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## 0. INTRODUCTION

By an invariant of a mathematical structure-a matrix, an equation, a field -we usually understand a relation, or a formula emerging from that structure -which remains unaltered if certain operations are performed on this structure. An invariant is, so to speak, the calling card of some mathematical pattern, it is a fixed focus around which the infinite elements of this pattern revolves. Matrices, the general quadratic, and many other mathematical configurations have their invariants. So do groups, if they are not simple. A prima donna invariant is the class number of algebraic number fields. She is far from having been unveiled. Some serenades have been sung to her from the quadratic, and to a much lesser extent, the cubic fields. Higher fields are absolutely taboo for their class number, and will probably remain so for many decades to come. With certain restrictions, also the set of fundamental units of an algebraic number field is an invariant.

This paper states a new invariant for all cubic fields. In a further paper a similar invariant will be stated for all algebraic number fields of any degree. Here the cubic case is singled out, and completely solved, since the technique, used in this paper, will carry over, step by step, to the general case. We shall outline the idea of this new invariant, as obtained here in the cubic case. Let $e$ be any unit (not necessarily a fundamental one) of a cubic number field. Since $e$ and $e^{-1}$ are of third degree, both can be used as bases for the field. This must not be a minimal basis, so that we can put

$$
e^{v}=x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2}, x_{v^{\prime}}, y_{v}, z_{v} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}, v=0,1, \ldots, e^{-v}=r_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2}
$$

$x_{v}$ and $r_{v}$ are then calculated explicitly as arithmetic functions of $v$. From $e^{v} \cdot e^{-v}=1$, we obtain the combinatorial identity

$$
x_{v}=r_{v}^{2}-r_{v-1} r_{v+1},
$$

and this is an invariant, regardless of how the cubic field and one of its units is chosen. We also obtain a second invariant, viz.,

$$
r_{v}=x_{v}^{2}-x_{v-1} x_{v+1} .
$$

Few invariants can please better the heart of a mathematician.

## 1. POWERS OF UNITS

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=x^{3}+c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x+c_{3} ; c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an irreducible polynomial in $x$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ of negative discriminant, having one real root $w$, and one pair of conjugate roots. By Dirichlet's theorem, $Q(w)$ has exactly one fundamental unit $e$, viz.,

$$
e=r_{1}+r_{2} w+r_{3} w^{2} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \varepsilon Q .
$$

Of course, $e$ is a third-degree algebraic irrational. Since

$$
0=w^{3}+c_{1} w^{2}+c_{2} w+c_{3}
$$

we find the field equation of $e$ by the known method

$$
\begin{aligned}
e & =r_{1}+r_{2} w+r_{3} w^{2}, \\
w e & =r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime} \omega+r_{3}^{\prime} \omega^{2},\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime} \varepsilon Q\right) \\
w^{2} e & =r_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r_{2}^{\prime \prime} \omega+r_{3}^{\prime \prime} \omega^{2},\left(r_{1}^{\prime \prime}, r_{2}^{\prime \prime}, r_{3}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon Q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{3}-a_{1} e^{2}-a_{2} e-a_{3}=0  \tag{1.2}\\
a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}, a_{3}= \pm 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here we investigate, w.e.g., the case $\alpha_{3}=1$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{3}-a_{1} e^{2}-a_{2} e-1=0 \\
& e^{3}=1+a_{2} e+a_{1} e^{2} ; a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0, \text { by presumption. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Our further aim is to obtain exp 1 icit expressions for the positive and negative powers of $e$. To achieve this, we take refuge to a very convenient trick which makes the calculations uncomparably easier. We use as a basis for $Q(w)$ the triples $1, e, e^{2}$ and $1, e^{-1}, e^{-2}$; the question whether these are minimal bases is not relevant here. We put

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{v}=x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2} ; x_{v}, y_{v}, z_{v} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z} ; v=0,1, \ldots,  \tag{1.3}\\
x_{0}=1, x_{1}=x_{2}=0 . \tag{1.3a}
\end{gather*}
$$

