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Consider the infinite product

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x) & =\prod_{k \geq 2}\left(1-x^{F_{k}}\right)=(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-x^{5}\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right) \ldots \\
& =1-x-x^{2}+x^{4}+x^{7}-x^{8}+x^{11}-x^{12}-x^{13}+x^{14}+x^{18}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

regarded as a formal power series. In [4], N. Robbins proved that the coefficients of $A(x)$ are all equal to $-1,0$ or 1 . We shall give a short proof of this fact, and a very simple recursive description of the coefficients of $A(x)$.

Following the notation of [4], let $a(m)$ be the coefficient of $x^{m}$ in $A(x)$. It is clear that $a(m)=r_{E}(m)-r_{O}(m)$, where $r_{E}(m)$ is equal to the number of partitions of $m$ into an even number of distinct positive Fibonacci numbers, and $r_{O}(m)$ is equal to the number of $m$ into an odd number of distinct positive Fibonacci numbers. We call these partitions "even" and "odd" respectively.
Proposition 1: Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Consider the coefficients $a(m)$ for $m$ in the interval $\left[F_{n}, F_{n+1}\right)$. Split this interval into the three subintervals $\left[F_{n}, F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2\right],\left[F_{n}+F_{n-3}-\right.$ $\left.1, F_{n}+F_{n-2}-1\right]$ and $\left[F_{n}+F_{n-2}, F_{n+1}-1\right]$.

1. The numbers $a\left(F_{n}\right), a\left(F_{n}+1\right), \ldots, a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2\right)$ are equal to the numbers $(-1)^{n-1} a\left(F_{n-3}-2\right),(-1)^{n-1} a\left(F_{n-3}-3\right), \ldots,(-1)^{n-1} a(0)$ in that order.
2. The numbers $a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-3}-1\right), a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-3}\right), \ldots, a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-2}-1\right)$ are equal to 0 .
3. The numbers $a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-2}\right), a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-2}+1\right), \ldots, a\left(F_{n+1}-1\right)$ are equal to the numbers $a(0), a(1), \ldots, a\left(F_{n-3}-1\right)$ in that order.
This description gives a very fast method for computing the coefficients $a(m)$ recursively. Once we have computed them for $0 \leq m<F_{n}$ we can immediately compute them for $F_{n} \leq$ $m<F_{n+1}$ using Proposition 1.

Also, since the coefficient of $x^{m}$ in $A(x)$ is equal to $-1,0$ or 1 for all non-negative integers $m<F_{5}$, it follows inductively that the coefficients in each interval $\left[F_{n}, F_{n+1}\right)$ are also all equal to $-1,0$ or 1 . This will prove Robbins's result.
Proof of Proposition 1: It will be convenient to prove Proposition 1.2 first. Let $F_{n}+$ $F_{n-3}-1 \leq m \leq F_{n}+F_{n-2}-1$, and consider the partitions of $m$ into distinct positive Fibonacci numbers. It is clear that the largest part in such a partition cannot be $F_{n+1}$ or larger. It cannot be $F_{n-2}$ or smaller either, because $F_{n-2}+F_{n-3}+\cdots+F_{2}=F_{n}-2<m$. Therefore, it must be $F_{n}$ or $F_{n-1}$.

If the largest part is $F_{n}$, then the second largest part cannot be $F_{n-1}$ or $F_{n-2}$. If, on the other hand, it is $F_{n-1}$, then the second largest part must be $F_{n-2}$, because $F_{n-1}+F_{n-3}+$ $F_{n-4}+\cdots+F_{2}=2 F_{n-1}-2=F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2<m$.

This means that we can split the set of partitions into pairs. Each pair consists of two partitions of the form $F_{n}+F_{a}+F_{b}+\cdots$ and $F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}+F_{a}+F_{b}+\cdots$, where $n-3 \geq a>b>$
$\cdots$. In each pair, one of the partitions is even and the other is odd. Therefore $r_{E}(m)=r_{O}(m)$ and $a(m)=0$ as claimed.

Now we use a similar analysis to prove Proposition 1.3. Let $F_{n}+F_{n-2} \leq m \leq F_{n+1}-1$. As before, the largest part of a partition of $m$ must be $F_{n}$ or $F_{n-1}$. If it is $F_{n}$, the second largest part cannot be $F_{n-1}$. If, on the other hand, it is $F_{n-1}$, then the second largest part must be $F_{n-2}$.

Again, we can split a subset of the set of partitions into pairs. Each pair consists of two partitions of the form $F_{n}+F_{a}+F_{b}+\ldots$ and $F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}+F_{a}+F_{b}+\ldots$, where $n-3 \geq a>b>\ldots$. In each pair there is an even and an odd partition.

