now assume $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m})=1$, and we wish to show m is a Fibonacci number. Since $h(m)>m$, we may form a decreasing Fibonacci sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(m), m, h(m)-m, 2 m-h(m), \cdots, f_{1}, f_{0}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}$ is the least nonnegative term of this sequence. Then $f_{0}<\frac{1}{2} f_{1}$, for if $f_{1}>f_{0}>\frac{1}{2} f_{1}$, then there is another term of the sequence $f_{-1}$ such that
$0 \leq f_{-1}=f_{1}-f_{0}<f_{0}$ contradicting the definition of $f_{0}$, while if $f_{0}=\frac{1}{2} f_{1}, f_{0}-$ $\left(f_{1}-f_{0}\right)=0$ is another term of the sequence $<f_{0}$, again contradicting the definition of $f_{0}$. Thus $f_{1}=2 f_{0}+a$ where $a>0$. But by Theorem $6,1=$ $g(m)=\left|\left(2 f_{0}+a\right)^{2}-\left(2 f_{0}+a\right) f_{0}-f_{0}^{2}\right|=\left|f_{0}^{2}+3 a f_{0}+a^{2}\right|, \quad$ and since $f_{0}$ and a are nonnegative integers, we must have $f_{0}=0, a=1$, so that $f_{1}=1$. Hence $m$ is a member of a Fibonacci sequence which begins with $f_{0}=0$ and $f_{1}=1$; that is, $m$ is a Fibonacci number.

We now prove the latter half of the theorem. Suppose $m \geq 7$ is a Lucas number $L_{n}$. For the Lucas sequence $D=5$, and so by Theorems 4 and 5 we have $g(m)=5$. Now assume $g(m)=5$ where $m \geq 7$, and as above let $f_{0}$ be the least nonnegative term in a decreasing Fibonacci sequence defined in (2). Clearly $f_{0}>0$, for $f_{0}=0$ implies $5=g(m)=\left|f_{1}^{2}-f_{1} f_{0}-f_{0}^{2}\right|=\mid f_{1}^{2}$. Also, as in the first section, $\mathrm{f}_{0}<\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}$, so $\mathrm{f}_{1}=2 \mathrm{f}_{0}+\mathrm{a}$ where $\mathrm{a}>0$. Then $5=$ $g(m)=\left|\left(2 f_{0}+a\right)^{2}-\left(2 f_{0}+a\right) f_{0}-f_{0}^{2}\right|=\left|f_{0}^{2}+3 a f_{0}+a^{2}\right|$, and since $f_{0}$ and a. are positive integers, we must have $f_{0}=a=1$, so that $f_{1}=3$. Thus m belongs to a Fibonacci sequence with $f_{0}=1, f_{1}=3$; that is, $m$ is a Lucas number.

We note that Theorem 6 is also implied by the result of Long and Jordan [5] that the only solutions of the diophantine equation $\left|x^{2}-5 y^{2}\right|=4$ are $x=$ $L_{n}, \quad y=F_{n}$ 。

Define

$$
h_{n}(k) \text { for } n \geq 0
$$

by

$$
\mathrm{h}_{0}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{h}\left\{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{k})\right\}
$$

for $n \geq 0$. Then

$$
\left\{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})\right\}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty}
$$

is a sequence of integers for each choice of $k$. Values of $h_{n}(k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq$ 10 and $0 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq 9$, which were computed by Terry Brennan, are given in
Table 1. It appears from the Table that

$$
\left\{h_{n}(\mathrm{k})\right\}
$$

obeys either a homogeneous or nonhomogeneous Fibonacci recurrence relation. We prove this holds in general.

Table 1
Values of $h_{n}(k)$

| $k{ }^{n}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 55 | 89 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 55 | 89 | 144 |
| 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 55 | 89 | 144 | 233 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 42 | 68 | 110 | 178 | 288 |
| 5 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 55 | 89 | 144 | 233 | 377 |
| 6 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 42 | 68 | 110 | 178 | 288 | 466 |
| 7 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 47 | 76 | 123 | 199 | 322 | 521 |
| 8 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 55 | 89 | 144 | 233 | 377 | 610 |
| 9 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 35 | 56 | 90 | 145 | 234 | 378 | 611 |
| 10 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 42 | 68 | 110 | 178 | 288 | 466 | 754 |

Theorem 8. For each choice of $k$ the sequence $\left\{h_{n}(k)\right\}$ obeys one of the following recurrence relations:

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k}), \quad \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots \quad \text { (Fibonacci homogeneous) }
$$

$h_{n+2}(k)=h_{n+1}(k)+h_{n}(k)-1, \quad n=0,1, \cdots \quad$ (Fibonacci nonhomogeneous)
Proof. The assertion is true for $k=1$ since $h_{n}(1)=F_{n+2}$ obeys the first relation. We thus consider $k \geq 1$. We shall use the property that $x-1$ $\leq[\mathrm{x}] \leq \mathrm{x}$ to show that $\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{h}_{0}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{h}_{1}(\mathrm{k})$ or $\mathrm{h}_{0}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{h}_{1}(\mathrm{k})-1$. We shall then use induction to prove this initial recurrence continues to hold throughout the sequence. For sake of brevity we let throughout the rest of the paper

$$
h_{n}(k) \equiv h_{n}, \quad h_{0}(k) \equiv k, \quad h_{1}(k) \equiv h(k) \equiv h, \quad \text { and } " \Leftarrow "
$$

mean "if"'. From the definition we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{n}-1+\sqrt{5 h_{n}^{2}-2 h_{n}+1}\right) \leq h_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{n}+1+\sqrt{5 h_{n}^{2}-2 h_{n}+1}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathrm{k}) \geq \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k})-1 & \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}-1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1}\right) \geq \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}-2 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1 \geq(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}-3)^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~h}^{2} \geq \mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{kh}-\mathrm{h}-3 \mathrm{k}+2 \\
& \mathrm{k}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k} \sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{k}-1+ \\
& \sqrt{\left.5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1\right)}-2 \mathrm{k}+2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{k}-1+ \\
& \left.\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 8 \leq 8 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is valid. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathrm{k}) \leq \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k}) & \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1}\right) \leq \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}+1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow 5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1 \leq(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}+1)^{2} \\
& \Leftrightarrow 4 \mathrm{~h}^{2} \leq 4\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{kh}+\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{k}\right) \\
& \Leftarrow\left(\mathrm{k}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)^{2} \leq 4 \mathrm{k}^{2}+ \\
& 2(\mathrm{k}+1)\left(\mathrm{k}-1+{\left.\sqrt{5 \mathrm{k}^{2}-2 \mathrm{k}+1}\right)}+4 \mathrm{k}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow 4 \leq 4 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is true. Together these imply

$$
\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k})-1 .
$$

We now show in the homogeneous case that this recurrence continues. Assume

$$
h_{i-1}+h_{i}=h_{i+1} \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, n \text { where } n \geq 2
$$

We will prove that

$$
h_{n}+h_{n+1}=h_{n+2}
$$

that is
$\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{n+1}-1+\sqrt{5 h_{n+1}^{2}-2 h_{n+1}+1}\right)<h_{n}+h_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{n+1}+1\right.$.

$$
+\sqrt{5 h_{n+1}^{2}-2 h_{n+1}+1}
$$

The right side is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(2 h_{n}+h_{n+1}-1\right)^{2} & \leq 5 h_{n+1}^{2}-2 h_{n+1}+1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow h_{n}^{2}+h_{n} h_{n+1}-h_{n} \leq h_{n+1}^{2} \\
& \Leftrightarrow h_{n}^{2}-h_{n} h_{n-1}-h_{n-1}^{2} \leq h_{n} \\
& \Leftarrow\left|h_{1}^{2}-h_{1} h_{0}-h_{0}^{2}\right| \leq h_{2} \leq h_{n} \\
& \Leftarrow \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{4}\left(h_{0}+1+\sqrt{5 h_{0}^{2}-2 h_{0}+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h_{0}\left(h_{0}-1+\sqrt{5 h^{2}-2 h_{0}+1}\right)\right.  \tag{3}\\
& \Leftrightarrow \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{0}+1+\sqrt{\left.5 h_{0}^{2}-2 h_{0}+1\right)} \mid \leq h_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{0}+1+\sqrt{5 h_{0}^{2}-2 h_{0}+1}\right)\right| \leq h_{1}+1 \leq h_{1}+h_{0}=h_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

But this last statement is true, verifying the right side. The left side is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
5 h_{n+1}^{2}-2 h_{n+1}+1 & <\left(2 h_{n}+h_{n+1}+1\right)^{2} \\
& \Leftrightarrow h_{n+1}^{2}<h_{n}^{2}+h_{n} h_{n+1}+h_{n+1}+h_{n} \\
& \Leftrightarrow-\left(h_{n}^{2}-h_{n} h_{n-1}-h_{n-1}^{2}\right)<2 h_{n}+h_{n-1}=h_{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is certainly true in light of (3) and Theorem 6. Proof of the nonhomogeneous case uses essentially the same techniques, although it is more complicated and is therefore omitted.

It is natural to ask for which integers $k$ the sequence $\left\{h_{n}(k)\right\}$ is homogeneous and for which it is nonhomogeneous.

Theorem 9. The sequence $\left\{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})\right\}$ is nonhomogeneous if and only if

Proof. Using Theorem 8 it follows that $\left\{h_{n}(k)\right\}$ is nonhomogeneous if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k}) & =\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathrm{k})-1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}+1+\sqrt{5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1}\right)<\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 5 \mathrm{~h}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~h}+1<(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}-1)^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~h}^{2}<\mathrm{k}^{2}-\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{kh} .
\end{aligned}
$$

However the characterization of the $k$ which obey (4) seems difficult. It appears that numbers of the form $k=F_{m}+1(m>5)$ satisfy (4), but there are others.