We obtain from (1.3), multiplying by $e$, and with (1.2a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{v+1} & =x_{v} e+y_{v} e^{2}+z_{v}\left(1+a_{2} e+a_{1} e^{2}\right) \\
& =z_{v}+\left(x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v}\right) e+\left(y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v}\right) e^{2} \\
& =x_{v+1}+y_{v+1} e+z_{v+1} e^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by comparison of coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{v+1}=z_{v} \\
& y_{v+1}=x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v} \\
& z_{v+1}=y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{v}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v} ; \quad(v=1,2, \ldots) \\
z_{v}=x_{v+1} \\
e^{v}=x_{v}+\left(x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v}\right) e+x_{v+1} e^{2}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.4}\\
& x_{v+2}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v}+a_{1} x_{v+1} ;
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& x_{v+3}=x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1}+a_{1} x_{v+2} ; \quad(v=0,1, \ldots) .
\end{align*}
$$

Formula (1.4a) is the recurrence relation which will enable us to calculate explicitly $x_{v}$, and with it $e^{v}$. We set

$$
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}=x_{0}+x_{1} u+x_{2} u^{2}+\sum_{v=3}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}
$$

and, with the initial values from (1.3a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}=1+\sum_{v=3}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}=1+\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v+3} \tag{1.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting on the right side the value of $x$ from (1.4a) [and taking into account (1.3a)], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v} & =1+\sum_{v=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1}+a_{1} x_{v+2}\right) u^{v+3} \\
& =1+u^{3} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}+a_{2} u^{2} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+1} u^{v+1}+a_{1} u \sum x_{v+2} u^{v+2} \\
& =1+u^{3} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}+a_{2} u^{2}\left[\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}\right)-x_{0}\right]+a_{1} u\left[\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}\right)-x_{0}-x_{1} u\right] \\
& =1+\left(u^{3}+a_{2} u^{2}+a_{1} u\right) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}-a_{2} u^{2}-a_{1} u
\end{aligned}
$$

We have thus obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}=1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2} \tag{1.4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is an indeterminate, and can assume any value, we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3} \neq 0 \tag{1.4d}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain from (1.4c) and (1.4d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}-\frac{1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}}{1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3}} \\
& \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v}=1+\frac{u^{3}}{1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (1.4b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v} u^{v} & =1+\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v+3}=1+\frac{u^{3}}{1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3}} \\
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v+3} & =\frac{u^{3}}{1-a_{1} u-a_{2} u^{2}-u^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $u \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v}=\frac{1}{1-u\left(a_{1}+a_{2} u+u^{2}\right)} \tag{1.4e}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing, additionally to (1.4d),

$$
0<\left|u\left(a_{1}+a_{2} u+u^{2}\right)\right|<1
$$

we obtain, from (1.4d)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u^{j}\left(a_{1}+a_{2} u+u^{2}\right)^{j} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $x_{v}$ explicitly, we shall compare the coefficients of $u^{m}$ ( $m=0,1$, ...) on each side of (1.5). On the left, this equals to $x_{m+3}$. On the right side we investigate

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=0} u^{m-i}\left(a_{1}+a_{2} u+u^{2}\right)^{m-i}=  \tag{1.5a}\\
\sum_{i=0}^{m-i} u^{m-i} \sum_{y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}=m-i}\binom{m-i}{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}} \alpha_{1}^{y_{1}}\left(a_{2} u\right)^{y_{2}} u^{2 y_{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since we demand that the element $u$ have the exponent $m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
m-i+y_{2}+2 y_{3}=m \\
y_{2}+2 y_{3}=i \tag{1.5b}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
yie1d

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{2}=i-2 y_{3}  \tag{1.5c}\\
y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}=m-i  \tag{1.5d}\\
y_{1}=m-i-i+2 y_{3}-y_{3}, \\
y_{1}=m-2 i+y_{3} . \tag{1.5e}
\end{gather*}
$$

We further have

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{m-i}{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}} & =\frac{(m-i)!}{y_{1}!y_{2}!y_{3}!}=\frac{(m-i)!}{\left(m-2 i+y_{3}\right)!\left(i-2 y_{3}\right)!y_{3}!} \\
& =\frac{(m-i)!\left(i-y_{3}\right)!}{\left(i-y_{3}\right)!\left(m-2 i+y_{3}\right)!\left(y-2 y_{3}\right)!y_{3}!} \\
& =\binom{m-i}{i-y_{3}}\binom{i-y_{3}}{y_{3}} \\
\binom{m-i}{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}} & =\binom{m-i}{i-y_{3}}\binom{i-y_{3}}{y_{3}} . \tag{1.5f}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing $j$ for $y_{3}$, we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+3}=\sum_{i=0} \sum_{j=0}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{m-2 i+j} a_{2}^{i-2 j} . \tag{1.5~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall determine the upper bounds of $i$ and $j$. From the binomial coefficient $\binom{i-j}{j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \leq i-j, \quad 2 j \leq i, \quad j \leq \frac{i}{2} \tag{1.5h}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the binomial coefficient $\binom{m-i}{i-j}$, we obtain

