

## REFERENCES

1. Murray Edelberg, *Solutions to Problems in 2*, McGraw-Hill, 1968, p. 74.
2. C.L. Liu, *Introduction to Combinatorial Mathematics*, McGraw-Hill, 1968, Problem 4-4, p. 119.
3. N. J. A. Sloane, *A Handbook of Integer Sequences*, Academic Press, 1973, p. 59.

\*\*\*\*\*

## ON THE EQUALITY OF PERIODS OF DIFFERENT MODULI IN THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

JAMES E. DESMOND

Pensacola Junior College, Pensacola, Florida 32504

Let  $m$  be an arbitrary positive integer. According to the notation of Vinson [1, p. 37] let  $s(m)$  denote the period of  $F_n$  modulo  $m$  and let  $f(m)$  denote the rank of apparition of  $m$  in the Fibonacci sequence.

Let  $p$  be an arbitrary prime. Wall [2, p. 528] makes the following remark: "The most perplexing problem we have met in this study concerns the hypothesis  $s(p^2) \neq s(p)$ . We have run a test on a digital computer which shows that  $s(p^2) \neq s(p)$  for all  $p$  up to 10,000; however, we cannot yet prove that  $s(p^2) = s(p)$  is impossible. The question is closely related to another one, "can a number  $x$  have the same order mod  $p$  and mod  $p^2$ ?" for which rare cases give an affirmative answer (e.g.,  $x = 3, p = 11; x = 2, p = 1093$ ); hence, one might conjecture that equality may hold for some exceptional  $p$ ."

Based on Ward's Last Theorem [3, p. 205] we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $s(p^2) = s(p)$ .

From Robinson [4, p. 30] we have for  $m, n > 0$

$$(1) \quad F_{n+r} \equiv F_r \pmod{m} \text{ for all integers } r \text{ if and only if } s(m) | n.$$

If  $m, n > 0$  and  $m | n$ , then  $F_{s(n)+r} \equiv F_r \pmod{m}$  for all  $r$ . Therefore by (1),  $s(m) | s(n)$ . So we have for  $m, n > 0$

$$(2) \quad m | n \text{ implies } s(m) | s(n).$$

It is easily verified that for all integers  $n$

$$(3) \quad F_{2n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} + F_{n+1} L_n.$$

From Theorem 1 of [1, p. 39] we have that  $s(m)$  is even if  $m > 2$ .

An equivalent form of the following theorem can be found in Vinson [1, p. 42].

**Theorem 1.** We have

- i)  $s(m) = 4f(m)$  if and only if  $m > 2$  and  $f(m)$  is odd.
- ii)  $s(m) = f(m)$  if and only if  $m = 1$  or  $2$  and  $s(m)/2$  is odd.
- iii)  $s(m) = 2f(m)$  if and only if  $f(m)$  is even and  $s(m)/2$  is even.

To prove the above theorem it is sufficient, in view of Theorem 3 by Vinson [1, p. 42], to prove the following:

**Lemma.**  $m = 1$  or  $2$  or  $s(m)/2$  is odd if and only if  $8 \nmid m$  and  $2 \nmid f(p)$  but  $4 \nmid f(p)$  for every odd prime,  $p$ , which divides  $m$ .

**Proof.** Let  $m = 1$  or  $2$  or  $s(m)/2$  be odd. If  $m = 1$  or  $2$ , then the conclusion is clear. So we may assume that  $m > 2$  and  $s(m)/2$  is odd. Suppose  $8 \nmid m$ . Then by (2),  $12 = s(8) | s(m)$ . Therefore  $s(m)/2$  is even, a contradiction. Hence  $8 \nmid m$ .

Let  $p$  be any odd prime which divides  $m$ . From [1, p. 37] and (2),  $f(p) | s(p) | s(m)$ . Therefore  $4 \nmid f(p)$ . Suppose  $2 \nmid f(p)$ . Then by Theorem 1 of [1, p. 39] and (2), we have  $4f(p) = s(p) | s(m)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $2 \nmid f(p)$ .

Conversely, let  $8 \nmid m$  and  $2 \nmid f(p)$  but  $4 \nmid f(p)$  for every odd prime,  $p$ , which divides  $m$ . Let  $p$  be any odd prime which divides  $m$  and let  $e$  be any positive integer. From [1, p. 40] we have that  $f(p)$  and  $f(p^e)$  are divisible by the same power of 2. Therefore  $2 \nmid f(p^e)$  and  $4 \nmid f(p^e)$ . Then since

$p^e \mid F_{f(p^e)}$  and  $p^e \nmid F_{f(p^e)/2}$  and  $(F_n, L_n) = d \leq 2 < p$  for all integers  $n$ , we have  $p^e \mid L_{f(p^e)/2}$ . So by (3),

$$F_{f(p^e)+1} \equiv (-1)^{(f(p^e)/2)+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}.$$

Therefore by definition,  $f(p^e) = s(p^e)$ .

