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#### Abstract

A number theoretical conjecture of Milnor is presented, examined and the existence of non-standard differentiable structures on ( $4 \mathrm{k}-1$ )-spheres for integers $\mathrm{k}, 4 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 265$, is proved.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1959, J. Milnor [1] proved the following theorem concerning non-standard differentiable structures on ( $4 \mathrm{k}-1$ )-spheres.

Theorem 1. If r is an integer, such that $\mathrm{k} / 3<\mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{k} / 2$, then there exists a differentiable manifold M , homeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{4 \mathrm{k}-1}$ with $\lambda(\mathrm{M}) \equiv \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}(\bmod 1)$, where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}=2^{2 \mathrm{k}}\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} /(2 \mathrm{k})$ ?, all of the prime factors of the integer N are less than $2(\mathrm{k}-$ $r), B_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ Bernoulli number in the sequence $B_{1}=1 / 6, B_{2}=1 / 30, B_{2}=1 / 42$, $B_{4}=1 / 30, \cdots$, and $\lambda$ is an invariant associated with the manifold $M$.

Milnor presents an algorithm based on Theorem 1, proves structures exist for $\mathrm{k}=2$, $4,5,6,7,8$, conjectures that Theorem 1 implies the existence of these structures for $\mathrm{k}>$ 3 , and states that he has verified the conjecture for $k<15$. He points out that for $k=1$ and $\mathrm{k}=3$ no integers r exist in the interval $(\mathrm{k} / 3, \mathrm{k} / 2]$ and that for $\mathrm{k}=1$, two differentiable homeomorphic 3 -manifolds are diffeomorphic.

The Milnor algorithm will be described by considering the first seven cases. In each case an actual lower bound will be calculated for the number of said structures; to calculate this bound we consider the denominator of the reduced fraction and drop all prime factors less than $2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})$.

1. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}=2$.

$$
\binom{8}{4}\left(2^{3}-1\right)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{2} /\left(2^{7}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{4}=\left(7^{3} / 3\right)(1 / 127), \quad 1 \mathrm{~b}=127
$$

2. $\mathrm{k}=6, \mathrm{r}=3$.

$$
\binom{10}{4}\left(2^{3}-1\right)\left(2^{5}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} /\left(2^{9}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{5}=(11 / 5)(31 / 73), \quad 1 \mathrm{~b}=73
$$
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3. $\mathrm{k}=6, \mathrm{r}=3$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{12}{6}\left(2^{5}-1\right)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}^{2} /\left(2^{11}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{6}=(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13)\left(31^{2} / 23 \cdot 89 \cdot 691\right) \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=23 \cdot 89 \cdot 691
\end{gathered}
$$

4. $\mathrm{k}=7, \mathrm{r}=3$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{14}{6}\left(2^{5}-1\right)\left(2^{7}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{4} /\left(2^{13}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{7}=(11 \cdot 13 / 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)(31 \cdot 127 / 8191) \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=8191
\end{gathered}
$$

5. $\mathrm{k}=8, \mathrm{r}=3$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{16}{6}\left(2^{5}-1\right)\left(2^{9}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{5} /\left(2^{15}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{8}=\left(2^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 13 \cdot 17 / 3\right)(73 / 151 \cdot 3617), \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=151 \cdot 3617 .
\end{gathered}
$$

6. $\mathrm{k}=9, \mathrm{r}=4$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{18}{8}\left(2^{7}-1\right)\left(2^{9}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{5} /\left(2^{17}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{9}=\left(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19\right) /(73 \cdot 127 / 43867 \cdot 131071) \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=43867 \cdot 131071
\end{gathered}
$$

7. $\mathrm{k}=10, \mathrm{r}=4$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{20}{8}\left(2^{7}-1\right)\left(2^{11}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{6} /\left(2^{19}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{10}=(11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 / 7)(23 \cdot 89 \cdot 127 / 283 \cdot 617 \cdot 524287) \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=283 \cdot 617 \cdot 524287 .
\end{gathered}
$$

