PELL POLYNOMIALS AND A CONJECTURE OF MAHON AND HORADAM

PAUL DUVALL and THERESA VAUGHAN

University of North Carolina at Greensboro, NC 27412

(Submitted December 1986)

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Horadam and Mahon define a family of $n \times n$ matrices V_n in connection with the Pell polynomials $U_n(x)$. They conjecture that the characteristic polynomial of V_n is given by

$$C_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{(k^2 + k)/2} \{n, k\} \lambda^{n-k},$$
(1.1)

where

$$\{n, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} U_i(x) / \prod_{i=1}^{k} U_i(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} U_i(x).$$
(1.2)

In this paper we prove the conjecture of Horadam and Mahon and also derive various other results concerning the structure of V_n and $C_n(\lambda)$.

2. NOTATION

The Pell polynomials are defined recursively by

$$U_{0}(x) = 0, \quad U_{1}(x) = 1,$$

$$U_{n}(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) + U_{n-2}(x) \qquad (n \ge 2)$$

and the associated Pell-Lucas polynomials by

$$W_0(x) = 2, \quad W_1(x) = 2x,$$

$$W_n(x) = 2xW_{n-1}(x) + W_{n-2}(x) \qquad (n \ge 2).$$

In this paper, to keep the notation as simple as possible, we shall work with the following closely related polynomials in the indeterminate t:

and

$$\begin{split} P_0(t) &= 0, \quad P_1(t) = 1, \\ P_n(t) &= t P_{n-1}(t) + P_{n-2}(t) \qquad (n \ge 2) \\ Q_0(t) &= 2, \quad Q_1(t) = t, \\ Q_n(t) &= t Q_{n-1}(t) + Q_{n-2}(t) \qquad (n \ge 2). \end{split}$$

Standard manipulations with difference equations give the Binet formulas:

$$P_n(t) = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$$
 and $Q_n(t) = \alpha^n + \beta^n$,

[Nov.

where α , β are the roots of the polynomial $y^2 - ty - 1$;

$$= \frac{1}{2}[t + \sqrt{t^2 + 4}] \text{ and } = \frac{1}{2}[t - \sqrt{t^2 + 4}].$$

We shall require the easily proven identity

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} {\binom{n-k-1}{k}} t^{n-1-2k}.$$
 (2.1)

 V_n is defined to be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) entry is

$$(V_n)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} j - 1 \\ j + i - n - 1 \end{pmatrix} t^{i+j-n-1},$$

for example,

 $V_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3t \\ 0 & 1 & 2t & 3t^{2} \\ 1 & t & t^{2} & t^{3} \end{bmatrix}.$

3. A SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATION ON $V_{\! n}$

The main result of this section (Theorem 3.2) shows that V_n is similar to a particularly nice matrix in block upper triangular form. This form will lead to a recursion for the characteristic polynomial of V_n .

Let T_n be the $n\,\times\,n$ matrix whose columns carry the recurrence satisfied by $\mathcal{P}_n\,(-t)\,,$ i.e.,

 $(T_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ t, & \text{if } i = j + 1 \\ -1, & \text{if } i = j + 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then we have

Lemma 3.1: The inverse of T_n is given by

$$(\mathcal{T}_{n}^{-1})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i < j \\ P_{k+1}(-t), & \text{if } i = j + k. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let A denote the matrix defined in the statement of the Lemma, and let $B = T_n A$. Then B is lower triangular, with diagonal elements all equal to one. A typical element below the diagonal has the form

$$P_{i}(-t) + tP_{i-1}(-t) - P_{i-2}(-t) = P_{i}(-t) - (-t)P_{i-1}(-t) - P_{i-2}(-t) = 0,$$

since this is the recursion defining $P_i(-t)$. Thus, B = I and $A = T_n^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.2: The matrix $T_n^{-1}V_nT_n$ has the block form $\begin{bmatrix} -V_{n-2} & X \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$, where X is $(n-2) \times 2$, Y is 2×2 , and

1988]

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} P_n(t) & P_{n-1}(t) \\ P_{n-1}(t) & P_{n-2}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof: First we show, by induction, that the first n - 2 columns of the matrix

$$A = (\alpha_{ij}) = T_n^{-1} V_n T_n$$

have the desired form.

