This result has been most useful in developing numerical procedures for calculating or approximating the probabilities that a server is busy, which is used in finding efficient designs for this class of production systems.

REFERENCE

 M. J. Magazine & G. L. Silver. "Heuristics for Determining Output and Work Allocations in Series Flow Lines." Int'l. J. Prod. Research 16-3 (1978): 169-181.

THE DETERMINATION OF ALL DECADIC KAPREKAR CONSTANTS

G. D. PRICHETT, A. L. LUDINGTON, and J. F. LAPENTA Babson College, Wellesley, MA 02157

0. INTRODUCTION

Choose *a* to be any *r*-digit integer expressed in base 10 with not all digits equal. Let *a'* be the integer formed by arranging these digits in descending order, and let *a"* be the integer formed by arranging these digits in ascending order. Define T(a) = a' - a''. When r = 3, repeated applications of *T* to any starting value *a* will always lead to 495, which is self-producing under *T*, that is, T(495) = 495. Any *r*-digit integer exhibiting the properties that 495 exhibits in the 3-digit case will be called a "Kaprekar constant." It is well known (see [2]) that 6174 is such a Kaprekar constant in the 4-digit case.

In this paper we concern ourselves only with self-producing integers. After developing some general results which hold for any base g, we then characterize all decadic self-producing integers. From this it follows that the only r-digit Kaprekar constants are those given above for r = 3 and 4.

1. THE DIGITS OF
$$T(a)$$

Let $r = 2n + \delta$, where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & r \text{ odd} \\ 0 & r \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Let α be an *r*-digit *g*-adic integer of the form

 $a = a_{r-1}g^{r-1} + a_{r-2}g^{r-2} + \dots + a_1g + a_0$ (1.1)

with

$$g > \alpha_{r-1} \ge \alpha_{r-2} \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_0, \ \alpha_{r-1} > \alpha_0.$$

Let α' be the corresponding reflected integer

$$\alpha' = \alpha_n g^{r-1} + \alpha_1 g^{r-2} + \dots + \alpha_{r-2} g + \alpha_{r-1}.$$
 (1.2)

The operation T(a) = a - a' will give rise to a new *r*-digit integer (permitting leading zeros) whose digits can be arranged in descending and ascending order as in (1.1) and (1.2). Define

$$d_{n-i+1} = \alpha_{r-i} - \alpha_{i-1}, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ \dots, \ n.$$
 (1.3)

Thus associated with the integer a given in (1.1) is the *n*-tuple of differences $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ with $g > d_n \ge d_{n-1} \ge \cdots \ge d_1$. Note that T(a) depends entirely upon the values of these differences. The digits of T(a) are given by the following, viz.,

÷

THE DETERMINATION OF ALL DECADIC KAPREKAR CONSTANTS

$$\delta = 0 \text{ and } d_1 \neq 0 \tag{1.4a}$$

$$d_n \quad d_{n-1} \ \dots \ d_2 \quad d_1 - 1 \quad g - d_1 - 1 \quad g - d_2 - 1 \ \dots \ g - d_{n-1} - 1 \quad g - d_n$$

and
$$d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_{j-1} = 0$$
, $d_j \neq 0$, $1 < j \le n$ (1.4b)
2(j-1) terms

$$d_n \quad d_{n-1} \dots d_{j+1} \quad d_j = 1 \quad g = 1 \dots g = 1 \quad g = d_j = 1 \dots g = d_{n-1} = 1 \quad g = d_n$$

 $\delta = 1 \text{ and } d \neq 0$
(1.4c)

$$d_n \quad d_{n-1} \dots d_2 \quad d_1 = 1 \quad g = 1 \quad g = d_1 = 1 \quad g = d_2 = 1 \dots g = d_{n-1} = 1 \quad g = d_n$$

$$\delta = 1 \text{ and } d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_{j-1} = 0, \ d_j \neq 0, \ 1 < j \le n$$
(1.4d)
2(j-1)+1 terms

$$d_n \quad d_{n-1} \dots d_{j+1} \quad d_j = 1 \quad g = 1 \dots g = 1 \quad g = d_j = 1 \dots g = d_{n-1} = 1 \quad g = d_n$$

Differences $D' = (d'_n, d'_{n-1}, \ldots, d'_1)$ can now be assigned to the integers T(a) as in (1.3). We say that $(d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ is mapped to $(d'_n, d'_{n-1}, \ldots, d'_1)$ under T.

