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Abstract. In this paper, we find all Fibonacci numbers which are close to a power of 2.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence given by $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$, and $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. There is a rich history on the problem of finding Fibonacci numbers of a particular form. For example, Bugeaud, Mignotte, and Siksek [3] showed that the only Fibonacci perfect powers are $0,1,8,144$. Authors also studied Fibonacci numbers of the form $q^{a} y^{t}[2], y^{t} \pm 1[1]$, etc. For more details, see D26 of Guy's famous book Unsolved Problems in Number Theory [5].

We say that a number $n$ is close to a positive number $m$, if it satisfies

$$
|n-m|<\sqrt{m} .
$$

In this paper we are interested in Fibonacci numbers which are close to a power of 2. More precisely, our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. There are only 8 Fibonacci numbers which are close to a power of 2. Namely, the solutions $\left(F_{n}, 2^{m}\right)$ of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{n}-2^{m}\right|<2^{m / 2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $(1,2),(2,2),(3,2),(3,4),(5,4),(8,8),(13,16)$, and $(34,32)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall the Binet formula for Fibonacci numbers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta} \quad \text { for } n \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $\beta=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2=-1 / \alpha$ are the roots of the characteristic equation $x^{2}-x-1=0$ of the Fibonacci sequence. It implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{n-2} \leq F_{n} \leq \alpha^{n-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n \geq 1$.
Then, we recall a lower bound for a linear form in logarithms which is given by Matveev [7].
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a number field of degree $D$ over $\mathbb{Q}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{t}$ be positive reals of $\mathbb{K}$, and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}$ be rational integers. Let

$$
B \geq \max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|b_{t}\right|\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda:=1-\gamma_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{t}^{b_{t}} .
$$

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{t}$ be real numbers such that

$$
A_{i} \geq \max \left\{D h\left(\gamma_{i}\right),\left|\log \gamma_{i}\right|, 0.16\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, t .
$$

Then, assuming that $\Lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$
\log |\Lambda|>-1.4 \times 30^{t+3} \times t^{4.5} \times D^{2}(1+\log D)(1+\log B) A_{1} \cdots A_{t} .
$$

As usual, the logarithmic height of a $d$-degree algebraic number $\gamma$ is defined as

$$
h(\gamma):=\frac{1}{d}\left(\log a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \log \left(\max \left\{\left|\gamma^{(i)}\right|, 1\right\}\right)\right),
$$

with

$$
f(X):=a_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(X-\gamma^{(i)}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
$$

being the minimal primitive polynomial over the integers with positive leading coefficient $a_{0}$ and $\gamma$ as a root.

At last, to reduce the upper bound which is generally too large, we need a variant of the Baker-Davenport Lemma, which is due to Dujella and Pethö [4]. Here, for a real number $x$, let $\| x| |:=\min \{|x-n|: n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the distance from $x$ to the nearest integer.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $M$ is a positive integer, and $A, B$ are positive reals with $B>1$. Let $p / q$ be the convergent of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number $\gamma$ such that $q>6 M$, and let $\epsilon=\|\mu q\|-M\|\gamma q\|$, where $\mu$ is a real number. If $\epsilon>0$, then there is no solution of the inequality

$$
0<m \gamma-n+\mu<A B^{-m}
$$

in positive integers $m$ and $n$ with

$$
\frac{\log (A q / \epsilon)}{\log B} \leq m \leq M
$$

Now, we are ready to prove our main result. The proof is somewhat motivated by Marques and Togbé [6].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. The case $m \leq 10$. In Table 1, we list the first 10 intervals of $S_{m}:=\left(2^{m}-2^{m / 2}, 2^{m}+2^{m / 2}\right)$, and find all the Fibonacci numbers in them. We get that the only Fibonacci numbers which are close to $2^{m}$ with $m \leq 10$ are $1,2,3,5,8,13,34$.
3.2. The case $m>10$. By (2.1), we have

$$
\left|F_{n}-\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{5}}\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5} \alpha^{n}} .
$$

Combining it with (1.1), we get

$$
\left|2^{m}-\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{5}}\right|<2^{m / 2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5} \alpha^{n}},
$$

Table 1

| $m$ | Integers in $S_{m}$ | Fibonacci numbers in $S_{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1,2,3$ | $1,2,3$ |
| 2 | $3,4,5$ | 3,5 |
| 3 | $6,7,8,9$ | 8 |
| 4 | $13,14, \ldots, 19$ | 13 |
| 5 | $27,28, \ldots, 37$ | 34 |
| 6 | $57,58, \ldots, 71$ |  |
| 7 | $117,118, \ldots, 139$ |  |
| 8 | $241,242, \ldots, 271$ |  |
| 9 | $490,491, \ldots, 534$ |  |
| 10 | $993,994, \ldots, 1055$ |  |