From the recurrence relations of Theorem 8 we may establish the following generating functions using standard techniques. If $\left\{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k})\right\}$ is homogeneous,

$$
\frac{p(x)}{1-x-x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n}(k) x^{n}
$$

where $p(x)=\{h(k)-k\} x+k$; if $\left\{h_{n}(k)\right\}$ is nonhomogeneous,

$$
\frac{q(x)}{(1-x)\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n}(k) x^{n}
$$

where $q(x)=\left\{h_{2}(k)-2 h(k)\right\} x^{2}+\{h(k)-2 k\} x+k$.
Finally we show an interweaving of the numbers $h_{n}(k)$ in Table 1.
Theorem 10. For $r \geq 0$ let $M_{r}(n)$ be the number of integers not greater than n which do not appear in the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{j})\right\}_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\infty}$. Then for n $\geq \mathrm{r}$,

$$
M_{r}\left(h_{n}(k)\right)=h_{n}(k)-h_{n-r}(k)
$$

Proof. We begin by observing that if $s>t$ then $h(s)>h(t)$. First assume $n=r$, so that $h_{n-r}(k)=h_{0}(k)=k$. The $k$ distinct integers $h_{r}(1)$, $\cdots, h_{r}(k)$ are the only members of $\left\{h_{r}(j)\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ not greater than $h_{r}(k)$, so that $M_{r}\left(h_{r}(k)\right)=h_{r}(k)-k=h_{r}(k)-h_{r-r}(k)$, as required. Now assume $n \geq r$, and let $h_{n-r}(k)=m$. Then $h_{n}(k)=h_{r}(m)$, so by the above

$$
M_{r}\left(h_{r}(m)\right)=h_{r}(m)-h_{0}(m)
$$

which implies

$$
M_{r}\left(h_{n}(k)\right)=h_{n}(k)-h_{n-r}(k)
$$

the desired result.
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We define the Fibonacci numbers $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
u_{1}=1, u_{2}=2, u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n} \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

In a recent note, R. L. Duncan has shown [1] that the determination of the greatest common divisor, $\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)$, for any $n \geq 1$ by means of the Euclidean Algorithm always requires a number of divisions $n$ satisfying the inequality,

$$
\mathrm{n}>\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-5
$$

where $p_{n}$ is the number of digits in $u_{n}$ and

$$
\xi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

Duncan then contrasts the classical Lamé result [2] for this case, namely

$$
\mathrm{n}<\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+1
$$

and concludes that Lame's theorem is virtually the best possible. [Recall Lamé's theorem asserts that if $a$ and $b$ are positive integers, then the number of divisions, $n$, required to determine ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) by the Euclidean Algorithm satisfies the inequality,

$$
\mathrm{n}<\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\log \xi}+1
$$

where $p$ is the number ofdigits in the smaller of the two integers $a$ and b.]

Our purpose here is to show that when Lame's bound for the number of divisions $n$ in the algorithmic determination of ( $u_{n+1}, u_{n}$ ) is written in the equivalent form

$$
\mathrm{n} \leq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1
$$

then there exist infinitely many pairs of consecutive Fibonacci numbers $u_{n}$ and $u_{n+1}$ such that the determination of ( $u_{n+1}, u_{n}$ ) by the Euclidean Algorithm requires exactly

$$
\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1
$$

divisions. Thus the integer 1 which appears in Lame's bound

$$
\left[\frac{p_{n}}{\log \xi}\right]+1,
$$

cannot be reduced, and in this sense, Lamé's theorem cannot be improved.

From consideration of tables, we find that to determine the g. c.d. of each of the pairs, $u_{5}=8$ and $u_{6}=13, u_{10}=89$ and $u_{11}=144, u_{15}=987$, and $u_{16}=1597$, a number of divisions is required that is equal to the Lamé bound. Note that the smaller number in each pair contains exactly one less digit than the larger number; this property will also be imposed in the general analysis. It is not clear a priori that there are infinitely many such pairs for which the Lamé bound is realized. For example, the next logical pair, $u_{19}$ $=6765$ and $u_{20}=10946$, requires only 19 divisions but the Lamé result gives an upper bound of 20 .

THEOREM 1: There exist an infinite number of distinct positive integers $n$ such that the determination of ( $u_{n+1}, u_{n}$ ) by the Euclidean Algorithm requires exactly n divisions with n satisfying
(1)

$$
\mathrm{n}>\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-\frac{1}{2}
$$

PROOF: It is known [1] that the algorithmic determination of ( $u_{n+1}, u_{n}$ ) requires exactly $n$ divisions; it remains to prove (1) holds for infinitely many values of $n$.

For $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, Binet's formula [3] states

$$
u_{n}=\frac{\xi^{n+1}-\zeta^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}
$$

where

$$
\xi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\zeta=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

Thus,
(2)

$$
\left|u_{n}-\frac{\xi^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}\right|=\left|\frac{\zeta^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}\right|
$$

Since

$$
|\zeta|<1, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}=u_{n}
$$

Choose $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log \xi}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{\log \xi}>1.5 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[This is possible since

$$
\log \sqrt{5}=0.350
$$

and

$$
\log \xi=0.208]
$$

Corresponding to this value of $\epsilon, \exists$ a positive integer $n_{o}$ such that

$$
\left|\log u_{n}-\log \frac{\xi^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}\right|<\epsilon \text { for } n>n_{o}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log u_{n}-(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi+\log \sqrt{5}\right|<\epsilon \text { for } \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $p_{n}$, the number of digits in $u_{n}$, is given by $p_{n}=\left[\log u_{n}\right]+1$, where the square brackets denote the greatest integer contained in the bracketed quantity.

Clearly,
(5)

$$
\log u_{n}=p_{n}-1+\theta_{n} \text { where } 0<\theta_{n}<1
$$

and (4) becomes
(6)

$$
\left|\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-\left(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \boldsymbol{\xi}+\log \sqrt{5}\right|<\epsilon\left(\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) .
$$

Since (5) holds for arbitrary $n$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log u_{n+1}=p_{n+1}-1+\theta_{n+1} \text { with } 0<\theta_{n+1}<1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n+1}$ is the number of digits in $u_{n+1}$.

Subtracting (5) from (7), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}=\left(p_{n+1}-p_{n}\right)+\left(\theta_{n+1}-\theta_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But it is well-known that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}=\xi
$$

therefore, for the previosulychosen $\epsilon>0, \exists$ a positive integer $n_{0}^{\prime}$ such that for $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}$,
(9)

$$
\left|\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\log \xi\right|<\epsilon
$$

We further restrict $n$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied; that is, $u_{n+1}$ is required to have exactly one more digit than $u_{n}$. Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=+\infty
$$

it is clear that (10) is satisfied for infinitely many values of $n$. With this additional restriction on $n$, equation (9) yields

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{n}}>\theta_{\mathrm{n}+1}+(1-\log \xi)-\epsilon
$$

or noting $\theta_{n+1}>0$,
(11)

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{n}}>(1-\log \xi)-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}
$$

From (6),
(12)

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-\left(1-\theta_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi+\log \sqrt{5}<\epsilon\left(\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)
$$

If we now choose $\mathrm{n}>\max \left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}\right)$ and such that (10) is satisfied, then using (11) in (12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}-\log \xi-(\mathrm{n}+1) \log \xi+\log \sqrt{5}-\epsilon<\epsilon \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(14)

$$
\mathrm{n}>\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-2+\frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log \xi}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{\log \xi}
$$

Using (3), we conclude that for $n>\max \left(n_{0}, n_{o}^{\prime}\right)$ and satisfying (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}>\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { as asserted. } \quad \text { q. e. } \mathrm{d} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lamé's theorem [2], the number of divisions $n$ required to determine $\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)$ is bounded above (strong inequality) by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+1
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \leq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (15) asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \geq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-\frac{1}{2}\right]+1=\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+\frac{1}{2}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for infinitely many values of $n$. Under certain circumstances, the bounds in (16) and (17) are equal. We first prove a simple lemma: (cf. [4], Theorem 6.3, p. 72):

LEMMA: Given $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ irrational, $\dashv$ infinitely many integers $n$ such that $\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}-[\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]>\frac{1}{2}$.

PROOF: If $\exists n_{0}$ such that $n \boldsymbol{\alpha}-[n \boldsymbol{\alpha}]>\frac{1}{2}$ for all $n>n_{o}$, the proposition is proved; otherwise, for given $n_{0}>0, \exists \mathrm{n}$ with $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}$ such that $\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}=[\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]$ $+\beta$ with $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ ( $\beta$ irrational).

Choose k such that

$$
\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{k}+1}}<\beta<\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{k}}} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}<2^{\mathrm{k}} \beta<1 .
$$

Then, letting $N=2^{k} n$, we have

$$
\mathrm{N} \alpha=2^{\mathrm{k}}[\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]+2^{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{\beta}
$$

Since $\quad 2^{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{\beta}<1,[\mathrm{~N} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]=2^{\mathrm{k}}[\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]$ and $\mathrm{N} \boldsymbol{\alpha}-[\mathrm{N} \boldsymbol{\alpha}]=2^{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{\beta}>\frac{1}{2}$.
Thus, $\exists$ arbitrarily large integers n with $\mathrm{n} \alpha-\left[\mathrm{n} \alpha>\frac{1}{2}\right.$ as asserted.

The following theorem shows that Lame's result

$$
\mathrm{n} \leq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1
$$

is the best possible.

THEOREM 2: There exist infinitely many distinct values of $n$ such that the determination $\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)$ by the Euclidean Algorithm requires exactly $n$ divisions where n is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF: From Theorem 1, - infinitely many values of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \geq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+\frac{1}{2}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 shows that if $p_{M}$ is the number of digits in $u_{M}$ where $M=\max \left(n_{0}, n_{0}^{\prime}\right)+1$, than an $n$ can be found such that $p_{n}$ assumes any integer value $>\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and such that (19) is satisfied.

The Lemma assures us that there are infinitely many values of $p_{n}>p_{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}-\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]>\frac{1}{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each of these values of $p_{n}$ can be combined with an appropriate value of n such that (19) is satisfied. But (20) implies

$$
\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+\frac{1}{2}\right]=\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}+1\right]=\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1
$$

Thus (19) in combination with Lame's bound $n \leq\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1$ shows

$$
\mathrm{n}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\log \xi}\right]+1, \quad \text { proving the theorem. } \quad \text { q. e. } \mathrm{d} .
$$

The above results have been proved using only elementary techniques. A more concise proof can be obtained using some theorems on the uniform distribution (mod 1) of sequences; this will be the subject of a forthcoming note by R. L. Duncan.
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## CORRECTION

Please correct the last phrase of "A Recursive Generation on Two-Digit Integers, " appearing on page 90 of the April 1965 issue of the Fibonacci Quarterly to read: "so that it takes the five odd digits to generate the set."

