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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Hoggatt and Bicknell discuss the numerator polynomial coefficient arrays associated with the row generating functions for the convolution arrays of the Catalan sequence and related sequences [2], [3]. In this paper, we examine the numerator polynomials and coefficient arrays associated with the row generating functions for the convolution arrays of the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=1, \quad H_{2}=P, \quad H_{n}=H_{n-1}+H_{n-2}, \quad n \geqslant 3, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the characteristic $D=P^{2}-P-1$ is a prime. A partial list of $P$ for which the characteristic is a prime is given in Table 1. A zero indicates that the characteristic is composite, while $P^{2}-P-1$ is given if the characteristic is a prime.

Table 1
Characteristic $P^{2}-P-1$ is Prime, $1 \leqslant P \leqslant 179$

| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 29 | 41 | 0 | 71 |
| 1 | 89 | 109 | 131 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 239 | 271 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 379 | 419 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 599 | 0 | 701 | 0 | 811 |
| 3 | 0 | 929 | 991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 0 | 1481 |
| 4 | 1559 | 0 | 1721 | 0 | 0 | 1979 | 2069 | 2161 | 0 | 2351 |
| 5 | 0 | 2549 | 0 | 0 | 2861 | 2969 | 3079 | 3191 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 3539 | 3659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4159 | 4289 | 4421 | 0 | 4691 |
| 7 | 0 | 4969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5851 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6971 | 0 | 7309 | 7481 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8741 | 8929 | 0 | 9311 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 10099 | 10301 | 0 | 10711 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13109 | 13339 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 14519 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15749 | 16001 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 17029 | 17291 | 0 | 0 | 18089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19181 |
| 14 | 0 | 19739 | 20021 | 0 | 0 | 20879 | 21169 | 0 | 0 | 22051 |
| 15 | 22349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23561 | 23869 | 24179 | 0 | 0 | 25121 |
| 16 | 25439 | 25759 | 0 | 0 | 26731 | 27059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 28729 | 0 | 29411 | 0 | 0 | 30449 | 0 | 31151 | 0 | 0 |

Examining Table 1, we see that $P^{2}-P-1$ is never prime, with the exception of $P=3$, whenever $P$ is an integer whose units digit is a 3 or an 8 . This is so because $P^{2}-P-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ if $P \equiv 3(\bmod 5)$. Furthermore, we note that there are some falling diagonals which are all zeros. This occurs whenever $P \equiv-3(\bmod 11)$ or $P \equiv 4(\bmod 11)$.
If $P$ is an integer whose units digit is not congruent to 3 modulo 5 , then $P^{2}-P-1 \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$ and we see why no prime, in fact no integer, of the form $5 k \pm 2$ would occur in Table 1.

There also exist primes of the form $5 k \pm 1$ which are not of the form $P^{2}-P-1$. Such primes are 31,61 , 101, 59, 79, and 119. The last observation leads one to question the cardinality of $P$ for which $P^{2}-P-1$ is a prime. The authors believe that there exist an infinite number of values for which the characteristic is a prime. However, the proof escapes discovery at the present time and is not essential for the completion of this paper.
2. A SPECIAL CASE

The convolution array, written in rectangular form, for the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $P=3$ is
Convolution Array when $P=3$.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 17 | 39 | 70 | 110 | 159 | 217 | 284 |
| 7 | 38 | 120 | 280 | 545 | 942 | 1498 | 2240 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 80 | 315 | 905 | 2120 | 4311 | 7910 | 13430 |
| 18 | 158 | 753 | 2568 | 7043 | 16536 | 34566 | 66056 |
| $\ldots-$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The generating function $C_{m}(x)$ for the $m^{\text {th }}$ column of the convolution array is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}(x)=\left[\frac{1+2 x}{1-x-x^{2}}\right]^{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2 x) C_{m-1}(x)+\left(x+x^{2}\right) C_{m}(x)=C_{m}(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $R_{n, m}$ as the element in the $n^{\text {th }}$ row and $m^{\text {th }}$ column of the convolution array, we see from (3) that the rule of formation for the convolution array is
(4)

$$
R_{n, m}=R_{n-1, m}+R_{n-2, m}+R_{n, m-1}+2 R_{n-1, m-1} .
$$

Pictorially, this is given by

|  | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $c$ | $b$ |
| $d$ | $x$ |

where
(5)

$$
x=a+b+d+2 c .
$$

Letting $R_{m}(x)$ be the generating function for the $m^{\text {th }}$ row of the convolution array and using (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{1-x} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(7)

$$
R_{2}(x)=\frac{3}{(1-x)^{2}}
$$

and
(8)

$$
R_{m}(x)=\frac{(1+2 x) N_{m-1}(x)+(1-x) N_{m-2}(x)}{(1-x)^{m}}=\frac{N_{m}(x)}{(1-x)^{m}}, m \geqslant 3
$$

