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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with developing and establishing further identities for the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$, with particular emphasis on summation properties. First we obtain a number of power identities by substitution into some known identities and then we establish a number of summation identities. Next we proceed to derive some further summation identities involving the fourth power of generalized Fibonacci numbers $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ from a consideration of the ordinary Pascal triangle. Finally, we arrive at some additional summation identities by applying standard difference equation theory to the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$. Notation and definitions of Walton and Horadam [9] are assumed.

## 2. POWER IDENTITIES FOR THE SEQUENCE $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$

In this section a number of new power identities for the generalized Fibonacci numbers $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ have been obtained by following the reasoning of Zeitlin [10], for similar identities relating to the ordinary Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ 。
Use will be made of identities (11) and (12) of Horadam [6] , viz.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{n} H_{n+2}-H_{n+1}^{2}=(-1)^{n+1} d  \tag{2.1}\\
H_{m+n} H_{m+k}-H_{m} H_{m+n+k}=(-1)^{m+2 h} d F_{h} F_{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

(where we have substituted $n=m+h, h=s$ and $k=r+s+1$ ), and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k+1} H_{m-k}+H_{k} H_{m-k-1}=(2 p-q) H_{m}-d F_{m} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side of (2.3) is derived from (9) of Horadam [6].
Re-writing (2.1) in the form
yields
(2.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{n}^{2}-H_{n+1}^{2}=(-1)^{n+1} d-H_{n} H_{n+1}  \tag{2.4}\\
& H_{n+1}^{4}+H_{n}^{4}=\left(H_{n}^{2}-H_{n+1}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 H_{n}^{2} H_{n+1}^{2}=d^{2}+2(-1)^{n} d H_{n} H_{n+1}+3 H_{n}^{2} H_{n+1}^{2} \\
&-2 H_{n+1}^{3} H_{n}-H_{n+1}^{2} H_{n}^{2}+2 H_{n+1} H_{n}^{3}=2 H_{n} H_{n+1}\left[(-1)^{n+1} d-H_{n} H_{n+1}\right]-H_{n}^{2} H_{n+1}^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
&=-2(-1)^{n} d H_{n} H_{n+1}-3 H_{n}^{2} H_{n+1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (2.5) and (2.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}^{4}-2 H_{n+1}^{3} H_{n}-H_{n+1}^{2} H_{n}^{2}+2 H_{n+1} H_{n}^{3}+H_{n}^{4}=d^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now substitute the identities

[^0](2.8)
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
H_{n+4}=3 H_{n+1}+2 H_{n} \\
H_{n+3}=2 H_{n+1}+H_{n} \\
H_{n+2}=H_{n+1}+H_{n}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

into the expression

$$
H_{n+4}^{4}-4 H_{n+3}^{4}-19 H_{n+2}^{4}-4 H_{n+1}^{4}+H_{n}^{4}
$$

we have -6 times the left-hand side of (2.7), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+4}^{4}-4 H_{n+3}^{4}-19 H_{n+2}^{4}-4 H_{n+1}^{4}+H_{n}^{4}=-6 d^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Re-arranging (2.9) and substituting $n=n+1$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+5}^{4}=4 H_{n+4}^{4}+19 H_{n+3}^{4}+4 H_{n+2}^{4}-H_{n+1}^{4}-6 d^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that substitution for $-6 d^{2}$ from (2.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+5}^{4}=5 H_{n+4}^{4}+15 H_{n+3}^{4}-15 H_{n+2}^{4}-5 H_{n+1}^{4}+H_{n}^{4} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note here that (2.9) is a verification of (4.6) of Zeitlin [11].
If we now let $V_{n}=H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}$, we may re-write (2.9) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k+3}-3 V_{k+2}-22 V_{k+1}-26 V_{k}-25 H_{k}^{4}=-6 d^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{k+j}=H_{n+j+1}^{4}-H_{j}^{4}
$$