$$
m-i \geq i-j, \quad m-2 i \geq-j,
$$

and from (1.5h), $-j \geq-\frac{i}{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-2 i \geq-\frac{i}{2}, \quad m \geq \frac{3}{2} i, \quad i \leq \frac{2}{3} m \tag{1.5i}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.5h) and (1.5i), we have thus obtained

$$
i \leq\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right] ; \quad j \leq\left[\frac{i}{2}\right],
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{m-2 i+j} a_{2}^{i-2 j} ;(m=0,1, \ldots) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall verify formula (1.6) which does not lack harmony in its simple structure. From (1.3a) and (1.4a), we obtain, for $v=0,1, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=1, \\
& x_{4}=a_{1}, \\
& x_{5}=a_{2}+a_{1}^{2}, \\
& x_{6}=1+2 a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.6), we obtain, for $m=0,1,2,3$,

$$
m=0, x_{3}=1, \text { since } i=j=0,\binom{0}{0}^{\text {def }} 1
$$

$$
m=1 ; i=j=0, x_{4}=a_{1} ;
$$

$$
m=2 ; i=0, j=0 ; i=1, j=0, x_{5}=a_{1}^{2}+a_{2} ;
$$

$$
m=3 ; i=0, j=0 ; i=1, j=0 ; i=2, j=1, x_{6}=a_{1}^{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2}+1
$$

We shall proceed to calculate the negative powers of $e$, and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-v}=r_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the initial values, we obtain again

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=0,1,2 ; \quad r_{0}=1 ; \quad r_{1}=r_{2}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the field equation of $e^{-1}$, we obtain, from (1.2a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-3}=1-\alpha_{1} e^{-1}-a_{2} e^{-2} ; a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compare (1.9) with (1.2a), we see that the recursion formula for $e^{-v}$, with the same initial values for $v=0,1,2$, is the same as that for $e^{v}$, substituting only $-a_{1}$ for $a_{2}$ and $-a_{2}$ for $a_{1}$; hence we obtain, in complete analogy with (1.4), (1.4a), and (1.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
s_{v} & =r_{v-1}-a_{1} r_{v}, \\
t_{v} & =r_{v+1} \\
e^{-v} & =r_{v}+\left(r_{v-1}-a_{1} r_{v}\right) e^{-1}+r_{v+1} e^{-2} \\
r_{v+3} & =r_{v}-a_{1} r_{v+1}-a_{2} r_{v+2}
\end{aligned}\right. \\
& r_{m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j}\left(-a_{2}\right)^{m-2 i+j}\left(-a_{1}\right)^{i-2 j} \tag{1.9a}
\end{align*}
$$

$r_{m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}(-1)^{m-i-j}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a^{i-2 j} a^{m-2 i+j} ; \quad(m=0,1, \ldots)$.

Formulas (1.6) and (1.9b) are our main tools in establishing new identities of combinatorial structures. Both $x_{m}$ and $r_{m}$ are arithmetic functions, and we shall show that there exist simple relations between them.

## 2. TRUNCATED FIELD EQUATIONS OF UNITS

We shall now drop the restriction (1.2a), viz., $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \neq 0$, and investigate the cases when either $\alpha_{1}$ or $\alpha_{2}$ equal zero. We shall start with

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=0, e^{3}=1+a_{1} e^{2} ; \quad a_{1} \neq 0 .  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(e \text { a cubic unit; } a_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Formulas (1.9) take the form, setting

