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## H-234 Proposed by R. E. Whitney, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania.

Suppose an alphabet, $A=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$, is given along with a binary connective, P (in prefix form). Define a well formed formula (wff) as follows: a wff is
(1) $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3, \cdots$, or
(2) If $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are wff's, then $P A_{1} A_{2}$ is a wff and
(3) The only wff's are of the above two types.

A wff of order $n$ is a wff in which the only alphabet symbols are $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ in that order with each letter occurring exactly once. There is one wff of order 1, namely $x_{1}$. There is one wff of order 2, namely $P_{x_{1} x_{2}}$. There are two wff's of order 3 , namely $\mathrm{Px}_{1} \mathrm{Px}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPx}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}$, and there are five wff's of order 4, etc.

Define a sequence

$$
\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}
$$

as follows:
$g_{i}$ is the number of distinct $w f f^{\prime} s$ of order i.
a. Find a recurrence relation for $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and
b. Find a generating function for $\left\{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty}$

H-235 Proposed by G. Wulczyn, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania.
a. Find the second-order ordinary differential equation whose power series solution is

$$
\sum^{\infty} F_{n+1} x^{n}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=0$
b. Find the second-order ordinary differential equation whose power series solution is

$$
\sum^{\infty} L_{n+1} x^{n}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=0$

H-236 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
Show that
(1)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} x^{n^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{(x)_{2 n}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{k}\right)
$$

(2)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} x^{(n+1)^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n+1}}{(x)_{2 n+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{k}\right)
$$

where $(x)_{k}=(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{k}\right), \quad(x)_{0}=1$.

SOLUTIONS

## TO COIN A THEOREM

H-199 Proposed by L. Carlitz and R. Scoville, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

A certain country's coinage consists of an infinite number of types of coins: $\cdots, C_{-2}$, $\mathrm{C}_{-1}, \mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \cdots$. The value $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of the coin $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is related to the others as follows: for all $n$,

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

Show that any (finite) pocketful of coins is equal in value to a pocketful containing at most one coin of each type.

## Solution by the Proposer.

Call a pocketful $Q$ canonical if it consists entirely of coins of different types and such that no three coins of "adjacent" types (e.g., $c_{n}, c_{n+1}$ and $c_{n+2}$ ) are present. Call two pocketfuls equivalent if they have the same value.

We will prove for any pocketful $P$ the statement:

$$
S: P \text { is equivalent to a canonical pocketful. }
$$

Note that any pocketful containing only differing types is equivalent to a canonical pocketful since the three adjacent coins of highest value, $C_{n}, C_{n+1}, C_{n+2}$ can be replaced by $C_{n+3}$, etc.

Assume for the moment, the following statement:

R: S is true for any canonical pocketful to which one extra coin has been added.
Then the general result follows by induction on the number of coins for any pocketful P: Remove a coin to get $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, apply the induction hypothesis to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ to get a canonical pocketful Q , return the removed coin and apply $R$.

Now to prove $R$, let us prove by induction on $j$ the series of statements $R_{j}$ :
$R_{j}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { If } Q \text { is any canonical pocketful in which the coin of least value is a } C_{n}, \text { then if } \\ \text { a } C_{n+j} \text { or a } C_{n+j} \text { and a } C_{n+j+1} \text { be added to } Q \text { to get a pocketful } P^{\prime}, \text { then } S \\ \text { is true for } P^{\prime} .\end{array}\right.$
Assume $R_{k}$ for all $k<j$ (it is obvious if $k \leq-3$ ). Now let $Q$ be canonical. We can suppose that $n+j=0$. Suppose $Q$ contains $\delta_{i}$ coins of type $C_{i}, \delta_{i}=0$ or $1, \delta_{i} \delta_{i+1} \delta_{i+2}=$ 0 for all i. Then

$$
* \quad \mathrm{Q} \cup \mathrm{C}_{0} \equiv \cdots \delta_{-3}, \delta_{-2}, \delta_{-1}, \delta_{0}+1, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \cdots
$$