The i^{th} row of \mathcal{I}_n^{-1} is

$$R_i = [P_i(-t), P_{i-1}(-t), \dots, P_2(-t), 1, 0, \dots, 0]$$

and the j^{th} column of $V_n T_n$ is $C_j = \operatorname{col}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - j - 2) \\ x_{n-j-1} &= -1 \\ x_{n-j} &= -\binom{j+1}{1}t + t \\ x_{n-j+k} &= -\binom{j+1}{k+1}t^{k+1} + \binom{j}{k}t^{k+1} + \binom{j-1}{k-1}t^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then a_{ij} is the dot product $R_i \cdot C_j$, and to start the induction, we have:

$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } n - j - 2 \ge i$$

$$a_{ij} = -1 \text{ if } n - j - 2 = i - 1$$

$$a_{ij} = -\binom{j - 1}{1} t \text{ if } n - j - 2 = i - 2$$

$$a_{ij} = -\binom{j - 1}{2} t^2 \text{ if } n - j - 2 = i - 3.$$

Now suppose that, if $0 \le s \le r$ and n - j - 2 = i - s, then

$$a_{ij} = -\binom{j - 1}{s - 1}t^{s-1}.$$

Then, for n - j - 2 = i - r,

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} P_{i+1-k}(-t)x_k = \sum_{k=i-r+1}^{i} P_{i+1-k}(-t)x_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=i-r+1}^{i-1} P_{i+1-k}(-t)x_k + P_1(-t)x_i$$

$$= \sum_{k=i-r+1}^{i-1} [(-t)P_{i-k}(-t) + P_{i-k-1}(-t)]x_k + P_1(-t)x_i$$

$$= (-t) \left[-t^{r-2} {j-1 \choose r-2} \right] + \left[-t^{r-3} {j-1 \choose r-3} \right] - {j+1 \choose r-1} t^{r-1}$$

$$+ {j \choose r-2} t^{r-1} + {j-1 \choose r-3} t^{r-3}$$

(continued)

[Nov.

$$= -t^{r-1} \binom{j-1}{r-1}.$$

This completes the induction.

From the definition of $V_n\,,$ the $j^{\,\rm th}$ column of $V_{n\,-\,2}$ must be

$$\operatorname{col}\left[0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \binom{j-1}{1}t, \binom{j-1}{2}t, \ldots, \binom{j-1}{j-2}t^{j-2}, t^{j-1}\right];$$

therefore, the upper left diagonal $(n - 2) \times (n - 2)$ block of $T_n^{-1}V_nT_n$ is indeed $-V_{n-2}$.

The entries $a_{n-1,j}$ and $a_{n,j}$ for $1 \le j \le n - 2$ are all zero because, if i = n - 1, then n - j - 2 = i - r implies r = j + 1. Then the term

$$-t^{r-1}\binom{j-1}{r-1} = -t^{r-1}\binom{j-1}{j} = 0.$$

If i = n and n - j - 2 = i - r, then r = j + 2 and we have

$$-t^{r-1}\binom{j-1}{r-1} = -t^{r-1}\binom{j-1}{j+1} = 0.$$

It remains to show that the lower right diagonal 2 \times 2 block of $T_n^{-1}V_nT_n$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_n(t) & P_{n-1}(t) \\ P_{n-1}(t) & P_{n-2}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall compute $a_{n,n}$ in detail. The other three cases are similar. Recalling that

and

$$C_n = \operatorname{col}\left[1, \binom{n-1}{1}t, \binom{n-1}{2}t^2, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right],$$

 $R_n = [P_n(-t), P_{n-1}(-t), \dots, P_2(-t), 1]$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n,n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} t^k P_{n-k}(-t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} t^k \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-k-1-j}{j} (-t)^{n-k-1-2j}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1). Reversing the order of summation gives

$$a_{n,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} t^{n-1-2j} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2j} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-1}{j} \binom{n-j-k-1}{j} (-1)^{n-k-1-2j}.$$

Consider the inner sum

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2j} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-j-k-1}{j} (-1)^{n-k-1-2j}.$$

When k = n - 2j, the binomial coefficient $\binom{n - j - k - 1}{j} = \binom{j - 1}{j} = 0$, so we may take the upper limit to be n - 2j - 1.