Edward Rayher points out that there are only nine two-digit generators. Eliminated from the published set should be " 24 " which obviously comes from the 21 at the end of the line preceding it in group (4), and " 47 " which follows 37 in the sequence of the same group.
D. R. Kapreker calls these generators "self-numbers" in his 21-page pamphlet, "The Mathematics of the New Self Numbers," personally published by him in Devlali, India in 1963. He lets the generated sequences run to infinity rather than reducing the numbers modulo 100 so that they lead to loops.
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NOTICE: PLEASE SEND ALL SOLUTIONS AND NEW PROPOSALS TO PROFESSOR RAYMOND E. WHITNEY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, LOCK HAVEN STATE COLLEGE, LOCK HAVEN, PA.

H-113 Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State, College, San Jose, Calif.

If

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) x^{n}=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{F_{j}}\right)
$$

then show
i)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R\left(L_{2 n}-1\right)=R\left(L_{2 n+1}-1\right)=2 n & n \geq 2 \\
R\left(L_{n+3}+1\right)=2 n & n \geq 2
\end{array}
$$

ii)
(In "Representations by Complete Sequences," Oct. 1963 Fibonacci Quarterly, Theorem 3 states

$$
R\left(L_{2 n-1}\right)=R\left(L_{2 n}\right)=2 n-1 \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

This should read $n \geq 2$ 。)

H-114 Proposed by William C. Lombard and V.E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif.

Show that the sequence $L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1$ and $L_{n+2}=L_{n+1}+L_{n}(n \geq 0)$ is complete.

Show that, if any $L_{k}(k>2)$ is deleted, then the deleted sequence is still complete.

Show that, if $L_{0}$ or $L_{1}$ or ( $L_{j}$ and $L_{k} ; k>j \geq 2$ ) is (are) deleted, then the deleted (doubly deleted) sequence is incomplete.
(See H-53, Vol. 3, No. 1, page 45, Fibonacci Quarterly.)
H-115 Proposed by Stephen Headley, San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif.
If

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) x^{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{L_{i}}\right)
$$

where $L_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number, show $R\left(L_{2 n}\right)=R\left(L_{2 n+1}\right)=n+1$. H-116 Proposed by V.E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif.

If

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) x^{n}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{L_{j}}\right)
$$

then for $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$ show
i)

$$
R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}}\right)=R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)=R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}
$$

ii)

$$
R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+3}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}
$$

H-117 Proposed by George Ledin, Jr., San Francisco, Calif.
Prove

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
F_{n+3} & F_{n+2} & F_{n+1} & F_{n} \\
F_{n+2} & F_{n+3} & F_{n} & F_{n+1} \\
F_{n+1} & F_{n} & F_{n+3} & F_{n+2} \\
F_{n} & F_{n+1} & F_{n+2} & F_{n+3}
\end{array}\right|=F_{2 n+6} F_{2 n}
$$

H-118 Proposed by George Ledin, Jr., San Francisco, Calif.
Solve the difference equation

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

with $C_{1}=a, C_{2}=b$, and $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number.

## SOLUTIONS

## A MANY SPLENDORED THING

H-69 Proposed by M.N.S. Swamy, University of Saskatchewan, Regina, Canada

Given the polynomials $B_{n}(x)$ and $b_{n}(x)$ defined by,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{n}(x)=x B_{n-1}(x)+b_{n-1}(x) & (n>0) \\
B_{n}(x)=(x+1) B_{n-1}(x)+b_{n-1}(x) & (n>0) \\
b_{0}(x)=B_{0}(x)=1 &
\end{array}
$$

It is possible to show that

$$
B_{n}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n+r+1}{n-r} x^{r}
$$

and

$$
b_{n}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n+r}{n-r} x^{r} .
$$

It can also be shown that the zeros of $B_{n}(x)$ or $b_{n}(x)$ are all real, negative and distinct. The problem is whether it is possible to factorize $B_{n}(x)$ and $b_{n}(x)$. I have found that for the first few values of $n$, the result

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\prod_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{x}+4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right]
$$

holds. Does this result hold good for all n ? Is it possible to find a similar result for $b_{n}(x)$ ?
Solution by John C. Sjoherg, Carlisle, Pennsylvania
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2 n}(x) & =b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) \\
f_{2 n+1}(x) & =x B_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) \quad n \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{xf}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})
$$

with

$$
f_{0}(x)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{1}(x)=x
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{\left[\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}\right]}\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{r}}
$$

Thus

$$
f_{n}(2 i \cos y)=\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\binom{n-r}{r}(2 i)^{n-2 r}(\cos y)^{n-2 r} .
$$

We have by definition that

$$
f_{n}(2 i \cos y)=i^{n} \frac{\sin (n+1) y}{\sin y}
$$

The zeros of $f_{n}(2 i \cos y)$ are then

$$
y=\frac{r \pi}{n+1} \text { for } r=1,2,3, \cdots, n
$$

and the zeros of $f_{n}(x)$ are then

$$
\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{i} \cos \frac{\mathrm{r} \pi}{\mathrm{n}+1} \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{r}=1,2,3, \cdots, \mathrm{n}
$$

We have therefore that

$$
b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)=\prod_{r=1}^{2 n}\left(x-2 i \cos \frac{r \pi}{2 n+1}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\prod_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}+4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{r} \pi}{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)
$$

Similarly

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\prod_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}+4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{r} \pi}{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{xf}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}(\mathrm{x})
$$

with

$$
f_{0}(x)=1 \text { and } f_{1}(x)=x,
$$

we have

$$
f_{n}(1)=F_{n+1}
$$

Also solved by the Proposer.

## NO SOLUTION

H-70 Proposed by C.A. Church, Jr., West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Va.
For $n=2 \mathrm{~m}$ show that the total number of k -combinations of the first n natural numbers such that no two elements i and $\mathrm{i}+2$ appear together in the same selection is $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}+2}^{2}$, and if $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$, the total is $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}+2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}+3^{\circ}}$

Additional Comment by the Proposer.
A corresponding problem for circular permutations may also be posed using Kaplansky's second lemma [same reference] which leads in this case to Lucas numbers. That is, the number of ways of selecting $k$ objects, from $n$ arrayed on a circle, with no two consecutive is

$$
\frac{n}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}
$$

Another solution to the problem of choosing k elements from among $1,2, \cdots, n$ such that $i$ and $i+2$ do not both occur, is given by $M_{0}$ Abramson [Explicit Expressions for a Class of Permutation Problems, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 7(1964), 349]. Namely, there are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} \\
& \sum_{i=0}\binom{n-2 k+2+i}{k-i}\binom{k-i}{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

ways. This, of course, suggests a couple of binomial identities, when his answer is compared with mine.

## A VERY PRETTY RESULT

H-71 Proposed by John L. Brown, Jr.,Penn. State University, State College, Pa. Show

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k}\binom{2 n}{k} 2^{k-1} L_{k}=5^{n} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k}\binom{2 n}{k} 2^{k-1} F_{k}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

See also H-77.
Solution by the Proposer.
Let

$$
\mathrm{a}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \mathrm{~b}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2},
$$

so that

$$
F_{k}=\frac{a^{k}-b^{k}}{\sqrt{5}} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{k}=a^{k}+b^{k}
$$

1967]
Hence

$$
5^{\mathrm{n}}=(2 \mathrm{a}-1)^{2 \mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{2 \mathrm{n}}(2 \mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{k}}(-1)^{2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}
$$

and

$$
5^{n}=(1-2 b)^{2 n}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k}(2 b)^{k}\binom{2 n}{k}
$$

Add to get

$$
2 \cdot 5^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k}\binom{2 n}{k} 2^{k}\left(a^{k}+b^{k}\right)
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { or }}$

$$
5^{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{2 \mathrm{n}}(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} 2^{\mathrm{k}-1}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

Subtract to get

$$
0=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k}\binom{2 n}{k} 2^{k}\left(a^{k}-b^{k}\right)
$$

or

$$
0=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{k} 2^{k-1}\binom{2 n}{k} F_{k}
$$

## GENERALIZED FIBONOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

H-72 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, Ca lif. Let $u_{n}=F_{n k}$, where $F_{m}$ is the $m^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number, and $k$ is any positive integer; and let

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
m
\end{array}\right]=1,\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
n
\end{array}\right]=\frac{u_{m} \cdots u_{1}}{u_{n} u_{n-1}{ }^{\circ u_{1} u_{m-n} u_{m-n-1} \cdots u_{1}}}
$$

then show

$$
2\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
n
\end{array}\right]=L_{n k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m-1 \\
n
\end{array}\right]+L_{(m-n) k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m-1 \\
n-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

This problem and many others related are thoroughly discussed in a paper, "Fibonacci Numbers and Generalized Binomial Coefficients," to appear soon in the Fibonacci Quarterly.

## CORRECTIONS

Please make the following corrections on the paper, "On a Certain Kind of Fibonacci Sums," Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 45-58, Fibonacci Quarterly:

Page 46: In Eq. (4a), change $P_{1}(m, n) d x$ to $P_{1}(m, x) d x$
Page 49: In Corollary 1, the denominator of the second fraction should be dn instead of $\mathrm{dn}^{\mathrm{r}}$. Delete the first m following second $=$ sign.
Page 51: Change the first part of the last paragraph to read:
At this stage it seems clear that a study of the polynomials $P_{1}(m, n)$ and $P_{2}(m, n)$ and of the numbers $M_{1, j}$ and $M_{2, j}$ is of basic importance to the development of any further theory. The numbers $M_{1, j}$ and $M_{2, j}$ pose by themselves an interesting problem. The intuitive bounds...

In the last two lines, change $\mathrm{M}_{1, \mathrm{j}}$ to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$.
Page 54: In the last line, change case to class.
Page 56: In the table title, add an asterisk to $P_{3}$, i.e., $P_{3}^{\star}(m, n)$
In the last line before Eq. (12), change written to rewritten.
Page 58: Delete the extra with in Reference 8. G.L. JR.

## EDITORIAL

## BROTHER ALFRED BROUSSEAU <br> St. Mary's College, California

The Fibonacci Quarterly and the Fibonacci Association are now in their fifth year. In retrospect two things stand out strongly: one, the decision to start such a specialized magazine; two, the inclusion of two sections, advanced and elementary. The first was evidently a risk, both from the financial standpoint and, in the minds of some, from a consideration of the possibility of exhausting the field. Neither of these eventualities has proved substantial. Support in the form of subscriptions, memberships, sustaining memberships and more recently page charges has been sufficient to provide for continued publication and even a bit of expansion. As to the second danger, the flood of articles after the first initial steps has continued to grow until now it has become something of a problem.

The other risk, the establishment of two sections, was probably in the minds of some not desirable, since they may have thought that this would tend to lower the academic level of the magazine. Apparently, our readers, including the libraries of major colleges and universities, have not reacted adversely. However, in the course of time, with the pressure of articles demanding publication and the tendency to publish what was on hand, the elementary section has become more and more advanced. The result is that some of our readers have begun to lose heart.

