SOME CONVOLUTION-TYPE AND COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES PERTAINING TO BINARY LINEAR RECURRENCES

Neville Robbins

San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132 (Submitted September 1989)

Introduction

Let sequences $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ be defined for $n \ge 0$. Letting

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k s_{n-k},$$

we obtain a sequence $\{t_n\}$ which is called the convolution of $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$. In keeping with the ideas of V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. [7], one may define iterated convolution sequences as follows:

$$p_n^{(0)} = r_n; \quad r_n^{(j)} = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k r_{n-k}^{(j-1)} \text{ for } j \ge 1.$$

In particular, if $\{F_n\}$ denotes the Fibonacci sequence, then

$$F_n^{(1)} = \sum_{k=0}^n F_k F_{n-k}$$

is the convolution of the Fibonacci sequence with itself. Hoggatt [7] obtained the generating function:

$$x/(1 - x - x^2)^{j+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n^{(j)} x^n.$$

The convolved sequence $F_n^{(1)}$ was also considered by Bicknell [2] and by Hoggatt & Bicknell-Johnson [8]. For related results, see also Bergum & Hoggatt [1] and Horadam and Mahon [9].

Let primary and secondary binary linear recurrences be defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= 0, \ u_1 = 1, \ u_n = P u_{n-1} - Q u_{n-2} \ \text{for} \ n \ge 2; \\ v_0 &= 2, \ v_1 = P, \ v_n = P v_{n-1} - Q v_{n-2} \ \text{for} \ n \ge 2, \end{aligned}$$

where P and Q are nonzero, relatively prime integers such that $D = P^2 - 4Q \neq 0$. In this paper, we generalize prior results of Hoggatt and others by developing formulas for weighted convolutions of the type

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) r_k s_{n-k},$$

where each of r_n and s_n is u_n or v_n and the weight function f(n, k) is defined for $n \ge 0$ and $0 \le k \le n$ and satisfies the symmetry condition

$$f(n, n - k) = f(n, k)$$
 for all k.

In addition, we prove some results about the sums

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} v_k.$$

1991]

Preliminaries

Let the roots of the equation: $t^2 - Pt + Q = 0$ be $a = \frac{1}{2}(P + D^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(P - D^{\frac{1}{2}}),$ so that

(1)
$$a + b = P$$

- (2) ab = Q
- $a b = D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (3)
- $u_n = (a^n b^n)/(a b)$ (4)

$$(5) v_n = a^n + b^n$$

 $v_n = 2u_{n+1} - Pu_n$ (6) (7)

(7)
$$v_n = Pu_n - 2Qu_{n-1}$$

(8) $v_n = u_{n+1} - Qu_{n-1}$

- $v_{n} = u_{n+1} Qu_{n-1}$ $t/(1 Pt + Qt^{2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n}t^{n}$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = 2^{n}$ (9)
- (10)
- $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$ (11)

(12)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k(n-k) = \frac{n^3 - n}{6}$$

(13)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^2 (n-k)^2 = \frac{n^5 - n}{30}$$

The Main Results

Theorem 1:

(a)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k} u_{n-k} = \frac{(n+1)v_{n} - 2u_{n+1}}{D} = \frac{nv_{n} - Pu_{n}}{D} = \frac{(n-1)v_{n} - 2Qu_{n-1}}{D}$$

(b)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_{k} u_{n-k} = \frac{2^{n}v_{n} - 2P^{n}}{D}$$

Proof: Without restriction on f(n, k), (4) implies

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) u_{k} u_{n-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \Big(\frac{a^{k} - b^{k}}{a - b} \Big) \Big(\frac{a^{n-k} - b^{n-k}}{a - b} \Big) \\ &= (a - b)^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) (a^{n} + b^{n} - a^{k} b^{n-k} - a^{n-k} b^{k}) \\ &= D^{-1} \Big(v_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) (a^{k} b^{n-k} + a^{n-k} b^{k}) \Big), \end{split}$$

using (3) and (5).

[Aug.