1988]

Now, make the substitution p = n - 2j - 1 in S to get

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{p} {p + 2j \choose k} {p + j - k \choose j} (-1)^{p-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{p} {p + 2j \choose k} {p + j - k \choose p - k} (-1)^{p-k}.$$

Note that $\binom{p+2j}{k}$ is the coefficient of x^k in the expansion of $(1+x)^{p+2j}$ and that $\binom{p+j-k}{p-k}(-1)^{p-k}$ is the coefficient of x^{p-k} in the expansion of $(1 + x)^{-j-1}$. Then S is the coefficient of x^p in the expansion of

$$(1 + x)^{p+2j-j-1} = (1 + x)^{n-j-2},$$

that is,

$$S = \binom{n - j - 2}{n - 2j - 1} = \binom{n - j - 2}{j - 1}.$$

Returning to the calculation of $a_{n,n}$, we have

$$a_{n,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} t^{n-1-2j} \binom{n-j-2}{j-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-3-k}{k} t^{n-3-2k}$$

(eliminating zero terms and replacing j - 1 by k). Thus, $a_{n,n} = P_{n-2}(t)$, by (2.1). The sums for $a_{n,n-1}$, $a_{n-1,n}$, and $a_{n-1,n-1}$ can be evaluated by the same methods, but we omit the proofs here.

4. THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL OF $V_n(t)$

Let A_n denote the matrix $T_n^{-1}V_nT_n$ and let $C_n(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of V_n . As before, let $Y = Y_n$ be the matrix

$$Y_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n}(t) & P_{n-1}(t) \\ P_{n-1}(t) & P_{n-2}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this section, we establish some basic properties of $\mathcal{C}_n(\lambda)$ and prove the conjecture of Mahon and Horadam.

Lemma 4.1: The characteristic polynomial $C_n(\lambda)$ of V_n satisfies the recurrence:

$$\begin{split} C_{2}(\lambda) &= \lambda^{2} - t\lambda - 1 \\ C_{3}(\lambda) &= (\lambda + 1)(\lambda^{2} + Q_{2}(t)\lambda + 1) \\ C_{n}(\lambda) &= (-1)^{n-2}C_{n-2}(-\lambda)(\lambda^{2} - Q_{n-1}(t)\lambda + (-1)^{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Proof: Since A_n and V_n are similar, $C_n(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A_n|$. By the block form of A_n ,

$$\lambda I - A_n | = |\lambda I + V_{n-2}| \cdot |\lambda I - Y_n|.$$

Since $P_n(t)P_{n-2}(t) - P_{n-1}(t)^2 = (-1)^{n-1}$ and $P_n(t) + P_{n-2}(t) = Q_{n-1}(t)$,

[Nov.

$$\left|\lambda I - Y_n\right| = \lambda^2 - Q_{n-1}(t)\lambda + (-1)^{n-1}.$$

Since $|\lambda I + V_{n-2}| = (-1)^{n-2} C_{n-2}(-\lambda)$, Lemma 4.1 follows.

Corollary 4.2:

a) If n is even, say n = 2k, then

$$C_{2k}(\lambda) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\lambda^2 - Q_{n-1-2j}(t) \cdot (-1)^j \lambda - 1),$$

and the characteristic roots of $C_{2k}(\lambda)$ are

$$\{(-1)^{j}\alpha^{n-1-2j}, (-1)^{j}\beta^{n-1-2j}: j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

b) If n is odd, say n = 2k + 1, then

$$\mathcal{C}_{2k+1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - (-1)^k) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\lambda^2 - Q_{n-1-2j}(t) \cdot (-1)^j \lambda + 1),$$

and the characteristic roots of $C_{2k+1}(\lambda)$ are

$$\{(-1)^k, (-1)^j \alpha^{n-1-2j}, (-1)^j \beta^{n-1-2j} : j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}.$$

Proof: We prove b); the proof of a) is similar. From Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\mathcal{C}_{5}(\lambda) = (\lambda^{2} - \mathcal{Q}_{4}(t)\lambda + 1)(\lambda^{2} - \mathcal{Q}_{2}(t)(-\lambda) + 1)(\lambda - 1),$$

and from the recurrence, for $n \ge 5$, we derive

$$C_n(\lambda) \ = \ (\lambda^2 \ - \ Q_{n-1}(t)\lambda \ + \ 1) (\lambda^2 \ - \ Q_{n-3}(t)(-\lambda) \ + \ 1) C_{n-4}(\lambda) \, .$$

