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H-215 Proposed by Ralph Facke, North Texas State University, Denton, Texas

a. Prove
\[ \sum_{i=n}^{n+2} 2^i P_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \]
for all positive integers, \( n \); \( P_i \) is the \( i \)th term of the Pell sequence, \( P_1 = 1, \ P_2 = 2, \ P_{n+1} = 2P_n + P_{n-1} \ (n \geq 2) \).

b. Prove \( 2^n L_n \equiv 2 \pmod{10} \) for all positive integers \( n \); \( L_n \) is the \( n \)th term of the Lucas sequence.

H-216 Proposed by Guy A. R. Guillette, 229 St. Joseph Blvd., Cowansville, Quebec, Canada.

Let \( G_m \) be a set of rational integers and
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \log_e \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_m}{(m)! \left( F_{2n+1} \right)^m} \right) \right] = \frac{\pi}{4} . \]

Find a formula for \( G_m \).

H-217 Proposed by S. Krishna, Orissa, India.

A. Show that
\[ 2^{4n-4x-4} \left( \frac{2x + 2}{x + 1} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{4n - 2x - 2}{2n - x - 1} \right) \pmod{4n + 1} , \]
where $n$ is a positive integer and $-1 \leq x \leq 2n - 1$ and $x$ is an integer, also.

B. Show that

$$2^{4n-4x-6} \left( \frac{2x + 4}{x + 2} \right) + \left( \frac{4n - 2x - 2}{2n - x - 1} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4n + 3},$$

where $n$ is a positive integer and $-2 \leq x \leq 2n - 1$ and $x$ is an integer, also.

**H-218** Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, California.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & 2 & 1 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

represent the matrix which corresponds to the staggered Pascal Triangle and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

represent the matrix which corresponds to the Pascal Binomial Array. Finally, let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots \\ 2 & 5 & 9 & 14 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

represent the matrix corresponding to the Fibonacci Convolution Array. Prove $AB = C$.

**H-219** Proposed by Paul Bruckman, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

Prove the identity

$$(-1)^n \binom{x}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (-2)^i \frac{x - n}{x - i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{x}{i},$$

where

$$\binom{x}{i} = \frac{x(x - 1)(x - 2) \cdots (x - i + 1)}{i!}$$

(x not necessarily an integer).

**H-220** Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

Show that
Define a sequence of positive integers to be \textit{left-normal} if given any string of digits, there exists a member of the given sequence beginning with this string of digits, and define the sequence to be \textit{right-normal} if there exists a member of the sequence ending with the string of digits.

Show that the sequence whose \( n \)th terms are given by the following are left-normal but not right-normal.

a. \( P(n) \), where \( P(x) \) is a polynomial function with integral coefficients.

b. \( P_n \), where \( P_n \) is the \( n \)th prime.

c. \( n! \)

d. \( F_n \), where \( F_n \) is the \( n \)th Fibonacci number.

\textit{Partial solution by R. Whitney, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania.}

Using a theorem of R. S. Bird*, one may show that each of the above is left-normal. If

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n} = \theta ,
\]

where \( \theta = 1 \) or \( \theta \) is not a rational power of 10 or if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n S_{n+2}}{S_{n+1}^2} = 1 ,
\]

then \( \{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is left-normal (extendable in base 10).

a. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{P(n+1)}{P(n)} = 1 ,
\]

hence \( \{P(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is left normal.

Thus \( \{n!\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is left-normal.

d. \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \]

thus \( \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is left-normal, also. The only question which remains is the demonstration that the sequences are not right-normal.

(c) is easy, since \( n! \) is divisible by 4 for \( n \geq 4 \). Clearly no factorial, then, ends in 21, in particular. The final problem which remains is the question of right-normality for (a) and (d).

**COMMENT ON H-174**

**H-174 Proposed by Daniel W. Burns, Chicago, Illinois.**

Let \( k \) be any non-zero integer and \( \{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be the sequence defined by \( S_n = nk \).

Define the Burns Function, \( B(k) \), as follows: \( B(k) \) is the minimal value of \( n \) for which each of the ten digits, 0, 1, \ldots, 9 have occurred in at least one \( S_m \) where \( 1 \leq m \leq n \). For example, \( B(1) = 10 \), \( B(2) = 45 \). Does \( B(k) \) exist for all \( k \)? If so, find an effective formula or algorithm for calculating it.

Comment by R. E. Whitney, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania.

Using the theorem by Bird, referred to in the above H-125, we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n + 1)^k}{nk} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \{nk\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \]

is left-normal, or extendable in base 10. Thus, in particular, the sequence 123 \ldots 90 occurs at \( \{nk\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \). The existence of \( B(k) \) now follows by well ordering. One can show that \( B(2k) > B(k) \) and other asserted inequalities.

**ANOTHER REMARK**

**H-182 Proposed by S. Krishna, Orissa, India.**

Prove or disprove:

(i) \[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2m + 1}, \]

and

(ii) \[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(2k - 1)^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2m + 1} \]
when \( 2m + 1 \) is prime and larger than 3.

Comment by R. E. Whitney, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania.

It is well known* that

\[
(iii) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2m+1},
\]

when \( 2m + 1 \) is prime and larger than 3.

Set

\[
\sigma_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2}.
\]

Using (iii), we have

\[
\frac{1}{4}\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2m+1}
\]
or

\[
\sigma_1 + 4\sigma_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2m+1}.
\]

From the above, it follows that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

NOT THIS TIME


Prove or disprove: If \( x + y + z = 2^{2n+1} - 1 \) and \( x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 2^{6n+1} - 1 \), then \( 6n + 1 \) and \( 2^{6n+1} - 1 \) are primes.

Solution by Paul Bruckman, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

The following particular solution is sufficient to prove that the conjecture is false. If \( (x, y, z) = (1, 2^{2n} - 2^n - 1, 2^{2n} + 2^n - 1) \), it is easily verified that this solution satisfies the requirements (a) \( x + y + z = 2^{2n+1} - 1 \), and (b) \( x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 2^{6n+1} - 1 \). Moreover, this is true for all non-negative integers \( n \), in particular when \( n = 4 \), i.e., \( 6n + 1 = 25 \), which is not prime. It might be of interest to determine if any other solutions, not necessarily Diophantine, exist, although this was not attempted here.

Also solved by T. Carroll, D. Finkel, and D. Zeitlin.
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