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In 1953 Fenton Stancliff [2] noted (without proof) that

$$
\Sigma 10^{-(i+1)} F_{i}=\frac{1}{89}
$$

where $F_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th Fibonacci number. Until recently this expansion was regarded as an anomalous numerical curiosity, possibly related to the fact that 89 is a Fibonacci number (see Remark in [2]), but not generalizing to other fractions in an obvious manner.

Recently, the second of us showed that the sums $\sum 10^{-(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}$ approximate $1 / 71$, $2 / 59$, and $3 / 31$ for $\alpha=2,3$, and 4, respectively. Moreover, Winans showed that the sums $\sum 10^{-2(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}$ approximate $1 / 9899,1 / 9701,2 / 9599$, and $3 / 9301$ for $\alpha=1,2$, 3 , and 4, respectively.

In this paper, we completely characterize all decimal fractions that can be approximated by sums of the type

$$
\frac{1}{F_{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}\right), \alpha \geq 1, k \geq 1
$$

In particular, all such fractions must be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{10^{2 k}-10^{k}-1-10^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{(\alpha-1) / 2} L_{2 j}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha$ is odd, and of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{10^{2 k}-3\left(10^{k}\right)+1-10^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{(\alpha+1) / 2} L_{2 j+1}\right)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha$ is even [ $L_{j}$ denotes the $j$ th Lucas number and the denominators in (1.1) and (1.2) are assumed to be positive].

Recalling that the $i$ th term of the Fibonacci sequence is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{i}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{i}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is straightforward to prove that the sums $\frac{1}{F_{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}\right)$ converge to the

[^0]fractions indicated in (1.1) and (1.2) provided that $((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)^{\alpha}<10^{k}$. For example, we have $((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)^{2}=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2<10$. Hence, appealing to the formula for the sum of a convergent geometric series, we have
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_{2 i}}{10^{i+1}} & =\frac{1}{10 \sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1}{1-(3+\sqrt{5}) / 20}-\frac{1}{1-(3-\sqrt{5}) / 20}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{5}}{5}\left(\frac{17+\sqrt{5}}{284}-\frac{17-\sqrt{5}}{284}\right)=\frac{1}{71}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The surprising fact, indeed the fact that motivates the writing of this paper, is that the fractions given by (1.1) and (1.2) are completely determined by values in the Lucas sequence, totally independent of any consideration regarding Fibonacci numbers. The manner in which this dependence on Lucas numbers arises seems to us thoroughly remarkable.

$$
\text { 2. THE SUMS } \Sigma 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}, k=1
$$

Case 1: $\alpha=1$.
Using Table 1 (see Section 6 below), we have
(2.1) $\sum_{i=1}^{60} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{i}$
$=.0112359550561797752808988764044943820224719101123296681836230$.
It is easily verified that $1 / 89$ repeats with period 44 and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{89}=.01123595505617977528089887640449438202247191011235 \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximation $\sum_{i=1}^{60} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{i} \approx \frac{1}{89}$ is accurate only to 49 places, solely because we have used only the first 60 Fibonacci numbers. A good ballpark estimate of the accuracy of the approximation $\sum_{i=1}^{s} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i} \approx \frac{p}{q}$ may be obtained by looking at the number of zeros preceding the first nonzero entry in the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\alpha s}}{10^{k(s+1)}}=.000 \ldots a_{n} \cdot a_{n+1} \ldots a_{\ell} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha_{n}$ is the first nonzero entry and $\ell=k(s+1)$.
Thus, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{60}}{10^{61}}=.000 \ldots 1548008755920 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of zeros preceding $a_{n}$ above is 48 , so that the 49-place accuracy found is to be expected.

Case 2: $\alpha=2$.
Look at every second Fibonacci number; then, using Table 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{25} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{2 i}=.01408450704225347648922085 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{71}=.0140845070422535 \ldots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that
(2.7)

$$
\frac{F_{50}}{10^{26}}=.000 \ldots 12586269025
$$

where the number of zeros preceding $a_{n}=1$ is 15 .
Case 3: $\alpha=3$.
Looking at every third Fibonacci number, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{16} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{3 i}=.03389826975294276 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{59}=.0338983 \ldots \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The six place accuracy is to be expected in light of the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{48}}{10^{17}}=.00000004807526976 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 4: $\alpha=4$.
Looking at every fourth Fibonacci number up to $F_{100}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{25} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{4 i}=.09676657589472715467557065 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{31}=.096774 \ldots \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of (2.11) is very slow, as can be seen by the fact that $\frac{F_{100}}{10^{26}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{100}}{10^{26}}=.00000354224638179261842845 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 5: $\alpha \geq 5$.
Consider $\Sigma 10^{-(i+1)} F_{5 i}$. The sum is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& .05  \tag{2.14}\\
&+ .055 \\
&+ .0610 \\
&+ .06765 \\
&+\quad . \\
& \hline
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly this sum does not converge at all and, a fortiori, $\sum 10^{-(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}$ does not converge for any $\alpha \geq 5$.