Since $C_3(\lambda)$ has the factor $(\lambda + 1)$, if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $C_n(\lambda)$ will also have the the factor

 $(\lambda + 1) = \lambda + (-1)^{(n-1)/2}.$

Since $C_5(\lambda)$ has the factor $(\lambda - 1)$, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $C_n(\lambda)$ will also have the factor

 $(\lambda - 1) = \lambda + (-1)^{(n-1)/2}.$

The rest of b) is clear.

The characteristic roots of $C_n(\lambda)$ are the roots of its factors. We have

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda - \alpha^{j})(\lambda - \beta^{j}) = \lambda^{2} - (\alpha^{j} + \beta^{j})\lambda + (\alpha\beta)^{j} = \lambda^{2} - Q_{j}(t) + (-1)^{j} \\ &(\lambda + \alpha^{j})(\lambda + \beta^{j}) = \lambda^{2} - Q_{j}(t)(-\lambda) + (-1)^{j}, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. m

Define the coefficient $\{n, k\}$ by

$$\{n, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i(t) / \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i(t) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} P_i(t)$$

1988]

and define the polynomial $R_n(\lambda)$ by

$$R_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{(k^2+k)/2} \{n, k\} \lambda^{n-k}.$$

The next theorem states that $R_n(\lambda) = C_n(\lambda)$. Then the conjecture of Mahon and Horadam follows by making the substitution t = 2x.

Theorem 4.3: For all $n \ge 2$, $R_n(\lambda) = C_n(\lambda)$.

Proof: It is easy to verify the cases n = 2, 3. Thus, we need only show that $R_n(\lambda)$ satisfies the recurrence of Lemma 4.1; that is, we must show that

$$R_{n}(\lambda) = (-1)^{n} R_{n-2}(-\lambda) \cdot (\lambda^{2} - Q_{n-1}(t)\lambda + (-1)^{n-1}).$$
(*)

Let $F(\lambda)$ denote the right-hand side of (*), let a_j denote the coefficient of λ^j in $R_n(\lambda)$, and b_j the coefficient of λ^j in $F(\lambda)$. Then, from the definition of $R_n(\lambda)$, $a_n = 1$, $a_{n-1} = -P_n$, $a_1 = (-1)^{(n^2 - n)/2} P_n$, and $a_0 = (-1)^{(n^2 + n)/2}$.

The n^{th} term in $F(\lambda)$ is

$$(-1)^{n} (-\lambda)^{n-2} \lambda^{2} = \lambda$$

so $b_n = 1 = a_n$.

The $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$ term in $F(\lambda)$ is

 $(-1)^{n}\lambda^{2}(-\lambda)^{n-2}(-1)\{n-2, 1\} + (-1)^{n}(-Q_{n-1}(t)\lambda)(-\lambda)^{n-2}$

 $= \lambda^{n-1}(P_{n-2}(t) - Q_{n-1}(t)) = \lambda^{n-1}(-P_{n-1}(t)),$

so $b_{n-1} = a_{n-1}$.

The constant term of $F(\lambda)$ is

 $(-1)^{n}(-1)^{n-1}(-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} = (-1)^{(n+1)n/2},$

so $a_0 = b_0$.

For
$$b_1$$
, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= (-1)^n \left(-Q_{n-1}(t)\right) \lambda (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \\ &+ (-1)^n (-1)^{n-1} (-\lambda) (-1)^{(n-2)(n-3)/2} \{n-2, n-3\} \\ &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (Q_{n-1}(t) - P_{n-2}(t)) \lambda \\ &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} P_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

giving $a_1 = b_1$.

For the remaining coefficients we need to show that, for $2 \le k \le n - 2$, $a_{n-k} = b_{n-k}$; that is, $(-1)^{(k+1)k/2} \{n, k\} = (-1)^n (-1)^{n-k-2} (-1)^{(k+1)k/2} \{n - 2, k\}$ $+ (-1)^n (-1)^{n-k-1} (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \{n - 2, k - 1\} (-Q_{n-1}(t))$

+
$$(-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{(k-1)(k-2)/2} \{n-2, k-2\}(-1)^{n-1}$$
.