Now, suppose that  $m > 2$  and  $s(m)/2$  is even. Let  $m$  have the prime factorization  $m = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  with  $a \geq 0$ . Then by [1, p. 41]

$$s(m) = \text{l.c.m.} \{s(2^a), s(p_i^{a_i})\}.$$

Then  $4 \mid s(m)$  implies  $4 \mid s(2^a)$  or  $4 \mid s(p_j^{a_j})$  for some  $j$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . If  $4 \mid s(2^a)$ , then  $a \geq 3$ . Thus  $8 \mid m$ , a contradiction. If  $4 \mid s(p_j^{a_j}) = f(p_j^{a_j})$ , then we have another contradiction. Therefore  $s(m)/2$  is odd or  $m = 1$  or  $2$ .

Various relationships of equality between integral multiples of  $s(m)$ ,  $f(m)$ ,  $s(t)$  and  $f(t)$  for arbitrary positive integers  $m$  and  $t$  can be obtained as corollaries to Theorem 1. We mention only the following:

**Corollary 1.** If  $m > 2$  and  $t > 2$  and

- i)  $s(m)/2$  and  $s(t)/2$  are both odd, or
  - ii)  $f(m)$  and  $f(t)$  are both odd, or
  - iii)  $s(m)/2, s(t)/2, f(m)$  and  $f(t)$  are all even,
- then  $s(m) = s(t)$  if and only if  $f(m) = f(t)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $m$  and  $t$  be positive integers such that  $m \mid L_{f(m)/2}$  if  $f(m)$  is even and  $t \mid L_{f(t)/2}$  if  $f(t)$  is even. Then  $s(m) = s(t)$  if and only if  $f(m) = f(t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s(m) = s(t)$ . We have  $m = 1$  iff  $t = 1$  and  $m = 2$  iff  $t = 2$ , so we may assume that  $m > 2$  and  $t > 2$ . By Corollary 1, we need only consider the case;  $s(m)/2 = s(t)/2$  is even and  $f(m)$  and  $f(t)$  have different parity, say  $f(m)$  is odd and  $f(t)$  is even. Then by Theorem 1,  $4f(m) = s(m) = s(t) = 2f(t)$ . Therefore  $f(t)/2 = f(m)$  is odd. Since  $f(t)$  is even we have by hypothesis that  $t \mid L_{f(t)/2}$ . Thus by (3),

$$F_{f(t)+1} \equiv (-1)^{(f(t)/2)+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{t}.$$

But  $t \nmid F_{f(t)}$  and  $f(t) < s(t)$ . This contradicts the definition of  $s(t)$ . Therefore the case under consideration cannot occur.

Conversely, let  $f(m) = f(t)$ . As before we may assume that  $m > 2$  and  $t > 2$ . By Corollary 1, we need only consider the case;  $f(m) = f(t)$  is even and  $s(m)/2$  and  $s(t)/2$  have different parity, say  $s(m)/2$  is odd and  $s(t)/2$  is even. Then by Theorem 1,

$$2s(m) = 2f(m) = 2f(t) = s(t).$$

Therefore  $f(t)/2$  is odd. Since  $f(t)$  is even we have  $t \mid L_{f(t)/2}$ . Thus by (3),  $F_{f(t)+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ . But  $t \nmid F_{f(t)}$  and  $f(t) < s(t)$ . This is a contradiction and therefore the case under consideration cannot occur.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be arbitrary odd primes and  $e$  and  $a$  be arbitrary positive integers. Then  $s(p^e) = s(q^a)$  if and only if  $f(p^e) = f(q^a)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 we need only show that if  $f(p^e)$  is even then  $p^e \mid L_{f(p^e)/2}$ . We have

$$F_{f(p^e)} = F_{f(p^e)/2} L_{f(p^e)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad p^e \nmid F_{f(p^e)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad (F_{f(p^e)/2}, L_{f(p^e)/2}) = d \leq 2 < p.$$

Thus  $p^e \mid L_{f(p^e)/2}$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\phi_n(x) = x + x^2/2 + \dots + x^n/n$ , and let  $k(x) = k_p(x) = (x^{p-1} - 1)/p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime greater than 5. Then  $s(p^2) = s(p)$  if and only if  $\phi_{(p-1)/2}(5/9) \equiv 2k(3/2) \pmod{p}$ .

[Continued on page 96.]