With this situation in mind, action has been set afoot to revitalize the elementary portion of the Fibonacci Quarterly by the appointment of a special editor with the thought of promoting material of value to the readers of this section of our magazine. Already, it has been decided to have a Recreation Corner and another entitled "Let's Do Some Research." The purpose of the present editorial is to point out that while there is a superabundance of articles on hand, there are not sufficiently many articles of good quality suitable for the elementary section. More importantly, the type of article desired will be made explicit.

For the average reader of mathematical articles, I would presume that the major objection to what is available on the market is that it is NOT

READABLE. Usually, an article will start off with three, four or five references, assume everything that is in them and then launch quickly into further developments. Anybody who would want to follow the discussion intelligently would have to go back and work through the articles listed before being able to do anything with the most recent addition. Usually, none of the articles is READABLE. They are compressed, cryptic, truncated in their manner of presentation, so that in effect anyone who desires to find out what is being said has to start with paper and pencil and work through everything step by step. Given the general lack of time available, most people simply stop at the first roadblock, get some idea of what the article is about and pass on.

In the elementary section, we need articles that can be READ. This means that they should start with well-known ideas, should provide examples of the basic patterns which lead to conjectures, should give the proofs of the main theorems clearly, simply and completely, should go on to numerical illustrations of the theorems, and in general, should be sufficiently explicit so that a very good high school student, a good college student, a high school teacher, an interested amateur can READ the material without having to go through all the development on paper while still possibly remaining in doubt as to whether he has the idea or not.

Writers of articles for this section should be warned of the twin evils of compression and impression: compression, to put the ideas in as small a compass as possible; impression, to work up such a framework as to overawe the uninitiated. On the contrary, there should be a definite attempt to keep the number of definitions to a minimum, to use as little special notation as possible, to attempt to express ideas in simple direct English as well as in formulas.

There is a great wealth of material in the past issues of the Fibonacci Quarterly awaiting the deft touch of able expository writers. At present, this valuable material is a closed book to all but a relatively small number of specialists. Writers are also encouraged to consider the sub-title of the Fibonacci Quarterly: "A Journal devoted to the study of integers with special properties." While we certainly favor articles on Fibonacci numbers, a little variety especially in the elementary section would be very welcome indeed.

# A FIBONACCI GENERALIZATION 

BROTHER ALFRED BROUSSEAU
St. Mary's College, California
It is well known that the sum of any ten numbers of a Fibonacci sequence is divisible by 11. For example, starting with 11,15 and proceeding to 26,41 , $67,108,175,283,458,741$, the sum of these ten terms is 1925 which is divisible by 11 , the quotient being 175 , the seventh member of the set of ten successive terms. This can be proved to hold in general, for if we start with any two numbers $a, b$, the successive terms are $a+b, a+2 b, 2 a+3 b, 3 a+5 b$, $5 a+8 b, \quad 8 a+13 b, \quad 13 a+21 b, \quad 21 a+34 b$, the sum of which is $55 a+88 b$ which on being divided by 11 gives a quotient of $5 \mathrm{a}+8 \mathrm{~b}$, the seventh term of the set of ten terms.

This curious property might lead one to speculate on the possibility of having various sets of successive terms of a generalized Fibonacci sequence divisible by some common quantity. To analyze the situation let us start with terms $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{b}$, the usual Fibonacci relation.

$$
T_{n+1}=T_{n}+T_{n-1}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \quad \mathrm{T}_{4}=\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{~b}, \quad \mathrm{~T}_{5}=2 \mathrm{a}+3 \mathrm{~b}, \quad \mathrm{~T}_{6}=3 \mathrm{a}+5 \mathrm{~b}, \quad \mathrm{~T}_{7}=5 \mathrm{a}+8 \mathrm{~b}$, etc. It appears that the coefficients of $a$ and $b$ are Fibonacci numbers from the sequence $(1,1,2,3,5,8,13, \cdots)$ with the general formula being

$$
T_{n}=F_{n-2} a+F_{n-1} b
$$

However, what we are considering is the sum of a certain number of terms of this sequence. We want to find what:

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}+\cdots+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

equals in terms of $a, b$, and the Fibonacci numbers. Now it will be observed that the coefficients of $a$ in the summation are the Fibonacci numbers
$1,1,2,3, \cdots, F_{n-3}, F_{n-2}$ with an extra 1 , so that by the usual formula for the sum of the Fibonacci numbers, the coefficient of $a$ in the sum must be $F_{n}$; the coefficient of $b$ is simply the sum of the Fibonacci numbers up to and including $F_{n-1}$, so that this coefficient is $F_{n+1}-1$. Thus

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}+\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-1\right) \mathrm{b}
$$

We are looking for a common factor of this sum, no matter what values a and $b$ may have. Thus, we seek common factors of $F_{n}$ and $F_{n+1}-1$. In the case $\mathrm{n}=10, \mathrm{~F}_{10}=55, \mathrm{~F}_{11}-1=88$, so that the common factor is 11. A little experimentation leads to the following table. We begin with 10 and go to higher values.

| 55 | $11 \cdot 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $89-1$ | $11 \cdot 8$ |
| 144 | $8 \cdot 18$ |
| $233-1$ | $8 \cdot 29$ |
| 377 | $29 \cdot 13$ |
| $610-1$ | $29 \cdot 21$ |
| 987 | $21 \cdot 47$ |
| $1597-1$ | $21 \cdot 76$ |
| 2584 | $76 \cdot 34$ |
| $4181-1$ | $76 \cdot 55$ |
| 6765 | $55 \cdot 123$ |
| $10946-1$ | $55 \cdot 199$ |
| 17711 | $199 \cdot 89$ |
| $28657-1$ | $199 \cdot 144$ |

It appears that we have two cases. When n is of the form $4 \mathrm{k}+2$, the common factor is a Lucas number and the quotients are successive Fibonacci numbers; while if n is of the form 4 k , the common factor is a Fibonacci number and the quotients successive Lucas numbers. More precisely, the intuitive relations would seem to be as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{4 k+2} T_{j} & =F_{4 k+2} a+\left(F_{4 k+3}-1\right) b \\
& =L_{2 k+1} F_{2 k+1} a+L_{2 k+1} F_{2 k+2} b \\
& =L_{2 k+1}\left(F_{2 k+1} a+F_{2 k+2} b\right) \\
& =L_{2 k+1} T_{2 k+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the other case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{4 k} T_{j} & =F_{4 k} a+\left(F_{4 k+1}-1\right) b \\
& =L_{2 k} F_{2 k} a+F_{2 k} L_{2 k+1} b \\
& =F_{2 k}\left(L_{2 k} a+L_{2 k+1} b\right) \\
& =F_{2 k}\left(F_{2 k-1} a+F_{2 k} b+F_{2 k+1} a+F_{2 k+2} b\right) \\
& =F_{2 k}\left(T_{2 k+1}+T_{2 k+3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula $F_{2 n}=F_{n} L_{n}$ is well known. There are two other formulas $\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+3}-1=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+1}-1=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ which need to be justified. We first verify them for small values of $n$. For $n=0$, the first formula gives $F_{3}-1=2-1$ or 1 and $L_{1} F_{2}=1 \cdot 1$ and hence is 1 as well. For $\mathrm{n}=1$, the first formula has on the left $\mathrm{F}_{7}-1=13-1$ or 12 and on the right, $L_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4}=4 \cdot 3$ or 12. Thus the first formula holds for small values of n. Similarly, the second can be verified for these small values. We now assume that the various formulas hold up to $\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2}$ and that $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ holds in general. Then

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Adding | $\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+1}-1=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> Then <br> Adding $\quad$ <br> $\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+3}-1=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$ <br>  <br> $\mathrm{~F}_{4 \mathrm{n}+5}-1=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$ |

Hence, since these formulas hold for small values of $n$ as shown, it follows that they can be proved to hold for any value of $n$ by reason of mathematical induction. Thus the intuitive formulas are seen to hold in general.

As a numerical illustration of these formulas, consider the series starting with $\mathrm{a}=8, \mathrm{~b}=11$.

| k | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Factorization | In Symbols |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| 2 | 11 | 19 | $1 \cdot 19$ | $L_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ |
| 3 | 19 | 38 |  |  |
| 4 | 30 | 68 | $1 \cdot 68$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3}+\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ |
| 5 | 49 | 117 |  |  |
| 6 | 79 | 196 | $4 \cdot 49$ | $L_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{5}$ |
| 7 | 128 | 324 |  |  |
| 8 | 207 | 531 | $3 \cdot 177$ | $\mathrm{F}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{5}+\mathrm{T}_{7}\right)$ |
| 9 | 335 | 866 |  |  |
| 10 | 542 | 1408 | $11 \cdot 128$ | $L_{5} \mathrm{~T}_{7}$ |
| 11 | 877 | 2285 |  |  |
| 12 | 1419 | 3704 | $8 \cdot 463$ | $\mathrm{F}_{6}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{7}+\mathrm{T}_{9}\right)$ |
| 13 | 2296 | 6000 |  |  |
| 14 | 3715 | 9715 | $29 \cdot 335$ | $L_{7} \mathrm{~T}_{9}$ |
| 15 | 6011 | 15726 |  |  |
| 16 | 9726 | 25452 | $21 \cdot 1212$ | $\mathrm{F}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{9}+\mathrm{T}_{11}\right)$ |
| 17 | 15737 | 41189 |  |  |
| 18 | 25463 | 66652 | $76 \cdot 877$ | $\mathrm{L}_{9} \mathrm{~T}_{11}$ |
| 19 | 41200 | 107852 |  |  |
| 20 | 66663 | 174515 | $55 \cdot 3173$ | $\mathrm{F}_{10}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{11}+\mathrm{T}_{13}\right)$ |
| 21 | 107863 | 282378 |  |  |
| 22 | 174526 | 456904 | 199 - 2296 | $L_{11} \mathrm{~T}_{13}$ |
| 23 | 282389 | 739293 |  |  |
| 24 | 456915 | 1196208 | $144 \cdot 8307$ | $\mathrm{F}_{12}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{13}+\mathrm{T}_{15}\right)$ |
| 25 | 739304 | 1935512 |  |  |
| 26 | 1196219 | 3131731 | $521 \cdot 6011$ | $\mathrm{L}_{13} \mathrm{~T}_{15}$ |
| 27 | 1935523 | 5067254 |  |  |
| 28 | 3131742 | 8198996 | $377 \cdot 21748$ | $\mathrm{F}_{14}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{15}+\mathrm{T}_{17}\right)$ |

# FIBONACCI AND LUCAS NUMBERS <br> IN THE SEQUENCE OF GOLDEN NUMBERS 

ROBERT PRUITT
San Jose State College, San Jose, California

Beginning with the golden rectangle with base 2 and altitude $\sqrt{5}-1$, one may proceed to construct a sequence of numbers which represent altitudes (shortest sides) of the nested golden rectangles.
(1) $\quad \sqrt{5}-1,3-\sqrt{5}, 2 \sqrt{5}-4,7-3 \sqrt{5}, 5 \sqrt{5}-11,18-8 \sqrt{5}, \cdots$

We shall call this the sequence of golden numbers. These numbers, as one may suspect, are closely related to Fibonacci numbers, as is suggested by Theorem 2 below. First, however, we need to observe that the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ golden number may be expressed by the following recursive formula:

Theorem 1. If $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}$ denotes the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ golden number, then $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 / 2 \mathrm{~g}_{1}$. $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the method of finding the altitude of a golden rectangle given its base (details left for the reader).