Summary of Section 2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{i} \approx \frac{1}{89} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{2 i} \approx \frac{1}{71} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{3 i} \approx \frac{2}{59} . \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{4 i} \approx \frac{3}{31} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-(i+1)} F_{\alpha i} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { if } \alpha \geq 5  \tag{2.17}\\
& \text { THE SUMS } \Sigma 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}, k=2
\end{align*}
$$

If $\alpha=10$, the sum $\sum 10^{-2(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
.0055  \tag{3.1}\\
+. .006765 \\
+. .00832040 \\
+.0102334155 \\
+\quad \ldots \\
\hline
\end{gather*}
$$

and this clearly does not converge. There are, consequently, exactly nine fractions with four-digit denominators that are approximated by sums of the type

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-2(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}
$$

Henceforth, for brevity, we denote $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}$ by $S_{\alpha i}(k)$. Then, for $\alpha=1$, 2,..., 9, we have, respectively, $S_{\alpha i}(2) \approx 1 / 9899,1 / 9701,2 / 9599,3 / 9301,5 / 8899$, $8 / 8201,13 / 7099,21 / 5301$, and $34 / 2399$.

We indicate the computation for $S_{4 i}(2)$, leaving the reader to check the remaining values. To compute $\sum_{i=1}^{12} 10^{-2(i+1)} F_{4 i}$, we must perform the addition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
.0003 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
.000021
$$

$$
.00000144
$$

987
6765
.00032254596279969541950276
Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{9301}=.000322545962799698 \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the approximation is considerably more accurate for small $n$ than the analogous approximation given by (2.11). Of course, this is because, from the point of rapidity of convergence (or lack thereof), $S_{4 i}$ (1) is more closely analogous to $S_{8 i}$ (2) -each represents the largest value of $\alpha$ for which convergence is possible for the respective value of $k$.

The reader may well wonder how we arrived at fractions such as $21 / 5301$ and $34 / 2399$, since $S_{8 i}(2)$ and $S_{9 i}(2)$ converge so slowly that it is not obvious what
fractions they are approximating. The values for $S_{\alpha i}(2), \alpha=1, \ldots, 6$, were obtained from empirical evidence. The pattern for the numerators is obvious. After looking at the denominators for some time, the first of us noted (with some astonishment) the following pattern governing the first two digits of the denominators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 98-95=3  \tag{3.4}\\
& 97-93=4 \\
& 95-88=7 \\
& 93-82=11 \\
& 88-70=18
\end{align*}
$$

Subsequent empirical evidence revealed what poetic justice required, namely that the eighth and ninth denominators must be 5301 and 2301, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
82-53=29 \text { and } 70-23=47 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indicated differences are, of course, precisely the Lucas numbers beginning with $L_{2}=3$. Notice that entirely apart from any numerical values for the Fibonacci numbers, the existence of a value for $S_{10 i}(2)$ is outlawed by the above pattern. For the first two digits of the denominator of such a fraction would be (on the basis of the pattern) $53-76<0$, presumably an absurdity.

Naturally, the real value of recognizing the pattern is that values can easily be given for $S_{\alpha i}(k)$ for every $k$ and every $\alpha$ for which it is possible that these sums converge. Moreover, values of $\alpha$ for which convergence is an obvious impossibility (because terms in the sum are increasing), and the denominators of the fractions which these sums approximate for the remaining $\alpha$, may be determined by consideration of the Lucas numbers alone.

We may proceed at once to the general case, but for the sake of illustration we briefly sketch the case $k=3$ employing the newly discovered pattern.

$$
\text { 4. THE SUMS } \Sigma 10^{-k(i+3)} F_{\alpha i}, k=3
$$

In analogy to the earlier cases it is not difficult to obtain and empirically check that $1 / 998999$ and $1 / 997001$ are fractions that are approximated by $S_{i}(3)$ and $S_{2 i}$ (3), respectively.