8. $\mathrm{k}=10, \mathrm{r}=4$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{20}{10}\left(2^{9}-1\right)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{5}^{2} /\left(2^{19}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{10}=\left(2 \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 / 3\right)\left(73^{2} / 283 \cdot 617 \cdot 524287\right), \\
1 \mathrm{~b}=283 \cdot 617 \cdot 524287
\end{gathered}
$$

9. $\mathrm{k}=8, \mathrm{r}=4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{16}{8}\left(2^{7}-1\right)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}^{2} /\left(2^{15}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{8} & =(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 / 7)\left(127^{2} / 31 \cdot 151 \cdot 3617\right), \\
1 \mathrm{~b} & =31 \cdot 151 \cdot 3617 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There will be $[k / 2]-[k / 3]$ integers in the interval $(k / 3, k / 2]$ and one may choose the largest of the lower bounds. We now restate the positive outcome of the algorithm in the form of the following

Conjecture 1. Let r be an integer, $\mathrm{r} \in(\mathrm{k} / 3, \mathrm{k} / 2], \mathrm{k}>3$,

$$
\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} /\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}, \quad(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=1
$$

then there exists a prime number $p, p>2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})$, such that p divides b .
This purely number theoretic conjecture implies the existence of more than $2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})$ non-standard differentiable structures for $\mathrm{S}^{4 \mathrm{k}-1}$, the ( $4 \mathrm{k}-1$ )-dimensional sphere. Conjecture 1 has, aside from its aesthetic number theoretical interest, the additional significance of important topological consequences, and is one more example of the ubiquitous nature of the Bernoulli numbers.

## 2. REPRESENTATION STRUCTURE OF THE BERNOULLI NUMBERS

Although the Bernoulli numbers have been objects of published mathematical thought for over two centuries, in some respects, embarrassingly little is known about them. We shall present the features of these numbers useful to us in examining Conjecture 1.

As a typical beginning point we write [2]
(1)

$$
x\left(e^{x}-1\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} x^{k} / k!
$$

and since $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=-1 / 2$, and $x /\left(e^{x}-1\right)+x / 2$ is an even function, we write

$$
\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}=0, \quad \mathrm{k} \geq 1
$$

We have
(2)

$$
1-(1 / 2) \cot (x / 2)=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{2 \mathrm{k}} /(2 \mathrm{k})!
$$

and by the double series theorem [3], we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}=2(2 \mathrm{k})!\zeta(2 \mathrm{k}) /(2 \pi)^{2 \mathrm{k}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta(2 \mathrm{k})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{n}^{-2 \mathrm{k}}
$$

the Dirichlet series usually referred to as the even zeta function. An equivalent definition to (1) is the umbral recursion [4].

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b+1)^{k}-b_{k}=0, \quad b_{0}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reduces to
(5)

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{k} \underset{r}{k+1} b_{r}=0, \quad b_{0}=1
$$

Equation (1) is the reciprocal of

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k} /(k+1)!
$$

and an expression for the $b_{k}$ may be written with symmetric functions of the coefficients of the reciprocal of (1). We may rather write [5] , [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
x /\left(e^{x}-1\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} /(k+1)!\right)^{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that [7]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}=(-)^{\mathrm{k}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{2 \mathrm{k}}(-)^{\mathrm{m}} \sum\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{k}}\right)\left(\left(1 ; \mathrm{a}_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(2 \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{k}}\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
x\left(1 / 2^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} 3^{\mathrm{a}_{2}} \cdots(2 \mathrm{k}+1)^{\mathrm{a}_{2 k}}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where the sum is over the partitions of

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 k, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2 k} a_{i}=m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2 k} i a_{i}=2 k \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
m \\
a, b, c, \cdots)=m!/ a!b!c!\cdots, \\
((a ; \alpha), \cdots(d ; \beta))=m!/(a!)^{\alpha} \cdots(d!)^{\beta},
\end{array}, ~\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and there will be $p(2 k)$ terms [8]. A variant of (7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-)^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}}=-(1 / 2 \mathrm{k}+1)+\sum(-)^{m} \prod_{\mathrm{p}<2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p} \delta\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is over all prime numbers less than 2 k , the functions $\delta\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{k}}$ ) are all integers and the sum is over all the partitions of 2 k but one.