Summing both sides of (2.12) over $k$, where $k=0,1, \cdots, n$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
25 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k}^{4}=H_{n+4}^{4}-3 H_{n+3}^{4}-22 H_{n+2}^{4}-26 H_{n+1}^{4}+6(n+1) d^{2}+\delta, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\delta=9 & \text { for the Fibonacci numbers }\left\{F_{n}\right\} p^{4}-\end{array}\right) .20 p^{3} q-6 p^{2} q^{2}+4 p q^{3}+28 q^{4}$.
Substituting for $H_{n+4}^{4}$ in (2.13) by using (2.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
25 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k}^{4}=H_{n+3}^{4}-3 H_{n+2}^{4}-22 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}+6 n d^{2}+\delta \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields the obvious result
(2.15)

$$
H_{n+3}^{4}-3 H_{n+2}^{4}-22 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}+6 n d^{2}+\delta^{\prime \prime} \equiv 0 \bmod 25
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta^{\prime}=9 p^{4}-20 p^{3} q-6 p^{2} q^{2}+4 p q^{3}+3 q^{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left(\delta^{\prime}=g\right.$ forthe Fibonacci numbers $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$.)
Multiplying (2.11) by $(-1)^{n+5}$ and replacing $n$ by $k$ gives
(2.16)

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{k+4}+6 W_{k+3}-9 W_{k+2}-24 W_{k+1}-19 W_{k}=18(-1)^{k} H_{k}^{4} \\
W_{n}=(-1)^{n+1} H_{n+1}^{4}-(-1)^{n} H_{n}^{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where
(2.17)

Summing over both sides of (2.16) for $k=0,1, \cdots, n$, and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k+j}=(-1)^{n+j+1} H_{n+j+1}^{4}-(-1)^{j} H_{j}^{4} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.18 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{k}^{4}=(-1)^{n}\left[-H_{n+5}^{4}+6 H_{n+4}^{4}+9 H_{n+3}^{4}-24 H_{n+2}^{4}+19 H_{n+1}^{4}\right]+6 \epsilon\right] \text { (2.11) } \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon=2 p^{3} q-3 p^{2} q^{2}-2 p q^{3}+3 q^{4}\left(=q\left(2 p^{3}-3 p^{2} q-2 p q^{2}+3 q^{3}\right)\right)
$$

( $\epsilon=0$ for the Fibonacci numbers $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$.)
Therefore, on using (2.11), we have

$$
18 \begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{k}^{4} & =(--1)^{n}\left[H_{n+4}^{4}-6 H_{n+3}^{4}-9 H_{n+2}^{4}+24 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}\right]+6 \epsilon  \tag{2.20}\\
& =2\left\{(-1)^{n}\left[-H_{n+3}^{4}+5 H_{n+2}^{4}+14 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}-3 d^{2}\right]+3 \epsilon\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

on using (2.9). Now (2.20) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+4}^{4}-6 H_{n+3}^{4}-9 H_{n+2}^{4}+24 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4} \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we conclude that
(2.22)

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{n+4}^{4}-9 H_{n+2}^{4}-H_{n}^{4} \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \\
H_{n+4}^{4}-H_{n}^{4} \equiv 0 \bmod 3
\end{gathered}
$$

so that
(2.23)

We will now use the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k+1} H_{k+2} H_{k+4} H_{k+5}=H_{k+3}^{4}-d^{2} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which is a generalization of an identity for the sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ stated by Gelin and proved by Cesàro - see Dickson [2]) to establish the two results

$$
\begin{align*}
& 25 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k+1} H_{k+2} H_{k+4} H_{k+5}=26 H_{n+3}^{4}+22 H_{n+2}^{4}+3 H_{n+1}^{4}-H_{n}^{4}-19 n d^{2}-25 d^{2}+\delta-50 t^{2}  \tag{2.25}\\
& g \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} H_{k+1} H_{k+2} H_{k+4} H_{k+5}=(-1)^{m}\left[-H_{m+6}^{4}+5 H_{m+5}^{4}+14 H_{m+4}^{4}-H_{m+3}^{4}-3 d^{2}\right] \\
& -3 \epsilon-9 d^{2} g(m)+18 \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(m)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } m=2 n-1, \\ 1 & \text { if } m=2 n, 2, \ldots \\ m=0,1, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=q^{4}+2 q^{3} p+3 q^{2} p^{2}+2 q p^{3}\left(=q\left(q^{3}+2 q^{2} p+3 q p^{2}+2 q^{3}\right)\right) \\
t=p^{2}+p q+q^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the Fibonacci numbers $\left\{F_{n}\right\}, \gamma=0, t=1$.
Proof: Sun both sides of (2.24) with respect to $k$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
25 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k+1} H_{k+2} H_{k+4} H_{k+5} & =25 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k+3}^{4}-25(n+1) d^{2}  \tag{2.27}\\
9 \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} H_{k+1} H_{k+2} H_{k+4} H_{k+5} & =9 \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} H_{k+3}^{4}-9 d^{2} g(m), \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(m)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}
$$

