# Edited by A. P. HILLMAN

## Assistant Editor GLORIA C. PADILLA

Please send all communications regarding ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS to PROFESSOR A. P. HILLMAN; 709 SOLANO DR., S.E.; ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87108. Each solution or problem should be on a separate sheet (or sheets). Preference will be given to those typed with double spacing in the format used below. Solutions should be received within four months of the publication date. Proposed problems should be accompanied by their solutions.

#### DEFINITIONS

The Fibonacci numbers  $F_n$  and the Lucas numbers  $L_n$  satisfy

and

# $L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n$ , $L_0 = 2$ , $L_1 = 1$ .

 $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ ,  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ 

#### PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE

B-532 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, VA

Find a, b, and c in terms of n so that  

$$a^{3}(b - c) + b^{3}(c - a) + c^{3}(a - b) = 2F_{n}F_{n+1}F_{n+2}F_{n+3}$$
.

B-533 Proposed by Herta T. Freitag, Roanoke, VA

Let  $g(a, b, c) = a^4(b^2 - c^2) + b^4(c^2 - a^2) + c^4(a^2 - b^2)$ . Determine an infinitude of choices for a, b, and c such that g(a, b, c) is the product of five Fibonacci numbers.

B-534 Proposed by A. B. Patel, V. S. Patel College of Arts & Sciences, Bilimora, India

One obtains the lengths of the sides of a Pythagorean triangle by letting  $a = u^2 - v^2$ , b = 2uv,  $c = u^2 + v^2$ ,

where u and v are integers with u > v > 0. Prove that the area of such a triangle is not a perfect square when  $u = F_{n+1}$ ,  $v = F_n$ , and  $n \ge 2$ .

B-535 Proposed by L. Cseh & I. Merenyi, Cluj, Romania

Prove that there is no positive integer n for which

 $F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + \cdots + F_{3n} = 16!$ 

1984]

B-536 Proposed by L. Kuipers, Sierre, Switzerland

Find all solutions in integers x and y of  $x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1 = y^2$ .

B-537 Proposed by L. Kuipers, Sierre, Switzerland

Find all solutions in integers x and y of  $x^4 + 3x^3 + 3x^2 + x + 1 = y^2$ .

#### SOLUTIONS

#### Application of the Bertrand-Chebyshev Theorem

B-508 Proposed by Philip L. Mana, Albuquerque, NM

Find all n in  $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 200\}$  such that the sum n! + (n + 1)! of successive factorials is the square of an integer.

I. Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Fair Oaks, CA

Let  $\theta_n \equiv n! + (n + 1)! = (n + 2)n!$ . We will show that n = 4 is the only integer  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 200\}$  such that  $\theta_n$  is square.

**Proof:** We easily verify that  $\theta_1 = 3$ ,  $\theta_2 = 8$ ,  $\theta_3 = 30$ , while  $\theta_4 = 144 = 12^2$ . If  $p \leq n \leq 2p - 3$ , where p is any odd prime, then  $p | \theta_n$  but  $p^2 / \theta_n$ ; hence,  $\theta_n$  cannot be a square in this range. Also, if p and q are any two consecutive primes in the sequence of primes, with  $5 \leq p \leq 103$ , it is easy to verify that  $7 \leq q \leq 2p - 3 \leq 203$ . Thus, the range  $\{5, 6, 7, \ldots, 200\}$  is spanned by at least one prime p with  $p | \theta_n$  but with  $p^2 / \theta_n$ ; this shows that  $\theta_n$  is not square in this range.

II. Solution by J. Suck, Essen, Germany

n! + (n + !)! is a square only for n = 4 and a cube only for n = 2.

<u>Proof</u>: Bertrand's "postulate" as proved by Chebyshev states that for every integer k > 3, there is a prime p satisfying k . (See, e.g.,Hardy and Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th ed., p. 373.)Now, let <math>n = 2m or 2m - 1, m > 2. We have a prime p then with m + 1 , $so that <math>p \mid n!$ . However, because  $2p > 2m + 2 \ge n + 2$ ,  $p^2$  is not a divisor of n!(n + 2) = n! + (n + 1)!.

Also solved by L. Cseh, Walther Janous, Edwin M. Klein, L. Kuipers, Imre Merenyi, J. M. Metzger, Bob Prielipp, Neville Robbins, Sahib Singh, M. Wachtel, and the proposer.

## Dedekind Function Inequality

B-509 Proposed by Charles R. Wall, Trident Technical College, Charleston, SC

Let  $\psi$  be Dedekind's function given by

$$\psi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

370

[Nov.

For example,

$$\psi(12) = 12(1 + 1/2)(1 + 1/3) = 24.$$

Show that

$$\psi(\psi(\psi(n))) > 2n$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

Solution by J. M. Metzger, University of N. Dakota, Grand Forks, ND

The statement is false for n = 1.

Since  $\psi(\psi(\psi(2))) = 6$ , the inequality is correct for n = 2.

Now assume  $n \ge 3$ . For such n,  $\psi(n)$  is clearly even. Note that for all  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\psi(n) \ge n + 1$  because  $\psi(n)$  is an integer greater than n. Moreover, if kis even, then

$$\psi(k) = k \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \ge k \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3k}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\psi(\psi(\psi(n))) \geq \frac{3}{2} \psi(\psi(n)) \geq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \psi(n) \geq \frac{9}{4}(n+1) > 2n.$$

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, L. Cseh, Alberto Facchini, C. Georghiou, Walther Janous, L. Kuipers, I. Merenyi, Lawrence Somer, J. Suck, and the proposer.