The calculation of Bernoulli numbers has been a lively subject [9], and there exist several tables of these numbers. [The most massive is D. Knuty, MTAC, Unpublished Mathematical Tables File. The caretaker of this file, J. W. Wrench, has informed us that
 exact values of only the first 159 Bernoulli numbers.] To facilitate the computation of Bernoulli and related numbers, Lehmer generalized a process of Kronecker to produce lacunary recurrences of which the following are typical [10].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{[\mathrm{m} / 2]}(-)^{\lambda} 2^{\mathrm{m}-2 \lambda_{B_{m-2 \lambda}}\binom{2 \mathrm{~m}+2}{2 \lambda+2}=(-)^{[\mathrm{m} / 2]}(\mathrm{m}+1) / 2, ~} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(10) $\quad \sum_{\lambda=0}^{[\mathrm{m} / 2]} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}-2 \lambda}\binom{2 \mathrm{~m}+4}{4 \lambda+4}\left((-)^{\lambda} 2^{2 \lambda+1}+1\right)=((\mathrm{m}+2) / 2)\left((-)^{[\mathrm{m} / 2]} 2^{\mathrm{m}+1}+1\right)$,

$$
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{[\mathrm{m} / 3]} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}-3 \lambda}\binom{2 \mathrm{~m}+3}{6 \lambda+3}=\left\{\begin{align*}
-(2 m+3) / 6, & \text { if } m=3 k-1  \tag{11}\\
(2 m+3) / 3, & \text { otherwise }
\end{align*}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{[\mathrm{m} / 4]} B_{m-4 \lambda}\binom{2 \mathrm{~m}+4}{8 \lambda+4} 2^{\mathrm{m}+1-2[(\mathrm{~m}+1) / 4]-2 \lambda_{\Re}}{ }_{4 \lambda+2}=(-)^{\left.[\mathrm{m} / 2]_{(\mathrm{m}}+2\right) m_{\mathrm{m}+2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{n}}=-34 \mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{n}-4}-\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{n}-8} \text { and } \mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=2,0,3,10,14,-12,-99,-338
$$

for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7$, respectively.
(13)

$$
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{[\mathrm{m} / 6]} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}-6 \lambda}\binom{2 \mathrm{~m}+6}{12 \lambda+6}\left(\Re_{6 \lambda+2}+(-) \lambda_{2} 6 \lambda+2\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
((\mathrm{m}+3) / 3)\left(B_{\mathrm{m}+2}+(-){ }^{[\mathrm{m} / 2]_{2} \mathrm{~m}+2}\right) \\
\text { if } \mathrm{m} \neq 2(3)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-((\mathrm{m}+3) / 6){ }_{(\mathfrak{B}}^{\mathrm{m}+2}+(-)[\mathrm{m} / 2]_{2} \mathrm{~m}+2-(-)((\mathrm{m}+1) / 3)_{3)} \\
\text { if } \mathrm{m} \equiv 2(3)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{n}}=-2702 \mathfrak{P}_{\mathrm{n}-6}-\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{n}-12}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=1,5,26,97,265,362,-1351,-13775,-70226,-262087,-716035,-978122,
$$

for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11$, respectively.
The point of creating lacunary recurrences is to avoid dealing with all the $B_{r}$, say $\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{k}$, to calculate $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}$. An example of a recursion relation which is not precisely lacunary yet satisfies this last condition is
(14)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}=(\mathrm{k} / 2)\binom{2 \mathrm{k}-2}{\mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{k}\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{[\mathrm{k} / 2]}(-)^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{k}}(1 /(2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}))+\sum_{0 \leq \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~s} \leq[\mathrm{k} / 2]} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{S}} \\
\mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{~s})(1 /(2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1))
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be proved [11] by repeated integration of the Fourier series for ( $\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2$ and then using Parseval's Theorem on the result.

