OBTAINING DIVIDING FORMULAS n|Q(n) **FROM ITERATED MAPS**

Chyi-Lung Lin

Department of Physics, Soochow University, Taipei, Taiwan, 111, R.O.C. (Submitted May 1996-Final Revision October 1997)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we show that we may use iterated maps to understand and generate a dividing formula n|Q(n), where n is any positive integer. A well-known example of a dividing formula concerning Fibonacci numbers, for instance, is

$$n|(F_{n+1}+F_{n-1}-1), \tag{1.1}$$

where *n* is a prime and F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number.

We will show that from iterated maps we have a systematic way to construct functions Q(n) such that n|Q(n). In this paper, we show how to derive the above dividing formula from an iterated map. We also generalize the result to the case in which n is any positive integer and to the case of Fibonacci numbers of degree m. We begin with Theorem 2.1 below.

2. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM: n|N(n)|

where N(n) is the number of period-*n* points for the map.

Proof: If N(n) = 0, formula (2.1) is obvious. If $N(n) \neq 0$, then the orbit of a period-*n* point is an *n*-cycle containing *n* distinct period-*n* points. Since there are no common elements in any two distinct *n*-cycles, N(n) must be a multiple of *n*, i.e., n|N(n), and N(n)/n is an integer representing the number of *n*-cycles for the map.

As a consequence of this fundamental theorem, each iterated map, in principle, offers a desired Q(n) function such that n|Q(n), where Q(n) = N(n), the number of period-*n* points of an iterated map. Therefore, we have an additional way to understand the dividing formula n|Q(n) from the point of view of iterated maps.

3. THE N(n) OF AN ITERATED MAP

For a general discussion, we consider a map f(x) in some interval. The fixed points of f are determined from the formula

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{3.1}$$

The number of fixed points for f can be determined from the number of intersections of the curve y = f(x) with the diagonal line y = x in the interval. We define $f^{[n]}(x)$ for the n^{th} iterate of x for f, then $f^{[n]}(x) \equiv f(f^{[n-1]}(x))$. We should distinguish a fixed point of $f^{[n]}$, and a period-n point of f. The fixed points of $f^{[n]}$ are determined from the formula $f^{[n]}(x) = x$; however, the period-n points of f are determined from the following two equations:

(2.1)

$$f^{[n]}(x) = x, (3.2)$$

$$f^{[i]}(x) \neq x \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n-1.$$
 (3.3)

We need (3.3) because an x satisfying only (3.2) is not necessarily a period-*n* point of *f*, since it could be a fixed point of *f* or, in general, a period-*m* point of *f*, where m < n and m|n. Formulas (3.2) and (3.3) together ensure that x is a period-*n* point of *f*. Then N(n) represents the number of points satisfying both (3.2) and (3.3). We let $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ represent the number of points satisfying only (3.2); hence, $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ represents the number of fixed points for $f^{[n]}$. Accordingly, we have

$$N_{\Sigma}(n) = \sum_{d|n} N(d),$$

where the sum is over all the divisors of n (including 1 and n). $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ is simply determined from intersections of the curve $y = f^{[n]}(x)$ with the diagonal line. Therefore, what we obtain directly from an iterated map is not N(n) but $N_{\Sigma}(n)$. We need a reverse formula expressing N(n) in terms of $N_{\Sigma}(d)$. This has already been done, because we know the following two formulas from [2] and [7]:

$$N_{\Sigma}(n) = \sum_{d|n} N(d), \qquad (3.4)$$

and

$$N(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) N_{\Sigma}(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) N_{\Sigma}(n/d),$$
(3.5)

where $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function. $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ is called the *Möbius transform* of N(n), and N(n) is the *inverse Möbius transform* of $N_{\Sigma}(n)$. Hence, after calculating the $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ of an iterated map, we obtain a dividing formula n|N(n) from (3.5). There are examples of iterated maps for which $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ are calculated (see [1], [5]). We summarize these in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1: For an iterated map,

$$n|N(n), \text{ with } N(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) N_{\Sigma}(d)$$
 (3.6)

and, especially,

$$n|(N_{\Sigma}(n) - N_{\Sigma}(1))$$
 for *n* a prime. (3.7)

4. APPLICATIONS

We consider the $B(\mu; x)$ map defined by

$$B(\mu, x) = \begin{cases} \mu x & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 1/2, \\ \mu(x - 1/2) & \text{for } 1/2 < x \le 1, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where μ is the parameter whose value is restricted to the range $0 \le \mu \le 2$ so that an x in the interval [0, 1] is mapped to the same interval. We now have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1: Line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu, x)$ are all parallel with slope μ^n .