As an immediate consequence we have a corollary:

$$
g_{n}=\frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}}
$$

We next observe after considering the first few golden numbers that

$$
\text { Theorem 2. } \quad g_{n}=g_{n-2}-g_{n-1}
$$

Proof. Using the form for $g_{n}$ given in the Corollary to Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}-2}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}-1} & =\frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-3}}-\frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-2}} \\
& =\frac{2^{2} \cdot(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}}-\frac{2 \cdot(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}}=\frac{2(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2}[2-\sqrt{5}+1]}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}} \\
& =\frac{2(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2} \cdot(3-\sqrt{5})}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}}=\frac{2(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2} \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^{2}}{2}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}}=\frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)^{\mathrm{n}}}{2^{\mathrm{n}-1}} \\
& =g_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

Another rather interesting observation is that the coefficients of radical 5 appear to be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers with alternating signs. We may formalize the conjecture after observing that as a result of a multiplication by $(\sqrt{5}-1) / 2$, the signs of each term of the golden numbers alternate and the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ golden number may be expressed in the form

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \sqrt{5}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

where $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are positive integers.
Theorem 3. If

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \sqrt{5}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

represents the $n^{\text {th }}$ golden number, then $a_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number, ${ }^{F}{ }_{n}$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n+1} & =g_{n} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2}\left[5 a_{n}-\sqrt{5} b_{n}-\sqrt{5} a_{n}+b_{n}\right] \\
& =(-1)^{n}\left[\frac{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{2} \sqrt{5}-\frac{\left(5 a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{2}\right] \\
& \therefore a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2} \text { and } b_{n+1}=\frac{5 a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
a_{n-1}+a_{n}=a_{n-1}+\frac{a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}{2}=\frac{3 a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n+1} & =\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}=\frac{\frac{a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}{2}+\frac{5 a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}{2}}{2}=\frac{3 a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}{2} \\
& =a_{n-1}+a_{n} \rightarrow a_{n}=F_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Yet another observation may be made from the sequence (1). It is stated:

Theorem 4. If $g_{n}$ and $g_{n+1}$ are any two successive golden numbers, then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=2$.

Proof. Using the representation for $F_{n+1}$ developed in the proof of Theorem 3, we write

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Therefore, we may express $g_{n}$ and $g_{n+1}$ in terms of Fibonacci numbers only:

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \sqrt{5}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \sqrt{5}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right) .
$$

Thus we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}+1}= & (-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \sqrt{5}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-F_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \sqrt{5}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling the fundamental identity

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 2
$$

it follows that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2}+2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]=2
$$

Recalling the representation for $g_{n}$ used in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$
g_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \sqrt{5}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
$$

we observe that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-2\left[\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

which gives us the following alternate forms for the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ golden number:

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{1}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

where $L_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number. We now state our final result.
Theorem 5. $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\sqrt{5} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

Proof. Follows from the identity

$$
L_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n+1}
$$

# A MARKOV LIMIT PROCESS INVOLVING FIBONACCI NUMBERS 

JOHN D. NEFF
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia

Consider the two-state Markov chain with transition matrix

$$
P=\begin{array}{cc}
s_{1} & s_{2} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{2}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{a} \\
\mathrm{~b} & 1-\mathrm{b}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $0<\mathrm{a} \leq 1, \quad 0<\mathrm{b} \leq 1$ and $0<\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}<2$. The branch probabilities may be displayed (Fig. 1) on a tree diagram for this chain.


Figure 1

The above matrix P has a fixed vector $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, 1-\alpha_{1}\right)$ and limiting matrix

$$
\mathrm{A}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & 1-\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} & 1-\alpha_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $0<\alpha_{1}<1$. The entries $\alpha_{1}$ and ( $1-\alpha_{1}$ ) maybe interpreted as the limiting proportion of times that the process is in state $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, respectively, as the number of steps, $n$, increases indefinitely.

For example, the Markov chain transition matrix

$$
\left.P=\begin{array}{c} 
\\
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cc}
s_{1} & s_{2} \\
1 / 3 & 2 / 3 \\
1 / 4 & 3 / 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

has fixed vector

$$
\alpha=\left(\frac{3}{11}, \frac{8}{11}\right)
$$

and the process would be expected to be in states $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ in a ratio of $3: 8$ as the number of steps increases indefinitely.

For the special case

$$
\left.P=\begin{array}{c} 
\\
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cc}
s_{1} & s_{2} \\
0 & 1 \\
b & 1-b
\end{array}\right)
$$

$(0 \leq \mathrm{b} \leq 1)$, the fixed vector $\alpha$ is

$$
\alpha=\left(\frac{b}{1+b}, \frac{1}{1+b}\right)
$$

using the indicated branch probabilities from the matrix P. However, we delete the branch probabilities from the tree and display only the "bare" branches of the tree (Fig. 2).


Figure 2
Instead of reading "out" the tree (i.e., left to right), we read "across" the tree (i.e., from top to bottom), so that in the first step, $s_{1}$ appears once
and $s_{2}$ appears twice; in the second step $s_{1}$ appears twice and $s_{2}$ appears three times, and so on.

Denote by $N(1, n)$ the number of times that $s_{1}$ appears in the $n^{\text {th }}$ stage, with $\mathrm{N}(2, \mathrm{n})$ defined similarly. $(\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3, \cdots)$. It is apparent that $\mathrm{N}(1, \mathrm{n})$ $=u_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ is the $(n+1)^{\text {st }}$ Fibonacci number in the sequence $u_{0}=1$, $u_{1}=1, u_{2}=2, u_{3}=3, u_{4}=5$, etc. Similarly, the total number of entries in the $n^{\text {th }}$ stage is given by $N(1, n)+N(2, n)=u_{n+2}$, and also read $N(2, n)=$ $u_{n+2}-N(1, n)=u_{n+2}-u_{n}=u_{n+1}$. Thus, continuing to read "across" the tree, the proportion of times that $s_{2}$ appears in the $n^{\text {th }}$ stage is

$$
\frac{N(2, n)}{N(1, n)+N(2, n)}=\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n+2}}
$$

and this proportion has a well-known limiting value

$$
\alpha=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n+2}}
$$

Thus, consider the case

$$
\left.\mathrm{P}=\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{s}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~s}_{2} & \mathrm{~s}_{2} \\
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}
\end{array} & \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The fixed vector is

$$
\alpha=\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)=(.382 \cdots, .618 \cdots)
$$

and so the process would be expected to be in state $s_{2}$ approximately $61.8 \%$ of the time, as $n$ increases indefinitely, using the indicated branch probabilities. On the other hand, using only the corresponding "bare" tree, the limiting proportion of times that $s_{2}$ will appear in the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ "column" (stage) will be the same proportion, as the number of steps increases indefinitely.
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## CORRECTIONS

Please make the following corrections on the paper, "On Summation Formulas and Identities for Fibonacci Numbers," Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 1-43, Fibonacci Quarterly:

Page 22: In Eq. (4.3), change $b=0$ to read $b \neq 0$.
In the reference on the last line, add parentheses around 12.
Page 36: In the first line of Eq. (5.23), change $c_{j-1}$ to read $c_{j-i}$. Page 38: In the second line, insert brackets around the reference.

In the first line following Eq. (6.3), change $i=1$ ) to $i=1,2$
Page 39: In the first line following Eq. (6.5), add brackets around reference. Page 40: In Eq. (6.15), change $P_{1}(m, n)$ to read $P_{1}(m,-n)$. D. Z. NO ${ }^{\star}{ }^{\star}{ }^{\star}{ }^{\star}{ }^{\star}$

George Ledin, Jr. has been appointed by The Fibonacci Association to collect and classify all existing Fibonacci Identities, Lucas Identities, and Hybrid Identities. We request that readers send copies of their private lists (with possible reference sources) to

George Ledin, Jr.
445 Monticello
San Francisco, Calif. 94127
for inclusion in the planned booklet.

Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr.,
Director

# EXTENDED COMPUTATIONS OF TERMINAL DIGIT COINCIDENCES 

D. A. LIND<br>University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia

In [1] Brother U. Alfred asked the following question: What Fibonacci numbers of index less than 10,000 have terminal digits coincident with the index? Recently in this Quarterly, Gerald R. Deily [2] gave an answer by directly computing these coincidences with the aid of a computer. We note that in Table III of Mr. Deily's article the digits "65" should be added to the last number in each of the lines 14 to 21. Here we extend these computations to indices less than 100,000. The results, given in the table below, were obtained on an IBM 1620 computer using a FORTRAN program logically similar to Mr. Deily's. As a point of observation, we note that all entries are of the form $480 \mathrm{n}+5$ or $480 \mathrm{n}-95$, with the four exceptions $60,001,61,249,62,501$, and 63,749 .

The author expresses his appreciation to the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and to the Applied Mathematics Laboratory of the Aerospace Research Laboratory for the use of the computer.