From (2) above, we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d / d x)(x(1-(x / 2) \cot (x / 2)))=x^{2} / 4+(1-(x / 2) \cot (x / 2))^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we extract

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 k+1) B_{k}=\sum_{r=1}^{[k / 2]} 2^{g(r)}\binom{2 k}{2 r} B_{r} B_{k-r} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathrm{r}<[\mathrm{k} / 2] \text { or } \mathrm{r}=[\mathrm{k} / 2], \mathrm{k} \text { odd } \\ 0 & \text { if } \mathrm{r}=[\mathrm{k} / 2], \quad \mathrm{k} \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

We observe that this "quasi-convolution" recurrence involves only positive numbers; hence, beginning with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B}_{1}=1 / 2 \cdot 3  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{2}=1 / 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
B_{3}=1 / 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7
$$

(20)

$$
B_{4}=\left(1 / 2 \cdot 3^{4 .} 5\right)\left(2^{2} \cdot 5+7\right)=1 / 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5,
$$

(24)

$$
\mathrm{B}_{6}=\left(1 / 2 \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13\right)\left(2^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7+2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2}+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11+7^{2} \cdot 11+2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11\right)=691 /(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
B_{7}=\left(1 / 2 \cdot 3^{5} \cdot 5^{2}\right)\left(2^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7+2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2}+2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+7^{2} \cdot 11+2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13+7^{2} \cdot 13+2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13+7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\right)=7 /(2 \cdot 3)
$$

$$
B_{8}=\left(1 / 2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 17\right)\left(2^{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7+2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2}+2^{4} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 7\right.
$$

$$
+2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11+2^{2 \cdot} \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11+2^{3} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11+2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13
$$

$$
+2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 13+2^{3} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 7 \cdot 13+2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13+2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13
$$

$$
+2^{5} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7+2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2}+2^{4} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 7+2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11
$$

$$
+2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11+2^{3} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 11+2^{5} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 13+2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 13
$$

$$
+2^{4} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 13+2^{4} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 13+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13+2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13
$$

$$
\left.+7^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 13\right)=3617 /(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17)
$$

By induction, we express the Bernoulli number $B_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=\prod_{p<2 k+2} p^{a(p, k)} \sum_{r=1}^{c(k)} \prod_{p<2 k} p^{b(p, r, k)} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the products are over the primes less than $2 \mathrm{k}+2$ and 2 k , respectively, $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})$ is an integer (possibly negative) and $b(p, r, k)$ is a non-negative integer. The number $c(k)$ of terms in the sum clearly possesses the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{k})=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{[\mathrm{k} / 2]} \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $c(1)=1$. Kishore [12], [13] has used this technique to develop analogous structure theorems for Rayleigh functions [14], [15].

## 3. DIVISIBILITY STRUCTURE OF THE BERNOULLI NUMBERS

We first cite the well-known [16], [17]
Theorem 2. (Von Staudt-Clausen). If $B_{k}=P_{k} / Q_{k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers for $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \cdots$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=\prod_{p-1 \mid 2 k} p \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is over all primes whose totients divide 2 k .
This theorem completely characterizes the Bernoulli denominators; hence, questions of divisibility center around the numerators $P_{k}$. A sufficient condition on divisors of $P_{k}$ is given in the following [16, p. 261]

Theorem 3. If $p^{\omega} \mid 2 k, p^{\omega+1} /\left\langle 2 k, p-1 \nmid 2 k\right.$, then $\left.p^{\omega}\right| P_{k}$.
The proof of this theorem follows from a congruence of Voronoi