Now,
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k+3}^{4}=\sum_{j=0}^{n+3} H_{j}^{4}-2 t^{2}, \\
t=p^{2}+p q+q^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

so that on using (2.14), with $n$ replaced by $n+3$, the right-hand side of (2.27) reduces to

$$
H_{n+6}^{4}-3 H_{n+5}^{4}-22 H_{n+4}^{4}-H_{n+3}^{4}-19 n d^{2}-7 d^{2}+\delta-50 t^{2}
$$

Eliminating $H_{n+6}^{4}, H_{n+5}^{4}$ and $H_{n+4}^{4}$ by using (2.9) gives (2.25). Since

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} H_{k+3}^{4}=-\sum_{j=0}^{m+3}(-1)^{j} H_{j}^{4}+2 \gamma,
$$

where

$$
\gamma=q^{4}+2 q^{3} p+3 q^{2} p^{2}+2 p q^{3},
$$

use of (2.20), where $m+3$ replaces $n$, and of (2.28) yields (2.26).
From (2.2) with $m=n-j, h=j$ and $k=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n} H_{n-j+1}-H_{n-j} H_{n+1}=(-1)^{n+j} d F_{j} F_{1}=(-1)^{n+j} d F_{j} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
H_{n}=H_{n+2}-H_{n+1},
$$

so that (2.29) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+2} H_{n+1-j}-H_{n+1} H_{n+2-j}=(-1)^{n+j} d F_{j} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3), with $m=2 n+4-j$ and $k=n+2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 p-q) H_{2 n+4-j}-d F_{2 n+4-j}=H_{n+3} H_{n+2-j}+H_{n+2} H_{n+1-j} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $H_{n+2} H_{n+1-j}$ in (2.30) by means of (2.31) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 p-q) H_{2 n+4-j}-d F_{2 n+4-j} & =H_{n+3} H_{n+2-j}+H_{n+1} H_{n+2-j}+(-1)^{n+j} d F_{j} \\
& =\left(p L_{n+3}+q L_{n+2}\right) H_{n+2-j}+(-1)^{n+j} d F_{j} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{j+1} H_{j+1}\left\{(2 p-q) H_{2 n+4-j}-d F_{2 n+4-j}\right\} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{j+1}\left(p L_{n+3}+q L_{n+2}\right) H_{n+2-j} H_{j+1}+(-1)^{n+1} d H_{j+1} F_{j} . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.2) with $m=j+1, h=n+1-j$ and $k=n+2-j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+2} H_{n+3}-H_{j+1} H_{2 n+4-j}=(-1)^{j+1} d F_{n+1-j} F_{n+2-j} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that
(2.35) $(-1)^{j+1} H_{j+1}(2 p-q) H_{2 n+4-j}=(-1)^{j+1}(2 p-q) H_{n+2} H_{n+3}-d(2 p-q) F_{n+1-j} F_{n+2-j}$.

Substituting (2.35) into (2.33) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 p-q) d F_{n+1-j} F_{n+2-j}+(-1)^{j+1}\left(p L_{n+3}\right. & \left.+q L_{n+2}\right) \cdot H_{n+2-j} H_{j+1}+(-1)^{j+1} d H_{j+1} F_{2 n+4-j} \\
& +(-1)^{n+1} H_{j+1} F_{j}=(-1)^{j+1}(2 p-q) H_{n+2} H_{n+3} . \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

