ON THE PARITY OF THE PARTITION FUNCTION

Neville Robbins

Mathematics Department, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132 (Submitted May 2002-Final Revision November 2002)

Let p(n) denote the unrestricted partition function. Some time ago, O. Kolberg [3] proved that p(n) changes parity infinitely often. In this note, we offer an alternate proof of this fact. Lemma 1: Let $N = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. Let r be a function from N to N such that $r(k)-r(k-1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the following functions of x, expressed as series with coefficients in GF(2): ∞

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)x^n$$

where for each integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = r(k) \quad for \quad some \quad k \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n) x^n$$

where b(0) = 1 and b(n) = 0 if n > m for some fixed m;

$$h(x) = f(x)g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)x^n$$

Then c(n) = 1 for infinitely many n.

n

Remark: There are many examples of functions from N to N that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. For example, let $r(k) = k^m$ where the integer $m \ge 2$.

Proof: Suppose that $c(n) = 0 \quad \forall n > t$ for some t. By hypothesis, there exists k such that r(k) - r(k-1) > t. Choose n = r(k). Now

$$c(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a(n-j)b(j) = a(r(k))b(0) + \sum_{j\geq 1} a(r(k-j))b(r(k) - r(k-j))$$

But $\forall j \geq 1$ we have $r(k) - r(k - j) \geq r(k) - r(k - 1) > t$ by hypothesis. Therefore b(r(k) - r(k - j)) = 0 $\forall j \geq 1$ by hypothesis, which in turn implies c(n) = a(r(k)) = 1, contrary to hypothesis. We are done. \Box

In the proof of Theorem 1, which follows below, we will make use of the well-known identities:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-x^n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k (x^{k(3k-1)/2} + x^{k(3k+1)/2})$$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-x^n)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n.$$
(1)

Remarks: Identity (1) is due to Euler. (See [1], p. 17, (1.3.1) or [2], p. 284, Theorem 353). Identity (2) may be found in [2], p. 274, (19.3.1). Both identities assume that x is a complex variable such that |x| < 1.

Theorem 1: p(n) changes parity infinitely often.

Proof: Assuming that x is a complex variable such that |x| < 1, let

$$P(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+x^n)$$

Now (1) implies

$$P(x) \equiv 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x^{k(3k-1)/2} + x^{k(3k+1)/2}) \pmod{2}.$$

Also, (2) implies

$$Q(x) \equiv \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+x^n)^{-1} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)x^n \pmod{2}$$

where

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } p(n) \text{ is odd} \\ 0 \text{ if } p(n) \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$h(x) = P(x)Q(x) = 1.$$

Note that P(x) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 with regard to f(x). If p(n) is odd for only finitely many n, then Q(x) also satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 with regard to g(x). But we have a contradiction, since h(x) = 1. Therefore p(n) is odd for infinitely many n.

Now suppose that p(n) is even for only finitely many n, that is,

$$Q(x) = 1 + x + x^{3} + x^{4} + x^{5} + x^{6} + x^{7} + x^{12} + \dots + x^{s} + x^{r} + x^{r+1} + x^{r+2} + \dots$$

where $s \leq r - 1$, that is

$$Q(x) \equiv 1 + x + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + x^7 + x^{12} + \dots + x^s + \frac{x^r}{1 + x} \pmod{2}$$

Then we have

 $(1+x)Q(x) \equiv (1+x)(1+x+x^3+x^4+x^5+x^6+x^7+x^{12}+\dots+x^s)+x^r \pmod{2}.$ Now (1+x)Q(x) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 with respect to q(x). But

w
$$(1+x)Q(x)$$
 satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 with respect to $g(x)$. By

$$h(x) = P(x)(1+x)Q(x) = 1+x$$

which contradicts Lemma 1. Therefore p(n) is even for infinitely many n.

REFERENCES

- [1] George E. Andrews. The Theory of Partitions, (1976) Cambridge University Press.
- [2] G. H. Hardy & E. M. Wright . An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th Ed. (1960) Oxford University Press.
- [3] O. Kolberg. "Note on the Parity of the Partition Function." Math. Scand. 7 (1959): 377-378.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11P83

$$\mathbf{X}$$
 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}