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{2 k}-1\right) P_{k} \equiv(-)^{k-1} 2 k a^{2 k-1} Q_{k} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} s^{2 k-1}[s a / N] \quad(\bmod N) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, N)=1$ and $N$ is any integer greater than one. Clearly if $p^{\omega} \mid 2 k, \quad\left(a^{2 k}-1\right) P_{k} \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$ and we may select a to be a primitive root $g$ of $p \omega$ (i.e., if $a=1, g$ always exists: if $\omega>1$ and $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$, take $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g}$; if $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$, take $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{p}$ ).

Equation (28) is a type of congruence used recently [18], [19] to investigate certain divisors of Bernoulli numerators. Specifically, those primes $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \nmid \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \cdots \mathrm{P}_{(\mathrm{p}-3) / 2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called regular primes and Kummer [20] proved that for these primes, Fermat's inequality, $x^{p}+y^{p} \neq z^{p}$, holds for all nonzero integers $x, y$ and $z$. We list a number of congruences of the Voronoi type.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{p} / 6<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{p} / 4} \mathrm{~s}^{2 \mathrm{k}-1} \equiv\left(2^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-1\right)\left(3^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-2^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-1\right)(-)^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}} / 4 \mathrm{k} \quad(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $[16$, p. 268], $\mathrm{p}>3, \mathrm{p}-1 \nmid 2 \mathrm{k}$
(31) $\sum_{\mathrm{p} / 6<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{p} / 5} \mathrm{~s}^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}+\sum_{\mathrm{p} / 3<\mathrm{s}<2 \mathrm{p} / 5} \mathrm{~s}^{2 \mathrm{k}-1} \equiv(-)^{\mathrm{k}}\left(6^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-5^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-2^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}+1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} / 4 \mathrm{k}(\bmod \mathrm{p})$
with [19, p. 27], $\mathrm{p}>7,2 \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{p}-1$.
(32)

$$
\sum_{p / 6<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{p} / 3} \mathrm{~s}^{2 \mathrm{k}-1} \equiv(-)^{\mathrm{k}}\left(2^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right)\left(3^{\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{k}}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} / 2 \mathrm{k} \quad(\bmod \mathrm{p})
$$

with [21], p>7, $2 \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{p}-1$.

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(p-2 r)^{2 k} \equiv p 2^{2 k-1} B_{k} \quad\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)
$$

with [22], $2 k \not \equiv 2(\bmod (p-1))$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{a(p-1)}\left(b^{p-1}-1\right)^{j} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{j-1}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with [23], $p$ an odd prime, $a>0, j>0, a+j<p-1$.
From reflections on the divisibility properties of the binomial coefficients, it has been shown [24] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}} \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{\mathrm{r}+1}\right), \quad \text { for } \mathrm{k}>1,\left.\quad 2^{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{2 \mathrm{k}}, \quad 2^{\mathrm{r}+1} / 2 \mathrm{k} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also [25] ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4), \quad \mathrm{k}>1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and [26],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} \equiv 1-(1 / \mathrm{p}) \quad\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}\right), \quad \text { for } \mathrm{p}>2, \quad(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}\left|2 \mathrm{k}, \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}+1}\right| 2 \mathrm{k} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A more elaborate result [2] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
30 \mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \equiv 1+600\binom{\mathrm{k}-1}{2} \quad(\bmod 27000) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last depends upon special identities such as

$$
\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{-1}-\left(e^{5 x}-1\right)^{-1}=(\cosh (x / 2)+\cosh (3 x / 2)) \cosh (5 x / 2)
$$

## 4. APPROACHES TO CONJECTURE 1

Milnor [1, p. 966] asked whether or not

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(2 \mathrm{k})!/\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0 \quad(\bmod 1) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

That this is true for $k>2$ is clear by remarking [27] that $2^{2 k-1}-1$ possesses a primitive divisor q , such that $\mathrm{q} \equiv 1(\bmod 2 \mathrm{k}-2)$.