Now, using Table 2 (see Section 6 below),

$$
\begin{align*}
& 998-3=995,997-4=993,995-7=988,993-11=982,  \tag{4.1}\\
& 988-18=970,982-29=953,970-47=923,953-76=877, \\
& 923-123=800,877-199=678,800-322=478, \\
& 678-521=157, \text { and } 478-843<0
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we expect that $S_{\alpha i}(3)$ is meaningful if $\alpha \leq 14$ and if the fourteen fractions corresponding to these $\alpha^{\prime}$ s are precisely:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{998999}, \frac{1}{997001}, \frac{2}{995999}, \frac{3}{993001}, \frac{5}{988999},  \tag{4.2}\\
& \frac{8}{982001}, \frac{13}{970999}, \frac{21}{953001}, \frac{34}{923999}, \frac{55}{877001}, \\
& \frac{89}{800999}, \frac{144}{678001}, \frac{233}{478999}, \frac{377}{157001}
\end{align*}
$$

We leave for the reader the aesthetic satisfaction of checking that $\alpha=15$ is, indeed, the smallest value of $\alpha$ such that the terms of $S_{\alpha i}(3)$ are not decreasing. Example:

$$
\text { Consider } \sum_{i=1}^{7} 10^{-3(i+1)} F_{9 i}
$$

This sums as follows:
(4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& .000034 \\
& .000002584 \\
& .000000196418 \\
& 14930352 \\
& 1134903170 \\
& 86267571272 \\
& 6557470319842 \\
& \hline .000036796576080211591842
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the ninth fraction in (4.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{34}{923999}=.00003679657 \ldots \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. THE GENERAL CASE

All that has gone before can be summarized succinctly as follows. The totality of decimal fractions that can be approximated by sums of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}, \quad \alpha \geq 1, k \geq 1
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\alpha}}{10^{2 k}-10^{k}-1-10^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{(\alpha-1) / 2} L_{2 j}\right)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha$ is odd and the denominator is positive, and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\alpha}}{10^{2 k}-3\left(10^{k}\right)+1-10^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{(\alpha-2) / 2} L_{2 j+1}\right)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha$ is even and the denominator is positive.
Remark: The appearance of $F_{\alpha}$ in the numerator of the above fractions is not essential to the analysis. One can just as well look at sums of the form

$$
\frac{1}{F_{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-k(i+1)} F_{\alpha i}
$$

These approximate fractions identical with those in (5.1) and (5.2), except that their numerators are always 1. These fractions are determined, then, only by Lucas numbers with no reference at all to the Fibonacci sequence.

Example 1: Let $k=4$. The smallest positive value of the denominators in (5.1), (5.2) is

$$
10^{8}-10^{4}-1-10^{4}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{(19-1) / 2} L_{2 j}\right)=6509999 .
$$

This means that there are exactly nineteen fractions arising in the case $k=4$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{19 i}(4) \approx \frac{4184}{6509999}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

although it will be necessary to sum a large number of terms to get a good approximation (or even to get an approximation that remotely resembles 4184/6509999). However, if one looks at the nineteenth fraction arising when $k=5$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4184}{9065099999}=.0000004612 \ldots \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^{5} 10^{-5(i+1)} F_{19 i}$ equals

$$
\begin{array}{r}
.0000004181  \tag{5.5}\\
+.000000039088169 \\
365435296162 \\
3416454622906707 \\
31940414634990093395 \\
\hline .000000461216107838545660793395
\end{array}
$$

which restores one's faith in (5.3) with much less pain than employing direct computation.

Example 2: Let $k=8$ and let $\alpha=32$ so that (5.2) must be used. From Table 1, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} 10^{-8(i+1)} F_{32 i}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
.0000000002178309  \tag{5.6}\\
+.000000000010610209857723 \\
\hline .00000000000051680678854858312532 \\
\hline .00000000022895791664627158312532
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, from (5.2) and Tables 1 and 2 we have that the thirtysecond fraction arising when $k=8$ is:

a good approximation considering that only three Fibonacci numbers ( $F_{32}, F_{64}$, and $F_{96}$ ) are used in (5.6).