Proof: In the beginning, for a given μ , $B(\mu; x)$ contains two parallel line segments with slope μ . From (4.1), we see that each line segment will multiply its slope by a factor μ after one iteration. Q.E.D.

1998]

119

We consider the following cases.

4.1 The Case in Which x = 1/2 Is a Period-2 Point

If x = 1/2 is a period-2 point of the $B(\mu)$ map, it requires that $B^2(\mu, 1/2) = 1/2$. This then requires that $\mu > 1$ and $\mu^2 - \mu - 1 = 0$. Solving this, we have $\mu = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.618$, the wellknown golden mean. We denote this μ by Σ_2 , indicating that for this parameter value x = 1/2 is a period-2 point. To obtain $N_{\Sigma}(n)$, we need to count the number of line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ that intersect the diagonal line. Detailed discussions of this map can be seen in [3] and [4]. Briefly, we see that starting from $x_0 = 1/2$, we have a 2-cycle, $\{x_0, x_1\}$, where $x_1 = \mu/2$. It follows that there are two types of line segments. We denote by L the type of line segments connecting points $(x_a, 0)$ and $(x_b, \mu/2)$ with $0 \le x_a < x_b \le 1$, and denote by S the type of line segments connecting points $(x_c, 0)$ and $(x_d, 1/2)$ with $0 \le x_c < x_d \le 1$. Since $x_0 \to x_1$ and $x_1 \to x_0$ under an iteration, it follows that the behavior of line segments of these two types under iteration is

$$L \to L + S \quad \text{and} \quad S \to L.$$
 (4.2)

Using the symbols L and S, we see that the graph of $B(\mu)$ contains two L. (4.2) shows how the number of line segments increases under the action of iteration. Let L(n) and S(n) be the number of line segments of type L and S in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$, respectively. (4.2) shows simply that each L is from previous L and S, so L(n) = L(n-1) + S(n-1), and each S is from previous L, so S(n) = L(n-1). From these, we conclude that L(n) = L(n-1) + L(n-2) and S(n) = S(n-1) + S(n-2). That is, L(n) and S(n) are both the type of sequences of which each element is the sum of its previous two elements. Starting with an L, according to (4.2), the orbit of which is

$$L \rightarrow LS \rightarrow 2LS \rightarrow 3L2S \rightarrow 5L3S \rightarrow 8L5S \rightarrow \cdots$$

we easily see that $L(n) = F_n$ and $S(n) = F_{n-1}$. In conclusion, starting from an L, under the action of iteration there are F_n (L-type) and S(n) (S-type) parallel line segments generated in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$. We will use this result. $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ is then determined from the number of intersections of these line segments with the diagonal line.

Consider first the S-type line segments. We note that all S-type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ are parallel and can be divided into two parts, one part in the range $0 \le x \le 1/2$ and the other in the range $1/2 < x \le 1$. We easily see that each line segment in the range $0 \le x \le 1/2$ intersects the diagonal line once, and others in the range $1/2 < x \le 1$ cannot intersect the diagonal line. The original line segment in the range $0 \le x \le 1/2$ is an L, so the number of S-type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range is $S(n) = F_{n-1}$.

Consider next the L-type line segment. Similarly, line segments of this type in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ are parallel, and each of those that is in the range $0 \le x \le \mu/2$ intersects the diagonal line once. Others in the range $\mu/2 \le x \le 1$ cannot intersect the diagonal line. We divide the range $0 \le x \le \mu/2$ into $0 \le x \le 1/2$ and $1/2 < x \le \mu/2$. The original line segment in the range $0 \le x \le 1/2$ is an L, so the number of L-type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range is $L(n) = F_n$. Next, the original line segment in the range $1/2 < x \le \mu/2$ is an S. After one iteration, $S \to L$; the L in the right-hand side, after n-1 iterations, generates all the L-type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range, the number of which is therefore $L(n-1) = F_{n-1}$.