2. PROPERTIES OF ONE-CYCLES

We shall focus attention on the determination of all a such that T(a) = a. Such integers are said to generate a one-cycle a_{\star} . This is equivalent to finding all *n*-tuples $(d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ that are mapped to themselves under *T*.

<u>Theorem 2.1</u>: Suppose $(d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ represents a one-cycle with $d_j \neq 0$, $j \geq 1$, and $d_k = 0$ for k < j. Further suppose that $d_n \neq d_j$. Then

(i) $d_n + d_j = g$ if $\delta = 1$ or if $\delta = 0$ and j > 1, or

(ii) $\begin{cases} d_n + 2d_1 = g \\ \text{or} \\ d_n = g - 1, \ d_1 = 1 \end{cases}$ if $\delta = 0$ and j = 1

<u>Proof</u>: (i) Since either j > 1 or $\delta = 1$, (1.4a) does not apply. Thus the largest digit in $T(\alpha)$ is g - 1. The smallest digit could be one of three:

$$\begin{cases} d_{j} - 1 & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 < g \\ g - d_{n} & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} \neq d_{n-1} \\ g - d_{n} - 1 & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} = d_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

 $d'_{n} = \begin{cases} g - d_{j} & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 < g \\ d_{n} - 1 & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} \neq d_{n-1} \\ d_{n} & \text{if } d_{j} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} = d_{n-1}. \end{cases}$

Since $d_n = d'_n$, if $d_j + d_n - 1 < g$, then $d_n + d_j = g$. If $d_j + d_n - 1 \ge g$, then since $d'_n = d_n \ne d_n - 1$, it must be that $d_n = d_{n-1}$. This condition restricts the second largest digit to be either d_n or g = 1, and the second smallest to be $g - d_n$ if $d_n \neq d_{n-2}$ or $g - d_n - 1$ if $d_n = d_{n-2}$. Since $d'_{n-1} = d_{n-1} = d_n \neq g$, we must have $d_n = d_{n-2}$. Continuing in this fashion, one finds that $d_n = d_j$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus $d_n + d_j = g$. (ii) Suppose first that $d_n > g - d_1 - 1$, then d_n is the largest

digit in (1.4a). Then

46

 $\delta = 0$

[Feb.

$$d'_{n} = \begin{cases} d_{n} - d_{1} + 1 & \text{if } d_{1} + d_{n} - 1 < g \\ 2d_{n} - g & \text{if } d_{1} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} \neq d_{n-1} \\ 2d_{n} - g + 1 & \text{if } d_{1} + d_{n} - 1 \ge g, \ d_{n} = d_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

If $d_1 + d_n - 1 < g$ and $g < d_1 + d_n + 1$, then $g = d_1 + d_n$. Since $d'_n = d_n$, one must have $d_1 = 1$ and $d_n = g - 1$. If $d_1 + d_n - 1 \ge g$, then $d_n = d_{n-1}$ as shown in (i). Hence $d_n = d_{n-1} = \cdots = d_1 = g - 1$. This cannot occur in a one-cycle unless g = 2, in which case $d_n = g - 1 = 1 = d_1$. Thus, if $d_n > g - d_1 - 1$, $d_n = g - 1$ and $d_1 = 1$.

Now suppose that $d_n \leq g - d_1 - 1$. Then the largest digit in (1.4a) is $g - d_1 - 1$ and the smallest is $d_1 - 1$. Hence

and
$$d_n = d'_n = (g - d_1 - 1) - (d_1 - 1) = g - 2d_1$$
$$d_n + 2d_1 = g.$$

Theorem 2.2: If $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ represents a one-cycle with $d_n = \cdots = d_j \neq 0, j \geq 1$, and $d_k = 0$ for k < j, then $d_n = \cdots = d_j = g/2$. Further,

(i) if $g \neq 2$, then $r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. In particular

$$D = \begin{cases} \frac{p/3 \text{ terms}}{\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{2}, \dots, \frac{g}{2}, 0, 0, \dots, 0} & \text{when } r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r/3 \text{ terms}}{\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{2}, \dots, \frac{g}{2}, 0, 0, \dots, 0} & \text{when } r \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

(ii) if
$$g = 2$$
, then every *n*-tuple *D* is a one-cycle.

