### Pentti Haukkanen

University of Tampere, P.O. Box 607, SF-33101 Tampere, Finland

### Jerzy Rutkowski

Adam Mickiewicz University, ul. Matejki 48/49, Poznań, Poland (Submitted December 1989)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{w_q\}$  be a recurrence sequence of order  $n \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$  and let its generating function be given by

(1) 
$$w(z) \equiv \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} w_q z^q = \frac{w_1(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - b_j z)},$$

where  $W_1(z)$  is a polynomial in z with deg  $W_1(z) = m$ . For a positive integer k, let  $w_k(z)$  denote the generating function of the sequence  $\{w_q^k\}$  of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers of  $w_q$ . It is known that  $w_k(z)$  is a rational function in z (see [6] or [8]). The aim of this paper is to study the degrees of polynomials in the numerator and denumerator of  $w_k(z)$ . This paper is similar in character to [4].

The function  $w_k(z)$  has been studied with m = n - 1 in [8] and [11]. Generating functions for powers of third-order recurrence sequences have been studied in [13], and those of second-order recurrence sequences in [1], [3], [5], [7], [9], [10], and [12].

The proof of our result is based on the following theorem by Hadamard:

If 
$$A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
,  $B(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , and  $C(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ ,  
then  
 $C(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} A(s) B(z/s) \frac{ds}{s}$ ,

-- / >

where  $\gamma$  is a contour in the *s* plane, which includes the singularities of B(z/s)/s and excludes the singularities of A(s). If the radius of convergence of A(z) [resp. B(z)] is *R* (resp. *R'*), then the radius of convergence of *C(z)* is at least *RR'*, and  $\gamma$  may, for example, be any circle between |s| = R and |s| = |z|/R' (see [6], p. 813, [14], pp. 157-59).

# 2. The Generating Function $w_k(z)$

Theorem: Let  $\{w_q\}$  be a recurrence sequence of order n and let its generating function be given by (1). Then

(2) 
$$w_k(z) = \frac{W_k(z)}{D_k(z)},$$

where

$$D_{k}(z) = \prod_{\substack{(r_{1}, \dots, r_{n}) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} \\ r_{1} + \dots + r_{n} = k}} (1 - b_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots b_{n}^{r_{n}} z), \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

and  $W_k(z)$  is a polynomial in z with

deg 
$$W_k(z) \leq \binom{n+k-1}{k} - n + m.$$

1991]

329

*Proof:* Clearly  $W_1(z)$  can be written in the form

$$W_1(z) = w_p z^p \prod_{i=1}^{m-p} (1 - a_i z), \quad 0 \le p \le m,$$

where p is the least integer such that  $w_p \neq 0$ . Assume first that  $b_{j_1} \neq b_{j_2}$  for  $j_1 \neq j_2$  and  $b_j \neq 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., n. Then we distinguish two cases:  $m < n, m \ge n$ .

<u>Case 1</u>. Let m < n. We proceed by induction on k. If k = 1, the theorem holds. Assume it holds for k = K ( $K \ge 1$ ). We shall prove that it holds for k = K + 1. Applying Hadamard's theorem and the Cauchy residue theorem and noting that the appropriate winding numbers are = 1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} w_{K+1}(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} w_{K}(s) w(z/s) \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{W_{K}(s) w_{p} z^{p} \prod_{i=1}^{m-p} (s - a_{i}z)}{\prod_{r_{1}+\dots+r_{n}=K} (1 - b_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots b_{n}^{r_{n}} s) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (s - b_{j}z)} s^{n-m-1} ds \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{W_{K}(b_{h}z) w_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{m-p} (b_{h} - a_{i})}{\prod_{r_{1}+\dots+r_{n}=K} (1 - b_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots b_{n}^{r_{n}} b_{h}z) \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq h}}^{n} (b_{h} - b_{j})} b_{h}^{n-m-1}. \end{split}$$

Denote briefly

$$C_{h} = w_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{m-p} (b_{h} - a_{i}) \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq h}}^{n} (b_{h} - b_{j})^{-1} b_{h}^{n-m-1},$$

$$E_{K+1}^{(h)}(z) = \prod_{r_1 + \cdots + r_{h-1} + r_{h+1} + \cdots + r_n = K+1} \left(1 - b_1^{r_1} \cdots b_{h-1}^{r_{h-1}} b_{h+1}^{r_{h+1}} \cdots b_n^{r_n} z\right).$$

Converting the fraction in the sum over h by  $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{K}+1}^{(h)}(\boldsymbol{z})$  , we obtain

(3) 
$$w_{K+1}(z) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{n} C_h W_K(b_h z) E_{K+1}^{(h)}(z)}{D_{K+1}(z)}.$$

The number of solutions of the equation  $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = K$  in  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} n + K - 1 \\ K \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Thus, the number of solutions of the equation  $r_1 + \cdots + r_{h-1} + r_{h+1} + \cdots + r_n = K + 1$  in  $(r_1, \ldots, r_{h-1}, r_{h+1}, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$  is equal to

$$\binom{n+K-1}{K+1}.$$

This is plainly the degree of the polynomial  $\mathbb{E}_{K+1}^{(h)}(z)$ . Thus, the degree of the polynomial in the numerator of the fraction of (3) is less than or equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \ + \ K \ - \ 1 \\ K \end{pmatrix} \ - \ n \ + \ m \ + \ \begin{pmatrix} n \ + \ K \ - \ 1 \\ K \ + \ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Big),$$

that is, less than or equal to

$$\binom{n + (K + 1) - 1}{K + 1} - n + m.$$

This proves the theorem in Case 1. 330

[Nov.