If $\delta_{0}=0$, we are finished, so assume $\delta_{0}=1$. Then

$$
\mathrm{Q} \cup \mathrm{C}_{0} \equiv \cdots \delta_{-3}+1, \delta_{-2}, \delta_{-1}, \quad 0, \delta_{1}+1, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \cdots
$$

Again, if $\delta_{1}=0$, by induction, we are finished, so assume $\delta_{1}=1$. Then

$$
\mathrm{Q} \cup \mathrm{C}_{0} \equiv \cdots \delta_{-3}+1, \delta_{-2}+1, \delta_{-1}, \quad 0, \quad 0, \delta_{2}+1, \delta_{3} \cdots
$$

Now, since $\delta_{0} \delta_{1} \delta_{2}=0, \delta_{2}=0$ so we are finished.
For the next part,

$$
\mathrm{Q} \cup \mathrm{C}_{0} \cup \mathrm{C}_{1} \equiv \cdots \delta_{-3}, \delta_{-2}, \delta_{-1}, \delta_{0}+1, \delta_{1}+1, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3} \cdots
$$

If either $\delta_{0}$ or $\delta_{1}=0$, this case can be handled as above, so suppose $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ are 1. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} \cup \mathrm{C}_{0} \cup \mathrm{C}_{1} & \equiv \cdots \delta_{-3} \\
& \delta_{-2} \\
& \equiv \cdots \delta_{-1} \\
& \equiv 2 \\
\delta_{-3}+1 & \delta_{-2}+1
\end{aligned} \delta_{-1}+1
$$

and again, by induction, we are finished. This completes the proof.
We note, without proof, that no two canonical pocketfuls are equivalent.

Editorial Note: The given sequences identify the elements of the union.

## ASYMPTOTIC PI

H-200 Proposed by Guy A. R. Guillotte, Cowansville, Quebec, Canada.

Let $M(n)$ be the number of primes (distinct) which divide the binomial coefficient,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}} \equiv\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}^{*}
$$

Clearly, for $1 \leq n \leq 15$, we have $M(1)=0, M(2)=M(3)=1, M(4)=M(5)=2, M(6)=$ $M(7)=M(8)=M(9)=3, \quad M(10)=4, \quad M(11)=M(12)=M(14)=5, \quad M(13)=M(15)=6$, etc. Show that

$$
\{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n})\}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}
$$

has an upper bound and find an asymptotic formula for $M(n)$.
*Divide at least one $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$, where $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$.
Solution by D. Singmaster, Instituto Mathematica, Pisa, Italy.

For a prime p, if

$$
\mathrm{p} \left\lvert\,\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}\right.
$$

for some $k, 0 \leq k \leq n$, then $p \mid n$ ! and so $p \leq n$. Hence $M(n) \leq \pi(n)$, where $\pi(n)$ is the number of primes less than or equal to $n$. We claim $M(n) \sim \pi(n)$. To see this, we can use the following result of B. Ram. (See: L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 1; Chelsea, 1952; p. 274, item 98.) There is at most one prime $\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{n}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{p} \|\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and such a prime $p$ exists if and only if $n+1=a p^{s}$ with $1 \leq a<p<n$.
Since Ram's paper is somewhat inaccessible, I will prove a slight sharpening of it, using an accessible result. N. J. Fine ("Binomial Coefficients modulo a Prime," Amer. Math. Monthly, 54 (1947), 589-592, Theorem 4) has shown that

$$
\mathrm{p} /\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$ if and only if $\mathrm{n}=a \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{s}}-1$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{a}<\mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{s} \geq 0$. Now suppose we have two primes $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ with $p_{1}<p_{2} \leq n+1$ and

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} /\left(\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}\right.
$$

for $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$. By Fine's result, we have

$$
\mathrm{n}+1=\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\mathrm{S}_{1}}=\mathrm{a}_{2} p_{2}^{\mathrm{S}_{2}}
$$

with $1 \leq a_{1}<p_{1}$ and $1 \leq a_{2}<p_{2}$. But $a_{1}<p_{1}<p_{2}$ implies that $p_{2} \nmid a_{1} p_{1} s_{1}$, so $s_{2}=0$ and $n+1=a_{2}<p_{2}$, contrary to $p_{2} \leq n+1$. Hence there is at most one prime $p \leq n+1$ such that

$$
\mathrm{p} /\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and such a p exists if and only if $\mathrm{n}+1=\mathrm{ap}^{\mathrm{S}}$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{a}<\mathrm{p}$. (More discussion related to this may be found in my survey paper: "Divisibility of Binomial and Multinomial Coefficients by Primes and Prime Powers," (to appear).)