The following identities may be proved by induction:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{m+3 k}=(-1)^{n} H_{m+3 n+1}+H_{m-2} & (m=2,3, \cdots) \\
3 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{m+4 k}=(-1)^{n} H_{m+4 n+2}+H_{m-2} & (m=2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.38}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
11 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{m+5 k}=(-1)^{n}\left[5 H_{m+5 n+1}+2 H_{m+5 n}\right]+4 H_{m}-5 H_{m-1} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(m=1,2, \ldots)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k} H_{2 k+1}=H_{2 n+3} H_{n}+H_{2 n} H_{2 n+3}-2 q^{2} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{m+2 k}^{2}=(-1)^{n} H_{m+2 n} H_{m+2 n+2}+H_{m} H_{m-2} \quad(m=2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
7 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{m+4 k}^{2}=(-1)^{n} H_{m+4 n} H_{m+4 n+4}+H_{m} H_{m-4} \quad(m=4,5, \ldots) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} H_{k+2} H_{k+1}^{2}=H_{n+3} H_{n+2} H_{n+1}-p q(p+q) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{k} H_{k+1}^{2}=(-1)^{n} H_{n+2} H_{n+1} H_{n}+p q(p-q) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Zeitlin [11] has also examined numerous power identities for the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ as special cases of even power identities found for the generalized sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ used in Horadam [7], and earlier by Tagiuri (Dickson [2]). As seen in Horadam [7], the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ is a particular case of generalized sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ for $a=q, b=p, r=1$ and $s=-1$. Hence applying these results to (3.1), Theorem I, of Zeitlin [11] yields, for $n=0,1, \cdots$ (see (2.47) below):

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m r n} \sum_{k=0}^{2 t}(-1)^{m r t} b_{k}^{(2 t)}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) H_{m(n+2 t-k)+n_{0}}^{2 r} \quad(i=\sqrt{-1})  \tag{2.45}\\
& =(-1)^{r n_{0}+m t(4 r-t-1) / 2}\binom{2 r}{r}(-5)^{t-r} d^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{t} F_{m k}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{m t(4 r-t-1) / 2} & =(-1)^{2 m t r-m t(t+1) / 2} \\
& =(-1)^{2 m t r-m t(t+1)+m t(t+1) / 2} \\
& =(-1)^{m t(t+1) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2 m t r$ and $m t(t+1)^{*}$ are always even. Hence, we may rewrite (2.45) as


$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m r n} \sum_{k=0}^{2 t}(-1)^{m r t} b_{k}^{(2 t)}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) H_{m}^{2 r}(n+2 t-k)+n_{0}  \tag{2.46}\\
& =(-1)^{r n_{0}+m t(t+1) / 2}\binom{2 r}{r}(-5)^{t-r} d^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{t} F_{m k}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{0}=0,1, \cdots ; m, t=1,2, \cdots, r=0,1, \cdots, t$, and where the

$$
b_{k}^{(2 t)}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right), \quad k=0,1, \cdots, 2 t
$$

are defined (as a special case of (2.9) of Zeitlin [11]) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{2 t} b_{k}^{(2 t)}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) v^{2 t-k}=\prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(y^{2}-(-1)^{m k} L_{2 m k} y+1\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now consider $r=t=1$ in (2.46) and then (2.47), then (2.46) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m n}\left[H_{m(n+2)+n_{0}}^{2}-L_{2 m} H_{m(n+1)+n_{0}}^{2}+H_{m n+n_{0}}^{2}\right]=2(-1)^{m+n_{0}} d F_{n}^{2} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

on calculation. This corresponds to (4.5) of Zeitlin [11].
Similarly, we can obtain (4.6) to (4.16) of Zeitlin [11] by the correct substitutions into (2.46) and (2.47), where as already mentioned, (4.6) is our previous identity, (2.9). Identities (4.7) to (4.16) of Zeitlin should be noted for reference and comparison.

## 3. FOURTH POWER GENERALIZED FIBONACCIIDENTITIES

Hoggatt and Bicknell [5] have derived numerous identities involving the fourth power of Fibonacci numbers $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ from Pascal's triangle.

By considering the same matrices $S$ and $U$ where $u_{1}=H_{0}=q$ and $u_{2}=H_{1}=p$, i.e.,

