- V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., & Marjorie Bicknell. "A Reciprocal Series of Fibonacci Numbers with Subscripts 2ⁿk." The Fibonacci Quarterly 14 (1976):453-455.
- Brother U. Alfred. "Summation of Infinite Fibonacci Series." The Fibonacci Quarterly 7 (1969):143-168.

ON THE EQUATION $\sigma(m)\sigma(n) = (m + n)^2$

MASAO KISHORE

The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606

1. A pair of positive integers m and n are called amicable if

 $\sigma(m)\sigma(n) = (m+n)^2$ and $\sigma(m) = \sigma(n)$.

Although over a thousand pairs of amicable numbers are known, no pairs of relatively prime amicable numbers are known. Some necessary conditions for existence of such numbers are given in [1], [2], and [3].

In this paper, we show that some of the conditions are also necessary for the existence of m and n satisfying

- (1) $\sigma(m)\sigma(n) = (m+n)^2,$
- and (2) (m, n) = 1.

In particular we prove

<u>Theorem</u>: If m and n satisfy (1) and (2), mn is divisible by at least twenty-two distinct primes.

Corollary (Hagis [3]): The product of relatively prime amicable numbers are divisible by twenty-two distinct primes.

2. Throughout this paper, let m and n be positive integers satisfying (1) and (2), and let

$$mn = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{a_i}$$

where $p_1 < \dots < p_r$ are primes and the a_i 's are positive integers. Since σ is multiplicative,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \sigma(p_{i}^{a_{i}}) = \sigma(mn) = (m + n)^{2}.$$

If k and a are positive integers, p is a prime and if $p^a | k$ and $p^{a+1} | k$, then we write $p^a | | k$. $\omega(k)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of k.

Lemma 1: $\sigma(mn)/mn > 4$.

Proof: By (1) and (2)

$$\frac{\sigma(mn)}{mn} = \frac{(m+n)^2}{mn} = 4 + \frac{(m-n)^2}{mn} > 4. \quad Q.E.D.$$

Lemma 2: If q is a prime, q | mn and if $p^a | | mn$, $q | \sigma(p^a)$.

Proof: Suppose q is a prime, q|mn, $p^a||mn$, and $q|\sigma(p^a)$. Since

$$\sigma(p^{a}) | (m + n)^{2},$$

 $q \mid m + n$. Then $q \mid m$ and $q \mid n$, contradicting (2). Q.E.D.

Lemma 3: If $\omega(mn) \leq 21$, 2|mn.

Proof: Suppose

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{a_i}, r \le 21, \text{ and } 3 \le p_1.$$

If q_i is the *i*th prime, we have, by Lemma 1,

$$4 < \frac{\sigma(mn)}{mn} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma(p_i^{a_i})}{p_i^{a_i}} < \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \le \prod_{i=2}^{r+1} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

$$\prod_{i=2}^{r+1} q_i / (q_i - 1) < 4 \text{ if } r \le 20, r = 21$$

Then

Since

(3) $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 | mn$ and (4) $p_{-2} < 113$

$$p_{21} \le 113$$

because

$$\prod_{i=2}^{17} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \prod_{i=19}^{22} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=2}^{21} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \quad \frac{127}{126} < 4$$

Suppose $p^d | |mn|$ and $p \neq 3, 7, 31$. Then $p \leq 113$ and $p \equiv -1(q)$ for some prime $3 \leq q \leq 37$. If d is odd, then $1 + p | \sigma(p^d)$, and we have $q | \sigma(p^d)$ and q | mn, contradicting Lemma 2. Hence, d is even, and $mn = 3^a 7^b 31^c e^2$, where

$$(e, 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 31) = 1.$$

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{21} \sigma(p_i^{a_i}) = (m + n)^2$$

is even and $\sigma(p_i^{a_i})$ is odd if a_i is even, at least one of a, b, or c is odd. Suppose at least two of them are odd. Then

 $32 |\sigma(3^{\alpha})\sigma(7^{b})\sigma(31^{c})\sigma(e^{2}) = (m + n)^{2},$

or $8 \mid m + n$. Hence, $m \equiv -n(8)$, or $mn = -n^2 \equiv -1(8)$. If *a* is even, then *b* and *c* are odd and $mn = 3^a 7^b 31^c e^2 \equiv 1(8)$, while, if *a* is odd, then $mn \equiv \pm 3(8)$, a contradiction in both cases. Hence, only one of *a*, *b*, or *c* is odd.

