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## PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE

H-389 Proposed by Andreas N. Philippou, University of Patras, Patras, Greece
Show that

$$
F_{n+2}^{(n-i)}=2^{n}-2^{i}(1+i / 2) \quad(n \geqslant 2 i+1)
$$

for each nonnegative integer $i$, where $F_{n+2}^{(n-i)}$ is the $n+2$ Fibonacci number of order $n-i[1]$ and $F_{3}^{(1)}=1$.

## Reference

1. A. N. Philippou \& A. A. Muwafi. "Waiting for the $k^{\text {th }}$ Consecutive Success and the Fibonacci Sequence of Order K." The Fibonacci Quarterly 20, no. 1 (1982):28-32.

H-390 Proposed by M. Wachtel, Zurich, Switzerland
For every $m$,
$2 F_{2-m} F_{5+m}+(-1)^{m}\left(F_{m} F_{m+1}+F_{m+2}^{2}\right)$ has the unique value 11.
Find a general formula for analogous constant values, which should represent the terms of an infinite sequence.
Prove that no divisor of any of these terms is congruent to 3 or 7 modulo 10 .
H-391 Proposed by Lawrence Somer, Washington, D.C.
For every $n$, show that no integral divisor of $L_{2 n}$ is congruent to 11, 13, 17, or 19 modulo 20. (This problem was suggested by Problem H-364 on p. 313 of the November 1983 issue of The Fibonacci Quarterly.)

## SOLUTIONS

Any More?
H-363 Proposed by Andreas N. Philippou, University of Patras, Patras, Greece (Vol. 21, no. 4, November 1983)

For each fixed integer $k \geqslant 2$, let $\left\{f_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the Fibonacci sequence of order $k$, i.e., $f_{0}^{(k)}=0, f_{1}^{(k)}=1$, and

$$
f_{n}^{(k)}= \begin{cases}f_{n-1}^{(k)}+\cdots+f_{0}^{(k)}, & \text { if } 2 \leqslant n \leqslant k \\ f_{n-1}^{(k)}+\cdots+f_{n-k}^{(k)}, & \text { if } n \geqslant k+1\end{cases}
$$

Evaluate the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{m^{n}}^{(k)}} \quad(k \geqslant 2, m \geqslant 2)
$$

Remark: The Fibonacci sequence of order $k$ appears in the work of Philippou and Muwafi [The Fibonacci Quarterly 20 (1982):28-32.]

Comment by Paul S. Bruckman, Carmichael, CA
Letting
$S(k, m)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(f_{m^{n}}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}$,
to the best of my knowledge, the only known result (fairly well-known in fact), is
$S(2,2)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1 / F_{2^{n}}=\frac{1}{2}(7-\sqrt{5}) \doteq 2.381966$.
I would be very surprised-indeed, amazed!-to learn of any other closed form expressions for $S(k, m)$.

## Only Two!

H-364 Proposed by M. Wachtel, Zurich, Switzerland (Vol. 21, no. 4, November 1983)

For every $n$, show that no integral divisor of $L_{2 n+1}$ is congruent to 3 or 7 modulo 10.

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Carmichael, CA
Given any prime $p$ with $p \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 10)$, then $(5 / p)=(p / 5)=-1$. It is sufficient to prove that $p$ does not divide $L_{2 n+1}$ for all $n$, since any divisor of $L_{2 n+1}$ congruent to 3 or 7 (mod 10) must be divisible by such a prime. By the calculus of "complex residues" (see [1]),
$\alpha^{p} \equiv \beta, \beta^{p} \equiv \alpha(\bmod p)$.
This, in turn, inplies $\alpha^{p+1} \equiv \beta^{p+1} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$; hence,
$L_{p+1} \equiv-2(\bmod p), \quad F_{p+1} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
In the sequel all congruences will be understood to be modulo $p$, and the notation " $(\bmod p)$ " will be omitted wherever no confusion is likely to arise. We will let $e=e(p)$ denote the "entry point" (if any) of $p$ in the Lucas sequence, i.e., $e$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ (if any) such that $L_{k} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. We consider two distinct cases:
(A) $p \equiv 3$ or $7(\bmod 20)$. Let $s=\frac{1}{4}(p+1)$, an integer. Then

$$
(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}=(-1)^{2 s}=1
$$

Note that $L_{p+1}=L_{4 s}=L_{2 s}^{2}-2 \equiv-2$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 s} \equiv 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, e exists and we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \mid 2 s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose $e$ is odd. Then, since $L_{e} \equiv 0$, we must have $L_{m e} \equiv 0$ for all odd $m$, because $L_{e} \mid L_{m e}$ in that case. On the other hand,

$$
L_{2 e}=L_{e}^{2}+2 \equiv 2, \quad L_{4 e}=L_{2 e}^{2}-2 \equiv 2, \quad L_{6 e}=L_{3 e}^{2}+2 \equiv 2, \text { etc. }
$$

