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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1947, Fine [1] proved that almost all binomial coefficients are even. That is, if $T_{2}(n)$ is the number of odd binomial coefficients $\binom{m}{k}$ with $0 \leq k \leq m<n$, then $T_{2}(n)=o\left(n^{2}\right)$. In particular, since the total number of such binomial coefficients is $1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{1}{2} n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n$, the proportion of odd coefficients tends to 0 with $n$. In 1977, Harborth [3] improved this estimate to

$$
.812556 n^{\log _{2} 3} \leq T_{2}(n) \leq n^{\log _{2} 3},
$$

and although the best constant in the lower bound has been calculated to great accuracy [2], its exact value is still unknown. The behavior of $T_{2}(n)$ and its generalizations to $T_{p}(n)$ for prime $p$ have also been studied by Howard [4], Singmaster [6], Stein [7], and Volodin [8]. In the following definitions, let $\binom{0}{0}=1$. For any prime $p$, it is convenient to let $P=\binom{p+1}{2}, \theta_{p}=\log _{p} P$, and to let $S_{p}(n)$ denote the number of binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{r}$ that are not divisible by $p$. Then

$$
T_{p}(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{p}(k)
$$

is the number of binomial coefficients in the first $n$ rows of Pascal's triangle that are not divisible by $p$. It is known (see [3] and [7]) that the quotient $R_{p}(n)=T_{p}(n) / n^{\theta_{p}}$ is bounded above by $\alpha_{p}=\sup _{n \geq 1} R_{p}(n)=1$ and below by $\beta_{p}=\inf _{n \geq 1} R_{p}(n)$. The $\beta_{p}$ tend to $\frac{1}{2}$ with $p$ [2], but to this point no exact values for $\beta_{p}$ have been found.

## 2. THE CASE $\boldsymbol{p}=3$

Henceforth, the terms $\theta, R, S, T$ shall denote $\theta_{3}, R_{3}, S_{3}, T_{3}$, respectively. Also, let

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} 3^{r_{i}}
$$

be $n$ 's base-three representation, where each $a_{i}=1$ or 2 and $r_{1}>r_{2}>\cdots>r_{k} \geq 0$. We list the first few values of $S(n), T(n)$, and $R(n)$ in Table 1.

We shall confirm a conjecture of Volodin [8], namely that $\inf _{n \geq 1} R(n)=2^{\log _{3} 2-1}=.77428$. The fractal nature of Pascal's triangle modulo 3 implies (see [5], Cor. 2, p. 367) the following recursive formula for $T$ :

$$
T\left(a \cdot 3^{s}+b\right)=\frac{1}{2} a(a+1) 6^{s}+(a+1) T(b) \text { for } a=1 \text { or } 2, b<3^{s} .
$$

It follows by iteration that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} 3^{r_{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right) 6^{r_{i}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $n$ | $S(n)$ | $T(n)$ | $R(n)$ | $n$ | $S(n)$ | $T(n)$ | $R(n)$ | $n$ | $S(n)$ | $T(n)$ | $R(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 10 | 4 | 38 | .88890 | 20 | 9 | 117 | .88368 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 42 | .84103 | 21 | 6 | 126 | .87887 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | .96864 | 12 | 4 | 48 | .83401 | 22 | 8 | 132 | .85345 |
| 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 52 | .79294 | 23 | 18 | 144 | .86592 |
| 4 | 4 | 8 | .83401 | 14 | 12 | 60 | .81077 | 24 | 9 | 162 | .87887 |
| 5 | 6 | 12 | .86938 | 15 | 6 | 72 | .86938 | 25 | 18 | 171 | .89754 |
| 6 | 3 | 18 | .96864 | 16 | 18 | 78 | .84773 | 26 | 27 | 189 | .93055 |
| 7 | 6 | 21 | .87887 | 17 | 12 | .96 | .94514 | 27 | 2 | 216 | 1 |
| 8 | 9 | 27 | .90884 | 18 | 3 | 108 | .96864 | 40 | 16 | 320 | .78037 |
| 9 | 2 | 36 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 111 | .91152 | 121 | 32 | 1936 | .77630 |

## 3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 1: The number of binomial coefficients $\binom{m}{k}, k \leq m<n$, that are not divisible by 3 is bounded below by $2^{\log _{3} 2-1} n^{\log _{3} 6}$ and this bound is sharp.