(a) If
$$f(n, k) = 1$$
, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k} u_{n-k} = D^{-1} \left((n+1)v_{n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a^{k}b^{n-k} + a^{n-k}b^{k}) \right).$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a^{k}b^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a^{n-k}b^{k} = b^{n} \left(\frac{(a/b)^{n+1} - 1}{(a/b) - 1} \right) = \frac{a^{n+1} - b^{n+1}}{a - b} = u_{n+1},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k}u_{n-k} = \frac{(n+1)v_{n} - 2u_{n+1}}{D}.$$

so

The other parts of (a) follow from (6) and (7), since

$$(n+1)v_n - 2u_{n+1} = nv_n + v_n - 2u_{n+1} = nv_n - Pu_n$$

= $(n-1)v_n + v_n - Pu_n = (n-1)v_n - 2Qu_{n-1}.$
(b) If $f(n, k) = \binom{n}{2}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_{k} u_{n-k} = D^{-1} \left(v_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a^{n-k}b^{k} + a^{k}b^{n-k}) \right)$$
$$= D^{-1} (2^{n}v_{n} - 2(a+b)^{n}) = \frac{2^{n}v_{n} - 2P^{n}}{D}$$

using (1) and (10).

n

Theorem 2:

(a)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k v_{n-k} = (n+1)v_n + 2u_{n+1}$$

(b) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} v_k v_{n-k} = 2^n v_n + 2P^n$.

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1, except that we use (5) instead of (4).

Theorem 3:

$$w_{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k u_{n-k} \text{ for } n \ge 1$$

if and only if $w_0 = 0$, $w_1 = 1$, $w_n = Pw_{n-1} - Qw_{n-2} + u_n$ for $n \ge 2$. Proof: (Sufficiency) Following Carlitz [4], let

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n t^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - Pt + Qt^2) \, & \forall (t) = w_0 + (w_1 - Pw_0) t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (w_n - Pw_{n-1} + Qw_{n-2}) t^n \\ & = t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n t^n = t/(1 - Pt + Qt^2), \end{aligned}$$

so $W(t) = t/(1 - Pt + Qt^2)^2$, from which it follows by (9) that

$$w_{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k u_{n-k}.$$

1991]

(Necessity) (Induction on n). Let

$$w_{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k u_{n-k}$$
 for $n \ge 1$.

By direct evaluation, we have

 $w_0 = 0, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 2P, w_3 = 3P^2 - 2Q.$ Theorem 1(a) implies $w_{n-1} = D^{-1}(nv_n - Pu_n)$. Now

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{P}w_{1} - \mathcal{Q}w_{0} + u_{2} = \mathcal{P}(1) - \mathcal{Q}(0) + \mathcal{P} = 2\mathcal{P} = w_{2}; \\ &\mathcal{P}w_{2} - \mathcal{Q}w_{1} + u_{3} = \mathcal{P}(2\mathcal{P}) - \mathcal{Q}(1) + (\mathcal{P}^{2} - \mathcal{Q}) = 3\mathcal{P}^{2} - 2\mathcal{Q} = w_{3}. \\ &\mathcal{P}w_{n-1} - \mathcal{Q}w_{n-2} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{D}}(nv_{n} - \mathcal{P}u_{n}) - \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{D}}((n-1)v_{n-1} - \mathcal{P}u_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{P}v_{n} + (n-1)(\mathcal{P}v_{n} - \mathcal{Q}v_{n-1}) - \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}u_{n} - \mathcal{Q}u_{n-1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{P}v_{n} + (n-1)v_{n+1} - \mathcal{P}u_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{P}v_{n} - 2v_{n+1} + (n+1)v_{n+1} - \mathcal{P}u_{n+1}) \\ &= w_{n} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}(2v_{n+1} - \mathcal{P}v_{n}). \end{split}$$

But $2v_{n+1} - Pv_n = 2(a^{n+1} + b^{n+1}) - (a + b)(a^n + b^n) = a^{n+1} + b^{n+1} - ab^n - a^n b = (a^n - b^n)(a - b) = Du_n$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P}\omega_{n-1} - \mathcal{Q}\omega_{n-2} + u_n = \omega_n - \frac{1}{D}(Du_n) + u_n = \omega_n.$$

Theorem 4: If

$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n v_k v_{n-k} \text{ for } n \ge 0,$$

then

$$x_0 = 4$$
, $x_1 = 4P$, $x_n = Px_{n-1} - Qx_{n-2} + Du_n$ for $n \ge 2$.

Proof: This is similar to the proof of Necessity in Theorem 3, and therefore is omitted here.

