Since $c \leq m$ and $m$ and $n$ are positive, either $a \leq 0$ or $b \leq 0$ 。 Suppose $\mathrm{a} \leq 0$ and set $\mathrm{k}=-\mathrm{a}$ 。 Then

$$
\mathrm{bn}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{km}
$$

and, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{b n}=F_{c+k m}=F_{c-1} F_{k m}+F_{c} F_{k m+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $d\left|F_{n}, d\right| F_{m}$ and, by Lemma 3, $F_{n} \mid F_{b n}$ and $F_{m} \mid F_{k m}$. Therefore, $d\left|F_{b n}, d\right| F_{k m}$ and it follows from (1) that $d \mid F_{k m+1} F_{c}$. But ( $d, F_{k m+1}$ ) $=1$ since $\mathrm{d} \mid \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{km}}$ and by Lemma 2, $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{km}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{km}+1}\right)=1$. Therefore, $\mathrm{d} \mid \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$. But, as seen above, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} \mid \mathrm{d}$. Hence, since both are positive,

$$
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})}
$$

and the proof is complete.
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SOME CORRECTIONS TO VOLUME 1, NO. 3
Page 19: On the third line from the bottom, put in $>$ for $=$ to read

$$
\left(5+\beta^{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{x}+1}}\right)>
$$

Page 24: Line 5 should read, instead of " $\mathrm{a} \alpha+2 \beta=0$,"

$$
\mathrm{a} \alpha+2 \mathrm{~b}=0
$$

Page 30: On line 4, change " $e_{i}$ " to " $e_{1}$ ".
On line 18, change "unit" to "limit."