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{3.1}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $U=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is the column matrix defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i 1}=\binom{4}{i-1} H_{0}^{5-i} H_{1}^{i-1}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, 5 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following identities for the fourth power of generalized Fibonacci numbers may easily be verified by proceeding as in Hoggatt and Bicknell [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+1}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+1}{i} H_{j+j}^{4}=25^{n}\left(H_{2 n+j}^{4}-H_{2 n+j+1}^{4}\right)=A_{j} \quad \text { (say) } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+2}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+2}{i} H_{i+j}^{4}=25^{n}\left(H_{2 n+j}^{4}-2 H_{2 n+j+1}^{4}+H_{2 n+j+2}^{4}\right)=A_{j}-A_{j+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.5) $\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+3}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+3}{i} H_{i+j}^{4}=25^{n}\left(H_{2 n+j}^{4}-3 H_{2 n+j+1}^{4}+3 H_{2 n+j+2}^{4}-H_{2 n+j+3}^{4}\right)=A_{j}-2 A_{j+1}+A_{j+2}$
(3.6) $\quad \begin{array}{r}\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+4}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+4}{i} H_{i+j}^{4}=25^{n}\left(H_{2 n+j}^{4}-4 H_{2 n+j+1}^{4}+6 H_{2 n+j+2}^{4}-4 H_{2 n+j+3}^{2}+H_{2 n+j+4}^{4}\right) \\ =A_{j}-3 A_{j+1}+3 A_{j+2}-\end{array}$

$$
=A_{j}-3 A_{j+1}+3 A_{j+2}-A_{j+3}
$$

Noting that the coefficients of the terms involving the $A^{\prime} s$ on the right-hand side of the above equations are the first four rows of Pascal's triangle, we deduce the general identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+k}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+k}{i} \quad H_{i+j}^{4} & =25^{n}\left(H_{2 n+j}^{4}-(k-1) H_{2 n+j+1}^{4}+\cdots+(-1)^{k-1} H_{2 n+j+k}^{4}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =A_{j}-(k-1) A_{j+1}+\cdots+(-1)^{k-1} A_{j+k}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4 n+5}(-1)^{i}\binom{4 n+5}{i} H_{i+j}^{4}=25^{n+1}\left(H_{2 n+j+2}^{4}-H_{2 n+j+3}^{4}\right)=25 A_{j+2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in the recurrence relation
i.e.,
(3.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{j}-4 A_{j+1}+6 A_{j+2}-4 A_{j+3}+A_{j+4}=25 A_{j+2}  \tag{3.9}\\
A_{j}-4 A_{j+1}-19 A_{j+2}-4 A_{j+3}+A_{j+4}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

on equating (3.8) and (3.7) with $k=5$. Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(j)=H_{n+j}^{4}-4 H_{n+j+1}^{4}-19 H_{n+j+2}^{4}-4 H_{n+j+3}^{4}+H_{n+j+4}^{4} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
25^{n}\{G(j)-G(j+1)\}=A_{j}-4 A_{j+1}-19 A_{j+2}-4 A_{j+3}+A_{j+4} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $G(j)$ is a constant.

$$
=0 \quad \text { on using (3.10). }
$$

When $n=j=0,(3.11)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0)=-6 d^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to identity (2.9) which is in turn a generalization of a result due to Zeitlin [10] while also being a verification of a result due to Hoggatt and Bicknell [5] and also Zeitlin [11].

## 4. FURTHER GENERALIZED FIBONACCI IDENTITIES

In addition to the numerous identities of, say, Carlitz and Ferns [1], Iyer [4], Zietlin [10], [11], Subba Rao [8] and Hoggatt and Bicknell [5], Harris [3] has also listed many identities for the Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ which may be generalized to yield new identities for the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k H_{k}=n H_{n+2}-H_{n+3}+H_{3} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: if

$$
u_{k} \Delta v_{k}=\Delta\left(u_{k} v_{k}\right)-v_{k+1} \Delta u_{k}
$$

( $\Delta$ is the difference operator) then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k} \Delta v_{k}=\left[u_{k} v_{k}\right]_{0}^{n+1}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_{k+1} \Delta u_{k}
$$

Let $u_{k}=k$ and $\Delta v_{k}=H_{k}$. Then

$$
\Delta u_{k}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad v_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} H_{i}=H_{k+1}-p
$$

