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The purpose of this paper is to study partitions of positive integers for which Euler's totient function is endomorphic. That is, $n=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}$ is a $\phi$-partition if $i \geq 2$, and $\phi(n)=\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$.

Questions related to two-summand $\phi$-partitions have been considered by the present author [2] and by Makowski [3]; here, we generalize to $\phi$-partitions with an arbitrary number of summands. Results include: characterizations of positive integers which have at least one $\phi$-partition and of those which have only one $\phi$-partition; constructive proof that any prime $p$ has exactly $\pi(p) \phi-$ partitions; and techniques for constructing $\phi$-partitions and reduced $\phi$ partitions for various types of positive integers.

Throughout the paper, $p$ and $q$ will denote distinct primes and $n$ will denote a positive integer.

Definition 1: A square-free $n$ is simple if $n=1$ or $n$ has maximal prime divisor $p$ and $q \mid n$ for every prime $q<p$.
Lemma 2: If $s$ is simple, $n<2 s$, and $n \neq s$, then $\frac{s}{\phi(s)}>\frac{n}{\phi(n)}$.
Proof: Let $s=2 \cdot 3 \cdots \ldots \cdot p_{i}$, and let $2 s>n=q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots q_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$ for $q_{1}<\ldots<q_{k}$. Since $n<2 s$, we have $k \leq i$, and since $s$ is simple, we have $q_{j} \geq p_{j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. If $k=i$ and $q_{j}=p_{j}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, then $n=s$. Thus, $k<i$ or $q_{j}>p_{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. In either case,

$$
\frac{n}{\phi(n)}=\frac{q_{1} \cdots q_{k}}{\left(q_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(q_{k}-1\right)}<\frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdots \cdots \cdot p_{i}}{1 \cdot 2 \cdots \cdots\left(p_{i}-1\right)}=\frac{s}{\phi(s)} .
$$

Theorem 3: $n$ has at least one $\phi$-partition iff $n$ is not simple.
Proof: (i) Let $n$ be nonsimple. Then there exists a prime $p$ such that $p^{\alpha} \mid n$ for $\alpha>1$, or $n$ is square-free with maximal prime divisor $p$ and there exists $q<p$ such that $q \nmid n$.

Suppose $p^{\alpha} \| n$ for $\alpha>1$, and let $n=p^{\alpha} t$. Then $\phi(n)=\phi\left(p^{\alpha} t\right)=p \phi\left(p^{\alpha-1} t\right)$. Hence, $n=\underbrace{p^{\alpha-1} t+\cdots+p^{\alpha-1} t}$ is a $\phi$-partition.
$p$ summands
Now suppose $n$ is square-free with maximal prime divisor $p$ and there exists $q<p$ such that $q \nmid n$. Let $n=p j$ and $p-q=a$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p j) & =\phi(p) \phi(j)=(p-1) \phi(j)=(a+q-1) \phi(j) \\
& =\alpha \phi(j)+(q-1) \phi(j)=\alpha \phi(j)+\phi(q j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $n=\underbrace{j+\cdots+j}_{a \text { summands }}+q j$ is a $\phi-$ partition.
(ii) Suppose $n=2 \cdot 3 \cdots p_{k}$ is simple and $n=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ is a $\phi$-partition. Let $\alpha_{j}$ be a summand of the partition. Since $\alpha_{j}<n$, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
\frac{a_{j}}{\phi\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}<\frac{n}{\phi(n)} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n=\frac{n}{\phi(n)} \phi(n) & =\frac{n}{\phi(n)} \phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\frac{n}{\phi(n)} \phi\left(a_{i}\right) \\
& >\frac{a_{1}}{\phi\left(a_{1}\right)} \phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\frac{a_{i}}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)} \phi\left(a_{i}\right)=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction completes the proof.
Lemma 4: If $n=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}$ is a unique $\phi$-partition of $n$, then each summand is simple.
Proof: Suppose $n=a_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ is a unique $\phi$-partition and some summand $\alpha_{j}$ is not simple. Then, by Theorem $3, \alpha_{j}$ has a $\phi$-partition $\alpha_{j}=b_{1}+\ldots+b_{k}$; thus, $n=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{j-1}+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{k}+a_{j+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}$ is a $\phi$-partition of $n$ which is different from $n=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$.

Lemma 5: If a unique $\phi$-partition of $n$ has two equal summands, then $n=2 s$ for $s$ simple.

Proof: Suppose $n=s+s+\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ is a unique $\phi-$ partition of $n$. If some summand $a_{j} \neq 0$, then $n=2 s+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+a_{i}$ is a different $\phi$-partition of $n$. Therefore, each $a_{j}=0$ and $n=2 s$. By Lemma 4 , $s$ is simple.
Theorem 6: $n$ has a unique $\phi$-partition iff $n=2 s$ for $s$ simple or $n=3$.
Proof: (i) Suppose $n$ has a unique $\phi$-partition. Then, by Theorem 3 , $n$ is not simple.

If $n$ is square-free with maximum prime divisor $p$ and $q<p$ such that $q \nmid n$, let $n=p j$ and $p-q=a$. Then, from the proof of Theorem 3 (i), we have
$n=\underbrace{j+\cdots+j}_{a \text { summands }}+q j$ is a $\phi$-partition.
And since it is unique, Lemma 4 implies that $j$ is simple and Lemma 5 implies that $a=1$. Thus, $p-q=1$. Hence, we have $p=3, q=2$, and $n=3$.