Proof: Let the two sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ be defined by

$$
x_{i}=3^{r_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{i}=a_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{\theta}}, 1 \leq i \leq k .
$$

We apply Hölder's inequality to the sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ with the conjugate exponents $\theta=\log _{3} 6$ and $\theta^{\prime}=\log _{2} 6$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} y_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta^{\prime}}}, \\
n \leq\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\{3^{r_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\{a_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{\theta^{\prime}}}\right\}^{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta^{\prime}}}, \\
n^{\theta} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} 6^{r_{i}} \frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{\theta^{\prime}}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{i}\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{-\frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\theta}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^{\prime}}}, \\
R(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\left[\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{-\frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\theta}}\right)^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta^{\prime}}} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $v=\theta^{\prime} / \theta=\log _{2} 3=1.58496$ and let

$$
U_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\left[\left(a_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right]^{-\nu} .
$$

Note that $U_{k}=f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ f_{3} \circ \cdots \circ f_{k}(0)$, where

$$
f_{i}(x)=\frac{x+a_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+1\right)^{v}}
$$

Each $f_{i}$ is one of the two increasing functions $\frac{x+1}{3}$ or $\frac{x+2}{3^{v}}$ and $U_{k}$ will be maximized when each $a_{i}$ is chosen to maximize $f_{i}$. For a given $x$, we find that $\frac{x+1}{3}>\frac{x+2}{3^{v}}$ (i.e., $a_{i}=1$ ) if and only if $x>.109253$. So, for $x=0, f_{k}(0)$ is maximized when $a_{k}=2$. For $i<k, f_{i}(x)$ is maximized when $a_{i}=1$ since $x$ will now be in the range of $f_{i}$ and, hence, $\geq \frac{1}{3}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{k} & \leq \frac{1}{2^{v}}+\frac{1}{2^{2 v}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2^{(k-1) v}}+\frac{2}{2^{(k-1) v} \cdot 3^{v}} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{3^{k-1}}+\frac{2}{3^{k-1} \cdot 3^{v}}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\frac{1}{2}-2 \cdot 3^{-v}}{3^{k-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { since } \frac{1}{2}-2 \cdot 3^{-v}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (2) we have, for all $n$,

$$
R(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(U_{k}\right)^{-\frac{1}{v}}>\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{v}}=2^{\log _{3} 2-1}
$$

whence

$$
\beta_{3} \geq\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{v}}=2^{\log _{3} 2-1}
$$

We now consider numbers of the form $1+3+3^{2}+3^{3}+\cdots+3^{k}$. It follows from (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(1+3+3^{2}+3^{3}+\cdots+3^{k}\right) & =\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \cdot 6^{k}+2^{2} \cdot 6^{k-1}+\cdots+2^{k+1}\right)}{\left(1+3+3^{2}+3^{3}+\cdots+3^{k}\right)^{\log _{3} 6}} \\
& =\frac{2^{k}\left(3^{k}+3^{k-1}+\cdots+1\right)}{\left(\frac{3^{k+1}-1}{2}\right)^{\log _{3} 6}}=\frac{2^{k}}{\left(\frac{3^{k+1}-1}{2}\right)^{\log _{3} 2}}=\frac{2^{k+1}}{\left(3^{k+1}-1\right)^{\log _{3} 2}} \cdot 2^{\log _{3} 2-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} R\left(1+3+3^{2}+3^{3}+\cdots+3^{k}\right)=2^{\log _{3} 2-1}$.
Hence, $\beta_{3} \leq 2^{\log _{3} 2-1}$. This implies $\beta_{3}=2^{\log _{3} 2-1}$ and $T(n)>2^{\log _{3} 2-1} n^{\log _{3} 6}$, the desired result. Note that $n$ and $T(n)$ are integers, so there is strict inequality.

The proof of Theorem 1 works because the sequence $\{1,1,1, \ldots\}$ that minimizes $R(n)$ gives rise to sequences $x_{i}, y_{i}$ for which equality holds in Hölder's inequality. This does not occur for $p \neq 3$, so the proof does not extend to other primes.
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