Omitting the constant $-p$ from $v_{k}$, we find

1974] SOME FURTHER IDENTITIES FOR THE GENERALIZED FIBONACCI SEQUENCE $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$
$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k H_{k}=\left[k H_{k+1}\right]_{0}^{n+1}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} 1 \cdot H_{k+2}=(n+1) H_{n+2}-H_{n+4}-p-H_{1}-H_{0}=n H_{n+2}-H_{n+3}+(2 p+q)$.
Using this technique, we also have the following identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} k H_{k}=(-1)^{n}(n+1) H_{n-1}+(-1)^{n-1} H_{n-2}-H_{-3} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2} H_{2 k}=\left(n^{2}+2\right) H_{2 n+1}-(2 n+1) H_{2 n}-(2 n-q) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2} H_{k}=\left(n^{2}+2\right) H_{n+2}-(2 n-3) H_{n+3}-H_{6} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{3} H_{k}=\left(n^{3}+6 n-12\right) H_{n+2}-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+19\right) H_{n+3}+(50 p+31 q) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{4} H_{k}=\left(n^{4}+12 n^{2}-48 n+98\right) H_{n+2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\left(4 n^{3}-18 n^{2}+76 n-159\right) H_{n+3}-(416 p+257 q)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
5 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{2 k}=(-1)^{n}\left(H_{2 n+2}+H_{2 n}\right)-(p-3 q)  \tag{4.11}\\
5 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} H_{2 k+1}=(-1)^{n}\left(H_{2 n+3}+H_{2 n+1}\right)+(2 p-q) \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} k H_{2 k}=(-1)^{n}\left(n H_{2 n+2}+(n+1) H_{2 n}\right)-q \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
5 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} k H_{2 k+1}=(-1)^{n}\left(n H_{2 n+3}+(n+1) H_{2 n+1}\right)-p  \tag{4.14}\\
4 \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} k H_{m+3 k}=2(-1)^{n}(n+1) H_{m+3 n+1}-(-1)^{n} H_{m+3 n+2}-H_{m-1} \quad(m=2,3, \ldots)
\end{gather*}
$$

and so on.

## REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz and H. H. Ferns, "Some Fibonacci and Lucas Identities"" The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 8, No. 1 (Feb. 1970), pp. 61-73.
2. L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 1, New York, 1952, pp. 393-407.
3. V. C. Harris, "Identities Involving Fibonacci Numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 3, No. 3 (Oct. 1965), pp. 214-218.
4. M. R. Iyer, "Identities Involving Generalized Fibonacci Numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 7, No. 1 (Feb. 1969), pp. 66-73.
5. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and M. Bicknell, "Fourth-Power Fibonacci Identities from Pascal's Triangle," The Fibonacei Quarterly, Vol. 2, No. 4 (Dec. 1964), pp. 26i-266.
6. A.F. Horadam, "A Generalized Fibonacci Sequence, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 68, No. 5, 1961, pp. 455-459.
7. A. F. Horadam, "Basic Properties of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 3, No. 3 (Oct. 1965), pp. 161-176.
8. K. Subba Rao, "Some Properties of Fibonacci Numbers," Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 60, No. 10, 1953, pp.680684.
9. J.E. Walton and A. F. Horadam, "Some Aspects of Generalized Fibonacci Numbers," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 12, No. 3 (Oct. 1974), pp. 241-250.
10. D. Zeitlin, "On Identities for Fibonacci Numbers," Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 70, No. 11, 1963,pp.987-991.
11. D. Zeitlin, "Power Identities for Sequences Defined by $W_{n+2}=d W_{n+1}-c W_{n}$ " Tike Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 3, No. 4 (Dec. 1965), pp. 241-256.

## * * *

[Continued from Page 271.]
where $X$ is the largest root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}-x^{3}-3 x^{2}+x+1=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The astonishing appearance of (1) stems from a peculiarity of (3). The Galois group of this quartic is the octic group (the symmetries of a square), and its resolvent cubic is therefore reducible:
(4)

$$
z^{3}-8 z-7=(z+1)\left(z^{2}-z-7\right)=0
$$

The common discriminant of (3) and (4) equals $725=5^{2} \cdot 29$. While the quartic field $Q(X)$ contains $Q(\sqrt{5})$ as a subfield it does not contain $Q(\sqrt{29})$. Yet $X$ can be computed from any root of (4). The rational root $z=-1$ gives $X=(A+1) / 4$ while $z=(1+\sqrt{29}) / 2$ gives $X=(B+1) / 4$.
It is clear that we can construct any number of such incredible identities from other quartics having an octic group. For example

$$
x^{4}-x^{3}-5 x^{2}-x+1=0
$$

has the discriminant $4205=29^{2} \cdot 5$, and so the two expressions involve $\sqrt{5}$ and $\sqrt{29}$ once again. But this time $Q(\sqrt{29})$ is in $Q(X)$ and $Q(\sqrt{5})$ is not.


[^0]:    * Part of the substance of an M.Sc. thesis presented to the University of New England in 1968.