Suppose a is odd and b and c are even. Then

 $m = 3^{a} f^{2} \equiv 3(8)$

and

$$n = g^2 \equiv 1(8)$$
 [or $m \equiv 1(8)$ and $n = 3(8)$].

Hence, m = 3 + 8h and n = 1 + 8i, for some h and i, and we have

$$\sigma(3^{a})\sigma(f^{2}q^{2}) = (m + n)^{2} = (3 + 8h + 1 + 8i)^{2} \equiv 0(16)$$

or $16 | \sigma(3^{a})$. Since

$$\sigma(3^{\alpha}) = (1+3)(1+3^2+3^4+\cdots+3^{\alpha-1}),$$

$$4|1 + 3^2 + 3^4 + \cdots + 3^{a-1}$$
, or $a \equiv 7(8)$. Then

$$\sigma(3^{a}) = (1+3)(1+3^{2})(1+3^{4})(1+3^{8}+\cdots+3^{a-7}),$$

or $5 | \sigma(3^a)$ contradicting Lemma 2. Suppose *b* is odd and *a* and *c* are even. Then $m \equiv 7(8)$ and $n \equiv 1(8)$ [or $m \equiv 1(8)$ and n = 7(8)], $(m + n)^2 \equiv 0(64)$, $64 | \sigma(7^b)$, $b \equiv 7(8)$, $1 + 7^2 | \sigma(7^b)$, or $5 | \sigma(7^b)$, a contradiction. Suppose *c* is odd and *a* and

[Feb.

b are even. Then 64 $|\sigma(31^e)$, $c \equiv 3(4)$, $1 + 31^2 |\sigma(31^e)$, or $13 |\sigma(31^e)$, a contradiction. Since we get a contradiction in every case,

$$2 | mn \text{ if } \omega(mn) \leq 21. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

Lemma 4: 4/mn.

Proof: Suppose

$$mn = 2^{\alpha} \prod_{i=2}^{p} p_i^{a_i}$$
, with $\alpha \ge 1$.

Since

$$\sigma(mn) = (2^{a+1} - 1) \prod_{i=2}^{r} \sigma(p_i^{a_i}) = (m + n)^2$$

is odd, a_i is even, and we have

$$m = 2^{a}b^{2}$$
 and $n = c^{2}$ [or $m = c^{2}$ and $n = 2^{a}b^{2}$].

Suppose *a* is even. Then $m = d^2$, and $2^{a+1} - 1$ has a prime factor $q \equiv 3(4)$. Since $q \mid m + n$, $c^2 \equiv -d^2(q)$. Since (q, dc) = 1 by Lemma 2, $(-d^2/q) = 1$, where (e/q) is the Legendre symbol. However,

$$(-d^2/q) = (-1/q) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} = -1,$$

a contradiction. Hence, α is odd. Suppose $\alpha \ge 3$ is odd. Then

$$m = 2b^2$$
 and $n = c^2$ [or $m = c^2$ and $n = 2b^2$],

and $2^{a+1} - 1$ has a prime factor $q \equiv 5$ or 7(8). Since $q \mid m + n$ and (q, 2bc) = 1, $c^2 \equiv -2b^2(q)$, or $(-2b^2/q) = 1$. However,

$$(-2b^2/q) = (-2/q) = (-1/q)(2/q) = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{8}} = -1,$$

a contradiction, Hence, $\alpha = 1$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 5: If 2 | mn, $\omega(mn) \ge 22$.

