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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], Melham considered sequences $\left\{U_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{V_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
U_{n}=p U_{n-1}-U_{n-2}, & U_{0}=0, & U_{1}=1, \\
V_{n}=p V_{n-1}-V_{n-2}, & V_{0}=2, & V_{1}=p,
\end{array}
$$

where $p \geq 2$. If $p=2$, then $U_{n}=n$ and $V_{n}=2$ for all $n \geq 0$. For $p>2$, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$, assumed distinct, are the roots of $x^{2}-p x+1=0$, the Binet's formula are

$$
U_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta} \text { and } V_{n}=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}
$$

It was remarked in [2] by Grabner and Prodinger that up to simple changes of variable these polynomials are Chebyshev polynomials, that is

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{n}(p)=\mathcal{U}_{n-1}\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \\
V_{n}(p)=2 \mathcal{T}_{n}\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ denote the classical Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, respectively. Throughout this paper, let $s$ be an arbitrary positive integer. Let $W_{n}(a, b)=$ $a U_{n}+b V_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{2 k}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2 k}(a, b)=\sum_{r=0}^{k} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) W_{n}^{k-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k-r}(a, b) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Melham [3] conjectured that $A_{r}(1,0 ; k, 1)=\frac{\mathcal{D}^{r} V_{k}}{r!}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ means differentiation with respect to $p$. This conjecture was proved in [2] by Grabner and Prodinger in a more general setting that contains Melham's conjecture as a special case. Let $\Omega=a^{2}+4 b^{2}-b^{2} p^{2}$. Grabner and Prodinger obtained that

$$
A_{r}(a, b ; k, 1)=\Omega^{r} \sum_{0 \leq 2 j \leq k-r}(-1)^{j} \frac{k(k-1-j)!}{r!j!(k-r-2 j)!} p^{k-r-2 j}
$$

and $A_{0}(a, b ; 0,1)=2$. Furthermore, Grabner and Prodinger also obtained that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{r}(a, b ; k, 2) & =\Omega^{r} \sum_{0 \leq \lambda \leq k-r} \\
& (-1)^{\lambda} p^{2 k-2 \lambda} \frac{k\left(k-\left\lfloor\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right)!2^{\left\lceil\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\rceil}}{r!\lambda!(k-r-\lambda)!} \prod_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\rfloor-1}\left(2 k-2\left\lceil\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\rceil-1-2 i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A_{0}(a, b ; 0,2)=2$.
In this note, we obtain some identities involving the Chebyshev polynomials. This generalizes Melham's and Grabner and Prodinger's results.

## 2. MAIN THEOREMS AND THEIR PROOFS

## Lemma 2.1:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{r}(a, b ; k+1, s)=V_{s} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s)+\Omega U_{s}^{2} A_{r-1}(a, b ; k, s)-A_{r}(a, b ; k-1, s)  \tag{2}\\
A_{r}(a, b ; k, s)=0, \text { for } r>k \text { or } r<0 \text { or } k<0  \tag{3}\\
A_{0}(a, b ; 0, s)=2, A_{0}(a, b ; 1, s)=V_{s}, A_{1}(a, b ; 1, s)=\Omega U_{s}^{2}  \tag{4}\\
A_{0}(a, b ; k, s)=V_{k s}(k \geq 0) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: Obviously, (3) and $A_{0}(a, b ; 0, s)=2$ hold. Using the Binet's formula of $U_{n}$ and $V_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{2}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2}(a, b)=V_{s} W_{n}(a, b) W_{n+s}(a, b)+\Omega U_{s}^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From (6), $A_{0}(a, b ; 1, s)=V_{s}$ and $A_{1}(a, b ; 1, s)=\Omega_{s}$ hold immediately. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n}^{2(k+1)}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2(k+1)}(a, b)= & \left(W_{n}^{2}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2}(a, b)\right)\left(W_{n}^{2 k}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2 k}(a, b)\right) \\
& -W_{n}^{2}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{2}(a, b)\left(W_{n}^{2(k-1)}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2(k-1)}(a, b)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and applying (6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} A_{r}(a, b ; k+1, s) W_{n}^{k+1-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k+1-r}(a, b) \\
& \quad=\left(V_{s} W_{n}(a, b) W_{n+s}(a, b)+\Omega U_{s}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{r=0}^{k} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) W_{n}^{k-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k-r}(a, b)\right) \\
& \quad-W_{n}^{2}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{2}(a, b) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} A_{r}(a, b ; k-1, s) W_{n}^{k-1-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k-1-r}(a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $W_{n}^{k+1-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k+1-r}(a, b)$ yields (2) and $A_{0}(a, b ; k+1, s)=$ $V_{s} A_{0}(a, b ; k, s)-A_{0}(a, b ; k-1, s)$. Solving this recurrence relation we obtain $A_{0}(a, b ; k, s)=V_{k s}$.

