ON FIBONACCI AND LUCAS REPRESENTATIONS AND A THEOREM OF LEKKERKERKER

JUKKA PIHKO

University of Helsinki, Hallituskatu 15 SF-00100 Helsinki, Finland

(Submitted October 1986)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F_1 = F_2 = 1$, $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, be the Fibonacci numbers and let $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$, $L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, be the Lucas numbers. According to the Theorem of Zeckendorf (see, for example, [5, p. 74], [6], [1], [8]), every positive integer *m* has a unique "minimal" representation as a sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers F_2, F_3, \ldots such that no two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are used. If we denote by f(m) the number of Fibonacci numbers in the representation of *m*, then Lekkerkerker [6] defined the average value

$$\psi_n = \left(\sum_{i=F_{n+1}}^{F_{n+2}-1} f(i) \right) / F_n$$

and proved that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi_n}{n} = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \,. \tag{1}$$

In [7] we gave a very simple proof of (1) and also proved a certain generalization of this result. In order to state this generalization, we introduce some notations and terminology from [7]. Let $1 = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers with the first element equal to 1. We call this an *A-sequence*. Suppose that *m* is a positive integer. We write

$$m = a_{(1)} + a_{(2)} + \dots + a_{(s)}, \tag{2}$$

where $a_{(1)}$ is the greatest element of the *A*-sequence $\leq m$, $a_{(2)}$ is the greatest element of the *A*-sequence $\leq m - a_{(1)}$, and, generally, $a_{(i)}$ is the greatest element of the *A*-sequence $\leq m - a_{(1)} - a_{(2)} - \cdots - a_{(i-1)}$. We denote by h(m) the number *s* in (2), that is, the number of terms in the representation of *m*.

Suppose that $k \ge 2$ is a positive integer and define an A-sequence by $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = k$, and $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + a_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We call this a *recursive* A =*sequence*. If $a_2 = k = 3$, then $a_n = L_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. If $a_2 = k = 2$, then

[Aug.

 $a_n = F_{n+1}$, n = 1, 2, ..., and (2) is the Zeckendorf representation [7, Lemma 5.12, p. 45], so that h(m) = f(m).

Consider now a recursive A-sequence. If $a_2 = k$, we defined

$$\psi_k(n) = \left(\sum_{i=a_n}^{a_{n+1}-1} h(i)\right) / a_{n-1},$$

so that $\psi_2(n) = \psi_n$, and proved [7, Theorem 5.15, p. 46] that for all $\alpha_2 = k \ge 2$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi_k(n)}{n} = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10}$$
(3)

a generalization of (1).

In [4] Daykin has given a different generalization of (1). If h and k are positive integers such that $h \le k \le h + 1$, then he defined [4, p. 144] the $(h, k)^{\text{th}}$ Fibonacci sequence (v_i) in the following way:

$$v_{i} = i for 1 \le i \le k, v_{i} = v_{i-1} + v_{i-h} for k < i < h + k, v_{i} = v_{i-1} + v_{i-k} + (k - h) for i \ge h + k.$$
(4)

Clearly, the Fibonacci numbers F_2 , F_3 , ... are given by the (2, 2)th Fibonacci sequence.

Daykin generalizes the Theorem of Zeckendorf by proving [4, Theorem C, p. 144] that, if (v_i) is the $(h, k)^{\text{th}}$ Fibonacci sequence, then for each positive integer *m* there is one, and only one, system of positive integers i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d such that

$$m = v_{i_1} + v_{i_2} + \dots + v_{i_d},$$
(5)

where $i_2 \ge i_1 + h$ if $d \ge 1$, and $i_{\nu+1} \ge i_{\nu} + k$ for $2 \le \nu \le d$. [We note that the $(h, k)^{\text{th}}$ Fibonacci sequence is an *A*-sequence, and it is easy to see that the representation (5) is the same as (2).]

