SUMS OF CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS

ROBERT GUY Northern Illinois University, De Kalb, IL 60115 (Submitted May 1980)

The purpose of this note is to simplify and extend the results in [1]. Given a positive integer n, let $C_e(n)$, $C_o(n)$ denote the number of representations of as a sum of an even, odd number of consecutive positive integers. THEOREM 1: $C_o(n)$ is the number of odd divisors d of n such that $\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \leq n$ and $C_e(n)$ is the number of odd divisors d of n such that $\frac{d(d+1)}{2} > n$.

PROOF: If n is a sum of an odd number b of consecutive integers, then there exists an integer $a \ge 1$ such that

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (a + i) = b\left(a + \frac{b-1}{2}\right).$$

Hence b is an odd divisor of n with $\frac{b(b+1)}{2} \leq n$, since

$$\frac{b+1}{2} \leq \alpha + \frac{b-1}{2} = \frac{n}{b}.$$

If b is an odd divisor of n such that $\frac{b(b+1)}{2} \le n$, let $a = \frac{n}{b} - \frac{b-1}{2}$. Then $a \ge 1$ and

$$n = b a + \frac{b - 1}{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (a + i),$$

so that n is the sum of an odd number of consecutive positive integers. If n is a sum of an even number b of consecutive positive integers, then there exists an integer $a \ge 1$ such that

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (a + i) = \frac{b}{2}(2a + b - 1).$$

Let d = 2a + b - 1, then d is odd, d divides n, and $\frac{d(d + 1)}{2} > n$, since

 $d + 1 = 2a + b > b = \frac{2n}{d}$.

If d is an odd divisor of n such that $\frac{d(d+1)}{2} > n$, let $b = \frac{2n}{d}$ and $a = \frac{(d+1-b)}{2}$. Then $a \ge 1$, b is even, and

$$n = \frac{bd}{2} = \frac{b}{2}(2a + b - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (a + i),$$

so that n is a sum of an even number of consecutive positive integers. \Box

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1: Let n = 2 m, $r \ge 0$, m odd. The number of representations of n as a sum of consecutive positive numbers is $\tau(m)$ (the number of divisors of m).

This result is also in [2], which of course gives the results in [1].

We also find a characterization of primes.

1982]

COROLLARY 2: Let n be an odd positive integer. Then n is composite if and only if there is a pair of positive numbers u, v such that

(1)
$$8n = u^2 - v^2; u - v \ge 6.$$

PROOF: If n is odd composite, then n is the sum of at least three consecutive integers by Theorem 1. That is

$$n = a + (a + 1) + \cdots + (a + k), k > 2.$$

Hence 2n = (k + 1)(2a + k). Let v = 2a - 1 and u = 2k + 2a + 1. Then

$$k + 1 = \frac{u - v}{2}$$
 and $2a + k = \frac{u + v}{2}$,

so that $8n = u^2 - v^2$ and $u - v \ge 6$. Note that u, v are odd. Conversely, given an odd integer n satisfying (1), we find

8n = (u + v)(u - v).

If n is prime and u - v is even, then u - v = 8, 2n, or 4n. When u - v = 8, we have 2u = n + 8 so that n = 2, while u - v = 2n implies that u = 2 + n, and hence $v = 2 - n \le 0$. If u - v = 4n, then u + v = 2 and u = v = 1, which says that n = 0. Thus, if n is a prime, we must have u + v = 8 and u - v = n, which implies once again that n = 2.

We conclude that n must be composite. It is also simple to solve the above system for a and $k.\ \Box$

It is not easy to find $C_o(n)$ explicitly. For instance, let $\tau_o(n, x)$ denote the number of odd positive divisors of n which are $\leq x$. One finds

$$\tau_{o}(n, x) = \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{c_{d}(n)}{d} \sum_{\substack{k \leq x/d \\ k \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{k},$$

where $c_d(n)$ is the Ramanujan function. This is not altogether satisfactory, but it will yield an estimate. One direct but very elaborate way to find $\tau_o(n, x)$ explicitly is by counting lattice points as follows. Write $n = 2^{a_0} p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ as a product of primes. An odd divisor d of n is of the form $d = p_1^{b_1} \dots p_k^{b_k}$, where $0 \le b_i \le a_i$. The inequality $d \le x$ means

$$b_1 \log p_1 + \cdots + b_k \log p_k \leq \log x$$
.

Let e_1, \ldots, e_k be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^k . Consider the parallel-piped P determined by a_1e_1, \ldots, a_ke_k and the hyperplane H with equation

$$x_1 \log p_1 + \cdots + x_k \log p_k = \log x$$

Then $\tau_o(n, x)$ is the number of lattice points in the region "below" *H* which are also contained in *P*. There are of course k^2 possible intersections of *H* with *P* to consider, a formidable task! However, we have, perhaps a little surprisingly,

COROLLARY 3: Write $n = 2^k m$, where m is odd. Then

$$C_{\mathbf{o}}(n) \quad \frac{1}{2}\tau(m); \quad C_{\mathbf{1}}(n) \leq \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\tau(m).$$

In particular, when n is odd, we have

 $C_{e}(n) \leq \frac{\tau(n)}{2} \leq C_{o}(n).$

PROOF: It is very easy to show that

(1)
$$\sqrt{n} \leq \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2},$$

and if d > 0, then

$$\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \le n \Longleftrightarrow d \le \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1+8n}}{2}$$

Thus $C_o(n)$ is at least the number of odd divisors d of n that are $\leq \sqrt{n}$, so a fortiori we have

$$C_{o}(n) \geq \tau_{o}(m, \sqrt{m}).$$

If d|m and $d \leq \sqrt{m}$, then m/d|m and $m|d \geq \sqrt{m}$. Thus

$$\tau(m, \sqrt{m}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(m)}{2} & \text{if } m \text{ is not a square} \\ \frac{\tau(m) + 1}{2} & \text{if } m \text{ is a square.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $C_o(n) \ge \tau(m)/2$. We have $C_1(n) = \tau(n) - C_o(n)$, and thus

$$C_1(n) \leq (k+1)\tau(m) - \frac{\tau(m)}{2} = (k+\frac{1}{2})\tau(m).$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- 1. B. de La Rosa. "Primes, Powers, and Partitions." The Fibonacci Quarterly 16, no 6 (1978):518-22.
- W. J. Leveque. "On Representation as a Sum of Consecutive Integers." Canad. J. Math. 4 (1950):399-405.

CONCERNING A PAPER BY L. G. WILSON

A. G. SHANNON

New South Wales Institute of Technology, Broadway, N.S.W. 2007, Australia A. F. HORADAM University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W. 2351, Australia (Submitted June 1980)

1. INTRODUCTION

Wilson [3] uses the expression (2.1) below, which approximates the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences $\{F_r\}$ and $\{L_r\}$, respectively, for r sufficiently large. The object of this paper is to make known this and another expression (3.1) by applying techniques different from those used in [3]. In particular, we need

$$\beta_i = 4 \cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{2n}.$$

Special attention is directed to the sequence (2.4).

2. A GENERATING EXPRESSION

Consider

(2.1)

$$F_r(x, y) \equiv T_r = \left(\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4x}}{2}\right)^{r-1} y^{-1/2},$$

(2)