## Theorem 2.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r}(a, b ; k, s)=\Omega^{r} U_{s}^{2 r}\left(\left[x^{k-r}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}-\left[x^{k-r-2}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[x^{k}\right] f(x)$ denotes the coefficient of $x^{k}$ in $f(x)$.
Proof: Let $f(x, y)=\sum_{k \geq 0, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} y^{r}$. Summing Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k+1} y^{r}=\sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k+1} y^{r} \\
&+\sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 1} \Omega U_{s}^{2} A_{r-1}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k+1} y^{r}-\sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k-1, s) x^{k+1} y^{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq 2, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} y^{r}= & x V_{s} \sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} y^{r} \\
& +x y \Omega U_{s}^{2} \sum_{k \geq 1, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} y^{r}-x^{2} \sum_{k \geq 0, r \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} y^{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
f(x, y)-2-x\left(V_{s}+\Omega U_{s}^{2} y\right)=x V_{s}(f(x, y)-2)+x y \Omega U_{s}^{2}(f(x, y)-2)-x^{2} f(x, y) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =\frac{2-V_{s} x-\Omega U_{s}^{2} x y}{1-V_{s} x-\Omega U_{s}^{2} x y+x^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{1-x^{2}}{1-V_{s} x-\Omega U_{s}^{2} x y+x^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{1-x^{2}}{1-V_{s} x+x^{2}} \frac{1}{1-y \frac{\Omega U_{s}^{2} x}{1-V_{s} x+x^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficient of $y^{r}(r \geq 1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq 0} A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) x^{k} & =\Omega^{r} U_{s}^{2 r}\left\{\frac{\left(2-V_{s} x\right) x^{r}}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}-\frac{x^{r}}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r}}\right\} \\
& =\Omega^{r} U_{s}^{2 r} x^{r} \frac{1-x^{2}}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So reading off the coefficient of $x^{k}$ we get

$$
A_{r}(a, b ; k, s)=\Omega^{r} U_{s}^{2 r}\left(\left[x^{k-r}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}-\left[x^{k-r-2}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}\right) .
$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.
We rewrite the main results of this paper as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{n}^{2 k}(a, b)+W_{n+s}^{2 k}(a, b) & =\sum_{r=0}^{k} \Omega^{r} U_{s}^{2 r}\left(\left[x^{k-r}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[x^{k-r-2}\right] \frac{1}{\left(1-V_{s} x+x^{2}\right)^{r+1}}\right) W_{n}^{k-r}(a, b) W_{n+s}^{k-r}(a, b) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## Corollary 2.3:

$$
U_{n}^{2 k}+U_{n+1}^{2 k}=\sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{r} V_{k}}{r!} U_{n}^{k-r} U_{n+1}^{k-r}
$$

Proof: Take $a=1, b=0, s=1$ in Theorem 2.2.

## Corollary 2.4:

$$
V_{n}^{2 k}+V_{n+1}^{2 k}=\sum_{r=0}^{k}(-1)^{r}\left(p^{2}-4\right)^{r} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{r} V_{k}}{r!} V_{n}^{k-r} V_{n+1}^{k-r}
$$

Proof: Take $a=0, b=1, s=1$ in Theorem 2.2.
We denote by $\sigma_{i}(n, k)$ the summation of all products of choosing $i$ elements from $n+k-$ $i+1, n+k-i+2, \ldots, n+2 k-1$ but not containing any two consecutive elements, i.e.

$$
\sigma_{i}(n, k)=\sum \prod_{t=1}^{i}\left(n+k-i+j_{t}\right)
$$

where the summation is taken over all $i$-tuples with positive integer coordinates $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{i}\right)$ such that $1 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{i} \leq k+i-1$ and $\left|j_{r}-j_{s}\right| \geq 2$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq i$. For more details see [1].
Lemma 2.5: (Feng and Zhang [1])

$$
G_{s n}^{(k+1)}=\frac{1}{k!U_{s}\left(V_{s}^{2}-4\right)^{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} 2^{i} V_{s}^{k-i}\langle n\rangle_{k-i} \sigma_{i}(n, k) U_{s(n+k-i)}
$$

where $\langle n\rangle_{i}=n(n+1) \ldots(n+i-1)$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} G_{s n}^{(k)} x^{n-1}=\left(\frac{1}{1-V_{s} x+x^{2}}\right)^{k}$.
Proof: See [1].
We obtain the explicit expression of the coefficients $A_{r}(a, b ; k, s)$ in Theorem 2.2 as follows.

## Theorem 2.6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{r}(a, b ; k, s) & =\frac{\Omega^{r} U_{s}^{r-1}}{r!\left(V_{s}^{2}-4\right)^{r}} \sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i} 2^{i} V_{s}^{k-i}\left[\langle k-r+1\rangle_{r-i} \sigma_{i}(k-r+1, r) U_{s(k+1-r)}\right. \\
& \left.-\langle k-r-1\rangle_{r-i} \sigma_{i}(k-r-1, r) U_{s(k-1-r)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Combining Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.5, Theorem 2.6 follows.
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