Let ψ_n denote the average number of summands required in (5) for all those positive integers *m* such that $v_n \leq m < v_{n+1}$. Then [4, Theorem E, p. 144] for $k \geq 2$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Psi_n}{n} = \frac{\theta - 1}{1 + k(\theta - 1)},$$
(6)

where $\theta = \theta(k)$ is the positive real solution of the equation $z - 1 = z^{1-k}$.

In this paper we consider three other kinds of unique representations using Fibonacci and Lucas numbers and prove the following corresponding results. Let f'(m) denote the number of elements in the "maximal" representation (see [5, p. 74],[2]) of *m* using Fibonacci numbers F_2 , F_3 , ... (where no "gaps" formed

1988]

by two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are allowed). Let g(m) denote the number of elements in the "minimal" representation (see [5, p. 76], [3], [8]) of m and let g'(m) denote the number of elements in the "maximal" representation (see [5, p. 77], [3]) of m using Lucas numbers. These are similar to the corresponding Fibonacci representations but with certain additional restrictions to ensure uniqueness. We define

$$\psi_{n}' = \left(\sum_{i=F_{n+1}}^{F_{n+2}-1} f'(i)\right) / F_{n}, \quad \lambda_{n} = \left(\sum_{i=L_{n+1}}^{L_{n+2}-1} g(i)\right) / L_{n},$$
$$\lambda_{n}' = \left(\sum_{i=L_{n+1}}^{L_{n+2}-1} g'(i)\right) / L_{n}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10},\tag{7}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda'_n}{n} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10},\tag{8}$$

and

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi'_n}{n} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \,. \tag{9}$$

2. "MINIMAL" LUCAS REPRESENTATIONS

Let $a_1 = 1 = L_1$, $a_2 = L_0$, and $a_n = L_{n-1}$, $n = 3, 4, \ldots$, so that

 $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + a_n, n = 3, 4, \dots$ (10)

Lemma 1: The representation of a positive integer m corresponding to this A-sequence is the "minimal" Lucas representation.

Proof: Similar to that of Lemma 5.12 in [7, p. 45].

It follows that g(m) = h(m) for every positive integer m. Let

$$S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)$$
 and $S'(n) = S(a_{n+1} - 1)$ [7, p. 7].

Then it follows from (10) that we have (compare with Theorem 5.4 in [7, p. 41])

$$S'(n+2) = S'(n+1) + S'(n) + L_n, n = 2, 3, \dots$$
(11)

Lemma 2: $S'(n) = n \cdot F_{n-1}, n = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof: Easily by induction, using (11) and [5, (I_8) , p. 56].

[Aug.

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=L_{n+1}}^{L_{n+2}-1} g(i) = \sum_{i=a_{n+2}}^{a_{n+3}-1} h(i) = S'(n+2) - S'(n+1)$$

$$= (n+2)F_{n+1} - (n+1)F_n = n \cdot F_{n-1} + L_n, n = 2, 3, \dots$$
(12)

(This holds also for n = 1, if we define $F_0 = 0$ as usual.) From (12), it follows that (7) holds, because

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E'_{n-1}}{L_n} = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \quad (\text{see, for example, [7, (5.36), p. 47]}). \tag{13}$$

3. "MAXIMAL" LUCAS REPRESENTATIONS

Lemma 3: Suppose that *m* is a positive integer such that $L_{n+1} \leq m \leq L_{n+2} - 1$, where $n \geq 1$. Then the greatest-indexed Lucas number in the "maximal" Lucas representation of *m* is L_n .

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2 in [3, p. 250].

Let

$$a(n) = \sum_{i=L_{n+1}}^{L_{n+2}-1} g'(i), n \ge 0,$$

so that $\lambda'_n = \alpha(n) / L_n$.