Clearing signs, this reduces to

[Nov.

PELL POLYNOMIALS AND A CONJECTURE OF MAHON AND HORADAM

$$\{n, k\} = (-1)^{k} \{n - 2, k\} + Q_{n-1}(t) \{n - 2, k - 1\} + (-1)^{n+k} \{n - 2, k - 2\}.$$
(**)

Factoring out $\{n - 2, k - 1\}$ reduces (**) to

$$\frac{P_n(t)P_{n-1}(t)}{P_k(t)P_{n-k}(t)} = (-1)^k \frac{P_{n-k-1}(t)}{P_k(t)} + Q_{n-1}(t) + (-1)^{n+k} \frac{P_{k-1}(t)}{P_{n-k}(t)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that for $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$,

$$\begin{split} &P_n(t)P_{n-1}(t) - P_k(t)P_{n-k}(t)Q_{n-1}(t) \\ &= (-1)^k P_{n-k}(t)P_{n-k-1}(t) + (-1)^{n-k} P_k(t)P_{k-1}(t). \end{split}$$

This last identity is proven using the Binet formulas and the properties of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β . For convenience, denote $P_n(t)$ by P_n and so on. First,

n

and

$$\begin{split} P_n P_{n-1} &= (\alpha^n - \beta^n) (\alpha^{n-1} - \beta^{n-1}) / (\alpha - \beta)^2 = Q_{2n-1} + (-1)^n Q_1, \\ Q_{n-1} P_k P_{n-k} &= (\alpha^{n-1} + \beta^{n-1}) (\alpha^n + \beta^n - \beta^k \alpha^{n-k} - \alpha^k \beta^{n-k}) / (\alpha - \beta)^2 \\ &= (\alpha^{2n-1} + \beta^{2n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\beta + \alpha) - (-1)^k (\alpha^{2n-2k-1} + \beta^{2n-2k-1}) - (-1)^{n-k} (\alpha^{2k-1} + \beta^{2k-1})) / (\alpha - \beta)^2 \\ &= (Q_{2n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} Q_1 + (-1)^{k+1} Q_{2n-2k-1} + (-1)^{n-k-1} Q_{2k-1}) / (\alpha - \beta)^2. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & P_n P_{n-1} - P_k P_{n-k} Q_{n-1} \\ & = ((-1)^k Q_{2n-2k-1} + (-1)^{n-k} Q_{2k-1} + 2(-1)^n Q_1) / (\alpha - \beta)^2. \end{split}$$

On the other side,

$$\begin{array}{l} (-1)^{k} P_{n-k} P_{n-k-1} + (-1)^{n-k} P_{k} P_{k-1} \\ = (-1)^{k} (Q_{2n-2k-1} + (-1)^{n-k} Q_{1}) / (\alpha - \beta)^{2} \\ + (-1)^{n-k} (Q_{2k-1} + (-1)^{k} Q_{1}) / (\alpha - \beta)^{2} \\ = ((-1)^{k} Q_{2n-2k-1} + (-1)^{n-k} Q_{2k-1} + 2(-1)^{n} Q_{1}) / (\alpha - \beta)^{2} \end{array}$$

Thus, the identity is true, and (**) is true; that is, $a_{n-k} = b_{n-k}$ for all k, $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then $R_n(\lambda)$ satisfies the recurrence and initial conditions of Lemma 4.1, and it follows that $R_n(\lambda) = C_n(\lambda)$.

5. THE EIGENVECTORS OF V_n

The eigenvectors of V_n can be computed in a recursive way. The initial cases are given below.