## 6. TABLES OF FIBONACCI AND LUCAS NUMBERS

TABLE 1

| $F_{1}$ | 1 | $F_{14}$ | 377 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $F_{2}$ | 1 | $F_{15}$ | 610 |
| $F_{3}$ | 2 | $F_{16}$ | 987 |
| $F_{4}$ | 3 | $F_{17}$ | 1597 |
| $F_{5}$ | 5 | $F_{18}$ | 2584 |
| $F_{6}$ | 8 | $F_{19}$ | 4184 |
| $F_{7}$ | 13 | $F_{20}$ | 6765 |
| $F_{8}$ | 21 | $F_{21}$ | 10946 |
| $F_{9}$ | 34 | $F_{22}$ | 17711 |
| $F_{10}$ | 55 | $F_{23}$ | 28657 |
| $F_{11}$ | 89 | $F_{24}$ | 46368 |
| $F_{12}$ | 144 | $F_{25}$ | 75025 |
| $F_{13}$ | 233 | $F_{26}$ | 121393 |


| $F_{27}$ | 196418 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $F_{28}$ | 317811 |
| $F_{29}$ | 514229 |
| $F_{30}$ | 832040 |
| $F_{31}$ | 1346269 |
| $F_{32}$ | 2178309 |
| $F_{33}$ | 3524578 |
| $F_{34}$ | 5702889 |
| $F_{35}$ | 9227465 |
| $F_{36}$ | 14930352 |
| $F_{37}$ | 24157817 |
| $F_{38}$ | 39088169 |
| $F_{39}$ | 63245986 |

$F_{40}$
$F_{41}$
$F_{42}$
$F_{4}$
$F_{44}$
$F_{45}$
$F_{46}$
$F_{47}$
$F_{48}$
$F_{49}$
$F_{50}$
$F_{51}$
$F_{52}$

102334155
165580141
267914296
433494437
701408733
1134903170
1836311903
2971215073
4807526976
7778742049
12586269025
20365011074
32951280099

TABLE 1 (continued)

| $F_{53}$ | 53316291173 | $F_{77}$ | 5527939700884757 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $F_{54}$ | 86267571272 | $F_{78}$ | 8944394323791464 |
| $F_{55}$ | 139583862445 | $F_{79}$ | 14472334024676221 |
| $F_{56}$ | 225851433717 | $F_{80}$ | 23416728348467685 |
| $F_{57}$ | 365435296162 |  |  |
| $F_{58}$ | 591286729879 |  |  |
| $F_{59}$ | 956722026041 |  |  |
| $F_{60}$ | 548008755920 |  |  |
| $F_{61}$ | 2504730781961 |  |  |
| $F_{62}$ | 4052739537881 |  |  |
| $F_{63}$ | 6557470319842 |  |  |
| $F_{64}$ | 10610209857723 |  |  |
| $F_{65}$ | 17167680177565 |  |  |
| $F_{66}$ | 27777890035288 |  |  |
| $F_{67}$ | 44945570212853 |  |  |
| $F_{68}$ | 72723460248141 |  |  |
| $F_{69}$ | 117669030460994 |  |  |
| $F_{70}$ | 190392490709135 |  |  |
| $F_{71}$ | 308061521170129 |  |  |
| $F_{72}$ | 498454011879264 |  |  |
| $F_{73}$ | 806515533049393 |  |  |
| $F_{74}$ | 1304969454928657 |  |  |
| $F_{75}$ | 2111485077978050 |  |  |
| $F_{76}$ | 3416454622906707 |  |  |

TABLE 2

| $L_{1}$ | 1 | $L_{11}$ | 199 | $L_{21}$ | 24476 | $L_{31}$ | 3010349 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $L_{2}$ | 3 | $L_{12}$ | 322 | $L_{22}$ | 39603 | $L_{32}$ | 4870847 |
| $L_{3}$ | 4 | $L_{13}$ | 521 | $L_{23}$ | 64079 | $L_{33}$ | 7881196 |
| $L_{4}$ | 7 | $L_{14}$ | 843 | $L_{24}$ | 103682 | $L_{34}$ | 12752043 |
| $L_{5}$ | 11 | $L_{15}$ | 1364 | $L_{25}$ | 167761 | $L_{35}$ | 20633239 |
| $L_{6}$ | 18 | $L_{16}$ | 2207 | $L_{26}$ | 271443 | $L_{36}$ | 33385282 |
| $L_{7}$ | 29 | $L_{17}$ | 3571 | $L_{27}$ | 439204 | $L_{37}$ | 54068521 |
| $L_{8}$ | 47 | $L_{18}$ | 5778 | $L_{28}$ | 710647 | $L_{38}$ | 87483803 |
| $L_{9}$ | 76 | $L_{19}$ | 9349 | $L_{29}$ | 1149851 | $L_{39}$ | 141552324 |
| $L_{10}$ | 123 | $L_{20}$ | 15127 | $L_{30}$ | 1860498 | $L_{40}$ | 228826127 |
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