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{v}= & x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2}\left(v=0,1, \ldots ; x_{v}, y_{v}, z_{v} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{v}=x_{v-1}, \\
z_{v}=x_{v+1}, \\
e^{v}=x_{v}+x_{v-1} e+x_{v+1} e^{2},(v=1,2, \ldots)
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.2a}
\end{align*}
$$

and (1.4a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{v+2}=x_{v}+a_{1} x_{v+3},\left(x_{0}=1, x_{1}=x_{2}=0 ; v=0,1, \ldots\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $x_{v}$ explicitly from (2.3), there is no need to go through the whole process of using Euler's generating functions. Instead, we can proceed straight to formula (1.5a). Here we shall then keep in mind though, that the condition $a_{2}=0$ results in $y_{2}=0$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0} u^{m-i}\left(a_{1}+u\right)^{m-i}=\sum_{i=0} u^{m-i} \sum_{j=0}\binom{m-i}{j} \alpha_{1}^{m-i-j} u^{2 j} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are looking for powers $u^{m}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
m-i+2 j=m \\
i=2 j \tag{2.4a}
\end{gather*}
$$

since, from the binomial coefficient on the right side of (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-i \geq j, \quad m-2 j \geq j, \quad j \leq \frac{m}{3} \tag{2.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and formula (1.6) takes here the final form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+3}=\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-2 j}{j} a_{1}^{m-3 j}, m=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of proceeding to calculate the negative powers of $e$ for the case $a_{2}=0$ from $e^{3}=1+a_{1} e^{2}$, we shall first calculate the positive powers of $e$ for the case $a_{1}=0$. The reasons for this will become clear in the sequel. We set again

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{v}=x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2} ; x_{0}=1 ; x_{1}=x_{2}=0  \tag{2.6}\\
e^{3}=1+a_{2} e ; y_{v}=x_{v-1}+\alpha_{2} x_{v} ; z_{v}=x_{v+1} ; x_{3+v}=x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1} ; a_{2} \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

(1.5) now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} x_{v+3} u^{v}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u^{2 j}\left(a_{2}+u\right)^{j} . \tag{2.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to calculate $x_{2 m+3}$ and $x_{2 m+4}$ separately because of the factor $u^{2 j}$ under the second sigma sign. Because of the factor $u^{2 j}$, we shall calculate separately the coefficients of $u^{2 m}(v=2 m)$ and $u^{2 m+1}(v=2 m+1)$. We obtain, after easy calculations,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{2 m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i^{2}} \alpha_{2}^{m-3 i}, \quad(m=0,1, \ldots)  \tag{2.6b}\\
x_{2 m+4}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m-i}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i+1} a_{2}^{m-3 i-1},(m=1,2, \ldots) \\
\left(x_{4}=0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can now easily calculate the negative powers for $e^{v}$ in the cases $\alpha_{1}=0$, and $\alpha_{2}=0$. In the case $\alpha_{1}=0$, we obtain, from (2.6)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{-v}=r_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2}  \tag{2.7}\\
e^{-3}=1-a_{2} e^{-2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and from (2.2a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-v}=r_{v}+r_{v-1} e^{-1}+r_{v+1} e^{-2}, \tag{2.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (2.5),

$$
r_{v+3}=\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-2 j}{j}\left(-\alpha_{2}\right)^{m-3 j}
$$

[Aug.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{v+3}=\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-2 j}{j}(-1)^{m-j} a_{2}^{m-3 j}, m=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $\alpha_{2}=0$, we obtain, from (2.1),

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{-v}=r_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2}  \tag{2.7c}\\
e^{-3}=1-a_{1} e^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and from (2.6),

$$
e^{-v}=r_{v}+\left(r_{v-1}-a_{1} r_{v}\right) e^{-1}+r_{v+1} e^{-2}
$$

and from (2.6b)

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2 m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i}\left(-a_{1}\right)^{m-3 i}, \quad(m=0,1, \ldots) \\
& r_{2 m+3}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}(-1)^{m-i}\binom{m-i^{i}}{2 i^{2}} \alpha_{1}^{m-3 i}, \quad(m=0,1, \ldots)  \tag{2.7d}\\
& r_{2 m+4}=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m-i}{3}\right]}(-1)^{m-i-1}\binom{m-i}{2 i+1} a_{1}^{m-3 i-1},(m=1,2, \ldots) . \tag{2.7e}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES

In this section, we shall establish the new combinatorial identities, by means of the powers of the units which we have stated explicitly in §1. We shall enumerate the main results we have obtained there in order to save the reader unnecessary backpaging.

