ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

Edited by Raymond E. Whitney

Please send all communications concerning ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS to RAYMOND E. WHITNEY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, LOCK HAVEN UNIVERSITY, LOCK HAVEN, PA 17745. This department especially welcomes problems believed to be new or extending old results. Proposers should submit solutions or other information that will assist the editor. To facilitate their consideration, all solutions should be submitted on separate signed sheets within two months after publication of the problems.

PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE

H-471 Proposed by Andrew Cusumano & Marty Samberg, Great Neck, NY

Starting with a sequence of four ones, build a sequence of finite differences where the number of finite differences taken at each step is the term of the sequence. That is,

S_1	S_2	S ₃
$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1$	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1$	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1$
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
	1 2 4 7 11 16	1 2 4 7 11 16
		1 2 4 8 15 26 42

Now, reverse the procedure but start with the powers of the last row of differences and continue until differences are constant. For example, if the power is two, we have

1		4		9	16	25	1 4 16 49 121 256	etc.
	3		5		7	9	3 12 33 72 135	
		2		2	2		9 21 39 63	
							12 18 24	
							6 6	

The sequence of constants obtained when the power is two is

2, 6, 20, 70, ...,

while the sequence of constants when the power is three is

6, 90, 1680, 34650,

Let ${\it N}$ be the number of the term in the original difference sequence and ${\it M}$ be the power used in forming the reversed sequence. Show that the constant term is

$$X(N, M) = \frac{(N \cdot M)!}{(N!)^M}, N = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

For example,

$$x(2, 3) = \frac{6!}{2^3} = 90.$$

[Nov.

.

H-472 Proposed by Paul S. Bruckman, Edmonds, WA

Let Z(n) denote the Fibonacci entry-point of the natural number n, that is, the smallest positive index t such that $n | F_t$. Prove that n = Z(n) if and only if n = 5 or $n = 12 \cdot 5$, for some $u \ge 0$.

H-473 Proposed by A. G. Schaake & J. C. Turner, Hamilton, New Zealand

Show that the following [1, p. 98] is equivalent to Fermat's Last Theorem.

"For n > 2 there does not exist a positive integer triple (a,b,c) such that the two rational numbers r/s, p/q, with

$$r = c - a, \qquad p = b - 1, \\ s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^{n-i}, \qquad q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{i-1} c^{n-i},$$

are penultimate and final convergents, respectively, of the simple continued fraction (having an odd number of terms) for p/q."

Reference

1. A. G. Schaake & J. C. Turner. New Methods for Solving Quadratic Diophantine Equations (Part I and Part II). Research Report No. 192, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Waikato, New Zealand, 1989.

Editorial comment: Please note that in the May 1992 issue of this quarterly, the first solution (A Triggy Problem), which is actually Problem 446, was erroneously identified as Problem 466.

SOLUTIONS

Sum Problem

- H-435 Proposed by Ratko Tošič, University of Novi Sad, Yugoslavia (Vol. 27, no. 5, November 1989)
 - (a) Prove that, for $n \ge 1$,

$$F_{n+1} + \sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n}} F_{n+1-i_k} F_{i_k-i_{k-1}} \cdots F_{i_2-i_1} F_{i_1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} {n+1 \choose 2k+1} \cdot 2^k,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the greatest integer $\leq x$.

(b) Prove that, for $n \ge 3$,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n}} (-1)^{n-k} F_{n-1-i_k} F_{i_k-i_{k-1}} \cdots F_{i_2-i_1} F_{i_1-2} \cdot 2^k$$

= $F_{n+3} + (-1)^{n+1} F_{n-3}$.

Solution by Y. H. Harris Kwong, SUNY College at Fredonia, Fredonia, NY

(a) Let S_n denote the sum on the left of the given identity. Note that S_n can be rewritten as $\sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k}$, where

1992]

377

$$S_{n,k} = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1} > 0 \\ j_1 + \dots + j_{k+1} = n+1}} F_{j_1} F_{j_2} \dots F_{j_{k+1}},$$

which is precisely the coefficient of x^{n+1} in

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i x_i\right)^{k+1} = \left(\frac{x}{1-x-x^2}\right)^{k+1}.$$

Therefore, S_n is the coefficient of x^{n+1} in

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{1-x-x^2} \right)^{k+1} = \frac{x}{1-2x-x^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+(1-\sqrt{2})x} - \frac{1}{1-(1-\sqrt{2})x} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(1+\sqrt{2})^n - (1-\sqrt{2})^n \right] x^n.$$

Hence, we conclude that

e conclude that

$$S_{n} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose j} \left[\sqrt{2}^{j} - (-\sqrt{2})^{j} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} {n+1 \choose 2k+1} 2^{k}$$