Proof: Suppose

$$mn = 2 \prod_{i=2}^{r} p_i^{a_i} \quad \text{and} \quad r \leq 21.$$

Since

$$3\prod_{i=2}^{r}\sigma(p_{i}^{a_{i}}) = (m+n)^{2}$$

is odd, 3|mn, by Lemma 2, and so $5 \le p_i$, a_i is even, and $3|\sigma(p_j^{a_j})$ for some j. Then, as in Lemma 3, we have r = 21, (3) and (4). We can also show that $p_{20} \le 83$. Suppose $p^a | |mn, p \ge 5$, q is a prime, and $q | \sigma(p^a)$. Then, by Lemma 2, q|mn, and by (3), q > 61; moreover, since q|m + n,

$$m = 2b^2$$
 and $n = c^2$ [or $m = c^2$ and $n = 2b^2$],

we have $c^2 \equiv -2b^2(q)$, or $(-2b^2/q) = 1$, and so $q \equiv 1$ or 3(8). Hence, if

(5)
$$5 \le q \le 61$$
, or $q \equiv 5$ or 7(8).

 $q \mid \sigma(p^{a})$.

In [3] Hagis showed that if $3 | \sigma(p^{\alpha})$ then $\sigma(p^{\alpha})$ is divisible by a prime q satisfying (5), except when p = 31, 73, 97, or 103, in which case $\sigma(p^{\alpha})$ is divisible by s = 331, 1801, 3169, or 3571, respectively. Since

$$3\prod_{i=2}^{r}\sigma(p_{i}^{a_{i}}) = (m+n)^{2}, \ s^{2}\left|\prod_{i=2}^{r}\sigma(p_{i}^{a_{i}})\right|.$$

A SPECIAL *m*TH-ORDER RECURRENCE RELATION

However, Hagis also showed that, if $t^b | |mn, t \neq p$ and $s | \sigma(t^b)$, then $\sigma(t^b)$ is divisible by a prime q satisfying (5). Hence, $s^2 | \sigma(p^a)$. Then $\sigma(p^a)$ is divisible by a prime q = 5564773, 13925333, 570421, or 985597, respectively, satisfying (5), a contradiction. Hence, r > 21. Q.E.D.

Lemmas 3 and 5 prove our Theorem.

REFERENCES

- H. J. Kanold. "Untere Schranken für teilerfremde befreudete Zahlen." Arch. Math. 4 (1953):399-401.
- P. Hagis, Jr. "Relatively Prime Amicable Numbers with Twenty-one Prime Divisors." Math Mag. 45 (1972):21-26,
- P. Hagis, Jr. "On the Number of Prime Factors of a Pair of Relatively Prime Amicable Numbers." Math Mag. 48 (1975):263-266.

A SPECIAL *m*TH-ORDER RECURRENCE RELATION

LEONARD E. FULLER

Kansas State University, Manhattan KA 66506

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider *m*th-order recurrence relations whose characteristic equation has only one distinct root. We express the solution for the relation in powers of the single root. The proof for the solution depends upon a special property of factorial polynomials that is given in the first lemma. We conclude the paper by noting the simple form of the result for $m \ge 2$, 3.

2. A SPECIAL *mTH-ORDER* RECURRENCE RELATION

In this section, we shall consider an *m*th-order recurrence relation whose characteristic equation has only one distinct root λ . It is of the form

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{m}{m-j} \lambda^{j} T_{n-j}$$

with initial values T_0 , ..., T_{m-1} .

Before we can prove the solution for this relation, we must establish two lemmas. The first lemma gives a useful property of the factorial polynomials. With the second lemma, we obtain an evaluation for more general polynomials. These are actually elements in the vector space \mathbf{V}_m of all polynomials in j of degree less than m. This vector space has a basis that consists of the constant $_j P_0 = 1$ and the monic factorial polynomials in j:

$$_{j}P_{\omega} = \frac{j!}{(j-\omega)!} = (j-0)(j-1) \dots (j-(\omega-1)); \omega = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

We will make use of the fact that the zeros of these polynomials are the integers 0, ..., w - 1. We are now ready to state and prove the first lemma.

Lemma 2.1: For any integers m, w where $0 \le w \le m$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose m-j}_{j} P_{\omega} = 0.$$

We first of all observe that for w = 0 the factorial polynomials are just the constant 1. For the summation, we then have: