(11) " $p$ " is a prime if and only if it appears exactly ( $p-1$ ) times in line ( $p-1$ ).
(12) $s(n, r)$ will appear again at locations $s\left(n+k, 2^{k}(r-1)+1\right)$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$.
(13) If the sequence $r_{1}, r_{2}$ occurs in row $n, r_{1}>r_{2}$, the smallest element in row $n+k$ positioned between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ is

$$
s\left(n+k, 2^{k} r\right)=r_{1}+k r_{2} .
$$

(14) In any row, there are two equal terms greater than all others in the row.
(15) For Fibonacci followers:
$s(n, r)=F_{n+1}$, for $r=\left(2^{n-1}+2+\left\{1+(-1)^{n}\right\}\right) / 3-1$, and it is the largest element in the row.
(See [3], p. 65; notation changed to standard form.)
Not all of the discovered results are considered here, since there are remote connections to so many areas of number theory.
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## SUMS OF PRODUCTS: AN EXTENSION

> A. F. HORADAM
> University of East Anglia, Norwich; University of New England, Armidale

The purpose of this note is to extend the results of Berzsenyi [1] and Zeilberger [3] on sums of products by using the generalized sequence

$$
\left\{W_{n}(a, b ; p, q)\right\}
$$

described by the author in [2], the notation of which will be assumed.
Equation (4.18) of [2, p. 173] tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n-r} W_{n+r+t}-W_{n} W_{n+t}=e q^{n-r_{U_{r-1}} U_{r+t-1}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $n-r=k$ and summing appropriately, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k} W_{k+2 r+t}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k+r} W_{k+r+t}+e U_{r-1} U_{r+t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values $t=1, t=0$ give, respectively,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k} W_{k+2 r+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k+r} W_{k+r+1}+e U_{r-1} U_{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k} W_{k+2 r}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k+r}^{2}+e U_{r-1}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=-1$, then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n \text { is even }  \tag{5}\\ 0 & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Using the Binet form for $W_{n}$ and $U_{n}$, we find after calculation that (3) and (4), under the restrictions (5), become, respectively,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k} W_{k+2 r+1}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{p}\left(W_{r}^{2}+n+1-W_{r}^{2}\right)-W_{0} W_{2 r+1} & \text { if } n \text { is even }  \tag{6}\\ \frac{1}{p}\left(W_{r+n+1}^{2}-W_{r}^{2}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{k} W_{k+2 r}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{p}\left(W_{r+n} W_{r}+n+1-W_{r} W_{r+1}\right)+W_{0} W_{2 r} & \text { if } n \text { is even }  \tag{7}\\ \frac{1}{p}\left(W_{r+n} W_{r+n+1}-W_{r-1} W_{r}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

When $p=1$, so that $W_{n}=H_{n}$ (and $U_{n}=F_{n}$ ), (6) and (7) reduce to the four formulas given by Berzsenyi[1]. That is, Berzsenyi's four formulas are special cases of (1), i.e., of equation (4.18) of [2].

Zeilberger's theorem [3] then generalizes as follows:
Theorem: If $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{W_{n}\right\}$ are two generalized Fibonacci sequences, in which $q=-1$, then

$$
\sum_{\substack{i, j=0}}^{n} a_{i, j} Z_{i} W_{j}=0
$$

if and only if

$$
P(z, \omega)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{n} a_{i j} z^{i} \omega^{j}
$$

vanishes on $\{(\alpha, \alpha),(\alpha, \beta),(\beta, \alpha),(\beta, \beta)\}$ where $\alpha, \beta$ are the roots of

$$
x^{2}-p x-1=0
$$

Zeilberger's example [3[ now refers to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_{k} W_{k+2 r+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left(Z_{r+n+1} W_{r+n+1}-Z_{r+1} W_{r+1}\right)+Z_{0} W_{2 r+1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In both [1] and [3], $m$ is used instead of our $r$. .)
Verification of the above results involves routine calculation. Difficulties arise when $q \neq-1$.
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## A CONJECTURE IN GAME THEORY

MURRAY HOCHBERG
Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, NY 11210
We consider a team composed of $n$ players, with each member playing the same $r$ games, $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{r}$. We assume that each game $G_{j}$ has two possible outcomes, success and failure, and that the probability of success in game $G_{j}$ is equal to $p_{j}$ for each player. We let $X_{i j}$ be equal to one (1) if player $i$ has a success in game $j$ and let $X_{i j}$ be equal to zero ( 0 ) if player $i$ has a failure in game $j$. We assume throughout this paper that the random variables $X_{i j}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, r$ are independent.

Let $S_{j n}$ denote the total number of successes in the $j$ th game. We define the point-value of a team to be

$$
\Psi_{n}=\min _{1 \leq j \leq r} S_{j n}
$$

This means that the point-value of a team is equal to the minimum number of successes in any particular game. C1early,
and

$$
P\left\{S_{j n}=m\right\}=\binom{n}{m} p_{j}^{m}\left(1-p_{j}\right)^{n-m}, m=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[\Psi_{n}\right] & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} k P\left\{\Psi_{n}=k\right\}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P\left\{\Psi_{n}>k\right\}  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P\left\{S_{1 n}>k, S_{2 n}>k, \ldots, S_{r n}>k\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} P\left\{S_{j n}>k\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{m=k+1}^{n}\binom{n}{m} p_{j}^{m}\left(1-p_{j}\right)^{n-m} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the definition of $\Psi_{n}$ that the expected point-value for a team is an increasing function of $n$, i.e.,

$$
E\left[\Psi_{n}\right] \leq E\left[\Psi_{n+1}\right], n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Since a team can add players in order to increase its expected point-value, it seems reasonable to define the score to be the expected point-value per player. Namely, we denote the score by

$$
W_{n}=\frac{1}{n} E\left[\Psi_{n}\right] .
$$