Lemma 1: Let f(n, k) be a function such that f(n, n - k) = f(n, k) for all k such that $0 \le k \le n$, where n and k are nonnegative integers. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} Q^{k} f(n, k) u_{n-2k} = 0.$$

Proof: Let

Then

$$S_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q^{k} f(n, k) u_{n-2k}, \ n* = \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-1) \right], \ S_{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n*} Q^{k} f(n, k) u_{n-2k}.$$
$$S_{n} - S_{1} = \sum_{j=n-n*}^{n*} Q^{j} f(n, j) u_{n-2j}.$$

Letting k = n - j, we obtain

$$S_n - S_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n^*} Q^{n-k} f(n, n-k) u_{2k-n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n^*} f(n, k) Q^{n-k} (-u_{n-2k}/Q^{n-2k}),$$

by (14), that is,

[Aug.

$$S_n - S_1 = -\sum_{k=0}^{n^*} Q^k f(n, k) u_{n-2k} = -S_1, \text{ so } S_n = 0.$$

Theorem 5: If f(n, k) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 above, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) u_{k} v_{n-k} &= u_{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \right). \\ Proof: \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) u_{k} v_{n-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \left(\frac{a^{k} - b^{k}}{a - b} \right) (a^{n-k} + b^{n-k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \left(\frac{a^{n} - b^{n} - a^{n-k}b^{k} + a^{k}b^{n-k}}{a - b} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \left(u_{n} - (ab)^{k} \left(\frac{a^{n-2k} - b^{n-2k}}{a - b} \right) \right) \\ &= u_{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q^{k} f(n, k) u_{n-2k} = u_{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n, k) \right), \end{split}$$
 by Lemma 1.

Corollary 1:

(a)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k v_{n-k} = (n+1)u_n$$
 (b) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_k v_{n-k} = 2^n u_n$
(c) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 u_k v_{n-k} = \binom{2n}{n} u_n$ (d) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k(n-k)u_k v_{n-k} = \binom{n^3 - n}{6} u_n$
(e) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^2 (n-k)^2 u_k v_{n-k} = \binom{n^5 - n}{30} u_n$

Proof: This follows from Theorem 5 and (10) through (13).

Theorem 6: Let u_n and v_n be the primary and secondary binary linear recurrences, respectively, with parameters P and Q, as defined in the introduction, and with discriminant $D = P^2 - 4Q$. Define

$$U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u_k, \quad V_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} v_k.$$

Then, U_n and V_n are also primary and secondary binary linear recurrences, respectively, with parameters $P^* = P + 2$, $Q^* = P + Q + 1$, and discriminant $D^* = D$. **Proof:** n + p = p + 2, $Q^* = P + Q + 1$, and discriminant $D^* = D$.

$$U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{\frac{a^k - b^k}{a - b}}{a - b} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} b^k \right)$$
$$= \frac{(a+1)^n - (b+1)^n}{a - b} = \frac{(a+1)^n - (b+1)^n}{(a+1) - (b+1)}.$$

If we let A = a + 1, B = b + 1, then

$$U_n = \frac{A^n - B^n}{A - B},$$

a primary binary linear recurrence with parameters

P* = A + B = (a + 1) + (b + 1) = (a + b) + 2 = P + 2,

and

1991]

SOME CONVOLUTION-TYPE AND COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES PERTAINING TO BINARY LINEAR RECURRENCES

$$Q^* = AB = (a + 1)(b + 1) = ab + (a + b) + 1 = P + Q + 1.$$

Similarly, if

$$V_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} v_k,$$

then $V_n = A^n + B^n$, a secondary binary linear recurrence with A and B as above. Furthermore,

$$D^* = (P^*)^2 - 4Q^* = (P+2)^2 - 4(P+Q+1)$$
$$= P^2 + 4P + 4 - 4P - 4Q - 4 = P^2 - 4Q = D.$$

Theorem 7: Let $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ be primary and secondary binary linear recurrences with discriminant D > 0. Then there exists a positive integer, m, such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_{k} = u_{mn}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} v_{k} = v_{mn}$$

if and only if m = 2, $u_n = F_n$, $v_n = L_n$.