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
e^{3} & =1+a_{2} e+a_{1} e^{2} ; a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0 \text { (by presumption); }  \tag{3.1}\\
e^{v} & =x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2} ; x_{v}, y_{v}, z_{v}, a_{1}, a_{2} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z} ; \\
y_{v} & =x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v} ; z_{v}=x_{v+1} ; \\
x_{v+3} & =x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v}+a_{1} x_{v} ; x_{0}=1 ; x_{1}=x_{2}=0 ; \\
e^{-v} & =r_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2} ; r_{v}, s_{v}, t_{v} \varepsilon \mathbb{Z} ; \\
s_{v} & =r_{v-1}-a_{1} r_{v} ; t_{v}=r_{v+1} ; \\
r_{v+3} & =r_{v}-a_{1} r_{v+1}-a_{2} r_{v+2} ; r_{0}=1, r_{1}=r_{2}=0 ; \\
e^{-3} & =1-a_{1} e^{-1}-a_{2} e^{-2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

From the last equation of (3.1), multiplying both sides first by $e^{2}$, then by $e^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-1}=-a_{2}-a_{1} e+e^{2}, \\
& e^{-2}=-a_{2}\left(-a_{2}-a_{1} e+e^{2}\right)-a_{1}+e,  \tag{3.2}\\
& e^{-1}=-a_{2}-a_{1} e+e^{2} ; e=a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}\left(a_{1} a_{2}+1\right) e-a_{2} e^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now obtain, from (3.1) and (3.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=e^{v} e^{-v}=\left(x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2}\right)\left(p_{v}+s_{v} e^{-1}+t_{v} e^{-2}\right) \\
& =x_{v} r_{v}+y_{v} s_{v}+z_{v} t_{v}+\left(y_{v} r_{v}+z_{v} s_{v}\right) e+z_{v} r_{v} e^{2} \\
& +\left(x_{v} s_{v}+y_{v} t_{v}\right) e^{-1}+x_{v} t_{1} e^{-2}  \tag{3.2a}\\
& =x_{v} r_{v}+y_{v} s_{v}+z_{v} t_{v}+\left(y_{v} r_{v}+z_{v} s_{v}\right) e+z_{v} r_{v} e^{2} \\
& +\left(x_{v} s_{v}+y_{v} t_{v}\right)\left(-a_{2}-a_{1} e+e^{2}\right) \\
& +\left[a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}+\left(a_{1} a_{2}+1\right) e-a_{2} e^{2}\right] x_{v} t_{v} . \\
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
1=x_{v} r_{v} & +\left(y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}\right) s_{v}+\left[z_{v}+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}\right) x_{v}-a_{2} y_{v}\right] t_{v} \\
& +\left(y_{v} r_{v}+\left(z_{v}-a_{1} x_{v}\right) s_{v}+\left[\left(\alpha_{1} a_{2}+1\right) x_{v}-\alpha_{1} y_{v}\right] t_{v}\right) e \\
& +\left(\left(z_{v} r_{v}+x_{v} s_{v}+\left(y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}\right) t_{v}\right) e^{2} .\right.
\end{aligned}\right. \tag{3.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing in (3.2b) coefficients of equal powers of $e$ on both sides, and reminding that $e$ is a cubic irrational, we obtain the system of three linear equations in the three indeterminates $r_{v}, s_{v}$, and $t_{v}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{v} r_{v}+\left(y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}\right) s_{v}+\left[z_{v}+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}\right) x_{v}-a_{2} y_{v}\right] t_{v}=1  \tag{3.3}\\
y_{v} r_{v}+\left(z_{v}-a_{1} x_{v}\right) s_{v}+\left[\left(a_{1} a_{2}+1\right) x_{v}-a_{1} y_{v}\right] t_{v}=0 \\
z_{v} r_{v}+x_{v} s_{v}+\left(y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}\right) t_{v}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Adding to the first equation of (3.3) the $a_{2}$ multiple of the third one, we obtain, adding also to the second the $\alpha_{1}$ multiple of the third,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{v}+\alpha_{2} z_{v}\right) x_{v}+y_{v} s_{v}+\left(z_{v}-a_{1} x_{v}\right) t_{v}=1  \tag{3.3a}\\
\left(y_{v}+\alpha_{1} z_{v}\right) r_{v}+z_{v} s_{v}+x_{v} t_{v}=0 \\
z_{v} r_{v}+x_{v} s_{v}+\left(y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}\right) t_{v}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the indeterminates $r_{v}, s_{v}, t_{v}$ are to be expressed by $x_{v}, y_{v}, z_{v}$, we calculate the determinant $\Delta_{v+2}$ of the system (3.3a), viz.,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v} & y_{v} & z_{v}-a_{1} x_{v}  \tag{3.3b}\\
y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v} & z_{v} & x_{v} \\
z_{v} & x_{v} & y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}
\end{array}\right|=\Delta_{v+2}
$$

Why this determinant has the index $v+2$, and not $v$, as would seem proper, will be understood, and justified, soon.