Now suppose $p^{\alpha} \| n$ for $\alpha>1$ and $n=p^{\alpha} t$. Then

$$
n=\underbrace{p^{\alpha-1} t+\cdots+p^{\alpha-1} t}_{p \text { sumnands }} \text { is a } \phi-p a r t i t i o n,
$$

and since it is unique, we have that $p^{\alpha-1} t$ is simple (Lemma 4). Therefore, by Lemma $5, n=2 s$ for $s$ simple.
(ii) It is obvious that $3=1+2$ is a unique $\phi$-partition of 3 .

Let $n=2 s$ for $s$ simple. Clearly, $2 s=s+s$ is a $\phi$-partition. Suppose $2 s=a_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ is a different $\phi$-partition. Then there exists a summand $a_{j} \neq s$. Since $a_{j}<2 s$, we have, by Lemma 2, that

$$
\frac{a_{j}}{\phi\left(a_{j}\right)}<\frac{s}{\phi(s)}
$$

This gives the contradiction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 s & =\frac{2 s \phi(s)}{\phi(s)}=\frac{s \phi(2 s)}{\phi(s)}=\frac{s}{\phi(s)}\left(\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{s}{\phi(s)} \phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\frac{s}{\phi(s)} \phi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)>\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\cdots+\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\phi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \phi\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $2 s=s+s$ is a unique $\phi$-partition of $n$.
Theorem 7: $p=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ is a $\phi$-partition iff one summand is prime and every other summand is 1 .

## $\phi$-PARTITIONS

Proof: (i) $p=\underbrace{1+\ldots+1}_{p-q \text { summands }}+q$ is clearly a $\phi$-partition for every prime $q<p$.
(ii) Let $p=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ be a $\phi$-partition. It is obvious that at least one summand is greater than l. Suppose the two summands, $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, are each greater than 1. Then $\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \alpha_{1}-1$ and $\phi\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq \alpha_{2}-1$. Therefore, we have the contradiction

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{i}-1 & =p-1=\phi(p) \\
& =\phi\left(a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $a_{1}>1$. Then $a_{1}=p-i+1$, and

$$
p-1=\phi(p)=\underbrace{\phi(1)+\cdots+\phi(1)}_{i-1 \text { summands }}+\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=i-1+\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, $\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=p-i=a_{1}-1$. Therefore, $\alpha_{1}$ is prime.
As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we get
Corollary 8: A prime $p$ has exactly $\pi(p) \phi$-partitions.
We now provide two very general techniques for constructing $\phi$-partitions for a particular $n$.

1. If $n$ is even, $p \| n, p a_{n}=2^{a_{1}}+\ldots+2^{a_{i}}+q, q \nmid n$, and $n=2^{\alpha} p m$, then $n=2^{a_{1}+\alpha} m+\ldots+2^{a_{i}+\alpha} m+2^{\alpha} m q$ is a $\phi$-partition.
Some results regarding how many ways a particular prime $p$ can be written as the sum of a prime and powers of 2 are given in [1].
Definition 9: A positive integer $m$ is prime dependent on $n$ if every prime divisor of $m$ is a divisor of $n$.

Notice that if $m$ is prime dependent on $n$ then $\phi(m n)=m \phi(n)$.
2. If $n=p^{\alpha} t$ where $\alpha>1$ and $p \nmid t$, and $p=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}$ such that each summand is prime dependent on $n$, then

$$
n=\alpha_{1} p^{\alpha-1} t+\cdots+\alpha_{i} p^{\alpha-1} t \text { is a } \phi-\text { partition }
$$

Notice that for every $p$ such that $p^{\alpha} \mid n$ for $\alpha>1$ we get a $\phi$-partition of $n$ with $p$ summands by letting

$$
p=\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}_{p \text { summands }}
$$

in construction 2. If $n$ is even, for each such $p$ we can get $\phi$-partitions with $x$ summands for every $x$ satisfying $a \leq x \leq p$, where $\alpha$ is the number of nonzero digits in the binary representation of $p$.
Definition 10: If $n=a_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{1}=b_{1}+\ldots+b_{j}$ are $\phi$-partitions, then $n=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{j}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{i}$ is an expansion of $n=a_{1}+\ldots+a_{i}$.

Expansions are clearly $\phi$-partitions.
Definition 11: A $\phi$-partition is reduced if each of its summands is simple.
It is obvious that a $\phi$-partition can be expanded iff it is not reduced. So every nonsimple number has at least one reduced $\phi$-partition. The following are examples of reduced $\phi$-partitions for various types of $n$ :

> (i) $2^{a}=\underbrace{2+\ldots+2}_{2^{\alpha-1} \text { summands }}$
> (ii) $p^{\alpha}=\underbrace{1+\ldots+1}_{\begin{array}{c}p^{\alpha-1}(p-2) \\ \text { summands }\end{array}}+\underbrace{2+\ldots+2}_{\begin{array}{c}p^{\alpha-1} \\ \text { summands }\end{array}}$
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(iii) $2^{\alpha} p^{\alpha}=\underbrace{2+\cdots+2}_{\begin{array}{c}2^{a-1} p^{\alpha-1}(p-3) \\ \text { summands }\end{array}}+\underbrace{6+\ldots+6}_{\begin{array}{c}2^{a-1} p^{\alpha-1} \\ \text { summands }\end{array}}$
(iv) $p q=\underbrace{1+\ldots+1}+\underbrace{2+\cdots+2}+6$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(p-2)(q-2) & p+q-5 \\
\text { summands } & \text { summands }
\end{array}
$$

Several open questions about two-summand $\phi$-partitions could be resolved if it can be shown that reduction is unique. Evidence and intuition strongly suggest that it is; but it seems that a proof may be quite difficult. We close with the conjecture: Every nonsimple number has exactly one reduced $\phi$ partition.
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