Lemma 5.1: V_2 has eigenvalues α , β . Eigenvectors v_1 and v_2 corresponding to α and β are given by

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

1988]

The matrix V_3 has eigenvalues -1, α^2 , β^2 with corresponding eigenvectors V_1 , V_2 , V_3 given by

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ t \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2\alpha \\ \alpha^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2\beta \\ \beta^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 5.2: Let $\mathbf{u} = \operatorname{col}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = \operatorname{col}(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)$ be adjacent columns of V_n , with \mathbf{u} to the left of \mathbf{w} . Then

$$tu_n = w_n$$

$$tu_i + u_{i+1} = w_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1).$$

Proof: If u is column j, then for i = 1, 2, ..., n - j - 1 we have u = 0 and $tu_i + u_{i+1} = w_i$. If i = n - j + k for some k, $0 \le k < j$, then

$$tu_{i} + u_{i+1} = t \begin{pmatrix} j & -1 \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} t^{i-1} + \begin{pmatrix} j & -1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} t^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ i \end{pmatrix} t^{i} = w_{i}.$$

Since $u_n = t^{j-1}$ and $w_n = t^j$, we have $tu_n = w_n$.

Corollary 5.3: Define vectors x and y by

$$\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{col}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{t}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k})$$
$$\mathbf{y} = \operatorname{col}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j+1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{t}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1})$$

where j + t + k = n and k > 0. Put

$$\mathbf{u} = V_n \mathbf{x}$$
 and $\mathbf{v} = V_n \mathbf{y}$

with $u = col(u_1, ..., u_n)$ and $v = col(v_1, ..., v_n)$. Then $tu_i + u_{i+1} = v_i$.

Proof: Let \mathbf{e}_k denote the column vector with 1 in the k^{th} place and 0 everywhere else. By Lemma 5.2, the result is true for

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{j+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_{j+2} \quad (j + 2 \le n),$ and hence is true in general by linearity.

Theorem 5.4: Let n > 1 be odd, so that V_n has $\varepsilon = (-1)^{(n-1)/2}$

as an eigenvalue. Let

 $\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{col}(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$

be an eigenvector corresponding to ε . Put

$$w = col(v_1, \dots, v_n, 0, 0) + col(0, tv_1, \dots, tv_n, 0) + col(0, 0, -v_1, \dots, -v_n).$$

[Nov.

Then w is an eigenvector for V_{n+2} , corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\varepsilon = (-1)^{(n+1)/2}$.

Proof: Put $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_3$, where the \mathbf{w}_i are the summands in the statement of the Theorem. From the form of V_n (it has V_{n-2} in the lower left block, with zeros above it), it is clear that

$$V_{n+2}\mathbf{w}_1 = \varepsilon(0, 0, v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

since ${\bf v}$ is an eigenvector for V_n corresponding to ϵ . Then by Corollary 5.3,

$$V_{n+2}\mathbf{w}_2 = t\epsilon[(0, v_1, \dots, v_n, 0) + t(0, 0, v_1, \dots, v_n)]$$

so

 $V_{n+2}\mathbf{w}_3 = -\varepsilon[\mathbf{w}_1 + 2\mathbf{w}_2 - t^2\mathbf{w}_3]$ $V_{n+2}\mathbf{w} = \varepsilon(-\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_3) = -\varepsilon\mathbf{w}.$

Theorem 5.5: Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{col}(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ is an eigenvector for V_{n-1} corresponding to the eigenvalue α^i $(i \ge 0)$. Put

 $\mathbf{w} = \operatorname{col}(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, 0) + \alpha \operatorname{col}(0, v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y}.$

Then w is an eigenvector for V_n corresponding to the eigenvalue α^{i+1} .

Proof: We have

 $V_n \mathbf{x} = \alpha^i \mathbf{y}$

$$V_n \mathbf{y} = \alpha^i \mathbf{x} + \alpha^i t \mathbf{y}$$

so that "

$$V_n(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y}) = \alpha^i (\mathbf{y} + \alpha \mathbf{x} + \alpha t \mathbf{y}).$$

Since $\alpha^2 = 1 + \alpha t$,

$$V_n(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y}) = \alpha^i (\alpha \mathbf{x} + \alpha^2 \mathbf{y}) = \alpha^{i+1} (\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y})$$

as required. 🔳

Remark: The analogous result also holds for the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues β^{i} .

Corollary 5.6: All of the eigenvectors of V_n can be computed in terms of the eigenvectors of V_{n-1} and V_{n-2} .

REFERENCE

1. J. M. Mahon & A. F. Horadam. "Matrix and Other Summation Techniques for Pell Polynomials." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 24, no. 4 (1986):290-308.

$\diamond \diamond \phi \diamond \phi$

1988]