<u>Proof</u>: (i) If g > 2, then j > 1 from (1.4). From (1.4b) and (1.4d), any n-tuple (k, k, ..., k, 0, 0, ..., 0) will give rise to a successor with digits

$$\frac{(n-j) \text{ terms}}{k \ k \ \dots \ k} \begin{array}{c} 2(j-1) + \delta \text{ terms} \\ g-1 \ \dots \ g-1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (n-j) \text{ terms} \\ g-k-1 \ \dots \ g-k-1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g-k. \end{array}$$

Clearly the largest digit is g - 1. The smallest is either k - 1, forcing k = g/2, or g - k - 1, forcing k - (g - k) = k, which is impossible. Hence

$$d_n = d_{n-1} = \cdots = d_j = \frac{g}{2}.$$

Consider

$$D = \left(\underbrace{\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g}{2}, \dots, \frac{g}{2}}_{\text{the successor of } D}, \alpha = n - j + 1 \right)$$

The digits of the successor of D are

$$(a-1) \text{ terms} \qquad 2(n-a) + \delta \text{ terms} \qquad (a-1) \text{ terms} \\ \overline{\frac{g}{2}} \ \frac{g}{2} \ \dots \ \frac{g}{2} \ \frac{g}{2} - 1 \qquad \overline{g-1} \ \dots \ g-1 \qquad \overline{\frac{g}{2}} - 1 \ \dots \ \frac{g}{2} - 1 \qquad \underline{\frac{g}{2}}.$$
(2.1)

Ordering the digits of (2.1) in descending order, one obtains

1981]

Differences equal to g/2 will be generated by the pairs (g - 1, g/2 - 1), and differences will be generated by the pairs (g/2, g/2). Hence, if *D* is a one-cycle, then $2(n - a) + \delta = a$, that is, $r = 2n + \delta = 3a$. In addition,

 $n - \alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{6} & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r - 3}{6} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$

(ii) If g = 2, then the digits of the successor of D ordered in descending order, from (2.2), are

$$\underbrace{(n-a)+\delta \text{ terms}}_{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1} \qquad \underbrace{a \ \text{terms}}_{0 \ \dots \ 0} \qquad (2.3)$$

Clearly the first *a* succeeding differences in (2.3) are equal to 1 and the remaining (n-a) differences are equal to 0. Therefore, *a* is a one-cycle for all $1 \le a \le n$.

<u>Definition 2.1</u>: For i = 0, 1, ..., g - 1, let l_i be the number of entries in $(d_n, d_{n-1}, ..., d_1)$ that equal i, and let c_i be the number of digits of $T(\alpha)$ that equal i.

For example, if g = 10, $\delta = 0$, and D = (9, 9, 7, 7, 3, 1, 0, 0), then $\ell_9 = 2$, $\ell_8 = 0$, $\ell_7 = 2$, $\ell_6 = \ell_5 = \ell_4 = 0$, $\ell_3 = 1$, $\ell_2 = 0$, $\ell_1 = 1$, and $\ell_0 = 2$ From (1.4), the digits of D' are

9 9 7 7 3 0 9 9 9 9 8 6 2 2 0 1

giving rise to the digit counters

2

 $c_9 = 6$, $c_8 = 1$, $c_7 = 2$, $c_6 = 1$, $c_5 = c_4 = 0$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_2 = 2$, $c_1 = 1$, and $c_0 = 2$ Using the results of Section 1, we now obtain the following corollary. Corollary 2.1: If $d_n + d_j = g$, where d_j is the smallest nonzero entry in

then

 $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \dots, d_1),$ $c_{g-1} = \lambda_{g-1} + 2\lambda_0 + \delta$ $c_i = \lambda_i + \lambda_{g-i-1} \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, g-2$ $c_0 = \lambda_{g-1}$

Proof: This result follows directly from (1.4).

3. THE DETERMINATION OF ALL DECADIC ONE-CYCLES

If one fixes g = 10, then each one-cycle $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_2, d_1)$ falls into one of four classes. These classes can be described using the difference counters ℓ_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, g - 1$ introduced in Definition 2.1. The following conditions on the difference counters must hold for $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ to be in a given class.

48

[Feb.