We have, for the first row of the determinant (3.3b) from (3.1), and similarly for the second and third

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v}=x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1}  \tag{3.3c}\\
y_{v}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v} \\
z_{v}-a_{1} x=x_{v+1}-a_{1} x_{v}=x_{v-2}+a_{2} x_{v-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

With (3.3c), (3.3b) becomes

$$
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1} & x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v} & x_{v-2}+\alpha_{2} x_{v-2}  \tag{3.3d}\\
x_{v+2} & x_{v+1} & x_{v} \\
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

The third row of (3.3d) is obtained from (3.1) as follows:

$$
z_{v}=x_{v+1} ; y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}=x_{v-1} ;
$$

the first entry of the second row is obtained as follows:

$$
y_{v}+a_{1} x_{v}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v}+\alpha_{1} x_{v+1}=x_{v+2}
$$

Subtracting in the determinant of (3.3d) from the first row the $a_{2}$-multiple of the third row, we obtain

$$
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v} & x_{v-1} & x_{v-2}  \tag{3.3e}\\
x_{v+2} & x_{v+1} & x_{v} \\
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Interchanging in (3.3e) the first row with the second, and then the second with the third, we finally obtain

$$
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v+2} & x_{v+1} & x_{v}  \tag{3.3f}\\
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1} \\
x_{v} & x_{v-1} & x_{v-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Substituting for the entries of the first row of (3.3f) the value from (3.1), viz.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{k+3}=x_{k}+a_{2} x_{k+1}+a_{1} x_{k+2}, \quad(k=k+2, v+1, v) \\
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v}+a_{1} x_{v+1} & x_{v-2}+a_{2} x_{v-1}+a_{1} x_{v} & x_{v-3}+a_{2} x_{v-2}+a_{1} x_{v-1} \\
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1} \\
x_{v} & x_{v-1} & x_{v-2}
\end{array}\right| . \tag{3.3~g}
\end{gather*}
$$

Subtracting in (3.3g) from the first row the $\alpha_{1}$-multiple of the second, and the $\alpha_{2}$-multiple of the third, we obtain

$$
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v-1} & x_{v-2} & x_{v-3}  \tag{3.3h}\\
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1} \\
x_{v} & x_{v-1} & x_{v-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Interchanging in (3.3h) the first row with the second, and then the second with the third, we obtain

$$
\Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v+1} & x_{v} & x_{v-1}  \tag{3.3i}\\
x_{v} & x_{v-1} & x_{v-2} \\
x_{v-1} & x_{v-2} & x_{v-3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

From (3.3f) and (3.3i) we obtain the important result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{v+2}=\Delta_{v+1}=\Delta_{k} ; \quad(k=5,6, \ldots) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking in (3.4) k=5, and reminding, from (3.1), that $x_{3}=1, x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{v+2}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x_{5} & x_{4} & 1 \\
x_{4} & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|=-1 \\
& \Delta_{v+2}=-1 \tag{3.4a}
\end{align*}
$$

With (3.4a) we have finally calculated the determinant of the system of equations (3.3a). By Cramer's rule we now obtain from (3.3a) and (3.4a),

$$
r_{v}=-\left|\begin{array}{cc}
z_{v} & x_{v}  \tag{3.5}\\
x_{v} & y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Substituting in (3.5),