<u>Case 2</u>. Let  $m \ge n$ . We proceed by induction on k in this case, too. The theorem holds for k = 1. Assume it holds for k = K. Then the series  $w_K(z)$  can be written in the form

$$w_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-b} u_i \boldsymbol{z}^i + \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{z})}{D_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{z})},$$

where

$$\alpha = \deg W_{K}(z) \leq \binom{n+K-1}{K} - n + m, \quad b = \binom{n+K-1}{K}$$

and  $U_k(z)$  is a polynomial in z of degree < b. Note that  $a - b \le m - n$ . The series w(z) can be written in the form

$$w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-n} v_j z^{j} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{A_{\ell}}{1 - b_{\ell} z}.$$

Applying Hadamard's theorem and the Cauchy residue theorem and noting that the appropriate winding numbers are =1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} w_{K+1}(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} w_{K}(s) w(z/s) \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{a-b} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n} u_{i} v_{j} s^{i} \frac{z^{j}}{s^{j+1}} ds + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{a-b} \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{i} A_{k} \frac{s^{i}}{s - b_{k} z} ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n} \frac{U_{K}(s)}{D_{K}(s)} v_{j} \frac{z^{j}}{s^{j+1}} ds + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{U_{K}(s)}{D_{K}(s)} \frac{A_{k}}{s - b_{k} z} ds \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{a-b} u_{i} v_{i} z^{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{a-b} \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{i} A_{k} b_{k}^{j} z^{i} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-n} B_{j} v_{j} z^{j} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{U_{K}(b_{k} z)}{D_{K}(b_{k} z)} A_{k}, \end{split}$$

where  $B_j$  (j = 0, 1, ..., m - n) is a complex constant. Now we can see, after some calculations, that  $w_{\chi+1}(z)$  can be written in the form

$$w_{K+1}(z) = \frac{W_{K+1}(z)}{D_{K+1}(z)},$$

where

deg 
$$W_{K+1}(z) \leq \binom{n + (K+1) - 1}{K+1} - n + m.$$

This proves the theorem in Case 2.

Now the theorem is proved when  $b_{j_1} \neq b_{j_2}$  for  $j_1 \neq j_2$  and  $b_j \neq 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., *n*. But the coefficients of  $z^q$  (q = 0, 1, ...) in the series  $w_k(z)$  and in the polynomials  $W_k(z)$  and  $D_k(z)$  are polynomials in the variables  $w_p$ ,  $a_i$ , and  $b_j$ . Thus, taking limits  $b_{j_1} \neq b_{j_2}$ ,  $b_j \neq 0$  proves that the theorem holds for all  $b_1$ , ...,  $b_n$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark:* It should be noted that, in the case in which two or more of the  $b_j$  are equal, the treatment used at the end of the proof does not have to give the best possible result (cf. [8], Sec. 7). However, application of Hadamard's theorem and Cauchy's residue theorem would be too laborious in that case.

*Example:* Let  $\{w_q\} \equiv \{F_q\}$ , the Fibonacci sequence, and let  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , and  $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ . Then, for K = 1, formula (3) is

$$F_{2}(z) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}(1 - \beta^{2}z) + \beta(\beta - \alpha)^{-1}(1 - \alpha^{2}z)}{(1 - \alpha^{2}z)(1 - \alpha\beta z)(1 - \beta^{2}z)},$$

which gives the well-known formula

1991]

331

ON GENERATING FUNCTIONS FOR POWERS OF RECURRENCE SEQUENCES

$$F_2(z) = \frac{1-z}{1-2z-2z^2+z^3}$$

(see, e.g., [2]; [13], p. 794).

# References

- 1. L. Carlitz. "Generating Functions for Powers of Certain Sequences of Numbers." Duke Math. J. 29 (1962):521-37.
- 2. S. W. Golomb. Problem 4270. Amer. Math. Monthly 64 (1957):49.
- H. W. Gould. "Generating Functions for Products of Powers of Fibonacci 3. Numbers." Fibonacci Quarterly 1 (1963):1-16. P. Haukkanen & J. Rutkowski. "On the Usual Product of Rational Arithmetic
- 4. Functions." Collog. Math. 59 (1990):191-96.
- A. F. Horadam. "Generating Functions for Powers of a Certain Generalized 5. Sequence of Numbers." Duke Math. J. 32 (1965):437-46.
- D. A. Klarner. "A Ring of Sequences Generated by Rational Functions." 6. Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967):813-16.
- I. I. Kolodner. "On a Generating Function Associated with Generalized Fi-7. bonacci Sequences." Fibonacci Quarterly 3 (1965):272-78.
- 8. A. J. van der Poorten. "A Note on Recurrence Sequences." J. Proc. Roy. Soc. New South Wales 106 (1973):115-17.
- B. S. Popov. "Generating Functions for Powers of Certain Second-Order Re-9. currence Sequences." Fibonacci Quarterly 15 (1977):221-24.
- 10. J. Riordan. "Generating Functions for Powers of Fibonacci Numbers." Duke Math. J. 29 (1962):5-12.
- A. G. Shannon. "Explicit Expressions for Powers of Linear Recursive Se-11. quences." Fibonacci Quarterly 12 (1974):281-87.
- A. G. Shannon. "A Method of Carlitz Applied to the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Power Generating 12. Function for Fibonacci Numbers." Fibonacci Quarterly 12 (1974):293-99. A. G. Shannon & A. F. Horadam. "Generating Functions for Powers of Third
- 13. Order Recurrence Sequences." Duke Math. J. 38 (1971):791-94.
- E. C. Titchmarsh. The Theory of Functions. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford Univer-14. sity Press, 1939.

\*\*\*\*

332