i.e., $L_{m e} \equiv 2$ for all even $m$. Since $2 s$ is an even multiple of $e$, it follows that $L_{2 s} \equiv 2$, which is a contradiction of (3); thus, $e$ is even. Now, given any positive $k$ with $L_{k} \equiv 0$, we have $e \mid k$. Since $e$ is even, so is $k$. Therefore, the congruence $L_{2 n+1} \equiv 0$ is impossible in this case.
(B) $p \equiv 13$ or $17(\bmod 20)$. We will show that $L_{k} \not \equiv 0$ for all $k$, in this case, i.e., $e$ does not exist. Let $e^{\prime}$ denote the entry point of $p$ in the Fibonacci sequence, i.e., $e^{\prime}$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ with $F_{k} \equiv 0$ (mod p). It is known (see [2]) that $e^{\prime}$ always exists and that, if exists, then $e^{\prime}=2 e$. We suppose e exists; hence, $e^{\prime}$ is even.

Let $t=\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$, an odd number. Then $L_{t}^{2}+2=L_{2 t}=L_{p+1} \equiv-2$, which implies $L_{t} \not \equiv 0$. Also, since $F_{p+1}=F_{2 t}=F_{t} L_{t} \equiv 0$, we have $F_{t} \equiv 0$. Therefore, $e^{\prime} \mid t$. However, because $t$ is odd, it cannot be divisible by an even integer. This contradiction establishes that $e$ does not exist. Hence, $L_{k} \not \equiv 0$ for all $k$, in this case; a fortiori, the congruence $L_{2 n+1} \equiv 0$ is impossible.

Combining the results of (A) and (B), we reach the desired conclusion.

## REFERENCES

1. P. S. Bruckman. "Some Divisibility Properties of Generalized Fibonacci Sequences." The Fibonacci Quarterly 17, no. 1 (1979):42-49.
2. Brother A. Brousseau (compiler). Fibonacci and Related Number Theoretic Tables, p. 25. Santa Clara, Calif: The Fibonacci Association, 1972.

Also solved by L. Somer and the proposer.

## Poly Nomial

H-366 Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz, Digital Equipment Corp. Merrimack, NH (Vol. 22, no. 1, February 1984)

The Fibonacci Polynomials are defined by the recursion

$$
f_{n}(x)=x f_{n-1}(x)+f_{n-2}(x)
$$

with the initial conditions $f_{1}(x)=1$ and $f_{2}(x)=x$. Prove that the discriminant of $f_{n}(x)$ is

$$
(-1)^{(n-1)(n-2) / 2} 2^{n-1} n^{n-3} \text { for } n>1
$$

Remark: The idea of investigating discriminants fo interesting polynomials was suggested by [1]. The definition of the discriminant of a polynomial can be found in [2]. Fibonacci polynomials are well known (see, e.g., [3] and [4]). I ran a computer program to find the discriminant of $f_{n}(x)$ as $n$ varied from 2 to 11 , and by analyzing the results, reached the conjecture given above in the proposed problem. The discriminant was calculated by finding the resultant of $f_{n}(x)$ and $f_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ using a computer algebra system similar to the MACSYMA program as described in [5]. Much useful material can be found in [6] where the problem of finding the discriminant of the Hermite, Laguerre, and Chebyshev polynomials is discussed. The discriminant of the Fibonacci polynomials should be provable using similar techniques; however, I was not able to do so.

## REFERENCES

1. Phyllis Lefton. "A Trinomial Discriminant Formula." The Fibonacci QuarterZy 20, no. 4 (1982):363-365.
2. Van der Warden. Modern Algebra, Vo1. I, p. 82. New York: Ungar, 1940.
3. M. N. S. Swarny. Problem B-84. The Fibonacci Quarterty 4 (1966):90.
4. Stanley Rabinowitz. Problem H-129. The Fibonacci Quarterly 6 (1968):51.
5. W. A. Martin \& R. J. Fateman. "The MACSYMA System." Proceedings of the 2nd Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation, pp. 59-75. Association for computing Machinery, 1971.
6. D. K. Faddeev \& I. S. Sominskii. Problems in Higher Algebra. Trans. by J. L. Brenner. San Francisco: Freeman and Company. Problems 833-851.

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Carmichael, CA
The Fibonacci polynomials are given by the explicit expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{u^{n}-v^{n}}{u-v}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right), \quad v=v(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the defining recursion and the initial values, it is easy to see that $f_{n}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n-1$.