The remaining partitions are of the form $F_{n}+F_{n-2}+F_{a}+F_{b}+\ldots$, where $n-3 \geq a>$ $b>\ldots$. To each one of these partitions we can assign a partition of $m^{\prime}=m-F_{n}-F_{n-2}$, by just removing the parts $F_{n}$ and $F_{n-2}$. This is in fact a bijection. Since $m^{\prime}<F_{n-2}$, any partition of $m^{\prime}$ has largest part less than or equal to $F_{n-3}$; therefore it can be obtained in that way from a partition of $m$.

It is clear that, under this bijection, odd partitions of $m$ go to odd partitions of $m^{\prime}$ and even partitions of $m$ go to even partitions of $m^{\prime}$. It follows that $a(m)=a\left(m-F_{n}-F_{n-2}\right)$, as claimed.

Finally we prove Proposition 1.1. Consider $F_{n} \leq m \leq F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2$. The parts of a partition of $m$ come from the list $F_{2}, F_{3}, \ldots, F_{n}$. To each partition $\pi$ of $m$, assign the partition $\pi^{\prime}$ of $m^{\prime}=F_{n+2}-2-m$ consisting of all the numbers on the above list that do not appear in $\pi$. Any partition of $m^{\prime}$ can be obtained in such a way from a partition of $m$ : the partitions of $m^{\prime}$ also have all their parts less than or equal to $F_{n}$, because it is easily seen that $m^{\prime}<F_{n+1}$.

So the partitions of $m$ are in bijection with the partitions of $m^{\prime}$. If a partition $\pi$ of $m$ has $k$ parts, the corresponding partition $\pi^{\prime}$ of $m^{\prime}$ has $n-1-k$ parts. Therefore, if $n$ is odd, the bijection takes odd partitions to odd partitions and even partitions to even partitions, and $a(m)=a\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. If $n$ is even, the bijection takes odd partitions to even partitions, and even partitions to odd partitions, and $a(m)=-a\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. In any case, $a(m)=(-1)^{n-1} a\left(m^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, it is easily seen that $F_{n}+F_{n-2} \leq m^{\prime} \leq F_{n+1}-2$. Therefore Proposition 1.3 applies, and $a\left(m^{\prime}\right)=a\left(m^{\prime}-F_{n}-F_{n-2}\right)=a\left(F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2-m\right)$. Hence $a(m)=(-1)^{n-1} a\left(F_{n}+\right.$ $\left.F_{n-3}-2-m\right)$, which is what we wanted to show.
Proposition 2: Given an integer $n$, pick an integer $m$ uniformly at random from the interval $[0, n]$. Let $p_{n}$ be the probability that $a(m)=0$ or, equivalently, that $r_{E}(m)=r_{O}(m)$.

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}=1$.
Proof: Let $\alpha_{n}$ be the number of non-zero coefficients among the first $F_{n}$ coefficients $a(0), a(1), \ldots, a\left(F_{n}-1\right)$, so that $p_{\left(F_{n}-1\right)}=1-\alpha_{n} / F_{n}$. Notice that for $F_{n-1} \leq m<F_{n}$ there are at most $\alpha_{n}$ non-zero coefficients among $a(0), a(1), \ldots, a(m)$, so $p_{m} \geq 1-\alpha_{n} /(m+1)>$ $1-2 \alpha_{n} / F_{n}$. We shall now prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} / F_{n}=0$, from which Proposition 2 follows.

First we obtain a recurrence relation for $\alpha_{n}$. Consider the non-zero coefficients $a(m)$ for $F_{n} \leq m \leq F_{n+1}-1$. We know that there are $\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}$ such coefficients. Now split the interval $\left[F_{n}, F_{n+1}-1\right]$ into the three subintervals $\left[F_{n}, F_{n}+F_{n-3}-2\right],\left[F_{n}+F_{n-3}-1, F_{n}+F_{n-2}-1\right]$ and $\left[F_{n}+F_{n-2}, F_{n+1}-1\right]$. Proposition 1.2 shows that there are no non-zero coefficients in the second subinterval, and Proposition 1.3 shows that there are $\alpha_{n-3}$ non-zero coefficients in the third subinterval. Because $a\left(F_{n-3}-1\right)$ is non-zero for all $n \geq 5$ (this follows inductively from Proposition 1.3), Proposition 1.1 shows that there are $\alpha_{n-3}-1$ non-zero coefficients in the first subinterval. We conclude that $\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}=2 \alpha_{n-3}-1$.

The characteristic polynomial of this recurrence relation is $x^{4}-x^{3}-2=0$, and its roots are approximately $r_{1} \approx 1.54, r_{2}=-1, r_{3} \approx 0.23+1.12 i$ and $r_{4} \approx 0.23-1.12 i$. It follows from standard results on linear recurrences that $\alpha_{n}=O\left(r_{1}^{n}\right)$, while $F_{n}=\Theta\left(\lambda^{n}\right)$, where $\lambda=(\sqrt{5}+1) / 2 \approx 1.62$. Since $r_{1}<\lambda$, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} / F_{n} \equiv 0$.
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