By carefully examining the role of $n+1$, we can deduce the following formulas for M(n).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(n)= \begin{cases}\pi(n+1) & \text { if } n+1 \neq \mathrm{ap}^{\mathrm{s}} \text { with } 1 \leq a<p \\
\pi(n+1)-1 \quad \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} \\
& M(n)= \begin{cases}\pi(n) & \text { if } n+1 \neq \text { ap }^{\text {s }} \text { with } 1 \leq a<p \leq n \\
\pi(n)-1 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $M(n) \sim \pi(n) \sim[(\log n) / n]^{-1}$.
Incidentally, $M(13)=M(15)=5$, contrary to what was asserted in the statement of the problem. The first place where $M(n)>M(n+1)$ is $n=83$, where $M(83)=23$ and $M(84)$ $=22$. The next cases are $n=89$ and $n=104$. From the expression for $M(n)$, we have the following necessary conditions for such an $n$ : $n+1$ must have three distinct prime factors and $\mathrm{n}+2$ must not be prime.

Also solved by the Proposer.

## DISPLAY CASE

H-201 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, California.

Copy $1,1,3,8, \cdots, F_{2 n-2}(\mathrm{n} \geq 1)$ down in staggered columns as in display C:

C

| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | . | . | . | . |  |  |.

(i) Show that the row sums are $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \cdots)$.
(ii) Show that, if the columns are multiplied by $1,2,3, \cdots$, sequentially to the right, then the row sums are $F_{2 n+2}(n=0,1,2, \cdots)$.
(iii) Show that the rising diagonal sums ( $\nearrow$ ) are $F_{n+1}^{2}(n=0,1,2, \cdots)$.

Solution by P. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.
(i) Let $R_{n}$ denote the row sum of the $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ row, $(n=0,1,2, \cdots)$, with $R_{0}=1$. $R_{n}=1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{2 n-2 k}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_{2 k}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(F_{2 k+1}-F_{2 k-1}\right)=1+F_{2 n+1}-1=F_{2 n+1}$,
as asserted.
(ii) Let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ denote the sum as defined in the problem, for the $(\mathrm{n}+1)^{\text {th }}$ row, $(\mathrm{n}=0$, $1,2, \cdots)$, with $S_{0}=1$. Then, if $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k_{2 n+2-2 k}+(n+1) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k) F_{2 k+2}+(n+1)=(n+1)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{2 k+2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} 1 \\
=(n+1)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} F_{2 k+2} & =(n+1)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(F_{2 n-2 i+1}-1\right)=(n+1)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{2 i+1}-n \\
& =1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F_{2 i+2}-F_{2 i}\right)=1+F_{2 n+2}-F_{2}=F_{2 n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as asserted. This is also true for $\mathrm{n}=0$.
(iii) Let $T_{n}$ denote the rising diagonal sums. Then, if $n \geq 2$,
$T_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2} n} F_{4 k}+1, \quad$ if $n$ is even; $\quad T_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} F_{4 k-2}, \quad$ if $n$ is odd; $\quad T_{0}=T_{1}=1$.

$$
T_{2 m}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} F_{4 k}+1=F_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(F_{4 k+1}-F_{4 k-1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 m}(-1)^{i} F_{2 i+1}
$$

also,

$$
T_{2 m+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} F_{4 k-2}=\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}\left(F_{4 k-1}-F_{4 k-3}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 m+1}(-1)^{i+1} F_{2 i+1}
$$

Combining these results, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n} & =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i} F_{2 i+1}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\left(F_{i+1}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i} F_{i+1}^{2}-(-1)^{n-i+1} F_{i}^{2}=(-1)^{n-n} F_{n+1}^{2}-(-1)^{n+1} \cdot 0=F_{n+1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This last result is also true for $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$.
Also solved by the Proposer'and one unsigned solver.

