

UNIQUENESS OF REPRESENTATIONS BY MORGAN-VOYCE NUMBERS

A. F. Horadam

The University of New England, Armidale, Australia 2351

(Submitted June 1998)

1. MORGAN-VOYCE NUMBERS

Consider the recurrence

$$X_{n+2} = 3X_{n+1} - X_n \tag{1.1}$$

with

$$X_0 = a, X_1 = b \quad (a, b \text{ integers}). \tag{1.2}$$

Morgan-Voyce numbers B_n, b_n , and their related numbers C_n, c_n are then generated according to the following scheme in which F_n, L_n symbolize the n^{th} Fibonacci and n^{th} Lucas numbers, respectively:

	X_n	a	b	$X_n = F_m, L_m$	
(B)	B_n	0	1	F_{2n}	
(b)	b_n	1	1	F_{2n-1}	(1.3)
(C)	C_n	2	3	L_{2n}	
(c)	c_n	-1	1	L_{2n-1}	

Readers are encouraged to determine the first few members of each of these sequences. In particular, $\{B_n\} = 0, 1, 3, 8, 21, 55, \dots$

The sets of numbers (1.3) are special cases of the corresponding sets of polynomials $B_n(x), b_n(x), C_n(x), c_n(x)$ [2] when $x = 1$.

2. REPRESENTATIONS BY B_n

Next, consider the representation of positive integers N by means of B_n :

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i \quad (\alpha_i = 0, 1, 2). \tag{2.1}$$

Of special interest is the case as in [3] in which all the α_i in (2.1) are 1, giving rise to the numbers 1, 4, 12, 33, ..., i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n B_i = F_{2n+1} - 1. \tag{2.2}$$

A *minimal representation* is indicated in the abbreviated table (Table 1) in which an empty space signifies 0 (zero). This table has already appeared in [3]. An essential feature of this representation proved in [3] is that no two successive terms in the summation have coefficient 2.

TABLE 1. Minimal Representation for $\{B_n\} : n = 1, 2, 3, 4$

N	$\{B_1$	B_2	B_3	B_4	N	$\{B_1$	B_2	B_3	B_4	N	$\{B_1$	B_2	B_3	B_4
	1	3	8	21		1	3	8	21		1	3	8	21
1	1				13	2	1	1		24		1		1
2	2				14		2	1		25	1	1		1
3		1			15	1	2	1		26	2	1		1
4	1	1			16			2		27		2		1
5	2	1			17	1		2		28	1	2		1
6		2			18	2		2		29			1	1
7	1	2			19		1	2		30	1		1	1
8			1		20	1	1	2		31	2		1	1
9	1		1		21				1	32		1	1	1
10	2		1		22	1			1	33	1	1	1	1
11		1	1		23	2			1	34	2	1	1	1
12	1	1	1							35		2	1	1

Is this representation unique?

Write S_k for the set of digits 0, 1, 2 of length k in the representation. Let

$$\begin{cases} N_k^{\min} &= \text{the smallest integer in } S_k, \\ N_k^{\max} &= \text{the largest integer in } S_k, \\ R_k &= \text{the range of integers in } S_k, \\ I_k &= \text{the number of integers in } S_k. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Then we readily construct the following scheme (Table 2).

TABLE 2. B_n Representation Summary

k	S_k	R_k	N_k^{\min}	N_k^{\max}	I_k
1	S_1	1, 2	B_1	$B_2 - 1$	$2 = F_3$
2	S_2	3, ..., 7	B_2	$B_3 - 1$	$5 = F_5$
3	S_3	8, ..., 20	B_3	$B_4 - 1$	$13 = F_7$
4	S_4	21, ..., 54	B_4	$B_5 - 1$	$34 = F_9$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
k	S_k	$F_{2k}, \dots, F_{2k+2} - 1$	$B_k = F_{2k}$	$B_{k+1} - 1 = F_{2k+2} - 1$	F_{2k+1}

Clearly, $I_k = N_k^{\max} - N_k^{\min} + 1 = F_{2k+2} - F_{2k} = F_{2k+1}$.

