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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [5], Webb and Parberry discuss several divisibility properties for the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ of Fibonacci polynomials defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{0}(\mathrm{x})=0, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=1, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{xF}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}), \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, Webb and Parberry prove that $F_{p}(x)$ is irreducible over the integral domain of the integers if and only if $p$ is a prime.

In [1], Bergum and Kranzler develop many relationships which exist between the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ of Fibonacci polynomials and the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ of Lucas polynomials defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}(x)=2, \quad L_{1}(x)=x, \quad L_{n+2}(x)=x L_{n+1}(x)+L_{n}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically, Bergum and Kranzler show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) \mid \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \text { iff } \quad \mathrm{m}=(2 \mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{n}, \quad \mathrm{k} \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{n}=1$, we see that $\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ for all odd integers m so that the result of Webb and Parberry does not hold for the sequence $\left\{L_{n}(x)\right\}$.

In [4], Hoggatt and Long show that the result of Webb and Parberry does hold for the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right\}$ of generalized Fibonacci polynomials defined by the recursion
(4) $\quad \mathrm{U}_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0, \quad \mathrm{U}_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1, \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{xU}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{yU}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0$.

The purpose of this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the irreducibility of the elements of the sequence $\left\{L_{n}(x)\right\}$ as well as the elements of the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right\}$ of generalized Lucas polynomials defined by the recursion
(5) $\quad \mathrm{V}_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=2, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{x}, \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}+2}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{xV}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{y} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0$.

The first few terms of the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right\}$ are

```
n
1
\(2 \quad x^{2}+2 y\)
\(3 \quad x^{3}+3 x y\)
\(4 \quad x^{4}+4 x^{2} y+2 y^{2}\)
\(5 \quad x^{5}+5 x^{3} y+5 x y^{2}\)
\(6 \quad x^{6}+6 x^{4} y+9 x^{2} y^{2}+2 y^{3}\)
\(7 \quad x^{7}+7 x^{5} y+14 x^{3} y^{2}+7 x y^{3}\)
\(8 \quad x^{8}+8 x^{6} y+20 x^{4} y^{2}+16 x^{2} y^{3}+2 y^{4}\)
\(9 \quad x^{9}+9 x^{7} y+27 x^{5} y^{2}+30 x^{3} y^{3}+9 x y^{4}\).
```

Observe that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, 1)$ so that with $\mathrm{y}=1$, we also have the first nine terms of the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$.

## 2. IRREDUCIBILITY OF $L_{n}(x)$

The basic fact that we shall use is found in [2, p. 77] and is
Theorem 2.1. (Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion.) For a given prime p, let

$$
F(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}
$$

be any polynomial with integral coefficients such that

$$
a_{n-1} \equiv a_{n-2} \equiv \cdots \equiv a_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod p), \quad a_{n} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p), \quad a_{0} \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

then $F(x)$ is irreducible over the field of rationals.
To establish our first irreducibility theorem, we use the following.
Lemma 2.1. Every coefficient of $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$, except for the leading coefficient, is divisible by 2 and 4 does not divide the constant term.

Proof. If $\mathrm{n}=1$ then $\mathrm{L}_{2}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2}+2$ and the lemma is obviously true. Assume the lemma is true for $n$.

In [1], we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 k}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}(\mathrm{x})-2(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{2^{\mathrm{n}+1}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{L}_{2^{2}}(\mathrm{x})-2 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, it is obvious that $L_{{ }_{2} n+1}(x)$ is monic and every coefficient of $L_{2^{n}+1}(x)$ is divisible by 2. Furthermore, since $L_{2}{ }_{2}(x)$ has constant term +2 we see that $\mathrm{L}_{2}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ has constant term +4 , thus $\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})$ has constant term +2 . Therefore, the constant term of $\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x})$ is divisible by 2 but not by 4 and the lemma is proved.

An immediate result of Lemma 2.1 with the aid of Theorem 2.1 is

Theorem 2.2. The Lucas polynomial $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})$ is irreducible over the rationals for $\mathrm{k} \geq 1$. Although $L_{p}(x)$ is not irreducible if $p$ is a prime, we can show that $L_{p}(x) / x$ is irreducible for every odd prime $p$.

First we note, as is pointed out in [1], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}+\beta^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(\mathrm{x}+\sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+4}\right) / 2$ and $\beta=\left(\mathrm{x}-\sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+4}\right) / 2$. Hence, if $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{n}(x) & =\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right)^{n} / 2^{n}+\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right)^{n} / 2^{n} \\
& =2^{-n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} x^{n-k}\left(x^{2}+4\right)^{k / 2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^{k} x^{n-k}\left(x^{2}+4\right)^{k / 2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=2^{-(\mathrm{n}-1)} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{m}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+4\right)^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