We also define the Lucas polynomials $g_{n}(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(x)=u^{n}+v^{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\operatorname{disc}\left(f_{n}\right), \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the zeros of $f_{n}$ are $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$, an explicit expression for $D_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\prod_{1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n-1}\left(x_{r}-x_{s}\right)^{2}, \quad n \geqslant 3 ; \quad \text { also }, \quad D_{2}=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know from higher algebra that, if the $x_{k}$ 's are distinct,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n}\right|=\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use (5) only to determine the sign of $D_{n}$, and (6) to determine its absolute value, using the relation

$$
D_{n}=\left|D_{n}\right| \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

The $x_{k}$ are determined by setting the expression in (1) equal to zero. Then

$$
(u / v)^{n}=1 \Rightarrow u / v=\exp (2 k i \pi / n)
$$

since $u v=-1$, we have

$$
-u^{2}=\exp (2 k i \pi / n) \Rightarrow u= \pm i \exp (k i \pi / n)
$$

Changing the sign in the last expression above is equivalent to replacing $k$ by $(n-k)$, showing that we need to consider only the positive sign. Thus, we may take $u=i \exp (k i \pi / n)$; hence, $v=i \exp (-k i \pi / n)$. Since $f_{n}$ is of degree $n-1$, we may take $k$ to vary from 1 through $n-1$; thus,

$$
x_{k}=u+v=2 i \cos (k \pi / n), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1
$$

Note that the $x_{k}$ are distinct, which allows the use of (6). Finally, since $f_{n}$ is monic and a polynomial, we obtain the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(x-2 i \cos (k \pi / n)), \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the expression in (6), we differentiate (1), noting first that

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x / \sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right), \quad v^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-x / \sqrt{x^{2}+4}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(x)=\frac{u}{u-v}, \quad v^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-v}{u-v} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{(u-v)\left\{\frac{n u^{n-1} \cdot u+n v^{n-1} \cdot v}{u-v}\right\}-\left(u^{n}-v^{n}\right)\left\{\frac{u+v}{u-v}\right\}}{(u-v)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{n\left(u^{n}+v^{n}\right)-x\left\{\frac{u^{n}-v^{n}}{u-v}\right\}}{(u-v)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{n g_{n}(x)-x f_{n}(x)}{x^{2}+4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $x=x_{k}=2 i \cos (k \pi / n)$ in (9), we see that

$$
u\left(x_{k}\right)=i \cos (k \pi / n)+\sin (k \pi / n)=i \exp (-k i \pi / n)
$$

and

$$
v\left(x_{k}\right)=i \exp (k i \pi / n)
$$

thus,

$$
f_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=i^{n-1} \sin (k \pi) / \sin (k \pi / n)=0
$$

as expected, whereas

$$
g_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=i^{n} \cdot 2 \cos (k \pi)=2 i^{n}(-1)^{k}
$$

or
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$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=2 \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} i \pi(n-2 k)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this last expression into (9), we see that
or

$$
f_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=\frac{2 n \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} i \pi(n-2 k)\right)}{4 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=\frac{n}{2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using (6),

$$
\left|D_{n}\right|=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n / 2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n}\right|=n^{n-1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)\right\}^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the expression in (12), we set $x=2 i$ in (7). Then,

$$
f_{n}(2 i)=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(2 i)(1-\cos k \pi / n)=(2 i)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / 2 n) .
$$

Replacing $k$ by ( $n-k$ ) in the last expression yields

$$
f_{n}(2 i)=(2 i)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \cos ^{2}(k \pi / 2 n)
$$

Therefore,
$\left(f_{n}(2 i)\right)^{2}=(-4)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)$,
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{n}(2 i)\right)^{2}=(-2)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $u(2 i)=v(2 i)=i$. Using (1),

$$
f_{n}(2 i)=\lim _{z \rightarrow i}\left(\frac{z^{n}-i^{n}}{z-i}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow i} n z^{n-1}=n i^{n-1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{n}(2 i)\right)^{2}=n^{2}(-1)^{n-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (13) and (14) generates the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin ^{2}(k \pi / n)=\frac{n^{2}}{2^{n-1}}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this expression in (12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n}\right|=2^{n-1} n^{n-3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the sign of $D_{n}$, we consider the expression given in (5). Then,

$$
D_{n}=\prod_{1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n-1}(2 i)^{2}(\cos r \pi / n-\cos s \pi / n)^{2} ;
$$

hence,

$$
\operatorname{sgn}\left(D_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n-1}(-1)=\prod_{s=2}^{n-1} \prod_{r=1}^{s-1}(-1)=\prod_{s=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{s-1}=(-1)^{(1+2+\cdots+n-2)},
$$

1985]
or

$$
\operatorname{sgn}\left(D_{n}\right)=(-1)\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

Finally, combining (16) and (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=(-1)\binom{n-1}{2} 2^{n-1} n^{n-3}, \quad n \geqslant 3 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that setting $n=2$ in (18) yields the correct expression $D_{2}=1$.
Hence, the proposer's conjecture is correct.
Note: The proposer observed that some results regarding discriminants of Chebyshev polynomials (among others) were discussed in reference [6] of the proposed problem. This reference was unavailable to this solver; it may be shown, however, that the $f_{n}^{\prime}$ are, in fact, modified Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, namely,

$$
f_{n}(x)=(-i)^{n-1} U_{n-1}(i x / 2)=\left|U_{n-1}(i x / 2)\right|
$$

This might lead to an alternative (and briefer) derivation of (18).
Also solved by R. Stanley, who used Chebyshev's polynomials.