In all, the total number of intersections of line segments of types L and S with the diagonal line is thus $F_{n-1} + F_n + F_{n-1} = F_{n+1} + F_{n-1}$. We conclude that

$$N_{\Sigma}(n) = F_{n+1} + F_{n-1} = F_n + 2F_{n-1}.$$
(4.3)

 $N_{\Sigma}(n)$ is, in fact, the Lucas number L_n . From (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$n|N(n), \text{ with } N(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)(F_{n+1} + F_{n-1})$$
 (4.4)

and

$$n|(F_{n+1}+F_{n-1}-1)|$$
, for *n* a prime. (4.5)

Formula (4.5) is also a known result [6]; however, we see that it is traceable from the point of view of iterated maps.

For *n* a composite number, (4.4) offers additional relations for Fibonacci numbers or Lucas numbers. This result seems to be a new one. Consider, as a simple example, taking n = 12; since N(12) = 300, we can easily check that 12|300.

4.2 The Case in Which x = 1/2 Is a Period-*m* Point

We now consider the general case in which x = 1/2 is a period-*m* point. In general, there are many values of μ in the range $0 \le \mu \le 2$ such that x = 1/2 is a period-*m* point. If there are *k* such parameter values, we denote these by $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \cdots < \mu_k \equiv \Sigma_m$. We will choose the largest one as the parameter value, i.e., $\mu = \Sigma_m$. It follows that Σ_m satisfies the equation:

$$\mu^m - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu^i = 0.$$
(4.6)

For instance, we have $\Sigma_3 \cong 1.839$, $\Sigma_4 \cong 1.9276$. We refer the reader to [4] for details. Briefly, we see that, starting from $x_0 = 1/2$, we have an *m*-cycle, $\{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1}\}$, where $x_i = f^{[i]}(x_0)$ is the *i*th iterate of x_0 . It is convenient also to define $x_m = x_0$. We have, for instance, $x_1 = (1/2)\mu$, and from (4.6) we have $x_1 = (1/2)(1+1/\mu+1/\mu^2 + \dots + 1/\mu^{m-1})$. We list all the values of x_i in this way:

$$x_{1} = (1/2)(1+1/\mu+1/\mu^{2} + \dots + 1/\mu^{m-1}),$$

$$x_{2} = (1/2)(1+1/\mu+1/\mu^{2} + \dots + 1/\mu^{m-2}),$$

$$\dots,$$

$$x_{m-2} = (1/2)(1+1/\mu+1/\mu^{2}),$$

$$x_{m-1} = (1/2)(1+1/\mu),$$

$$x_{m} = x_{0} = 1/2.$$
(4.7)

We see that $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \cdots > x_{m-1} > x_m$. It follows that there are *m* types of line segments. We denote by L_i the type of line segments connecting points $(x_a, 0)$ and (x_b, x_i) , where $0 \le x_a < x_b \le 1$ and $1 \le i \le m$. The behavior of line segments of these types after one iteration is

$$L_1 \rightarrow L_1 + L_2,$$

$$L_2 \rightarrow L_1 + L_3,$$

$$\dots,$$

$$L_{m-1} \rightarrow L_1 + L_m,$$
(4.8)

and

$$L_m \to L_1.$$
 (4.9)

121

1998]

