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Consider the sequence of tridiagonal determinants $\left\{P_{n}^{(k)}(a, b, c)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by $P_{n}^{(k)}(\alpha, b, c)=P_{n}^{(k)}=\left|\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)\right|$ where

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}a, & i=j \\ b, & i=j-k \\ c, & i=j+k \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We shall assume $P_{n}^{(1)} \neq 0$. The determinant $P_{n}^{(k)}$ has $a^{\prime}$ s down the main diagonal, $b^{\prime} s$ down the diagonal $k$ positions to the right of the main diagonal and $c^{\prime}$ s down the diagonal $k$ positions below the main diagonal.

In [1], the authors discuss $\left\{P_{n}(2)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and find its generating function. This note deals with a relationship that exists between

$$
\left\{P_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { and }\left\{P_{n}^{(1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { for } k \geq 2
$$

The first few terms of $\left\{P_{n}^{(1)}\right\}_{n=}^{\infty}$ with $P_{0}^{(1)}$ defined as one are:

$$
P_{0}^{(1)}=1
$$

$$
P_{1}^{(1)}=a
$$

$$
P_{2}^{(1)}=a^{2}-b c
$$

$$
P_{3}^{(1)}=a^{3}-2 a b c
$$

$$
P_{4}^{(1)}=a^{4}-3 a^{2} b c+b^{2} c^{2}
$$

$$
P_{5}^{(1)}=a^{5}-4 a^{3} b c+3 a b^{2} c^{2}
$$

$$
P_{6}^{(1)}=a^{6}-5 a^{4} b c+6 a^{2} b^{2} c^{2}-b^{3} c^{3}
$$

$$
P_{7}^{(1)}=a^{7}-6 a^{5} b c+10 a^{3} b^{2} c^{2}-4 a b^{3} c^{3}
$$

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

By induction on $n$, it can be shown that
(A)

$$
P_{n+2}^{(1)}=\alpha P_{n+1}^{(1)}-b c P_{n}^{(1)}, n \geq 1
$$

When $a=1$ and $b c=-1$, we obtain the Fibonacci sequence. This result can also be found in [3] and [4].

The first few terms of $\left\{P_{n}^{(2)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ can be found in [1] and are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}^{(2)}=a=P_{0}^{(1)} P_{1}^{(1)} \\
& P_{2}^{(2)}=a^{2}=\left[P_{1}^{(1)}\right]^{2} \\
& P_{3}^{(2)}=a^{3}-a b c=P_{1}^{(1)} P_{2}^{(1)} \\
& P_{4}^{(2)}=\left(a^{2}-b c\right)^{2}=\left[P_{2}^{(1)}\right]^{2} \\
& P_{5}^{(2)}=a^{5}-3 a^{2} b c+2 a b^{2} c^{2}=P_{2}^{(1)} P_{3}^{(1)} \\
& P_{6}^{(2)}=\left(a^{3}-2 a b c\right)^{2}=\left[P_{3}^{(1)}\right]^{2} \\
& P_{7}^{(2)}=a^{7}-5 a^{5} b c+7 a^{3} b^{2} c^{2}-2 a b^{3} c^{3}=P_{3}^{(1)} P_{4}^{(1)} \\
& P_{8}^{(2)}=\left(a^{4}-3 a^{2} b c+b^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}=\left[P_{4}^{(1)}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As with $\left\{P_{n}^{(1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, it can be shown by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(2)}=a P_{n-1}^{(2)}-a b c P_{n-3}^{(2)}+b^{2} c^{2} P_{n-4}^{(2)}, n \geq 5 . \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

Not until our investigation of $P_{n}{ }^{(3)}$ did we become suspicious of the fact that

$$
P_{n}^{(2)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
P_{q}^{(1)} P_{q-1}^{(1)}, & n=2 q-1  \tag{C}\\
{\left[P_{q}^{(1)}\right]^{2},} & n=2 q
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The proof of the result (C) is as follows. Multiply the first and second rows of $P_{n}^{(2)}$ by $-c / a$ and add the results respectively to the third and fourth rows. Evaluate the new determinant using the first two columns to obtain

$$
\left.P_{n}^{(2)}=\left|\begin{array}{lllllll}
P_{2}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{1}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & P_{2}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{1}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
c & 0 & \alpha & 0 & b & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & c & 0 & \alpha & 0 & b & \ldots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & . & . & .
\end{array}\right| . . . c c c \right\rvert\,
$$

Multiply the first and second rows of the new determinant by $-c / P_{2}^{(1)}$ and add the results respectively to the third and fourth rows. Evaluate the new determinant using the first two columns to obtain

$$
P_{n}^{(2)}=\left|\begin{array}{lllllll}
P_{3}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{2}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & P_{3}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{2}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
c & 0 & a & 0 & b & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & c & 0 & a & 0 & b & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ldots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Repeating the process, using $-c / P_{3}^{(1)}$, we see that

$$
\left.P_{n}^{(2)}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllllll}
P_{4}^{(1)}, & 0 & b P_{3}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & P_{4}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{3}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
c & 0 & a & 0 & b & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & c & 0 & a & 0 & b & \ldots \\
\cdots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right.\right] . . . \mid .
$$

Let $n=2 q-1$ and continue the technique above, evaluating by two columns at a time for $q-1$ times until you obtain

$$
P_{n}^{(2)}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
P_{q-1}^{(1)} & 0 & b P_{q-2}^{(1)} \\
0 & P_{q-1}^{(1)} & 0 \\
c & 0 & a
\end{array}\right|=P_{q}^{(1)} P_{q-1}^{(1)}
$$

If $n=2 q$ and we evaluate by two columns at a time for $q$ times using the same technique as above we obtain

$$
P_{n}^{(2)}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
P_{q}^{(1)} & 0 \\
0 & P_{q}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|=\left[P_{q}^{(1)}\right]^{2}
$$

This procedure applied to $P_{n}^{(3)}$, where you evaluate by using three columns at a time instead of two, yields

$$
P_{n}^{(3)}= \begin{cases}{\left[P_{q-1}^{(1)}\right]^{2} P_{q}^{(1)},} & n=3 q-2 \\ P_{q-1}^{(1)}\left[P_{q}^{(1)}\right]^{2}, & n=3 q-1 . \\ {\left[P_{q-1}^{(1)}\right]^{3},} & n=3 q\end{cases}
$$

In fact, it is easy to show if $n=k q-r$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(k)}=\left[P_{q-1}^{(1)}\right]^{r}\left[P_{q}^{(1)}\right]^{k-r} \text { for } 0 \leq r<k \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors found an alternate way of proving (C), but the technique did not apply if $k \geq 3$. This procedure is as follows. First show by induction, using (B) and (A), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+2}^{(2)}-b c P_{n}^{(2)}=P_{n+2}^{(1)}, n \geq 1 \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next apply the results of Horadam [2], where

$$
P_{n}^{(1)}=a P_{n-1}^{(1)}-b c P_{n-2}^{(1)}
$$

is $W_{n}(a, b: p, q)$ with $\alpha=1, b=a, p=a$, and $q=b c$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a P_{2 n-1}^{(1)}+b^{2} c^{2}\left[P_{n-2}^{(1)}\right]^{2}=\left[P_{n}^{(1)}\right]^{2} . \tag{F}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using (A), (B), (E), (F), and induction, you can show (C).
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