THE DETERMINATION OF ALL DECADIC KAPREKAR CONSTANTS

and

1981]

0

<u>Theorem 3.1</u>: Let $(d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ be a decadic one-cycle with $d_n + d_j = 10$ and $k_0 = j - 1$. Suppose that $d_j \neq 5$ and either $j \neq 1$ or $\delta \neq 0$. Then $(d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ is in either Class A or Class B.

<u>*Proof*</u>: We wish to determine the difference counters ℓ_i , i = 0, 1, 2, ...,g - 1. To do this, we shall explore the various ways these differences can be computed from the digits in a self-producing integer. From Corollary 2.1,

$$c_9 = l_9 + 2l_0 + \delta$$

 $c_i = l_i + l_{9-i}$ $i = 1, 2, ..., 8$
 $c_0 = l_9$

Certainly, $l_9 = \min(c_9, c_0) = c_0$, since a difference of 9 can only be obtained from the digits 9 and 0. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell_8 = \min(2\ell_0 + \delta, c_1) = \min(2\ell_0 + \delta, \ell_1 + \ell_8) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2\ell_0 + \delta & \ell_1 \neq 0 \\ & \ell_8 & \ell_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

 $\ell_6 = \min(2\ell_0 + \delta, \ell_2 + \ell_7).$

Thus the value of \mathbb{A}_8 depends on whether \mathbb{A}_1 is zero or nonzero. If $\mathbb{A}_1 \neq 0$, then there are fewer 9's than 1's remaining and hence there will be as many differences of 8 as there are 9's remaining. If $l_1 = 0$, then there are fewer 1's in the self-producing integer than remaining 9's, and there will be as many differences of 8 as there are 1's. This technique of evaluating the difference counters is used throughout this section.

Suppose first that $\ell_1 \neq 0$. Note that if $\ell_1 \neq 0$, $d_j = 1$, and hence $d_n = 9$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} & \ell_9 = \ell_9 \neq 0 \\ & \ell_8 = 2\ell_0 + \delta \\ & \ell_7 = \ell_1 \end{split}$$
 (3.2)

and

Now if
$$\ell_2 + \ell_7 < 2\ell_0 + \delta$$
, then one finds either

$$\begin{split} &\ell_{6} = \ell_{2} + \ell_{7} \\ &\ell_{5} = \ell_{8} - (\ell_{2} + \ell_{7}) \\ &\ell_{4} = \ell_{7} + \ell_{2} \\ &\ell_{3} = \ell_{3} + \ell_{6} - \ell_{8} \end{split}$$
 (3.3)

or

$$\begin{split} & \ell_{6} = \ell_{2} + \ell_{7} \\ & \ell_{5} = \ell_{3} + \ell_{6} \\ & \ell_{4} = \ell_{8} - (\ell_{2} + \ell_{7} + \ell_{3} + \ell_{6}) \\ & \ell_{3} = \ell_{7} + \ell_{2} \\ & \ell_{2} = \ell_{3} \\ & \ell_{1} = \min(\ell_{2} + \ell_{7}, \ell_{8} - \ell_{2} - \ell_{7}) \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(3.4)$$

and

Equations (3.3) imply that $\ell_6 = \ell_8$ or $\ell_2 + \ell_7 = 2\ell_0 + \delta$, which is a contradiction. Equations (3.4) imply that $\ell_1 = 0$, again a contradiction. Thus we must have $2\ell_0 + \delta \leq \ell_2 + \ell_7$. Continuing in like fashion,

$$\begin{split} & \ell_{6} = 2\ell_{0} + \delta \\ & \ell_{5} = \ell_{2} + \ell_{7} - (2\ell_{0} + \delta) \\ & \ell_{4} = 2\ell_{0} + \delta \\ & \ell_{3} = \ell_{3} \\ & \ell_{2} = 2\ell_{0} + \delta \\ & \ell_{1} = \ell_{5} \\ & \ell_{0} = \frac{\ell_{4} - \delta}{2} \end{split}$$
(3.5)

Equations (3.5) together with equations (3.2) determine the relations given in Class A with ℓ_1 and ℓ_9 nonzero.