Inequality on Euler and Dedekind Functions

B-510 Proposed by Charles R. Wall, Trident Technical College, Charleston, SC

Euler's  $\phi$  function and its companion, Dedekind's  $\psi$  function, are defined

by

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

- (a) Show that  $\phi(n) + \psi(n) \ge 2n$  for  $n \ge 1$ .
- (b) When is the inequality strict?

Solution by Alberto Facchini, University of Udine, Italy

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_t$  be the prime factors of n. Then,

$$\prod_{p|n} \left( 1 \pm \frac{1}{p} \right) = 1 \pm \left( \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_t} \right) \\ + \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + \frac{1}{p_1 p_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1 p_t} + \frac{1}{p_2 p_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{t-1} p_t} \right) \\ \pm \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} + \dots \right) + \dots + (\pm 1)^t \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_t}.$$
  
re,  

$$\phi(n) + \psi(n) = 2n \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + \frac{1}{p_1 p_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{t-1} p_t} \right) + \dots \right] \ge 2n$$

Therefor

and the inequality is strict if and only if n has at least two distinct prime factors.

1984]

371

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, L. Cseh, C. Georghiou, Walther Janous, L. Kuipers, Vania D. Mascioni, I. Merenyi, J. M. Metzger, Bob Prielipp, H.-J. Seiffert, Sahib Singh, Lawrence Somer, J. Suck, and the proposer.

# Telescoping Fibonacci Products

B-511 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY

Let j, k, and n be integers with j even. Prove that

$$F_{j}(F_{n} + F_{n+2j} + F_{n+4j} + \cdots + F_{n+2jk}) = (L_{n+2jk+j} - L_{n-j})/5.$$

Solution by Bob Prielipp, University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, WI

We shall show that

$$\begin{aligned} 5F_nF_j + 5F_{n+2j}F_j + 5F_{n+4j}F_j + 5F_{n+6j}F_j + \cdots + 5F_{n+(2k-2)j}F_j + 5F_{n+2jk}F_j \\ &= L_{n+(2k+1)j} - L_{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly equivalent to the desired result. From (12) on p. 115 of the April 1975 issue of this journal,

$$5F_s F_t = L_{s+t} - L_{s-t}$$
, t even.

Thus, since j is even,

$$\begin{aligned} 5F_nF_j + 5F_{n+2j}F_j + 5F_{n+4j}F_j + 5F_{n+6j}F_j + \cdots + 5F_{n+(2k-2)j}F_j + 5F_{n+2jk}F_j \\ &= (L_{n+j} - L_{n-j}) + (L_{n+3j} - L_{n+j}) + (L_{n+5j} - L_{n+3j}) + (L_{n+7j} - L_{n+5j}) \\ &+ \cdots + (L_{n+(2k-1)j} - L_{n+(2k-3)j}) + (L_{n+(2k+1)j} - L_{n+(2k-1)j}) \end{aligned}$$
$$= L_{n+(2k+1)j} - L_{n-j}$$

because telescoping occurs.

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, L. Cseh, Herta T. Freitag, C. Georghiou, Walther Janous, L. Kuipers, I. Merenyi, H.-J. Seiffert, A. G. Shannon, J. Suck, Sahib Singh, and the proposer.

## Telescoping Fibonacci-Lucas Products

B-512 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY

Let j, k, and n be integers with j odd. Prove that

$$L_{j}(F_{n} + F_{n+2j} + F_{n+4j} + \dots + F_{n+2kj}) = F_{n+2kj+j} - F_{n-j}$$

Solution by J. Suck, Essen, Germany

Do not use induction. Just telescope the left-hand side by Hoggatt's  $I_{23}$ :

$$L_i F_m = F_{m+i} - F_{m-i}, j \text{ odd}$$

[Nov.

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, L. Cseh, Herta T. Freitag, C. Georghiou, Walther Janous, L. Kuipers, I. Merenyi, Bob Prielipp, H.-J. Seiffert, A.G. Shannon, Sahib Singh, and the proposer.

Fibonacci Convolution and Rising Pascal Diagonals

B-513 Proposed by Andreas N. Philippou, University of Patras, Greece

Show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{k+1}F_{n+1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (n+1-k) \binom{n-k}{k} \text{ for } n=0, 1, \ldots,$$

where [x] denotes the greatest integer in x.

Solution by C. Georghiou, University of Patras, Greece

Since the generating function of the sequence 
$$\{F_{n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
 is

$$f(x) = (1 - x - x^2)^{-1},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{k+1}F_{n+1-k}x^{n} = (1 - x - x^{2})^{-2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {\binom{-2}{n}}(x + x^{2})^{n}, |x| < \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(x + x^{2})^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=n} (j + k + 1) {\binom{j+k}{k}} x^{j+2k}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+2k=n} (j + k + 1) {\binom{j+k}{k}} x^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} (n + 1 - k) {\binom{n-k}{k}} x^{n}$$

from which the assertion is established.

Also solved by Paul S. Bruckman, L. Cseh, Walther Janous, L. Kuipers, H.-J. Seiffert, A. G. Shannon, J. Suck, and the proposer.

\*\*\*\*

1984]