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-2^{-m} \alpha^{n} \sqrt{5}^{-1}\right|<2^{-m / 2}+\frac{1}{2^{m} \alpha^{n} \sqrt{5}}<2^{-m / 2+1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.1, we take $\gamma_{1}=2, \gamma_{2}=\alpha$, and $\gamma_{3}=\sqrt{5}$. For this choice, we have $D=2, h\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=(\log \alpha) / 2$, and $h\left(\gamma_{3}\right)=(\log 5) / 2$. Thus, we can take $A_{1}=2 \log 2, A_{2}=\log \alpha$, and $A_{3}=\log 5$. Also, according to (1.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
2^{m}-2^{m / 2}<F_{n}<\alpha^{n-1}
$$

which yields $m \leq n$. Hence, we have $B=n$. It is easy to see that

$$
\Lambda=1-2^{-m} \alpha^{n} \sqrt{5}^{-1} \neq 0 .
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\log |\Lambda|>-1.4 \times 30^{6} \times 3^{4.5} \times 2^{2} \times(1+\log 2) \times(1+\log n) \times 2 \log 2 \times \log \alpha \times \log 5
$$

From (3.1), we have

$$
\log |\Lambda|<(-m / 2+1) \log 2 .
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m / 2-1<1.6 \times 10^{12} \times(1+\log n) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1) and (2.2), we have,

$$
\alpha^{n-2}<F_{n}<2^{m}+2^{m / 2}<\alpha^{-2} \cdot 2^{m+2}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
n<((m+2) \log 2) /(\log \alpha) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining it with (3.2), and by a calculation in Mathematica, we obtain

$$
m<1.1 \times 10^{14} \quad \text { and } \quad n<1.6 \times 10^{14} .
$$

Now we are going to reduce the upper bounds of $m$ and $n$. According to Bugeaud, Mignotte, and Siksek [3], no Fibonacci number equals $2^{m}$ when $m>10$. Therefore, we discuss this case in two parts.
(I) $F_{n}>2^{m}$. Noting that

$$
\alpha^{n} / \sqrt{5}>F_{n}-1 \geq 2^{m},
$$

we have

$$
-m \log 2+n \log \alpha-\log \sqrt{5}>0
$$

Since $x<e^{x}-1$, using (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0<-m \log 2+n \log \alpha-\log \sqrt{5} & <2^{-m / 2+1} \\
& <2^{-\frac{\log \alpha}{2 \log 2} n+2} \\
& <4 \times 1.25^{-n} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By dividing by $\log 2$ on both sides above, (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<n \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}-m-\frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 2}<\frac{4}{\log 2} \times 1.25^{-n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply Lemma 2.2 , we take $\gamma=(\log \alpha) /(\log 2), \mu=(-\log \sqrt{5}) /(\log 2), A=4 /(\log 2)$, and $B=1.25$. It is easy to see that $\gamma$ is irrational. Let $q_{n}$ be the denominator of the $n$th convergent of the continued fraction of $\gamma$. Taking $M=1.6 \times 10^{14}$, we have

$$
q_{34}=2683806884597620>6 M,
$$

and then $\epsilon=\left\|\mu q_{34}\right\|-M\left\|\gamma q_{34}\right\|=0.436226 \ldots$. Hence there is no solution to inequality (3.5) (and then no solution to inequality (1.1)) for $n$ in the range

$$
\left[\left\lfloor\frac{\log \left(A q_{34} / \epsilon\right)}{\log B}\right\rfloor+1, M\right] \supset\left[171,1.6 \times 10^{14}\right] .
$$

Thus, $n<171$.
(II) $F_{n}<2^{m}$. Note that for negative $x$, we have

$$
0<-x<e^{-x}-1=e^{-x}\left|e^{x}-1\right|
$$

Here, we take

$$
x=-m \log 2+n \log \alpha-\log \sqrt{5}<0 .
$$

Note also that

$$
\left|e^{x}-1\right|<\frac{4}{1.25^{n}}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

Since $x$ is negative, this shows that $e^{x} \in(1 / 2,1)$, so that $e^{-x}<2$. Now, we obtain

$$
0<m \frac{\log 2}{\log \alpha}-n+\frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log \alpha}<\frac{8}{\log \alpha} \times 1.25^{-n} .
$$

Through a similar argument, we get $m<174$ and $n<254$.
3.3. A calculation in Mathematica. Let $x=\left(\log F_{n}\right) /(\log 2)$. Note that $x>4$ since $n \geq m>10$. Note also that

$$
2^{x+1}-2^{(x+1) / 2}>2^{x}=F_{n} .
$$

Therefore, for the case $F_{n}>2^{m}$, we have

$$
\frac{\log F_{n}}{\log 2}<m<\frac{\log F_{n}}{\log 2}+1
$$

## THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

So we only need to check whether $F_{n}$ is in $\left(2^{m}, 2^{m}+2^{m / 2}\right)$, where

$$
m=\left\lfloor\frac{\log F_{n}}{\log 2}\right\rfloor+1
$$

Through a calculation in Mathematica, we conclude that there is no such $n$. For the case $F_{n}<2^{m}$, through a similar calculation, we deduce that no such $n$ exists. This completes the proof.

## 4. Comments

If we replace the base 2 in Theorem 1.1 by an arbitrary positive integer $a \geq 2$, we can see that there are finitely many Fibonacci numbers which are close to $a^{m}$ for each $a$, respectively. Indeed, the arguments give a relatively small upper bound of $n(a)$ (or $m(a)$ ) for small $a$.
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