We note that L_m (or L_0) is the only type of line segment that does not break into two line segments after one iteration. The original graph of $B(\mu)$ contains two L_1 . Equations (4.8) and (4.9) show how the number of line segments increases under the action of iteration. Let $L_i(n)$ be the number of line segments of type L_i in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$, then (4.8) and (4.9) show that

$$L_{2}(n) = L_{1}(n-1),$$

$$L_{3}(n) = L_{2}(n-1) = L_{1}(n-2),$$

$$L_{4}(n) = L_{3}(n-1) = L_{1}(n-3),$$

...,

$$L_{m}(n) = L_{m-1}(n-1) = L_{1}(n-m+1),$$

or

$$L_j(n) = L_{j-1}(n-1) = L_1(n-j+1), \text{ for } 2 \le j \le m,$$
 (4.10)

and especially,

$$L_1(n) = \sum_{j=1}^m L_j(n-1) = \sum_{j=1}^m L_1(n-j).$$
(4.11)

It follows that all these $L_i(n)$ are sequences of the following type:

$$L_{i}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{i}(n-j), \quad 1 \le i \le m,$$
(4.12)

i.e., each element of which is the sum of its previous *m* elements. Starting with an L_1 , according to (4.8) and (4.9), the orbit of L_1 is $L_1 \rightarrow L_1 L_2 \rightarrow 2L_1 L_2 L_3 \rightarrow \cdots$. We see that $L_1(n) = F_n^{(m)}$, the Fibonacci numbers of degree *m*, whose definition is

$$F_n^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m F_{n-i}^{(m)},$$

with the first *m* elements defined by

$$F_1^{(m)} = 1$$
 and $F_i^{(m)} = 2^{i-2}$ for $2 \le i \le m$. (4.13)

Conventionally, we define $F_i^{(m)} = 0$ for $j \le 0$.

We conclude that, starting from an L_1 , we have $L_1(n) = F_n^{(m)}$ and, in general, $L_i(n) = F_{n-i+1}^{(m)}$, where $1 \le i \le m$. In order to discuss the number of intersections of these line segments with the diagonal line, we need to know, starting from an L_a -type line segment, how many L_b -type line segments there are in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$. We let $L_b(n, L_a)$ represent the number of L_b -type line segments generated after *n* iterations of a starting line segment L_a . Using this notation, we have

$$L_b(n, L_1) = F_{n-b+1}^{(m)}, \tag{4.14}$$

and we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2: $L_b(n, L_a) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-a} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}$, where $1 \le a, b \le m$.

Proof: We will prove this theorem by induction. We start from a line segment L_a and calculate the number of L_b -type line segments generated after *n* iterations of L_a . Consider first $L_a = L_m$. To calculate $L_b(n, L_m)$, we note that after one iteration we have $L_m \rightarrow L_1$. The L_1 in

[MAY

the right-hand side, after n-1 iterations, generates all the L_b -type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range, the number of which is $L_b(n-1, L_1)$. Using (4.14), we conclude that

$$L_b(n, L_m) = L_b(n-1, L_1) = F_{n-b}^{(m)} = \sum_{s=0}^{m-a} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}, \text{ where } a = m.$$
 (4.15)

Consider next $L_a = L_{m-1}$. After one iteration, $L_{m-1} \rightarrow L_1 + L_m$. The L_1 and L_m in the right-hand side, after n-1 iterations, generate all the L_b -type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range, the number of which is $L_b(n-1, L_1) + L_b(n-1, L_m)$. Using (4.14) and (4.15), we conclude that

$$L_b(n, L_a) = L_b(n-1, L_1) + L_b(n-1, L_m)$$

= $F_{n-b}^{(m)} + F_{n-b-1}^{(m)} = \sum_{s=0}^{m-a} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}$, where $a = m-1$. (4.16)

We can now prove the general case by induction. Consider $L_a = L_{m-i}$, and suppose that

$$L_b(n, L_a) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-a} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}, \text{ where } a = m-i.$$
(4.17)

Now consider $L_a = L_{m-i-1}$. After one iteration, $L_{m-i-1} \rightarrow L_1 + L_{m-i}$. The L_1 and L_{m-i} in the righthand side, after n-1 iterations, generates all the L_b -type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range, the number of which is $L_b(n-1, L_1) + L_b(n-1, L_{m-i})$. Using (4.14) and (4.16), we conclude that

$$L_b(n, L_a) = L_b(n-1, L_1) + L_b(n-1, L_{m-i})$$

= $F_{n-b}^{(m)} + \sum_{s=0}^{i} F_{n-b-s-1}^{(m)} = \sum_{s=0}^{m-a} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}$, where $a = m-i-1$. Q.E.D. (4.18)

With Theorem 4.2 established, we can now discuss the intersections of line segments of these *m*-types in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ with the diagonal line.