In particular, $q>2 k+1$ and $q$ must occur in the denominator of the fraction in (39). We naturally ask whether or not a prime $q>2 k+1$ always exists such that

$$
\left.\mathrm{q}\right|^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{q} / 2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1, \quad \mathrm{q} / 2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1, \quad \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}, \quad \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} .
$$

with $\mathrm{k} / 3<\mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{k} / 2$. This suggests
Lemma 1. If $\mathrm{q} \mid 2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1$ is primitive and regular, then Conjecture 1 is true for k .
We consider $r=k / 2$ or $(k-1) / 2, k>3$. Since $q>2 k+1$ and $q / B_{i}$ for $i<$ $(q-1) / 2, q / / B_{r}^{2}$, if $k$ is even and $q / / B_{r} B_{k-r}$ if $k$ is odd. Also [28], $q \nmid 2^{j}-1, j<2 k-1$. Another natural question is, since Fermat's Last Theorem is true for [29] primes of the form $2^{\mathrm{a}}-1$, are these numbers and their large factors also regular? Alas,

$$
233\left|\mathrm{~B}_{42}, \quad 233\right| 2^{29}-1
$$

As an example of the theorem, $\mathrm{k}=15,2 \mathrm{k}-1=29 ; 1103 \mid 2^{29}-1$, yet 1103 is regular; the nearest irregular primes are 971 and 1061. Also $3391\left|\mathrm{~B}_{1116}, 3391\right| \mathrm{B}_{1267}$ and $3391 \mid 2^{113}$ - 1, but $3391 \nmid \mathrm{~B}_{28} \mathrm{~B}_{29}$, so that irregular primes may be primitive and still satisfy conjecture 1. Similarly for $263 \mid 2^{131}-1$ and $263 \mid \mathrm{B}_{50}$. These remarks handle cases $\mathrm{k}=57,66$. The number of primitive primes is infinite. so is the number of irregular primes [30]; Kummer conjectured that the number of regular primes is infinite. Present tables show that known regular primes are more numerous than irregular primes. The intersection of these primitive and regular prime sets, though nonempty, is unknown. It is interesting to note in this connection that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{k}}\binom{2 \mathrm{k}-1}{2 \mathrm{r}-1}\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{r} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $2 \mathrm{k}-1$ prime is a relation between Mersenne [31] numbers and Bernoulli numbers. We might enjoy having $\left(2^{4 \mathrm{k}-1}-1, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=1$, for the case of the $(8 \mathrm{k}-1)$-sphere; but

$$
\left(2^{27}-1, B_{7}\right)=\left(2^{111}-1, B_{28}\right)=2^{3}-1
$$

and a similar thing occurs whenever $3|4 \mathrm{k}-1,7| 2 \mathrm{k}$; likewise, if $5|4 \mathrm{k}-1,31| 2 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$., $\left(2^{495}-1, \quad B_{124}\right) \geq 31$.

Another approach to (39) is to seek a large (greater than 2 k ) prime factor of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and to apply its existence to Conjecture 1. However, there does not appear to be in the literature
any theorem (other than a direct calculation [32] proving the existence of a large prime divisor of B. Equation (25) suggests that if the $b(p, r, k)$ numbers behave appropriately, the sum in (25) would be the source of large factors; for the first few cases the sum has a number of small factors (i.e., equations (17)-(24)). A very general and related problem is whether or not sums of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{c(k)} \prod_{p^{<}<2 k} p^{\eta(p, r, k)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the function $\eta(p, r, k)$ behaving similarly to the $b(p, r, k)$ possess large factors. It is known 33 that for sums of type (41) where $\eta(p, r, k) \gg b(p, r, k)$ (inequality in a rough distribution sense of the density of primes being greater in one than the other) large factors arise. One must proceed with considerable care because of the copious factors [34] of a sum such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\binom{n}{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}}\binom{n(k-1)}{n-a_{1}, \cdots, n-a_{k}}=\binom{n k}{n, \cdots, n} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over the partitions

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}=n
$$

Rather than digging a prime out of $P_{k}$, we recognize the obvious
Lemma 2. For $m, n$ arbitrary positive integers, such that $m / n<1$, then there exists a prime $p$ such that $p \mid n /(m, n)$ and $p)(m /(m, n)$.