## TERMINAL DIGIT COINCIDENCES WITH INDEX <br> BETWEEN 10,000 AND 100,000

| 10945 | 18725 | 27745 | 35525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11045 | 19105 | 27845 | 35925 |
| 11425 | 19205 | 28225 | 36005 |
| 11525 | 20545 | 28325 | 37345 |
| 11905 | 20645 | 28705 | 37445 |
| 12005 | 21025 | 28805 | 37825 |
| 13345 | 21125 | 30145 | 37925 |
| 13445 | 21505 | 30245 | 38305 |
| 13825 | 21605 | 30625 | 38405 |
| 13925 | 22945 | 30725 | 39745 |
| 14305 | 23045 | 31105 | 39845 |
| 14405 | 23425 | 31205 | 40225 |
| 15745 | 23525 | 32545 | 40325 |
| 15845 | 23905 | 32645 | 40705 |
| 16225 | 24005 | 33025 | 40805 |
| 16325 | 25345 | 33125 | 42145 |
| 16705 | 25445 | 33505 | 42245 |
| 16805 | 25825 | 33605 | 42625 |
| 18145 | 25925 | 34945 | 42725 |
| 18245 | 26305 | 35045 | 43105 |
| 18625 | 26405 | 35425 | 43205 |
|  |  |  | 44545 |


| 44645 | 60001 | 74405 | 90725 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45025 | 60005 | 75745 | 91105 |
| 45125 | 61249 | 75845 | 91205 |
| 45505 | 61345 | 76225 | 92545 |
| 45605 | 61445 | 76325 | 92645 |
| 46945 | 61825 | 76805 | 93025 |
| 47045 | 61925 | 78145 | 93505 |
| 47425 | 62305 | 78245 | 93605 |
| 47525 | 62405 | 78625 | 94945 |
| 47905 | 62501 | 78725 | 95045 |
| 48005 | 63745 | 79105 | 95425 |
| 49345 | 63749 | 79205 | 95525 |
| 49445 | 63845 | 80545 | 95905 |
| 49825 | 64225 | 80645 | 96005 |
| 49925 | 64325 | 81025 | 97345 |
| 50305 | 64705 | 81125 | 97445 |
| 50405 | 64805 | 81505 | 97825 |
| 51745 | 66145 | 81605 | 97925 |
| 51845 | 66245 | 82945 | 98305 |
| 52225 | 66625 | 83045 | 98405 |
| 52325 | 66725 | 83425 | 99745 |
| 52705 | 67105 | 83525 | 99845 |
| 52805 | 67205 | 83905 |  |
| 54145 | 68545 | 84005 |  |
| 54245 | 68645 | 85345 |  |
| 54625 | 69025 | 85445 |  |
| 54725 | 69125 | 85825 |  |
| 55105 | 69505 | 85925 |  |
| 55205 | 69605 | 86305 |  |
| 56545 | 70945 | 86405 |  |
| 56645 | 71045 | 87745 |  |
| 57025 | 71425 | 87845 |  |
| 57125 | 71525 | 88225 |  |
| 57505 | 72005 | 88705 |  |
| 57605 | 73345 | 90145 |  |
| 58945 | 73825 | 90625 |  |
| 59045 | 74305 |  |  |
| 59425 |  |  |  |
| 59525 | 59905 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## REFERENCES
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# PYTHAGOREAN TRIANGLES AND RELATED CONCEPTS 

H. B. HENNING<br>Raytheon Company, Space and Information Systems Division, Sudbury, Mass.

## INTRODUCTION

The familiar Pythagorean theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(a, b)=$ length of two sides of a right triangle c = length of the hypotenuse
has an infinite number of integer solutions, e.g.

| a | 3 | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | 4 | 12 | 15 | 24 |
| c | 5 | 13 | 17 | 25 |

as Diophantus of Alexandria first demonstrated and tabulated in the third century [1]. Many of his tabulated entries, however, produce right triangles which differ only in scale, representing redundant or reducible solutions. This paper presents a method for generating only irreducible-integer ("fundamental") solutions and studies some of their common properties:

1. The hypotenuse length is always an odd number.
2. One side is always odd, one side always even.
3. The even length is always divisible by four.
4. Hypotenuse $\pm$ even side is always a perfect square. Hypotenuse $\pm$ odd side is always twice a perfect square.
5. Taking $m$ and $n$ as any distinctly odd and even numbers with no common factor (m $n$ ), the complex quantity,

$$
(m+j n)^{2}=\text { (one leg) }+j \text { (other leg) }
$$

and its modulus

$$
|\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{jn}|^{2}=\text { (hypotenuse) }
$$

always generate a fundamental triangle and conversely. Equivalently, the acute angles always correspond to

$$
\text { arg. }(m+j n)^{2}=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)=2 \tan ^{-1} p
$$

and its complement: $\mathrm{p}=$ twice or half of some rational number $<1$.
6. Any line segment of length $(2 \mathrm{k}+1)$ or $4 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \cdots$, constitutes a leg of at least one fundamental triangle; more in many cases.
7. The necessarily non-integer nature of solutions to $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$, $\mathrm{n}>2$, (Fermat's Last Theorem) can be proved for $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}=1$, $2,3, \cdots$.
8. In a rectangular parallelopiped of integer dimensions and integer length diagonal, two of the dimensions must be even while the third dimension and the diagonal itself must be odd.

## GENERATION OF FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS

One method for generating fundamental solutions rewrites (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\sqrt{(c+a)(c-a)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

suggesting the special case: $(c+a)=m^{2},(c-a)=n^{2}$. More generally we might set $(c+a)=r_{1} m^{2},(c-a)=r_{2} n^{2}$, where neither integer $r$ contains any repeated factors. A necessary condition for an integer solution then is $r_{1}=r_{2}$ or equivalently, $a=r\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right) / 2, \quad b=r m n, \quad c=r\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right) / 2$.

Substitutions $r^{\prime}=(r / 2), \quad m^{\prime}=(m+n)$ and $n^{\prime}=(m-n)$ will yield equivalent expressions, except for (trivially) interchanging the roles of a and b. This equivalence helps to explain why choices of $m$ (or $m^{\prime}$ ) and $n$ (or $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ ) as
(i) both odd numbers
(ii) both even numbers
(iii) one odd and one even
are all redundant [2]. We will choose (iii) for the most compact expressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{n}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~b}=2 \mathrm{mn} \quad \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{n}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to further condition that $m$ and $n$ possess no common factors. None of the $b$-factors can then divide evenly into either a or $c$; the solution is irreducible. Appropriate choices of $m$ and $n$ will thus generate all fundamental solutions.

## INITIAL PROPERTIES OF FUNDAMENTAL TRIANGLES

Properties 1 through 5 follow directly from Equation (3) and condition (iii). Thus,

Property $1 \quad \mathrm{c}=$ hypotenuse $=\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{n}^{2}=($ odd $)+($ even $)=$ odd

Property $2 \quad \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{n}^{2}=$ (odd)

Property 2 and $3 \quad b=2 m n=2$ (even) $=4 \frac{\mathrm{mn}}{2} \quad=4$ (integer)

Property $4 \quad \mathrm{c} \pm \mathrm{b}=(\mathrm{m} \pm \mathrm{n})^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{c} \pm \mathrm{a}=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} ; 2 \mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$

Property $5(m+j n)^{2}=\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)+j 2 m n=a+j b$

FUNDAMENTAL TRIANGLES WITH A COMMON SIDE

In Property 6, a chosen value of

$$
\mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{mn}=4 \mathrm{k}((\mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \cdots)
$$

will provide one or more permissible combinations of $m$ and $n$, leading to as many fundamental triangles with the same even side. Resolution of $a=m^{2}-$ $n^{2}$ into any distinct odd factors $(m+n)(m-n)$ will likewise provide choices of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=\frac{(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n})^{2}+(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n})^{2}}{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{(m+n)^{2}-(m-n)^{2}}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding fundamental triangles with the same odd side.
Regarding the number of such triangles, we may express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{mn}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2}=2^{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \stackrel{\mathrm{r}_{1} \alpha_{11}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \mathrm{r}_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdot{\mathrm{r}_{3}^{\alpha_{B}}}_{\cdots}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $r_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}$ represent distinct odd prime factors raised to an integer power. Since $m$ and $n$ contain no common multiple, $r_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ can be associated with either $m$ or $n$ but not both (e. $\mathrm{g}_{\circ}, \alpha_{i}=5, m \sim r_{i}^{2}, n \sim r_{i}^{3}$ is forbidden), giving two possible choices. The $N+1$ factors (counting $2^{x}$ ) will likewise give $2^{\mathrm{N}+1}$ possible ways of expressing $m$ and $n$, except that $m$ mustalways identify as the larger of the two. Half of these ways, however, have simply exchanged the roles of $m$ and $n$ with the other half. We therefore obtain $2^{N+1} / 2$ $=2^{\mathrm{N}}$ permissible paris of m and n , and $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ fundamental triangles with the same even-length side.

Again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=S_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdot S_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \cdots S_{N}^{\beta_{N}}=C \cdot D \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $S_{i}$ are odd, prime factors. We can similarly associate each $S_{i}$ with either C (odd) or D (odd) in a total of $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ different ways. Should we specify $C=(m+n)$ as the larger and $D=(m-n)$ as the smaller, the number of distinct possibilities reduces to $\left(2^{N}\right) / 2=2^{N-1} \quad[3]$ and indicates as many fundamental triangles with the same odd side. Since a-values (odd numbers) occur twice as frequently as b-values (multiples of 4) in an ordered sequence of integers, they may quite reasonably exhibit only half the potency of $b$-values in generating fundamental triangles.

The $a-$ and $b$-schemes in fact provide two means for ordered tabulations, viz:

| b | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mn | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 30 |  |
| m | 2 | 4 | $6 \underset{1}{1}$ | 8 | $10: 5$ | $30: 15: 10,6$ |  |
| n | 1 | 1 | $1_{1}^{1} 2$ | 1 | 11 <br> 1 | $1: 3: 3) 5$ |  |
| a | 3 | 15 | 3515 | 63 | $99: 21$ | $899: 221: 91: 11$ |  |
| c | 5 | 17 | $37: 13$ | 65 | 101 :29 | 901 229 , 109 , 61 |  |

Table I. Illustration of b-Scheme of Tabulation

| a | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |  | 15 |  | 105 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{n}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 105 | 35 | 21 | 15 |  |
| $\mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{n}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| b | 4 | 12 | 24 | 40 | 60 | 84 | 112 | 8 |  | 5512 | 608 | 208 | 88 |
| c | 5 | 13 | 25 | 41 | 61 | 85 | 113 | 17 |  | 5513 | 617 | 233 | 137 |

Table II. Illustration of a-Scheme of Tabulation
These tables help to illustrate the self-evident conclusions:

1) For any specified even side, there is always a fundamental triangle whose hypotenuse and odd side differ by 2 (corresponds to $\mathrm{n}=1$ ).
2) For any specified odd side there is always a fundamental triangle whose hypotenuse and even side differ by 1 (corresponds to $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}$ $=1$ ).