$$
=2^{-(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{k}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{k}{s} x^{n-2 s_{2} 2 s}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(x) / x=2^{-(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{k}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{k}{s} x^{n-2 s-1} 2^{2 s}, \quad n=2 m+1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $s, 0 \leq s \leq m$, we see that the coefficient of $x^{n-2 s-1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-(n-2 s-1)} \sum_{k=s}^{m}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{k}{s}, \quad n=2 m+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $s=0$, we have the leading coefficient of $L_{n}(x)$ which is 1 so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{k}{0}=1, \quad n=2 m+1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $s=m$ in (11), we have the constant term of $L_{n}(x)$ which is $n$. If we nowlet $n$ be an odd prime $p$ and recall that $p$ divides

$$
\binom{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{k}}
$$

if $p$ is a prime, then $p$ is a factor of (11) for each value of $s$,

$$
1 \leq \mathrm{s} \leq \frac{(\mathrm{p}-3)}{2}
$$

Hence, by Eisenstein's criterion, the following is true.
Theorem 2.3. The polynomials $L_{p}(x) / x$ are irreducible over the rationals if $p$ is an odd prime.

By (11) and the fact that the coefficients of $L_{n}(x)$ are integers, we have
Corollary 2.1. If $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$ then $2^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1} \mathrm{n}$ divides

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~s}}
$$

for any $s$ such that $0 \leq s \leq m$.
Using (3) together with Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we have
Theorem 2.4. (a) The Lucas polynomials $L_{n}(x), n \geq 1$, are irredicuble over the rationals if and only if $n=2^{\mathrm{k}}$ for some integer $\mathrm{k} \geq 1$.
(b) The polynomials $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n}$ odd, are irreducible over the rationals if and only if n is a prime.

$$
\text { 3. IRREDUCIBILITY OF } V_{n}(x, y)
$$

It is a well known fact that
(13)

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}-\beta^{\mathrm{n}}}{\alpha-\beta}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0
$$

and
(14) $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}+\beta^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0$,
where $\alpha=\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+4 y}\right) / 2$ and $\beta=\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}+4 y}\right) / 2$.
In [4], we find
Lemma 3.1. (a) For $n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{[(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2]}\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}-1 \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{k}} . . . . . . .}
$$

(b) For $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$, $\mathrm{m} \geq 0$,

$$
\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right)=\mathrm{U}_{(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
$$

Using (13) and (14), a straightforward argument yields

Lemma 3.2. (a) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{yU}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 1$;
(b) $\quad \mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0$;
(c) $\mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{V}_{(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{y}^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{V}_{(2 \mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$

$$
=\mathrm{V}_{(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
$$

Using (a) of Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, we have, for $n \geq 1$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n}(x, y) & =\sum_{k=0}^{[(n-2) / 2]}\binom{n-k-2}{k} x^{n-2 k-2} y^{k+1}+\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\binom{n-k}{k} x^{n-2 k} y^{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{[n / 2]}\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} x^{n-2 k y^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\binom{n-k}{k} x^{n-2 k} y^{k}} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{[n / 2]}\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \frac{n}{k} x^{n-2 k} y^{k}+x^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,
Lemma 3.3. (a) For $n \geq 1, V_{n}\left(x, y^{2}\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $n$.
(b) If $n$ is odd then $x$ is a factor of $V_{n}\left(x, y^{2}\right)$ and $V_{n}\left(x, y^{2}\right) / x$ is homogeneous of degree $n-1$.

By (b) of Lemma 3.1, $\left(\mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{U}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\right)=1$. Using this fact together with (b) of Lemma 3.2 and induction on $k$ in (c) of Lemma 3.2, one obtains

Lemma 3.4. If $\mathrm{k} \geq 1$ then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mid \mathrm{V}_{(2 \mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$.
In [3, p. 376, Problem 5], we find
Lemma 3.5. A homogeneous polynomial $f(x, y)$ over a field $F$ is irreducible over $F$ if and only if the corresponding polynomial $f(x, 1)$ is irreducible over $F$.

Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 with Theorem 2.4, we have
Theorem 3.1. (a) The polynomials $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)$ are irreducible over the rationals if and only if $n=2^{k}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.
(b) The polynomials $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n}$ odd are irreducible over the rationals if and only if $n$ is an odd prime.

Since $f(x, y)$ is irreducible if $f\left(x, y^{2}\right)$ is irreducible and $x$ is a factor of $V_{n}(x, y)$ for n odd by (15), we apply Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.1 to obtain

Theorem 3.2. (a) The polynomials $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ are irreducible over the rationals if and only if $n=2^{k}$ for some integer $k$ greater than or equal to one.
(b) The polynomials $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) / \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n}$ odd, are irreducible over the rationals if and only if $n$ is an odd prime.

Letting $\mathrm{y}=1$ and $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$ in (15), we see that
(16)

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{m}}\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{k}-1} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1}$ in (16), $1 \leq \mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{m}$, with the result obtained in (11), we have

Corollary 3.1. If $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$ and $1 \leq \mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{m}$ then

$$
2^{-(n-2 s-1)} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~s}}=\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}-1}{\mathrm{~s}-1} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~s}}
$$
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