Lemma 4: $a(n) = a(n - 1) + a(n - 2) + L_n, n = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof: Since a(0) = a(1) = 2, a(2) = 7, and $L_2 = 3$, the equation clearly holds for n = 2. Let $L_{n+1} \le m \le L_{n+2} - 1$, where $n \ge 3$. According to Lemma 3, the greatest-indexed Lucas number in the representation of m is L_n . Let $m' = m - L_n$. Then

$$L_{n-1} \leq m' \leq L_{n+1} - 1.$$
(14)

According to Lemma 3, if $L_n \leq m' \leq L_{n+1} - 1$, then the greatest-indexed Lucas number in the representation of m' is L_{n-1} , and if $L_{n-1} \leq m' \leq L_n - 1$, then it is L_{n-2} . It follows that in both cases we get the representation of m by adding L_n to the representation of m'. It follows that

$$q'(m) = q'(m') + 1,$$
 (15)

which, together with (14), clearly completes the proof.

Lemma 5: $a(n) = n \cdot F_{n+1} + L_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$

Proof: Easily by induction using Lemma 4.

It follows that (8) holds because

1988]

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{L_n} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \quad (\text{see, for example, [7, (5.34), p. 47]}). \tag{16}$$

4. "MAXIMAL" FIBONACCI REPRESENTATIONS

Let

$$b(n) = \sum_{i=F_{n+1}}^{F_{n+2}-1} f'(i), \ n \ge 1,$$

so that $\psi'_n = b(n)/F_n$. Let

$$c(n) = \sum_{i=F_{n+1}-1}^{F_{n+2}-2} f'(i), n \ge 2.$$

Then we have

and

Lemma 6:
$$b(n) = c(n) + \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{2}, n = 2, 3, ...$$

Proof: $b(n) - c(n) = f'(F_{n+2} - 1) - f'(F_{n+1} - 1)$. We use the formulas (see, for example, [5, (I_5) , (I_6) , p. 56])

$$F_{2k} - 1 = F_{2k-1} + F_{2k-3} + \dots + F_5 + F_3, \ k = 2, \ 3, \ \dots,$$
(17)

$$F_{2k+1} - 1 = F_{2k} + F_{2k-2} + \dots + F_4 + F_2, k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (18)

If n is even, n = 2k, we get $f'(F_{n+2} - 1) - f'(F_{n+1} - 1) = k - k = 0$ and if n is odd, n = 2k + 1, we get $f'(F_{n+2} - 1) - f'(F_{n+1} - 1) = (k + 1) - k = 1$.

Lemma 7: Let $F_{n+1} - 1 \le m \le F_{n+2} - 2$, where $n \ge 2$. Then the greatest Fibonacci number in the "maximal" representation of *m* is F_n .

Proof: [2, Theorem 1, p. 2].

In a similar fashion as in the case of "maximal" Lucas representations, it follows now that

$$c(n) = c(n-1) + c(n-2) + F_n, n = 4, 5, \dots$$
(19)

Lemma 8: $c(n) = (1/5)(n \cdot L_{n+1} - 3F_n), n = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof: Easily by induction using (19) and [5, (I_g), p. 56].

Formula (9) now follows from Lemma 8 and Lemma 6 using the fact that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad (\text{see, for example, } [7, (5.36), p. 47]). \tag{20}$$

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Brown, Jr. "Zeckendorf's Theorem and Some Applications." The Fibonacci Quarterly 2 (1964):162-168.

[Aug.

ON FIBONACCI AND LUCAS REPRESENTATIONS AND A THEOREM OF LEKKERKERKER

- 2. J. L. Brown, Jr. "A New Characterization of the Fibonacci Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 3 (1965):1-8.
- 3. J. L. Brown, Jr. "Unique Representation of Integers as Sums of Distinct Lucas Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 7 (1969):243-252.
- 4. D. E. Daykin. "Representation of Natural Numbers as Sums of Generalised Fibonacci Numbers." J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960):143-160.
- 5. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969.
- 6. C. G. Lekkerkerker. "Voorstelling van natuurlijke getallen door een som van getallen van Fibonacci." Simon Stevin 29 (1951-1952):190-195.
- 7. J. Pihko. "An Algorithm for Additive Representation of Positive Integers." Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I Math. Dissertations No. 46 (1983):1-54.
- E. Zeckendorf. "Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas." Bull. Soc. Royale Sci. Liège 41 (1972):179-182.

*** * * ***

1988]