Suppose now that $\ell_1 = 0$. From (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{8} &= \lambda_{8} \\
\lambda_{7} &= \min(2\lambda_{0} + \delta - \lambda_{8}, \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{7}), \text{ or } \\
\lambda_{7} &= \begin{cases} 2\lambda_{0} + \delta - \lambda_{8} & \lambda_{2} \neq 0 \\ \lambda_{7} & \lambda_{2} = 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$
(3.6)

We first consider the case where $\ell_2 \neq 0$. From (3.1) and (3.6) it is clear that

$$\begin{split} & \ell_9 = 0 \\ & \ell_8 = \ell_8 \\ & \ell_7 = 2\ell_0 + \delta - \ell_8 \\ & \ell_6 = \min(\ell_8, \ell_2) \end{split}$$

$$(3.7)$$

If $\ell_2 < \ell_8$, then

If $\ell_4 = \ell_6 + \ell_7$, then

$$\begin{split} & \chi_{6} = \chi_{2} \\ & \chi_{5} = \chi_{8} - \chi_{2} \\ & \chi_{4} = \chi_{2} + \chi_{7} \\ & \chi_{3} = \chi_{3} + \chi_{6} - \chi_{8} - \chi_{7} \\ & \chi_{2} = \chi_{3} = 2\chi_{0} + \delta \end{split}$$
 (3.8)

or

$$\begin{split} & \&_{6} = \&_{2} \\ \&_{5} = \&_{8} - \&_{2} \\ \&_{4} = \&_{2} + \&_{3} + \&_{6} - \&_{8} \\ \&_{3} = 2\&_{0} + \& - \&_{3} - \&_{6} \\ \&_{2} = \&_{6} + \&_{3} = \&_{2} + \&_{3} \end{split}$$
(3.9)

or

 $\begin{array}{l} \ell_6 &= \ell_2 \\ \ell_5 &= \ell_3 &+ \ell_6 \\ \ell_4 &= \ell_8 &- \ell_2 &- \ell_3 &- \ell_6 \\ \ell_3 &= \ell_7 &+ \ell_2 \\ \ell_2 &= \ell_3 &+ \ell_6 \end{array}$ In (3.8), $\ell_5 = -\ell_7 = 0$, so $\ell_2 = \ell_8$. In (3.9), $\ell_3 = 0$, which implies $\ell_2 = \ell_8$. In (3.10), $\ell_2 = 0$, so all three circumstances lead to a contradiction. Hence, it must be that $l_8 \leq l_2$, and, therefore, in (3.7) one finds $l_6 = l_8$. In this case, there are two possible values for l_4 , viz., $l_4 = l_6 + \min(l_7, l_3)$.

(3.10)

Equations (3.12) fall into Class B.

It can easily be checked that there exist no one-cycles with $d_n = 7$ and $d_j = 3$ or $d_n = 6$ and $d_j = 4$. This completes the proof of the theorem. <u>Theorem 3.2</u>: Let $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \ldots, d_1)$ be a decadic one-cycle with $d_n = 9$, $d_1 = 1$ and $\delta = 0$. Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \ell_7 \ = \ \ell_5 \ = \ \ell_1 \ \neq \ 0 \\ \ell_8 \ = \ \ell_6 \ = \ \ell_4 \ = \ \ell_2 \ = \ \ell_0 \ = \ 0 \,, \end{array}$$

and this one-cycle falls into Class A.

<u>Proof</u>: This results immediately from Corollary 2.1, since $l_0 = \delta = 0$. <u>Theorem 3.3</u>: Let $D = (d_n, d_{n-1}, \dots, d_1)$ be a decadic one-cycle with $d_n + 2d_1 = 10$ and $\delta = 0$. Then

$$l_6 = l_2 = 1$$

 $l_i = 0, i \neq 2, 3, 6;$

hence, this one-cycle will fall into Class C.

<u>Proof</u>: If $d_1 = 1$, one obtains the following system of inconsistent equations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \ell_{8} = 1 \\ \ell_{7} = \ell_{1} - 1 \\ \ell_{6} = 1 \\ \ell_{5} = \ell_{7} + \ell_{2} \\ \ell_{4} = 0 \\ \ell_{3} = \ell_{3} + \ell_{6} = \ell_{3} + 1 \end{array}$$

If $d_{1} = 2$, then
$$\begin{array}{l} \ell_{6} = 1 \\ \ell_{5} = \ell_{2} - 1 \\ \ell_{4} = 0 \\ \ell_{3} = \ell_{3} \\ \ell_{2} = 1 \end{array}$$

which falls into Class C. It can easily be checked that $d_1 = 3$ implies that $\ell_3 = \ell_3 - 1$, so the proof is complete.