where $N_{m}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-2$.
The first few numerator polynomials are found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}(x)=1 \\
& N_{2}(x)=3 \\
& N_{3}(x)=4+5 x \\
& N_{4}(x)=7+10 x+10 x^{2} \\
& N_{5}(x)=11+25 x+25 x^{2}+20 x^{3} \\
& N_{6}(x)=18+50 x+75 x^{2}+60 x^{3}+40 x^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recording our results by writing the triangle of coefficients for these polynomials, we have
Table 2
Numerator Polynomial $N_{m}(x)$ Coefficients when $P=3$

| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 25 | 25 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 50 | 75 | 60 | 40 |  |  |
| 29 | 100 | 175 | 205 | 140 | 80 |  |
| 47 | 190 | 400 | 540 | 530 | 320 | 160 |

It appears as if 5 divides every coefficient of every polynomial $N_{m}(x)$ except for the constant coefficient.
Using (6), (7), and (8), we see that the constant coefficient of $N_{m}(x)$ is $H_{m}$ and it can be shown by induction that
(9)

$$
H_{n-1} H_{n+1}-H_{n}^{2}=5(-1)^{n+1}
$$

If 5 divides $H_{n-1}$ then 5 divides $H_{n}$ and by (1) $H_{n-2}$. Continuing the process, we have that 5 divides $H_{1}=1$ which is obviously false. Hence, 5 does not divide $H_{n}$ for any $n$.
Using (8), we see that the rule of formation for the triangular array of coefficients of the numerator polynomials follows the scheme

where
(10)

$$
x=a+b+2 c-d
$$

By mathematical induction, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}=3 F_{n}+F_{n-1}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number.
From (10) and (11), we now know that the values in the second column are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a+b+5 F_{n} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since 5 divides the first two terms of the second column of Table 2, we conclude using (12), (10), and induction that 5 divides every element of Table 2 which is not in the first column. By induction and (10), it can be shown that the leading coefficient of $N_{m}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{m-3} \cdot 5, \quad m \geqslant 3 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in [4], we find
Theorem 1. Eisenstein's Criterion. Let

$$
q(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}
$$

be a polynomial with integer coefficients. If $p$ is a prime such that $a_{n} \equiv 0(\bmod p), a_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for $i<n$, and $a_{0} \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ then $q(x)$ is irreducible over the rationals.
In [5], we have
Theorem 2. If the polynomial

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}
$$

is irreducible then the polynomial

$$
h(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{n-i} x^{i}
$$

is irreducible.
Combining all of these results, we have the nice result that $N_{m}(x)$ is irreducible for all $m \geqslant 3$. In fact, we shall now show that these results are true for any $P$ such that the characteristic $P^{2}-P-1$ is a prime.

## 3. THE GENERAL CASE

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we shall assume that $P$ is an integer where $P^{2}-P-1$ is a prime. By standard techniques, it is easy to show that the generating function for the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+(p-1) x}{1-x-x^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction, one can show that
(15)

$$
(1+(p-1) x)\left(\frac{1+x(p-1)}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{n}+\left(x+x^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+(p-1) x}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{n+1}=\left(\frac{1+(p-1) x}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{n+1}
$$

Hence, the rule of formation for the convolution array associated with the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, m}=R_{n-1, m}+R_{n-2, m}+R_{n, m-1}+(p-1) R_{n-1, m-1} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{1-x} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(18)

$$
R_{2}(x)=\frac{p}{(1-x)^{2}}
$$

we have, by (16) and induction,
(19)

$$
R_{m}(x)=\frac{(1+(p-1) x) N_{m-1}(x)+(1-x) N_{m-2}(x)}{(1-x)^{m}}=\frac{N_{m}(x)}{(1-x)^{m}}, \quad m \geqslant 3 .
$$

The triangular array for the coefficients of the polynomials $N_{m}(x)$, with $D=P^{2}-P-1$, is

## Table 3

Numerator Polynomial $N_{m}(x)$ Coefficients when $H_{2}=P$
1
$P$
$P+1 \quad D$
$2 P+1 \quad 2 D \quad(P-1) D$
$3 P+2 \quad 5 D \quad(3 P-4) D \quad(P-1)^{2} D$
$5 P+3 \quad 100 \quad(9 P-12) D \quad\left(4 P^{2}-10 P+6\right) D \quad(P-1)^{3} D$
$8 P+5 \quad 20 D \quad(22 P-31) D \quad\left(14 P^{2}-36 P+23\right) D \quad\left(5 P^{3}-18 P^{2}+21 P-8\right) D \quad(P-1)^{4} D$
By (19), we see that the rule of formation for the triangular array of coefficients of the numerator polynomials $N_{m}(x)$ follows the scheme

| $d$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $c$ | $b$ |
|  | $x$ |

where
(20)

$$
x=a+b+(P-1) c-d .
$$

By induction, we see that
(21)

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{n-1} H_{n+1}-H_{n}^{2}=D(-1)^{n+1} \\
H_{n+1}=P F_{n}+F_{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number while using (17) through (19) we conclude that the constant term of $N_{m}(x)$ is $H_{m}$.
Following the argument when $P$ was 3 and using (21), we see that $D$ does not divide $H_{m}$ for any $m$ or that the constant term of $N_{m}(x)$ is never divisible by $D$.
By (20) and (22), the elements in the second column of Table 3 are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a+b+F_{n} D \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D$ divides the first two terms of the second column of Table 3, we can conclude by using (23), (20), and induction that $D$ divides every element of Table 3 which is not in the first column. Using (20) and induction, we see that the leading coefficient of $N_{m}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P-1)^{m-3} D, \quad m \geqslant 3 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the preceding remarks, together with Theorems 1 and 2 , we conclude that $N_{m}(x)$ is irreducible for all $m \geqslant 3$, provided $D$ is a prime.

## 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

If one adds the rows of Table 2 he obtains the sequence $1,3,9,27,81,243,729$, and 2187. Adding the rows of Table 3 we obtain the sequence $1, P, P^{2}, P^{3}, P^{4}, P^{5}, P^{6}$, and $P^{7}$. This leads us to conjecture that the sum of the coefficients of the numerator polynomial $N_{m}(x)$ is $P^{m-1}$.
From (19), we can determine the generating function for the sequence of numerator polynomials $N_{m}(x)$ and it is
(25)

$$
\frac{1+(P-1)(1-x) \lambda}{1-(1+(P-1) x) \lambda-(1-x) \lambda^{2}}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{m+1}(x) \lambda^{m}
$$

Letting $x=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1-P \lambda}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(P \lambda)^{m}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{m+1}(1) \lambda^{m} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and our conjecture is proved.
We now examine the generating functions for the columns of Table 3. The generating function for the first column is already given in (14). Using (23), we calculate the generating function for the second column to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(x)=\frac{D}{\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

while when using (20) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}(x)=\frac{P-1-x}{1-x-x^{2}} C_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geqslant 3 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(x)+x^{2} C_{2}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{x(P-1)-x^{2}}{1-x-x^{2}}\right)^{k}=\frac{1}{1-x P} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In conclusion, we observe that there are special cases when the characteristic $D$ is not a prime and the polynomials $N_{m}(x)$ are still irreducible.
In [7], it is shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=5^{e} P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} P_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots P_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}, \quad e=0 \text { or } 1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $P_{i}$ are primes of the form $10 m \pm 1$.

Assume either $e=1$ or some $a_{i}=1$. Following the argument when $P$ was 3 and using (21), we conclude that neither 5 nor $P_{i}$ divides the constant term of $N_{m}(x)$. We have already shown that $D$ divides every nonconstant coefficient of every polynomial $N_{m}(x)$ so that either 5 or $P_{i}$ divides every nonconstant coefficient of every polynomial $N_{m}(x)$.

By Theorems 1 and 2 together with (24), we now know that the polynomials $N_{m}(x)$ are irreducible whenever 5 or $P_{i}$ does not divide $P-1$. However, it is a trivial matter to show that neither 5 nor $P_{i}$ can divide both $P-1$ and $P^{2}-P-1=D$. Hence, $N_{m}(x)$ is irreducible for all $m \geqslant 3$ provided $e=1$ or $a_{i}=1$ for some $i$.
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## $\star \star \star \star \star$

# METRIC PAPER TO FALL SHORT OF "GOLDEN MEAN" 

H. D. ALLEN<br>Nova Scotia Teachers College, Truro, N ova Scotia

If the Greeks were right that the most pleasing of rectangles were those having their sides in medial section ratio, $\sqrt{5}+1: 2$, the classic "Golden Mean," then the world is missing a golden opportunity in standardizing its paper sizes for the anticipated metric conversion.
Metric paper sizes have their dimensions in the ratio $1: \sqrt{2}$, an ingenious arrangement that permits repeated halvings without altering the ratio. But the 1.414 ratio of length to width falls perceptively short of the "golden" 1.612, as have most paper sizes with which North Americans are familiar. Thus, $81 / 2 \times 11$ inch typing paper has the ratio 1.294. Popular sizes for photographic paper include $5 \times 7$ inches (1.400), $8 \times 10$ inches (1.250), and $11 \times 14$ inches (1.283). Closest to the Golden Mean, perhaps, was "legal" size typing paper, $81 / 2 \times 14$ inches (1.647).
With a number of countries, including the United Kingdom, South Africa, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, making marked strides into "metrication," office typing paper now is being seen that is a little narrower, a little longer, and notably closer to what the Greeks might have chosen.