(b) Let T_n denote the sum on the left of the given identity, then

$$T_n = 2(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n T_{n,k},$$

where

$$T_{n,k} = \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_{k+1} \ge -1, j_2, \dots, j_k > 0 \\ j_1 + \dots + j_{k+1} = n-3}} F_{j_1} (-2F_{j_2}) \cdots (-2F_{j_k})F_{j_{k+1}},$$

which is exactly the coefficient of x^{n-3} in

$$\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} F_j x^j\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} -2F_i x^i\right)^{k-1} = \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{x}{1-x-x^2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{-2x}{1-x-x^2}\right)^{k-1}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} T_n x^n = 2x^3 \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{x}{1-x-x^2}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-2x}{1-x-x^2}\right)^{k-1}$$
$$= \frac{2x(1-x)^2}{(1-x-x^2)(1+x-x^2)} = \frac{2-3x}{1-x-x^2} - \frac{2-x}{1+x-x^2}$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{1}{1 + x - x^2} = \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha x)(1 + \beta x)} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha x} - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta x} \right],$$

where $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{1+x-x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \left[\alpha^{n+1} - \beta^{n+1}\right]}{\alpha - \beta} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n F_{n+1} x^n,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{2-3x}{1-x-x^2} - \frac{2-x}{1+x-x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(2F_{n+1} - 3F_n) + (-1)^{n+1} (2F_{n+1} + F_n) \right] x^n.$$

Therefore, we conclude that for $n \ge 0$,

$$T_n = (2F_{n+1} + F_n) + (-1)^{n+1}(2F_{n+1} - 3F_n) = F_{n+3} + (-1)^{n+1}F_{n-3}$$

Also solved by N. A. Volodin.

[Nov.

.

Mix and Match

H-454 Proposed by Larry Taylor, Rego Park, NY (Vol. 29, no. 2, May 1991)

Construct six distinct Fibonacci-Lucas identities such that

- (a) Each identity consists of three terms;
- (b) Each term is the product of two Fibonacci numbers;
- (c) Each subscript is either a Fibonacci or a Lucas number.

Solutions by Stanley Rabinowitz, Westford, MA

Solution Set 1

Here are six identities that meet the requested conditions, although they are probably not what the proposer intended:

 $F_{F_2} F_{F_n} + F_{F_3} F_{F_n} = F_{F_\mu} F_{F_n}$ $F_{F_2} F_{I_1} + F_{F_2} F_{I_{11}} = F_{F_1} F_{I_{11}}$ $F_{F_2} F_{F_1} + F_{F_1} F_{F_2} = F_{L_2} F_{F_1}$ $F_{F_2} F_{L_n} + F_{F_{\mu}} F_{L_n} = F_{L_2} F_{L_n}$ $F_{F_{4}} F_{F_{7}} + F_{L_{3}} F_{F_{7}} = F_{F_{5}} F_{F_{7}}$ $F_{F_{4}} F_{L_{n}} + F_{L_{3}} F_{L_{n}} = F_{F_{5}} F_{L_{n}}$

Solution Set 2

If numerical identities are acceptable, then we have the following identities (found by computer search):

> $F_2F_3 + F_4F_8 = F_5F_7$ $F_2F_8 + F_5F_{11} = F_3F_{13}$ $F_2F_{18} + F_5F_{11} = F_7F_{13}$ $F_3F_7 + F_4F_8 = F_2F_{11}$ $F_3F_{13} + F_8F_{18} = F_5F_{21}$ $F_5F_{21} + F_8F_{34} = F_{13}F_{29}$ $F_8F_{18} + F_{11}F_{21} = F_3F_{29}$ $F_{13}F_{29} + F_{18}F_{34} = F_5F_{47}$

where all the subscripts are distinct in each example.

Solution Set 3

The numerical identities in Solution Set 2 suggest the following identities involving one parameter, i:

 $\begin{cases} F_{F_{i+4}} \ F_{L_{i+1}} \ + \ F_{F_{i+2}} \ F_{L_{i+2}} \ = \ F_{E_i} \ F_{L_{i+3}} & \text{if } i \text{ is not divisible by 3} \\ F_{F_{i+4}} \ F_{L_{i+1}} \ = \ F_{F_{i+2}} \ F_{L_{i+2}} \ + \ F_{E_i} \ F_{L_{i+3}} & \text{if } 3 | i. \end{cases}$ We will prove these by proving the equivalent single condition:

 $F_{F_{i+4}} F_{L_{i+1}} - (-1)^{F_i} F_{F_{i+2}} F_{L_{i+2}} = F_{F_i} F_{L_{i+3}}.$ (1)

ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

To verify identity (1), we apply the known transformation

 $5F_m F_n = L_{m+n} - (-1)^n L_{m-n}$

$$L_{F_{i+4}+L_{i+1}} - (-1)^{L_{i+1}} L_{F_{i+4}-L_{i+1}} - (-1)^{F_i} [L_{F_{i+2}+L_{i+2}} - (-1)^{L_{i+2}} L_{F_{i+2}-L_{i+2}}] - L_{F_i+L_{i+3}} + (-1)^{L_{i+3}} L_{F_i-L_{i+3}} = 0.$$

This identity can be shown to be true because, of the six terms, it can be grouped into pairs of terms that cancel. Specifically,

- (2)
- (3)
- $(-1)^{F_i} L_{F_{i+2} + L_{i+2}} = (-1)^{L_{i+3}} L_{F_i L_{i+3}}$ (4)

Equation (2) follows from the identity

 $F_{i+4} + L_{i+1} = F_i + L_{i+3}$

which is straightforward to prove. To prove equation (3), we use the fact that $L_{-n} = (-1)^n L_n$, so that

 $L_{F_{i+2} - L_{i+2}} = L_{-F_{i+2} + L_{i+2}}$

since a simple parity argument shows that F_{i+2} - L_{i+2} is always even. Then we note that $F_i + L_{i+2} \equiv L_{i+1} \pmod{2}$, which also follows from a simple parity argument. Thus,

$$(-1)^{L_{i+1}} = (-1)^{F_i + L_{i+2}}$$

and we see that equation (3) is equivalent to

 $F_{i+4} - L_{i+1} = -F_{i+2} + L_{i+2},$

which we again leave as a simple exercise for the reader.

For equation (4), we have similarly that $F_i \equiv L_{i+3} \pmod{2}$, and hence equation (4) is equivalent to the easily proven

 $F_{i+2} + L_{i+2} = -F_i + L_{i+3},$

where again we note that F_i - L_{i+3} is always even. Finally, we note a second identity analogous to (1):

(5)
$$F_{F_{i+1}} F_{L_{i+1}} - (-1)^{F_i} F_{F_{i-1}} F_{F_{i+2}} = F_{F_i} F_{F_{i+3}}$$

whose proof is similar and is omitted.

Equations (1) and (5) appear to generate all the numerical examples I have found. If we let i have the forms 3k - 1, 3k, and 3k + 1, we get the six identities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F_{F_{3k+3}} & F_{L_{3k}} & + & F_{F_{3k+1}} & F_{L_{3k+1}} & = & F_{F_{3k-1}} & F_{L_{3k+2}} \\ F_{F_{3k+4}} & F_{L_{3k+1}} & = & F_{F_{3k+2}} & F_{L_{3k+2}} & + & F_{F_{3k}} & F_{L_{3k+3}} \\ F_{F_{3k+5}} & F_{L_{3k+2}} & + & F_{F_{3k+3}} & F_{L_{3k+3}} & = & F_{F_{3k+1}} & F_{L_{3k+4}} \\ F_{F_{3k}} & F_{L_{3k}} & + & F_{F_{3k-2}} & F_{F_{3k+1}} & = & F_{F_{3k+1}} & F_{F_{3k+2}} \\ F_{F_{3k+1}} & F_{L_{3k+1}} & = & F_{F_{3k-1}} & F_{F_{3k+2}} & + & F_{F_{3k}} & F_{F_{3k+3}} \\ F_{F_{3k+2}} & F_{L_{3k+2}} & + & F_{F_{3k}} & F_{F_{3k+3}} & = & F_{F_{3k+1}} & F_{F_{3k+4}} \\ \end{array}$$

which are probably the ones the proposer had in mind.

Also solved by P. Bruckman and the proposer.

380

Squared Magic

<u>H-455</u> Proposed by T. V. Padma Kumar, Trivandrum, South India (Vol. 29, no. 3, August 1991)

Characterize, as completely as possible, all "Magic Squares" of the form

<i>a</i> ₁	a2	a ₃	a4
b_1	b ₂	b ₃	b_4
c_1	c2	c ₃	c ₄
d_1	d2	d ₃	d_4

subject to the following constraints:

1. Rows, columns, and diagonals have the same sum

```
2. a_1 + a_4 + d_1 + d_4 = b_2 + b_3 + c_2 + c_3 = a_1 + b_1 + a_4 + b_4 = K
 3. c_1 + d_1 + c_4 + d_4 = a_2 + a_3 + b_2 + b_3 = c_2 + c_3 + d_2 + d_3 = K
 4. a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2 = c_1 + c_2 + d_1 + d_2 = a_3 + a_4 + b_3 + b_4 = K
 5. c_3 + c_4 + d_3 + d_4 = c_1 + d_2 + a_3 + b_4 = a_1 + a_2 + d_1 + d_2 = K
 6. a_3 + a_4 + d_3 + d_4 = b_1 + b_2 + c_1 + c_2 = b_3 + b_4 + c_3 + c_4 = K
7. a_2 + a_3 + d_2 + d_3 = b_1 + c_1 + b_4 + c_4 = K
 8. a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + a_2 + b_2 + a_3 = b_4 + c_3 + c_4 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 = 3K/2
 9. b_1 + c_1 + d_1 + c_2 + d_2 + d_3 = a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + b_3 + b_4 + c_4 = 3K/2
10. a_2^2 + a_3^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 = b_1^2 + c_1^2 + b_{\mu}^2 + c_{\mu}^2
11. c_1^2 + c_2^2 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 = a_3^2 + b_3^2 + a_4^2 + b_4^2
12. c_{2}^{2} + c_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2} + d_{1}^{2} = a_{1}^{2} + b_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} + b_{2}^{2}
13. a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2 = M
14. c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2 = M
15. a_1^2 + b_1^2 + c_1^2 + d_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_2^2 + c_2^2 + d_2^2 = M
16. a_3^2 + b_3^2 + c_3^2 + d_3^2 + a_{\mu}^2 + b_{\mu}^2 + c_{\mu}^2 + d_{\mu}^2 = M
17. a_1 + b_2 + c_3 + d_4 + d_1 + c_2 + b_3 + a_4 = b_1 + c_1 + a_2 + d_2 + a_3 + d_3 + b_4 + c_4
18. a_1a_2 + a_3a_4 + b_1b_2 + b_3b_4 = c_1c_2 + c_3c_4 + d_1d_2 + d_3d_4
19. a_1b_1 + c_1d_1 + a_2b_2 + c_2d_2 = a_3b_3 + c_3d_3 + a_4b_4 + c_4d_4
```

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Edmonds, WA

We first apply constraints 1-9 and 17, which are linear in nature. We find that these constraints are satisfied with 4 degrees of freedom, that is, with 4 of the 16 unknown quantities still undetermined. We may choose any 4 of the 16 quantities as arbitrary and determine the other 12 from these, so as to satisfy 1-9 and 17. For example, if we leave a_1, a_2, a_3 , and b_1 as arbitrary, our magic square will look as follows:

ADVANCED PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

<i>a</i> ₁	a ₂	a _{3 -}	k - a ₁ -a ₂ - a ₃
b ₁	$k - a_1 - a_2 - b_1$	$a_1 + b_1$ $-a_3$	a ₂ +a ₃ -b ₁
$\frac{k}{2} - a_3$	$a_1 + a_2$ $+a_3 - \frac{k}{2}$	$\frac{k}{2} - a_1$	$\frac{k}{2} - a_2$
$\frac{\frac{k}{2} - a_1}{-b_1 + a_3}$	$\frac{k}{2} - a_2$ $-a_3 + b_1$	$\frac{k}{2} - b_1$	$a_1 + a_2 + b_1 - \frac{k}{2}$

It is a tedious but trivial exercise to verify that the quantities shown above satisfy constraints 1-9 and 17, and also constraints 10-12, 18, and 19. As for constraints 13-16, we may also verify that these are satisfied by the above quantities, provided the following single condition holds:

(*)
$$M = 2k^2 - 2k(2a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + b_1) + 4b_1^2 + 4b_1(a_1 - a_3) + 4a_2(a_1 + a_3) + 4(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2).$$

The condition in (*) removes one additional degree of freedom, thereby leaving only 3 undetermined quantities, say a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 . If we require that the magic square's entries be *integers*, this imposes additional constraints on the entries, subject to the Diophantine solutions of (*). If, in addition, we require that the entries be *distinct*, further restrictions apply.

As may be shown, the corner entries of any 3×3 square contained within the large square must add up to k, as well as the corner entries of the large square itself. Moreover, the entries of any 2×2 square contained within the large square must total k.

An example which satisfies all 19 conditions (though not the condition that the entries be distinct) is the following, taking k = 18, M = 208, $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 = 3$, and $a_3 = 5$:

4	3	5	6
2	9	1	6
4	3	5	6
8	3	7	0

If we take k = 34, M = 748, $a_1 = 5$, $a_2 = 11$, $a_3 = 8$, we obtain a "conventional" magic square (where all entries are integers; in fact, the integers from 1-16). There are many such magic squares possible; this is only one such:

5	. 11	8	10
16	2	13	3
9	7	12	6
4	14	1	15

Also solved by the proposer.