We consider line segments of type L_b in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$. All these line segments are parallel and can be divided into two parts; one part is in the range $0 \le x \le x_b$, the other is in the range $x_b < x \le 1$. We easily see that each line segment of those in the range $0 \le x \le x_b$ intersects the diagonal line once and others in the range $x_b < x \le 1$ cannot intersect the diagonal line. We divide the range $0 \le x \le x_b$ into $0 \le x \le 1/2$ and $1/2 < x \le x_b$.

Consider first the range $0 \le x \le 1/2$. The original line segment in this range is an L_1 . The number of line segments of type L_b in $B^{[n]}(\mu, x)$ in this range is $L_b(n, L_1) = F_{n-b+1}^{(m)}$.

Consider next the range $1/2 < x \le x_b$. The original line segment in the range $1/2 < x \le x_b$ is L_{b+1} . The number of L_b -type line segments in $B^{[n]}(\mu)$ in this range is $L_b(n, L_{b+1}) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-b-1} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}$.

Therefore, the total number of intersections of these m-type line segments with the diagonal line is

$$\sum_{b=1}^{m} \left[F_{n-b+1}^{(m)} + \sum_{s=0}^{m-b-1} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)} \right] = \sum_{b=1}^{m} F_{n-b+1}^{(m)} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{m-b-1} F_{n-b-s}^{(m)}$$
$$= \sum_{b=0}^{m-1} F_{n-b}^{(m)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k F_{n-k}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (k+1) F_{n-k}^{(m)}$$
(4.19)

$$\equiv L_n^{(m)}.\tag{4.20}$$

1998]

123

 $L_n^{(m)}$, defined above, may be called the Lucas numbers of degree *m*, whose definition is

$$L_n^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^m L_{n-j}^{(m)}$$
, with the first *m* elements defined by

$$L_i^{(m)} = 2^i - 1, \quad 1 \le i \le m.$$
(4.21)

We then have the final results:

$$N_{\Sigma}(n) = L_n(m), \qquad (4.22)$$

$$n|N(n), \text{ with } N(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) L_n^{(m)},$$
 (4.23)

and

$$n|(L_n^{(m)}-1), \text{ for } n \text{ a prime.}$$
 (4.24)

5. CONCLUSIONS

Many N(n) such that n|N(n) can be obtained in this way for other iterated maps. In principle, infinite N(n) can be obtained, since each iterated map contributes an N(n). It seems that the existence of dividing formulas is not so rare and not so mysterious.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank the anonymous referee for a very thorough reading of the manuscript and for pointing out some errors. I also thank Professors Leroy Jean-Pierre, Yuan-Tsun Liu, and Chih-Chy Fwu for stimulating discussions and offering many valuable suggestions. I would also like to express my gratitude to the National Science Council of the Republic of China for support under NSC Grant Nos. 82-0208-m-031-014 and 85-2112-m-031-001.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. Gulick. Encounters with Chaos, pp. 36-37. Singapore: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1992.
- 2. Loo-Keng Hua. Introduction to Number Theory, Ch. 6. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- 3. Chyi-Lung Lin. "On Triangular and Baker's Maps with Golden Mean as the Parameter Value." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 34.5 (1996):423-35.
- Chyi-Lung Lin. "Generalized Fibonacci Sequences and the Triangular Map." Chin. J. Phys. 32 (1994):467-77.
- 5. E. Ott. Chaos in Dynamical Systems, p. 29. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- 6. A. Rotkiewicz. "Problems on Fibonacci Numbers and Their Generalizations." In *Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications* 1:241-55. Ed. A. N. Philippou, G. E. Bergum, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: D. Reidel, 1986.
- 7. W. Sierpinski. *Elementary Theory of Numbers*, Ch. 4. Warsaw: PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, 1988.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B83, 11B39, 11A99