$$
z_{v}=x_{v+1}, y_{v}=x_{v-1}+a_{2} x_{v},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{r_{v}}=x_{v}^{2}-x_{v-1} x_{v+1}} ;(v=1,2, \ldots) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.6) is the desired combinatorial identity. Its full beauty will be appreciated when we substitute the values for $r_{v}$ and $x_{v}$. Its simple structure in the form (3.6) is really astonishing. We must explain its remarkable origin. The reason for this harmoniousness is the fact that we have chosen to manipulate with the powers of a unit $e$ in $Q(w)$ and a basis of the powers of $e$ as the basis of $Q(w)$. For only this leads to the determinant $\Delta_{v+2}$ of the system of equations (3.3a) equal to $\pm 1$. Had we chosen any other cubic irrational $\alpha$ in $Q(w)$, then the identity $\alpha^{v} \cdot \alpha^{-v}=1$ would have led to a system of equa-
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tions whose determinant is generally different from $\pm 1$. Formula (3.6) is therefore, in a certain sense, an invariant of any cubic field $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{w})$, read of all the cubic fields. The surprising explanation for this is the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{v+2}=N\left(e^{v}\right)=(N(e))^{v}= \pm 1 ;(v=0,1, \ldots) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove (3.7). We obtain, denoting

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =e^{v}=x_{v}+y_{v} e+z_{v} e^{2} ; e^{3}=1+\alpha_{2} e+\alpha_{1} e^{2} \\
\alpha e & =x_{v} e+y_{v} e^{2}+z_{v}\left(1+\alpha_{2} e+\alpha_{1} e^{2}\right) \\
\alpha e & =z_{v}+\left(x_{v}+\alpha_{2} z_{v}\right) e+\left(y_{v}+\alpha_{1} z_{v}\right) e^{2} \\
\alpha e^{2} & =y_{v}+\alpha_{1} z_{v}+\left[\alpha_{2} y_{v}+\left(a_{1} a_{2}+1\right) z_{v}\right] e+\left[x_{v}+\alpha_{1} y_{v}+\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}\right) z_{v}\right] e^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus obtain

$$
\left\{\left.\begin{array}{cc}
N(\alpha)= & z_{v}  \tag{3.7a}\\
(-1)^{3^{\prime}} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
x_{v} & y_{v} \\
z_{v} & x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v}
\end{array}\right. \\
y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v} & a_{2} y_{v}+\left(a_{1} a_{2}+1\right) z_{v} \\
y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v} \\
x_{1} y_{v}+\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}\right) z_{v}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.
$$

Subtracting in the determinant (3.7a) from the third row the $\alpha_{1}-$ multiple of the second row, we obtain

$$
N\left(e^{v}\right)=-\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v} & y_{v} & z_{v} \\
z_{v} & x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v} & y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v} \\
y_{v} & a_{2} y_{v}-a_{1} x_{v}+z_{v} & x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and subtracting from the second column the $a_{2}$-multiple of the first column,

$$
N\left(e^{v}\right)=-\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{v} & y_{v}-a_{2} x_{v} & z_{v}  \tag{3.7b}\\
z_{v} & x_{v} & y_{v}+a_{1} z_{v} \\
y_{v} & z_{v}-a_{1} x_{v} & x_{v}+a_{2} z_{v}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Comparing (3.3b) with (3.7b), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(e^{v}\right)=\Delta_{v+2}=1 . \tag{3.7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Had we chosen any $\alpha \in Q(w)$, formula (3.6) would take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\alpha) r_{v}=x_{v}^{2}-x_{v-1} x_{v+1}, \tag{3.7d}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{v}$ and $x_{v}$ have similar meanings as before, our invariant (3.6) would be dependent on $\alpha$. The corresponding combinatorial identity would be deprived of its beautiful structure. But, of course, in such a way we can obtain
infinitely many combinatorial identities (3.7d) for any cubic irrational in $Q(w)$. Of course, every time $1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}$ and $1, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}$ are to be taken as bases for $Q(w)$.