## FERMAT'S THEOREM

The preceding analysis has applied the identity $\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 m n)^{2}=$ $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ which might be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{4}+\mathrm{e}^{4}=\mathrm{f}^{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\sqrt{m^{2}-n^{2}}, \quad e=\sqrt{2 m n}$ and $f=\sqrt{m^{2}+n^{2}}$ corresponds to line segments as in Fig. 1.


Figure 1. Graphic Constructions Expressing $d^{4}+e^{4}=f^{4}$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\sqrt{\mathrm{f}^{2}-2 \mathrm{n}^{2}}=\sqrt{(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{n} \sqrt{2})(\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{n} \sqrt{2})} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

cannot assume integer values unless f contains a factor of $\sqrt{2}$, i. e., (9) has no integer solutions. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right)^{4 \mathrm{k}}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right)^{4 \mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\right)^{4 \mathrm{k}} ; \quad \mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

finds no integer solutions since we may set $d^{\prime}=\sqrt[k]{d}, \quad e^{\prime}=\sqrt[k]{e}$ and $f^{\prime}=$ $\sqrt[k]{\mathrm{f}}$.

## RECTANGULAR PARALLELOPIPEDS

Some of these results apply directly to integer-sided rectangular parallelopipeds; we shall refer to Fig. 2. According to this figure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+b^{2}=d^{2}-c^{2}=(d+c)(d-c) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Diagonally Cut Half of Rectangular Parallelopiped

Suggesting that $d+c-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / r$ and $d-c=r=$ some factor of $a^{2}+b^{2}$, Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 d-r) r=(2 c+r) r=a^{2}+b^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where non-fractional values of $c$ impose the condition

$$
r_{\max } \leq \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}+1}-1
$$

Even values of $r$ imply even values of $a$ and $b$, while odd $r$ demand mixed odd/even values for $a$ and $b$. At least one dimension in any pair of dimensions must therefore be even; i. e. , two of the three dimensions must be even. The third dimension must be odd (to prevent reducibility) while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2}=\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}=(\text { even })^{2}+(\text { even })^{2}+(\text { odd })^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

further requires an odd-length diagonal.
One particular scheme for generating parallelopipeds might thus begin by choosing the odd-length dimension and one of the even ones; call them and $b$. Evaluate $a^{2}+b^{2}$ (now always odd) and determine the upper bound on $r_{\text {max }}$. Below this bound, suitable choices of $r$ must qualify as factors of $a^{2}$ $+\mathrm{b}^{2}$ and are now, likewise, always odd [4]. These choices give values of c and d via Eq. (13) and suggest the following tabulation.

| Quantity | Comments | Sample Solutions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | One Odd, | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |  |
|  | One Even | 2 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| b |  | Always Odd | 5 | 65 | 85 | 125 |
| $\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}$ |  | 1 | 7 | 8 | 10 |  |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\text {max }} \leq$ |  | Always Odd | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| r | $=\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}\right) / 2 \mathrm{r}$ | 2 | 4 | 32 | 6 | 42 |
| c | $=\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}+\mathrm{r}^{2}\right) / 2 \mathrm{r}$ | 3 | 9 | 33 | 11 | 43 |
| d | 15 | 63 |  |  |  |  |

Table III. Scheme and Solutions for Fundamental Rectangular Parallelopipeds

## CONCLUSION

Properties 2, 3, and 8 are the most useful since they lead directly to the generation and tabulation of fundamental solutions. The remaining properties have no such direct application but may represent areas of further study.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY AND NOTES

1. G. Gamow, One, Two, Three •• Infinity, Viking Press, New York, 1958, p. 30 , presents these solutions as $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{r}+\sqrt{2 \mathrm{rs}}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}+\sqrt{2 \mathrm{rs}}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{r}+$ $\mathrm{s}+\sqrt{2 \mathrm{rs}}$.
2. Choice (i) requires $r=1$ for a fundamental solution. Choice (ii), in prime notation, requires $r^{\prime}=1 / 2$ and contradicts the assumption of integral $r$. Expressed in terms of unprimed quantities moreover it becomes indistinguishable from (i). Choice (iii) similarly requires $r=2$. In terms of primed quantities, it also reduces to the form of (i).
3. The original $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ ways can be grouped into pairs, indistinguishable except that one chooses $C$ as the larger while the other chooses $C$ as the smaller of the two factors. Specifying $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{D}$ validates only one member from each pair, having the $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ original possibilities.
4. An alternative scheme might have started with both even-length dimensions, allowing both odd and even values for $r$.

# ACHIEVING THE "GOLDEN RATIO" By Grouping the "Elementary" particles 

J. WLODARSKI<br>Porz-Westhoven, Federal Republic of Germany

"The mystery presented by the multiplicity of 'elementary' particles seems to be rapidly reaching a climax and perhaps even a solution. The idea is gaining ground that all the known particles can be grouped into a few large families and that within each of these 'supermultiplets' all the particles can be regarded as the mathematical equivalents of one another. "

This has recently been published in a scientific magazine [1].
As a matter of fact, supermultiplets of 35 or 56 members can accommodate most of the well-established particles.

A 35 -member family can be formed by grouping 17 of the known mesons that have negative parity. Eight of these particles: the pion ( $\pi$ ) triplet, the kaon ( $\kappa$ ) quartet and the eta ( $\eta$ ) singlet have a spin of zero, and therefore only one spin state each (0).

The following nine mesons: the rho $(\rho)$ triplet, the phi $(\varphi)$ singlet, the omega $(\omega)$ singlet and another kaon quartet have a spin of one, or three spin states each $(-1,0+1)$.

The total is $8 \times 1+9 \times 3=\underline{35}$ spin states.
A 56-member family consists of the known 56 baryons or 56 antibaryons. They have positive parity. Eight of these particles: the proton-neutron (or nucleon) doublet, the lambda ( $\Lambda$ ) singlet, the sigma ( $\Sigma$ ) triplet and the xi ( $\Xi$ ) doublet have a spin of $1 / 2$, and therefore two spin suates each (+1/2, $-1 / 2$ ).

The following ten particles: the delta $(\Delta)$ quartet, another sigma triplet, another xi doublet and the omega ( $\Omega$ ) singlet have a spin of $3 / 2$, or four spin states each $(+3 / 2,+1 / 2,-1 / 2,-3 / 2)$.

The total is $8 \times 2+10 \times 4=\underline{56}$ spin states.
It has already been reported that as well as in the world of plants, some ratios in the world of atoms yield approximately the value of the "golden ratio" [2,3].

Now it has turned out that by grouping the "elementary" particles, the ratio of two "magic" numbers - spin states of the "elementary" particles also yields a near value of the "golden ratio."

As a matter of fact, the ratio of $35 / 56$ is 0.625 and differs from the "golden ratio" value by 0.007 only.

## REFERENCES

1. "Extended Symmetry," Scientific American, Vol. 212 (March 1965), pp. 52-54.
2. J. Wlodarski, "The 'Golden Ratio' and the Fibonacci Numbers in the World of Atoms," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 1, Number 4 (1963), pp. 61-63.
3. J. Wlodarski, "The Fibonacci Numbers and the 'Magic' Numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 3, Number 3 (1965), p. 208.

## NOTICE TO ALL SUBSCRIBERS! ! !

Please notify the Managing Editor AT ONCE of any address change. The Post Office Department, rather than forwarding magazines mailed third class, sends them directly to the dead-letter office. Unless the addressee specifically requests the Fibonacci Quarterly to be forwarded at first class rates to the new address, he will not receive it. (This will usually cost about 30 cents for firstclass postage.) If possible, please notify us AT LEAST THREE WEEKS PRIOR to publication dates: February 15, April 15, October 15, and December 15.

## CORRECTION

On "Relations Involving Lattice Paths and Certain Sequences of Integers," Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 81-86, Fibonacci Quarterly, please add the following: "Work on this paper was supported in part by the Coordinating Board of the Texas College and University System. "

Also, please change the author's name on p. 81 from David to Douglas.

## SOME RABBIT PRODUCTION RESULTS INVOLVING FIBONACCI NUMBERS

KATHLEEN WELAND
University of Santa Clara, Santa Clara, California
Let us consider a pair of rabbits born in the 0 -th month which produce $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ offspring pairs when they are one month old, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ offspring pairs when they are two months old and so on. The sequence of numbers

$$
B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, \ldots B_{n}, \ldots
$$

is called the birth sequence, and let its generating function be

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}},
$$

where $B_{0}=0$.

Suppose each pair of offspring also produces $B_{n}$ offspring pairs when it is $n$ months old. Let the number of new arrivals at the $n$-th month be $R n$, and let

$$
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n} x^{n}
$$

where $R_{0}=1$. Let the total number of rabbits alive at the end of the $n$-th month be $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and let

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n} x^{n}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{0}=1$. We will assume that there are no deaths.

[^0]It has been shown (see [1], [2]) that

$$
R(x)=\frac{1}{1-B(x)}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{(1-\mathrm{x})(1-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x}))}
$$

The purpose of this paper is to show some particular cases in which there are interesting relationships between $B(x), R(x)$, and $T(x)$.

When

$$
B(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n+2},
$$

then

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1} x^{n}
$$

When

$$
B(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2 n-1) x^{n}
$$

then

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1}^{2} x^{n}
$$

When

$$
B(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(6 C_{n-1}+1\right) x^{n}
$$

where the $C_{n}$ are terms of the Pell sequence defined by $C_{0}=0, C_{1}=1$, $C_{n+2}=2 C_{n+1}+C_{n}$, then

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1}^{3} x^{n}
$$

It is conjectured that when

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1}^{p} x^{n}
$$

the corresponding $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})$ will have $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ for all n . This has been demonstrated for $\mathrm{p} \leq 7$.

Hoggatt showed in [1], section 4, that when
(1)

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}+1^{\mathrm{x}}-(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{2}}^{1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{x}},}{},
$$

then

$$
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{k n+1} x^{n},
$$

and similarly when

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x)=\frac{F_{k-1}^{x-(-1)^{k} x^{2}}}{1-F_{k+1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{k n-1} x^{n} .
$$

By merely changing the sign of the second term of the numerator of equations (1) and (2), we obtain the following results, which depend on the parity of $k$. When

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}+1^{\mathrm{x}+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{2}}}^{1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{x}},}{}
$$

then for k odd we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R(x)=1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[U_{n+1}\left(L_{k} / 2\right)-F_{k-1} U_{n} L_{k} / 2\right)\right] x^{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $U_{n}(x)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind defined by $\mathrm{U}_{0}(\mathrm{x})=0, \quad \mathrm{U}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=1, \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x})=2 \mathrm{xU}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$.