Since Class D consists of all the remaining one-cycles, namely, those with d_j = 5 from Theorem 3.1, this completes the classification of all dedadic one-cycles.

4. THE DETERMINATION OF KAPREKAR CONSTANTS

An *r*-digit Kaprekar constant is an *r*-digit, self-producing integer such that repeated iterations of *T* applied to *any* starting value *a* will always lead to this integer. Utilizing the results of Section 3, one can now show that only for r = 3 and r = 4 does such an integer exist.

Lemma 4.1: For r = 2n with $n \ge 3$, there exist at least two distinct one-cycles.

Proof: If r = 6, then one finds the one cycles

 $D_1 = (6, 3, 2)$ and $D_2 = (5, 5, 0)$.

If r = 2n, $n \ge 4$, then two distinct one-cycles are

1981]

 $D_1: \ \ \&_6 = \&_2 = 1; \ \&_3 = n - 2; \ \&_i = 0, \ i \neq 2, \ 3, \ 6$ $D_2: \ \ \&_7 = \&_5 = \&_1 = 1; \ \&_9 = n - 3; \ \&_i = 0, \ i \neq 1, \ 5, \ 7, \ 9.$

Lemma 4.2: For p = 2n + 1 with $n \ge 7$, there exist at least two distinct onecycles.

Proof: If n = 7, then one finds the one-cycles:

 $D_1 = (8, 6, 4, 3, 3, 3, 2)$ and $D_2 = (5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 0, 0)$. If r = 2n + 1, $n \ge 8$, then two distinct one-cycles are:

 $D_1: l_8 = l_7 = l_6 = l_5 = l_4 = l_2 = l_1 = 1; l_9 = n - 7; l_3 = l_0 = 0$

 $D_2: l_8 = l_6 = l_4 = l_2 = 1; l_3 = n - 4; l_9 = l_7 = l_5 = l_1 = l_0 = 0.$

Lemma 4.3: If r = 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, or 13, then there does not exist a Kaprekar constant.

 $\frac{Proof}{distinct}$: When r = 2, 5, and 7 there are no one-cycles. When r = 9 there are two distinct one-cycles:

 $D_1 = (5, 5, 5, 0)$ and $D_2 = (8, 6, 4, 2)$.

If r = 11 the only one-cycle is $D_1 = (8, 6, 4, 3, 2)$, but there is also a cycle of length four, viz.,

 $(8, 8, 4, 3, 2) \rightarrow (8, 6, 5, 4, 2) \rightarrow (8, 6, 4, 2, 1) \rightarrow (9, 6, 6, 4, 2).$

If r = 13 the only one-cycle is $D_1 = (8, 6, 4, 3, 3, 2)$, but there is also a cycle of length two, viz.,

 $(8, 7, 3, 3, 2, 1) \rightarrow (9, 6, 6, 5, 4, 3).$

Theorem 4.1: The only decadic Kaprekar constants are 495 and 6174.

Proof: This follows from Lemmas 4.1-4.3.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. R. Kaprekar. "Another Solitaire Game." Scripta Mathematica 15 (1949): 244-245.
- 2. D. R. Kaprekar. "An Interesting Property of the Number 6174." Scripta Mathematica 21 (1955):304.
- D. R. Kaprekar. The New Constant 6174. Devali, India: By the author, 1959. Available from D. R. Kaprekar, 25 N. Paise, Devali Camp, Devali, India.

4. M. Gardner. "Mathematical Games." Scientific American 232 (1975):112.

5. H. Hasse & G. D. Prichett. "The Determination of All Four-Digit Kaprekar Constants." J. Reine Angew. Math. 299/300 (1978):113-124.

6. J. H. Jordan. "Self-Producing Sequences of Digits." American Mathematical Monthly 71 (1964):61-64.

7. G. D. Prichett. "Terminating Cycles for Iterated Difference Values of Five-Digit Integers." J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978):379-388.

8. Lucio Saffara. Teoria generalizzata della transformazione che ha come invariante il numero 6174. Bologna: Via Guidotti 50, Bologna I-40134, 1965.

9. C. W. Trigg. "Kaprekar's Routine With Two-Digit Integers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 9 (1971):189-193.

52