Now, returning to the powers $e^{v}$ and $e^{-v}$ in the general cubic cases, the reader will understand that, in principle, there is no structural difference if, in the system of linear equations (3.3a), we take $x_{v}, y_{v}, z_{v}$ as indeterminates and $r_{v}, s_{v}, t_{v}$ as coefficients. Carrying out the same calculations, we would then arrive at a formula, completely analogous to (3.6), viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{x_{v}}=r_{v}^{2}-r_{v-1} r_{v+1}} ;(v=1,2, \ldots) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall verify (3.8) for a few values of $v$. We calculate from (3.1), viz.,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{v+3} & =x_{v}+a_{2} x_{v+1}+a_{1} x_{v+2} ; x_{0}=1, x_{1}=x_{2}=0 \\
r_{v+3} & =r_{v}-a_{1} r_{v+1}-a_{2} r_{v+2} ; r_{0}=1, r_{1}=r_{2}=0 \\
x_{4} & =a_{1} ; x_{5}=a_{2}+a_{1} ; x_{6}=1+2 a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1}^{3} \\
r_{4} & =-a_{2} ; r_{5}=-a_{1}^{2}+a_{1} ; r_{6}=1+2 a_{1} a_{2}-a_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{4}= & r_{4}^{2}-r_{3} r_{5}, \\
a_{1} & =a_{2}^{2}-1\left(-a_{1}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=a_{1}
\end{aligned}\right. \\
x_{5}=r_{5}^{2}-r_{4} r_{6}, \\
\left\{\begin{aligned}
a_{2}+a_{1}^{2} & =\left(-a_{1}+a_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(-a_{2}\right)\left(1+2 a_{1} a_{2}-a_{2}^{3}\right) \\
& =a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{1} a_{2}^{2}+a_{2}^{4}+a_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} a_{2}^{2}-a_{2}^{4}=a_{2}+a_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It exposes the complicated structure of formulas (3.6) and (3.8), if we write out in full these combinatorial identities and substitute the corresponding values for $x_{v}$ and $r_{v}$. We obtain from (1.6) and (1.9b)

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{m+3}=x_{m+3}^{2}-x_{m+2} x_{m+4}, \quad(m=0,1, \ldots) \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}(-1)^{m-i-j}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{i-2 j} a_{2}^{m-2 i+j} \\
=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{m-2 i+j} a_{2}^{i-2 j}\right]^{2} \\
-\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\left.\frac{2(m-1)}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m-1-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{m-1-2 i+j} a_{2}^{i-2, j}\right]} \\
\times\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2(m+1)}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m+1-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{m+1-2 i+j} a_{2}^{i-2 j}\right] ; a_{1} \alpha_{2} \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$
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(3.9) illustrates the complicity of these combinatorial identities, and it would be a challenging problem to prove it by "elementary" means. In the same way, we obtain

$$
x_{m+3}=r_{m+3}^{2}-r_{m+2} r_{m+4},(m=0,1, \ldots)
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{2}^{m-2 i+j} a_{1}^{i-2 j} \\
=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{i}{2}\right] \\
j=0
\end{array}(-1)^{m-i-j}\binom{m-i}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{1}^{i-2 j} a_{2}^{m-2 i+j}\right]^{2}\right.  \tag{3.10}\\
-\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\frac{2(m-1)}{3}\right]\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]} \\
i=0
\end{array} \sum_{j=0}(-1)^{m-i-j-1}\binom{m-i-1}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{2}^{i-2 j} a_{1}^{m-1-2 i+j}\right] \\
\times\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{2(m+1)}{3}\right]\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]} \\
\left.\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{m-i-j+1}\binom{m-i+1}{i-j}\binom{i-j}{j} a_{2}^{i-2 j} a_{1}^{m+1-2 i+j}\right] ; a_{1} a_{2} \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now let

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& e^{3}=1+a_{2} e, a_{2} \neq 0,  \tag{3.11}\\
& x_{2 m+3}=\sum^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i} a_{2}^{m-3 i}, \\
& x_{2 m+4}=\sum^{\left[\frac{m-1}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i+1} a_{2}^{m-3 i-1}, \\
& r_{m+3}=\sum^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-2 j}{j}(-1)^{m-j} a_{2}^{m-3 j}, m=0,1, \ldots .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2 v+3}=x_{2 v+3}^{2}-x_{2 v+2} x_{2 v+4} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting in (3.12) the values of (3.11), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{2 m}{3}\right]}\binom{2 m-2 j}{j}(-1)^{j} a_{2}^{2 m-3 j}  \tag{3.13}\\
=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i} a_{2}^{m-3 i}\right]^{2} \\
-\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m-2}{3}\right]}\binom{m-1-i}{2 i+1} a_{2}^{m-2-3 i} \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m-1}{3}\right]}\binom{m-i}{2 i+1} a_{2}^{m-3 i-1},(m=2,3, \ldots) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Special cases of (3.11) were investigated by the author in two previous papers [1] and [2], and by L. Carlitz [3] and [4]. The case $a_{1} \neq 0, \alpha_{2}=0$ is treated analogously.
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