

# ON THE FACTORIZATION OF LUCAS NUMBERS

**Wayne L. McDaniel**

University of Missouri-St. Louis, MO 63121

(Submitted March 1999)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If an integer is not a prime, then it can, of course, be written as the product of two integers, say  $r$  and  $r+k$ . In the case of the Lucas numbers,  $L_n$ , it has been shown that the two factors may differ by 0 (that is,  $L_n$  is a square) only if  $n = 1$  or  $3$  [1], [3], may differ by 1 only if  $n = 0$  [4], [5], and may differ by 2 only if  $n = \pm 2$  [6].

It is well known that  $L_n^2 - 5F_n^2 = 4(-1)^n$ , where  $F_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, so if  $L_n = r(r+k)$ , we have an equation of the form  $x^4 + 2kx^3 + x^2k^2 \pm 4 = 5y^2$ . Since the left side has 3 distinct zeros, the number of solutions of this equation is finite, by a theorem of Siegel [7]; further, by a theorem of Baker (see [2]),  $|x|$  and  $|y|$  are effectively bounded. Hence, for a given  $k$ , the number of integers  $n$  such that  $L_n = r(r+k)$  is finite, but the known bounds are extremely large.

We shall show that, if  $L_n = r(r+k)$  for  $k \equiv 1, 6, 7, 8, 17, 18, 19, \text{ or } 24 \pmod{25}$ , the number of solutions is bounded by one-half the number of positive divisors of  $|k^2 - 8|$  or  $|k^2 + 8|$ , and we provide an algorithm for finding all solutions. In each case,

$$n < \frac{2 \log((k^2 + 9)/4)}{\log((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)}.$$

For certain infinite sets, e.g.,  $k \equiv 8 \pmod{100}$ , we show that no solutions exist. When  $k$  is even,  $L_n = r(r+k)$  is equivalent to  $L_n = x^2 - (k/2)^2$ , so our results extend Robbins' result [6] on the solutions of  $L_n = x^2 - 1$  to the difference of two squares in infinitely many cases.

We write  $\square$  for "a square,"  $\tau$  is the usual "number of divisors" function,  $(a|b)$  is the Jacobi symbol, and we will need the following familiar relations. Let  $g, m, n$ , and  $t$  be integers,  $t$  odd.

$$L_{2g} = L_g^2 - 2(-1)^g \quad \text{and} \quad F_{2g} = F_g L_g, \tag{1}$$

$$L_{-n} = (-1)^n L_n \quad \text{and} \quad F_{-n} = (-1)^{n+1} F_n, \tag{2}$$

$$2L_{m+n} = L_m L_n + 5F_m F_n, \tag{3}$$

$$L_{2^u m} \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{8} & \text{if } 3 \nmid m \text{ and } u \geq 1, \\ -1 \pmod{8} & \text{if } 3 \nmid m \text{ and } u \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$L_{2gt+m} \equiv \pm L_{2g+m} \pmod{L_{2g}}. \tag{5}$$

## 2. $L_n$ AS THE PRODUCT OF TWO FACTORS DIFFERING BY $k$

We assume, without loss of generality, that  $k$  is positive, and note that  $L_n = r(r+k)$  for some  $r$  implies that  $4L_n + k^2 = \square$ .

**Lemma 1:** Let  $L_n = r(r+k)$ . If  $k \equiv \pm 11 \pmod{3 \cdot 25 \cdot 41}$ , then  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $k \equiv \pm 11 \pmod{3 \cdot 25 \cdot 41}$ . We find that  $4L_n + k^2$  is a quadratic residue modulo 25 only for  $n \equiv 0, 1, 4, 8, 9, 12, \text{ or } 16 \pmod{20}$ ; if  $n$  is odd, then  $n \equiv 1, 9, 21, \text{ or } 29 \pmod{40}$ . Now, the Lucas numbers are periodic modulo 41 with period of length 40, and  $4L_n + k^2$  is a quadratic nonresidue modulo 41 for  $n \equiv 9, 21, \text{ and } 29 \pmod{40}$ , and is a quadratic nonresidue modulo 3 for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . It follows that  $4L_n + k^2 = \square$  only if  $n \equiv 0, 4, 8, 12, \text{ or } 16 \pmod{20}$ ; that is, only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

Let

$$S_1 = \{k \mid k \equiv 1, 6, 19, \text{ or } 24 \pmod{25}\},$$

$$S_2 = \{k \mid k \equiv 7, 8, 17, \text{ or } 18 \pmod{25}\},$$

and

$$S_3 = \{k \mid k \equiv \pm 11 \pmod{3 \cdot 25 \cdot 41}\}.$$

**Theorem 1:** Let  $k \in S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ . The number of nonnegative integers  $n$  for which  $L_n = r(r+k)$  is less than or equal to  $\tau(k^2 - 8)/2$  if  $k \in S_1 \cup S_3$ , and less than or equal to  $\tau(k^2 + 8)/2$  if  $k \in S_2$ . If  $L_n = r(r+k)$ , then

$$n < \frac{2 \log((k^2 + 9)/4)}{\log((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)}.$$

**Proof:** Assume that  $L_n = r(r+k)$ ; then  $4L_n + k^2 = \square$ . The quadratic residues modulo 25 are the integers in  $T = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24\}$ .