For k even we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f_{n+1}\left(L_{k}\right)-F_{k-1} f_{n}\left(L_{k}\right)\right] x^{n}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $f_{n}(x)$ are the Fibonacci polynomials defined by $f_{0}=0, f_{1}=1$, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{xf}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$. Similarly when

$$
B(x)=\frac{F_{k-1} \mathrm{x}+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} 2^{2}}}{1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}+1^{\mathrm{x}}}}
$$

then for k odd we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[U_{n+1}\left(L_{k} / 2\right)-F_{k+1} U_{n}\left(L_{k} / 2\right)\right] x^{n+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $k$ even we find
(6)

$$
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f_{n+1}\left(L_{k}\right)-F_{k+1} f_{n}\left(L_{k}\right)\right] x^{n},
$$

where $U_{n}(x)$ and $f_{n}(x)$ are defined above.

Two other possibilities occur when $L_{k}$ is substituted for $F_{k}$ in equations (1) and (2). When

$$
B(x)=\frac{L_{k+1} x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}}{1-L_{k-1}^{x}}
$$

then for k odd

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[U_{n+1}\left(5 / 2 F_{k}\right)-L_{k-1} U_{n}\left(5 / 2 F_{k}\right)\right] x^{n+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k$ even,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f_{n+1}\left(5 F_{k}\right)-L_{k-1} f_{n}\left(5 F_{k}\right)\right] x^{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, when

$$
B(x)=\frac{L_{k-1}^{x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}}}{1-L_{k+1}^{x}}
$$

then for k odd,

$$
\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x})=1+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty}\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\left(5 / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(5 / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

and for $k$ even,

$$
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f_{n+1}\left(5 F_{k}\right)-L_{k+1} f_{n}\left(5 F_{k}\right)\right] x^{n} .
$$

Note that equations (7) through (10) are the Lucas duals to equations (3) through (6).
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# ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS 

Edited By
A. P. HILLMAN

University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Send all communications regarding Elementary Problems and Solutions to Professor A. P. Hillman, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106. Each problem or solution should be submitted in legible form, preferably typed in double spacing, on a separate sheet or sheets, in the format used below. Solutions should be received within three months of the publication date.

B-112 Proposed by Gerald Edgar, Boulder, Colorado

Let $f_{n}$ be the generalized Fibonacci sequence $(a, b)$, i. e., $f_{1}=a$, $f_{2}=b$, and $f_{n+1}=f_{n}+f_{n-1}$. Let $g_{n}$ be the associated generalized Lucas sequence defined by $g_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n+1}$. Prove that $f_{n} g_{n}=b f_{2 n-1}+a f_{2 n-2}$.

B-113 Proposed by Douglas Lind, Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.

Let ( x ) denote the fractional part of x , so that if [ x ] is the greatest integer in $x,(x)=x-[x]$. Let $a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and let $A$ be the set $\{(a)$, $\left(a^{2}\right),\left(a^{3}\right), \ldots$. Find all the cluster points of $A$.

B-114 Proposed by Gloria C. Padilla, Univ. of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Solve the division alphametic

$$
\frac{\text { PISA }}{} \frac{\text { FIB }}{\text { ONACCI }}
$$

where each letter is one of the digits $1,2, \ldots, 9$ and two letters may represent the same digit. (This is suggested by Maxey Brooke's B-80.)

B-115 Proposed by H. H. Ferns, Victoria, B.C., Canada

From the formulas of B-106:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 F_{i+j}=F_{i} L_{j}+F_{j} L_{i} \\
& 2 L_{i+j}=5 F_{i} F_{j}+L_{i} L_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{3 \mathrm{n}}=\left(5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3}+3 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right) / 4 \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\left(5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right) / 2 \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{3 \mathrm{n}}=\left(15 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3}\right) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Find and prove the general formulas of these types.

B-116 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, N. Carolina

Find a compact sum for the series

$$
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} F_{2 m-2 n^{x}} x^{m} y^{n}
$$

B-117 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, N. Carolina

Find a compact sum for the series

$$
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} F_{2 m-2 n+1} x^{m} y^{n}
$$

## TERMS OF A DETERMINANT

B-94 Proposed by Clyde A. Bridger, Springfield Jr. College, Springfield, III.

Show that the number $N_{n}$ of non-zero terms in the expansion of

$$
K_{\mathrm{n}}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & a_{2} & b_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & a_{3} & b_{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & & & & & & & & \\
\cdots & & & & & & & \\
\cdots & & & & & & & b_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & a_{n-1} & b_{n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & a_{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is obtained by replacing each $a_{i}$ and each $b_{i}$ by 1 and evaluating $K_{n}$. Show further that $N_{n}=F_{n+1}$, the $(n+1)$ st Fibonacci number.

Solution by F. D. Parker, St. Lawrence University, Canton, N.Y.

Expanding by the last column, we have $K_{n}=a_{n} K_{n-1}+b_{n-1} K_{n-2}$. Hence, if $N_{n}$ is the number of non-zero terms in the expansion, we have $N_{n}=N_{n-1}$ $+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}-2}$. But $\mathrm{N}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=2$, so that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$.

Also solved by M.N.S. Swamy and the proposer.

B-95 Proposed by Brother U. Alfred, St. Mary's College, California.

What is the highest power of 2 that exactly divides

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{~F}_{100} ?
$$

## Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California.

For $\mathrm{n} \geq 3, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is divisible by $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ if k is of the form $2^{\mathrm{n}-2} \cdot 3(1+2 \mathrm{~m})$, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is divisible by 2 but by no higher power of 2. Hence, the highest power of 2 that exactly divides $\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{~F}_{100}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(100-3(/ 6+1]+3[(100+} & +6(/ 12]+4[112 / 24]+5[124 / 48] \\
& +6[148 / 96]+7[196 / 192] \text { or } 80 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual, $[\mathrm{x}]$ indicates the largest integer in x .

Also solved by Sidney Kravitz, Dewey C. Duncan, and the proposer.

Editorial note: The results in the above solution indicate that the answer may also be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[100 / 3] } & +2[100 / 6]+[100 / 12]+[100 / 24]+[100 / 48] \\
& +[100 / 96]=33+32+8+4+2+1=80
\end{aligned}
$$

## LIMITED PARTITIONS

B-96 Proposed by Phil Mana, Univ, of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mex.
Let $G_{n}$ be the number of ways of expressing the positive integer $n$ as an ordered sum $a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{S}$ with each $a_{i}$ in the set $1,2,3$. (For example, $\mathrm{G}_{3}=4$ since 3 has just the expressions $3,2+1,1+2,1+1+1$.) Find and prove the lowest order linear homogeneous recursion relation satisfied by the $G_{n}$.

Solution by the proposer.

Removing the forst term $a_{1}$ (which is 1,2 , or 3 ) from all allowable sums for an $n>3$ gives all allowable sums for $n-1, n-2$, and $n-3$ in unique fashion. Hence $G_{n}=G_{n-1}+G_{n-2}+G_{n-3}$ for $n>3$. There is no lower order linear homogeneous recursion relation for the $G_{n}$ since

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{G}_{1} & \mathrm{G}_{2} & \mathrm{G}_{3} \\
\mathrm{G}_{2} & \mathrm{G}_{3} & \mathrm{G}_{4} \\
\mathrm{G}_{3} & \mathrm{G}_{4} & \mathrm{G}_{5}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 7 \\
4 & 7 & 13
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

## DENSITY OF THE FIBONACCI NUMBERS

B-97 Proposed by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.

Let $A=\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of numbers and let $A(n)$ denote the number of terms of $A$ not greater than $n$. The Schnirelmann density of $A$ is defined as the greatest lower bound of the ratios $A(n) / n$ for $n=1,2$, ... . Show that the Fibonacci sequence has density zero.

Solution by the proposer.

Let $\mathrm{a}=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, and $\mathrm{F}=\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty}$ be the Fibonacci sequence. It is easy to show by indiction that $a^{n-2}<F_{n}$ for $n>0$, so that $F(n)<\log _{a}(n+2)$. Then since $0 \leq F(n) / n$,

$$
0 \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(n)}{n} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{a}(n+2)}{n}=0
$$

so that the density of $F$ is 0 .

Also solved by C.B.A. Peck.

B-98 Proposed by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.

Let $F_{n}$ be the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number and find a compact expression for the sum

$$
S_{n}(x)=F_{1} x^{2}+F_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+F_{n} x^{n}
$$

Solution by Gloria C. Padilla, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, N.M.

One easily sees that

$$
\left(x^{2}+x-1\right) S_{n}(x)=-x+\left(F_{n-1}+F_{n}\right) x^{n+1}+F_{n} x^{n+2}
$$

Hence

$$
S_{n}(x)=\left(-x+F_{n+1} x^{n+1}+F_{n} x^{n+2}\right) /\left(x^{2}+x-1\right)
$$

Also solved by L. Carlitz, Dewey C. Duncan, F. D. Parker, M. N. S. Swamy, Howard L. Walton, David Zeitlin (who pointed out that the result is a special case of formula (5) of his paper "On summation formula for Fibonacci and Lucas numbers" this Quarterly, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1964, p. 105), and the proposer. COMPACT INFINITE SUM

B-99 Proposed by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.

$$
T(x)=S_{1}(x)+\frac{S_{2}(x)}{2!}+\frac{S_{2}(x)}{3!}+\ldots
$$

where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ is as defined in B-98.

Solution by David Zeitlin, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

From B-98, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-x-x^{2}\right) T(x)= & -x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{n} x^{n}}{n!} \\
& -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1) F_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}+e x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let a and b be the roots of

$$
x^{2}-x-1=0
$$

Then

$$
\frac{e^{a x}-e^{b x}}{a-b}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{n} x^{n}}{n!}
$$

and

$$
\frac{x\left(a e^{a x}-b e^{b x}\right)}{a-b}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1) F_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(1-x-x^{2}\right) T(x)=-x^{2}\left(\frac{e^{a x}-e^{b x}}{a-b}\right)-x\left(\frac{e^{a x}-b e^{b x}}{a-b}\right)+e x
$$

Also solved by L. Carlitz, Dewey C. Duncan, M.N.S. Swamy, and the proposer.
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