Proof: To prove sufficiency, we note that, if P = -Q = 1, so that $u_n = F_n$, $v_n = L_n$, then $a^2 = a + 1 = A$, $b^2 = b + 1 = B$, so that Theorem 6 implies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_{k} = \frac{A^{n} - B^{n}}{A - B} = \frac{a^{2n} - b^{2n}}{a - b} = u_{2n},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} v_{k} = A^{n} + B^{n} = a^{2n} + b^{2n} = v_{2n}.$$

To prove necessity, using the notation of Theorem 6, we note that hypothesis, (4), and (5) imply

$$\frac{A^n - B^n}{a - b} = \frac{a^{mn} - b^{mn}}{a - b}, \ A^n + B^n = a^{mn} + b^{mn}.$$

Therefore, $A = a^m$, $B = b^m$, so that $a^m = a + 1$, $b^m = b + 1$. Let

 $f_m(x) = x^m - x - 1.$

Then $f_m(a) = f_m(b) = 0$. If *m* is odd, then $f_m(x)$ has critical values at $x = \pm m^{[-1/(m-1)]}$.

It is easily verified that $f_m(\pm m^{[-1/(m-1)]}) < 0$. Therefore, $f_m(x)$ has a unique real root, so a = b, which implies D = 0, contrary to hypothesis. If *m* is even, then $f_m(x)$ has a minimum at $x = m^{[-1/(m-1)]}$, and $f_m(m^{[-1/(m-1)]}) < 0$, so $f_m(x)$ has two real roots *a* and *b* with a > b. Now,

$$f_m(-1) = 1$$
, $f_m(0) = f_m(1) = -1$, $f_m(2) = 2^m - 3 > 0$, for $m \ge 2$,

so we must have -1 < b < 0 and 1 < a < 2. Therefore, 0 < a + b < 2 and -2 < ab < 0. Since a + b and ab must be integers, we have P = a + b = 1, Q = ab = -1. It now follows that $u_n = F_n$, $v_n = L_n$, $a^m = a + 1 = a^2$, so m = 2.

Concluding Remarks

If P = -Q = 1, then D = 5, $u_n = F_n$, and $v_n = L_n$ (the n^{th} Lucas number). In this case, Theorems 1(a), 1(b), 2(a), 2(b), say, respectively:

(1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} F_k F_{n-k} = \frac{nL_n - F_n}{5}$$

[Aug.

(II) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} F_k F_{n-k} = \frac{2^n L_n - 2}{5}$

(III)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{k}L_{n-k} = (n+1)L_{n} + 2F_{n+1}$$

(IV)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} L_{k} L_{n-k} = 2^{n} L_{n} + 2$$

(I) was obtained by Hoggatt & Bicknell-Johnson [8]; an alternate form of (I) was given by Knuth [10]; (I) and (II) appeared without proof in Wall [11]; (II) and (IV) were given by Buschman [3].

Theorem 7 also yields the identities

$$(\mathbb{V}) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} F_{k} = F_{2n}; \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} L_{k} = L_{2n}.$$

(V) appeared in papers by Gould [6] and by Carlitz & Ferns [5].

References

- G. E. Bergum & V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. "Limits of Quotients for the Convolved Fibonacci Sequence and Related Sequences." *Fibonacci Quarterly* 15.2 (1977): 113-16.
- 2. M. Bicknell. "A Primer for the Fibonacci Numbers: Part XIII." Fibonacci Quarterly 11.6 (1973):511-16.
- 3. R. G. Buschman. Solution to Problem H-18. Fibonacci Quarterly 2.2 (1964): 127.
- 4. L. Carlitz. Solution to Problem H-39. Fibonacci Quarterly 3.1 (1965):51-53.
- 5. L. Carlitz & H. H. Ferns. "Some Fibonacci and Lucas Identities." Fibonacci Quarterly 8.1 (1970):61-73.
- 6. H. W. Gould. "Generating Functions for Powers of Products of Fibonacci Numbers." Fibonacci Quarterly 1.2 (1963):1-16.
- 7. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. "Convolution Triangles for Generalized Fibonacci Numbers." Fibonacci Quarterly 8.2 (1970):158-71.
- 8. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., & M. Bicknell-Johnson. "Fibonacci Convolution Sequences." Fibonacci Quarterly 15.2 (1977):117-22.
- A. F. Horadam & J. M. Mahon. "Convolutions for Pell Polynomials." In Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications. Edited by A. N. Phillipou, G. E. Bergum, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: D. Reidel, 1986, pp. 55-80.
- D. Knuth. The Art of Computer Programming. Vol. I, 2nd ed. New York: Addison-Wesley, 1973.
- C. R. Wall. "Some Remarks on Carlitz' Fibonacci Array." Fibonacci Quarterly 1.4 (1963):23-29.

1991]