In each block of length k in Table 1,

$$\begin{cases} \text{the smallest number is necessarily } (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1), \text{ and} \\ \text{the largest number is necessarily } (0, 0, 0, \dots, 2). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 1: $B_n \leq N \leq B_{n+1} - 1$.

E.g., $B_8 (= 987) \leq N = 1000 \leq B_9 - 1 (= 2583)$.

Lemma 2: k is uniquely determined by N .

E.g., $N = 1000 \Rightarrow k = 5$.

Combining the above information, we deduce that

Theorem 1: Every positive integer N has a unique representation of the form

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i B_i,$$

where [3] two successive values α_i, α_{i+1} cannot both be 2.

The distinctive pattern fixed in Tables 1 and 2 determines the uniqueness of the representation.

A tabular schedule similar to that in Table 1 (but suppressed here for the sake of brevity) ought now to be constructed for maximal representations by B_n . The embargo on the appearance of two successive coefficients in the summation with the value 2, as in the enunciation of Theorem 1, naturally does not apply for maximality. A fixed pattern of the coefficients emerges in the tabulation of maximal representations for B_n , leading to the conviction that the maximal representation is unique. Where this situation differs from that, say, for Pell numbers [1], is that, while (2.2) in which all coefficients are 1 is there common to both minimal and maximal representations, other summations here are common to both which do not belong to (2.2), e.g., $5 = 2B_1 + B_2$. Also see [3] in this context.

3. OTHER REPRESENTATIONS

(i) C_n (lacunary)

Coming now to the companion number set $\{C_n\} = 2, 3, 7, 18, 47, \dots$ to $\{B_n\}$, i.e., (1.3)(C), we find that the even tenor of our progress is disrupted. For a start, $C_0 = 2, C_1 = 3$, so that there is no possible representation of 1 (unity). Thus, any representation is necessarily *lacunary*. It is no good appealing to C_{-1} as an accommodating adjunct to the set $\{C_n\}$ since $C_{-1} = 3$ (indeed, $C_{-n} = C_n$).

Because of this hiatus, there is also no member in the pattern of the minimal representation of, say, 8 though it can be represented maximally as $8 = 2C_0 + 2C_1$, in which there occur two successive coefficients equal to 2. Except for the lacuna at $N = 1$, the potentially fixed minimal pattern is negated in a regular way at $C_n = 1, n \geq 2$. The nature of the representation is therefore hybrid.

(ii) b_n

Turning now to the Morgan-Voyce numbers $\{b_n\} : 1, 2, 5, 13, 34, \dots$, we encounter a similar set of circumstances to those for $\{B_n\}$. Arguments paralleling those employed in the previous section are likewise applicable to this context. Analogously to Table 1, a *minimal representation* table may be constructed (an entertaining and instructive pastime). As for B_n , the proscription of two successive coefficients equal to 2 in a minimal representation applies here also.

For comparison with the Table 2 Summary for B_n , we here append a Summary (Table 3) for b_n , in which non-capital symbols correspond to the capital symbols specified in (2.3).

TABLE 3. b_n Representation Summary

k	s_k	r_k	n_k^{\min}	n_k^{\max}	i_k
1	s_1	1	b_1	$b_2 - 1$	F_2
2	s_2	2, ..., 4	b_2	$b_3 - 1$	F_4
3	s_3	5, ..., 12	b_3	$b_4 - 1$	F_6
4	s_4	13, ..., 33	b_4	$b_5 - 1$	F_8
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
k	s_k	$F_{2k-1}, \dots, F_{2k+1} - 1$	b_k	$b_{k+1} - 1$	F_{2k}

Observe that, by (1.3), $i_k = (b_{k+1} - 1) - (b_k) + 1 = b_{k+1} - b_k = F_{2k+1} - F_{2k-1} = F_{2k}$. Uniqueness of the minimal representation is determined by the fixedness of the pattern.

(iii) c_n

Some initial comfort is offered here by the fact that $1 = c_1$, $2 = 2c_1$. But to represent the number 3, we need to revert to the subterfuge of including $-1 = c_{-1}$ ($c_{-n} = c_n$ in fact) in our set $\{c_n\}$. This implies that a representation exists which is non-lacunary. There is a purposefulness about the coefficients which then suggests minimality and uniqueness.

4. CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS

Write

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \quad (2.2), \quad \mathbf{b}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i, \quad \mathcal{C}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i, \quad \mathbf{c}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i.$$

Then we discover the following schedule (cf. (1.3)):

	Fibonacci Equivalence	Recurrence Relation
\mathcal{B}_n	$F_{2n+1} - 1$	$\mathcal{B}_{n+2} = 3\mathcal{B}_{n+1} - \mathcal{B}_n - 1$
\mathbf{b}_n	F_{2n}	$\mathbf{b}_{n+2} = 3\mathbf{b}_{n+1} - \mathbf{b}_n$
\mathcal{C}_n	$L_{2n+1} - 1$	$\mathcal{C}_{n+2} = 3\mathcal{C}_{n+1} - \mathcal{C}_n - 1$
\mathbf{c}_n	$L_{2n} - 2$	$\mathbf{c}_{n+2} = 3\mathbf{c}_{n+1} - \mathbf{c}_n + 2$

Aspects of \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{C}_n are discussed in [3], while features of \mathbf{b}_n and \mathbf{c}_n are analyzed in [4].

Peripherally of import to this paper, but also to provide some publicity for the concept, we mention *Brahmagupta polynomials* [5] which relate to $B_n(x)$ and $b_n(x)$ [5], and to $C_n(x)$ and $c_n(x)$ [4]. Historical information on Brahmagupta and his mathematics is given in some detail in [6].

REFERENCES

1. A. F. Horadam. "Minmax Sequences for Pell Numbers." In *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 6*:231-49. Ed. G. E. Bergum, A. N. Philippou, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1996.
2. A. F. Horadam. "New Aspects of Morgan-Voyce Polynomials." In *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 7*:161-76. Ed. G. E. Bergum, A. N. Philippou, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998.

3. A. F. Horadam. "Unit Coefficients Sums for Certain Morgan-Voyce Numbers." *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics* **3.3** (1997):117-27.
4. A. F. Horadam. "Representation Grids for Certain Morgan-Voyce Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **37.4** (1999):320-25.
5. E. R. Suryanarayan. "The Brahmagupta Polynomials." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **34.3** (1996):30-39.
6. A. Weil. *Number Theory: An Approach Through History: From Hammurapi to Legendre*. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1984.

AMS Classification Number: 11B37



Announcement

**NINTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
FIBONACCI NUMBERS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS**

July 17-July 22, 2000

**Institut Supérieur de Technologie
Grand Duché de Luxembourg**

LOCAL COMMITTEE

J. Lahr, Chairman
R. André-Jeannin
M. Malvetti
C. Molitor-Braun
M. Oberweis
P. Schroeder

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE

A. F. Horadam (Australia), Co-chair	M. Johnson (U.S.A.)
A. N. Philippou (Cyprus), Co-chair	P. Kiss (Hungary)
C. Cooper (U.S.A.)	G. M. Phillips (Scotland)
P. Filippini (Italy)	J. Turner (New Zealand)
H. Harborth (Germany)	M. E. Waddill (U.S.A.)
Y. Horibe (Japan)	

LOCAL INFORMATION

For information on local housing, food, tours, etc., please contact:

PROFESSOR JOSEPH LAHR
Institut Supérieur de Technologie
6, rue R. Coudenhove-Kalergi
L-1359 Luxembourg
e-mail: joseph.lahr@ist.lu
Fax: (00352) 432124 Phone: (00352) 420101-1

CALL FOR PAPERS

Papers on all branches of mathematics and science related to the Fibonacci numbers, number theoretic facts as well as recurrences and their generalizations are welcome. Abstracts, which should be sent in duplicate to F. T. Howard at the address below, are due by June 1, 2000. An abstract should be at most one page in length (preferably half a page) and should contain the author's name and address. New results are especially desirable; however, abstracts on work in progress or results already accepted for publication will be considered. Manuscripts should *not* be submitted. Questions about the conference should be directed to:

PROFESSOR F. T. HOWARD
Wake Forest University
Box 7388 Reynolda Station
Winston-Salem, NC 27109 (U.S.A.)
e-mail: howard@mthesc.wfu.edu