We write for integers $r \in(k / 3, k / 2], k>3$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 r}\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} /\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{43}\\
= & \binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}} / Q_{\mathrm{r}} Q_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}}\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} /\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{44}\\
= & \binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{p}<2 \mathrm{k}+2} \mathrm{p}^{\theta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-\theta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\theta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})}\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})=1 \quad \text { if }(\mathrm{p}-1) \mid 2 \mathrm{k} \text { and zero otherwise }  \tag{46}\\
2^{2 \mathrm{k}-1}-1=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}=\prod_{\mathrm{p}<2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}^{\Psi(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { largest possible, } \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=N_{k} N_{k}^{\prime}, \quad N_{k}=\prod_{\mathrm{p}<2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}^{\varphi(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})}, \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { largest possible. } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the following
Lemma 3. If
(49)

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}}<0.25\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}}
$$

for some integer $\mathrm{r} \in(\mathrm{k} / 3, \mathrm{k} / 2]$, then Conjecture 1 is true.
From (3),
(50)

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}=\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}}^{-1} 2 \zeta(2 \mathrm{r}) \zeta(2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}) / \zeta(2 \mathrm{k})<4 /\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}}
$$

In fact, [35], for $k$ even,
(51)

$$
\zeta^{2}(\mathrm{k}) / \zeta(2 \mathrm{k})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} 2^{\nu(\mathrm{n})} / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for $\nu(\mathrm{n})$ equal to the number of distinct prime factors of n .
By hypothesis

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n} & =\left(2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 \mathrm{k}-2 \mathrm{r}-1}-1\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}^{1} N_{k}^{\prime} \\
& <4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}}\binom{2 \mathrm{k}}{2 \mathrm{r}}^{-1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}<1 \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

But n has no prime factors less than 2 k and hence none less than $2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}$ ) (whether $2 \mathrm{k}+1$ is prime or not, $n$ has no factors less than $2 \mathrm{k}+2$ ), so by Lemma 2 there exists some prime greater than 2 k , which provides a non-trivial bound for Conjecture 1 . Also, if $2 \mathrm{k}-$ 1 is prime, $M_{k}=1$; in general, for say $n=2 k-1$, an easily refined inequality is $M_{k}$ $\leq \mathrm{n} 2 \varphi(\mathrm{n})+2^{0.09 \nu(\mathrm{n})}$ with $\varphi$ Euler's totient function.

Since for relatively small $k$, discovery of a large prime divisor of $P_{k}$ could require more than $10^{38}$ centuries with our present technology, Lemma 3 presents itself as a most opportune calculational device. Using this lemma we have shown Conjecture 3 to be true for integers $k \in(3,265]$. The details of this calculation, which appear in the appended tables, materially suggest the truth of the hypothesis of Lemma 3. These calculations make use of congruences of type (28), which gives necessary conditions for all divisors of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$, conditions which depend upon properties of the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{p^{\omega}-1} s^{2 k-1}\left[s a / p^{\omega}\right], \quad\left(\bmod p^{\omega}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a some primitive root of $p$ (a complication can arise here because $p=3511$, which satisfies $2^{\mathrm{p}-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$, has a Kummer irregularity of 2).

Of (53), the tables present empirical evidence, the most complete to date; the more valuable conceptual information in the form of an upper bound inequality on $N_{k}$, for example, would be welcome knowledge at this point.
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