We find that, for each integer  $k$  in  $S_1$ ,  $4L_n + k^2 \equiv$  an element of  $T \pmod{25}$ , precisely when  $n \equiv 0, 4, 8, 12, \text{ or } 16 \pmod{20}$ ; combining this with the result of Lemma 1, we have  $L_n = r(r+k)$  for each integer  $k$  in  $S_1 \cup S_2$  only when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . And, for each integer  $k$  in  $S_2$ ,  $4L_n + k^2 \equiv$  an element of  $T \pmod{25}$ , precisely when  $n \equiv 2, 6, 10, 14, \text{ or } 18 \pmod{20}$ , i.e., only when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $n = 2t$ . Now,  $L_n = r(r+k)$  implies that there exists an  $x$  such that  $x^2 = 4L_{2t} + k^2$ , so, by (1), we have  $x^2 - (2L_t)^2 = k^2 - 8(-1)^t$ . Hence, there exist divisors  $c$  and  $d$  of  $k^2 - 8(-1)^t$  such that  $x + 2L_t = c$  and  $x - 2L_t = d$ , implying that  $L_t = \frac{c-d}{4}$ . Since, for a given pair  $(c, d)$  of divisors of  $k^2 - 8(-1)^t$ , the system has at most one solution; there exist at most  $\tau[k^2 - 8(-1)^t]/2$  integers  $n$  for which  $L_n = r(r+k)$ . Taking  $t$  even or odd for the two cases, respectively, proves the first statement of the theorem.

It is well known that  $L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n$ , where  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ . Let  $s = [k^2 - 8(-1)^t - 1]/4$ . Since  $\alpha^t - 1/\alpha^t = \alpha^t + \beta^t = L_t = \frac{c-d}{4} \leq s$ , we readily obtain  $\alpha^t < (s + \sqrt{s^2 + 4})/2$ . If  $k = 1$ , it is easily seen that  $n = 0$ , and if  $k \neq 1$ , then  $\alpha^t < [s + (s+1)]/2$ . One obtains a relatively simple bound upon taking the logarithm of each side of  $\alpha^t < s + \frac{1}{2}$ , replacing  $t$  by  $n/2$  and replacing  $s$  by the larger of its two values.

**Lemma 2:** If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $L_n = r(r+k)$  only if  $n$  is odd.

**Proof:** Let  $k = 4t$ , and assume that, for some  $m$ ,  $L_{2m} = r(r+k)$ . Then

$$L_{2m} + 4t^2 = r^2 + 4rt + 4t^2 = \square,$$

implying  $L_{2m} \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ , contrary to (4).

We now exhibit several infinite sets of integers  $k$  such that  $L_n$  does not have the form  $r(r+k)$  for any  $n$ .

**Theorem 2:** Let  $S = \{k \mid k \equiv 8, 24, 32, 44, 56, 68, 76, 92 \pmod{100}\}$ . If  $k \in S$ , then  $L_n \neq r(r+k)$  for any  $n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $k \in S$  and assume, for some  $n \geq 0$  and some integer  $r$ , that  $L_n = r(r+k)$ . By Lemma 2,  $n$  is odd. However, each element of  $S$  is in  $S_1 \cup S_2$  and, as noted in the proof of Theorem 1,  $4L_n + k^2$  is a quadratic nonresidue for  $n$  odd.

**Corollary:** There exist infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $L_n$  does not have the form  $r(r+4p)$  for any  $n$ .

**Proof:** The sequence  $\{2+25b\}$  contains infinitely many primes  $p$  and, for  $p = 2+25b$ , we have  $4p \equiv 8 \pmod{100}$ .

### 3. $L_n$ AS THE DIFFERENCE OF TWO SQUARES

The proof of the following theorem is immediate upon writing  $x^2 - m^2$  as  $r(r+k)$  with  $r = x - m$  and  $k = 2m$ .

**Theorem 3:** The equation  $L_n = x^2 - m^2$

- a) is impossible for all  $n \geq 0$  if  $m \equiv 4, 12, 16, 22, 28, 34, 38, \text{ or } 46 \pmod{50}$ ,
- b) has at most  $\tau(4m^2 - 8)/2$  solutions if  $2m \in S_1$ , and
- c) has at most  $\tau(4m^2 + 8)/2$  solutions if  $2m \in S_2 \cup S_3$ ,

and, if  $L_n = x^2 - m^2$ , then

$$n < \frac{2 \log(m^2 + 9/4)}{\log((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)}.$$

In practice, for a given  $m$ , one may find the values of  $n$  such that  $L_n = x^2 - m^2$  by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1: simply write  $L_{n/2} = \frac{c-d}{4}$  for all pairs  $(c, d)$ ,  $c \equiv d \pmod{4}$ , of factors of  $|4m^2 - 8(-1)^{n/2}|$ , and find  $n$ . We can now readily obtain the values of  $n$  for which  $L_n = x^2 - m^2$  for all  $m$  such that  $2m = k \in S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ . Notice that  $L_{-n}$  is the difference of two squares iff  $L_n$  is the difference of two squares, since  $L_{-n} = \pm L_n$ .

By way of example, if  $m = 3$ , then  $2m = 6 \in S_1$ ,  $4m^2 - 8(-1)^{n/2} = 28$ , and  $L_{n/2} = \frac{c-d}{4}$  for  $(c, d) = (14, 2)$ ; hence,  $L_{n/2} = 3$ , and we conclude that  $L_n = x^2 - 3^2$  only when  $n = \pm 4$  ( $L_{\pm 4} = 7 = 4^2 - 3^2$ ).

It may be noted that we now know the values of  $n$  for which  $L_n = x^2 - m^2$  for  $m = 1, 3$ , and  $4$ , and can determine the  $n$  for many larger values of  $m$ . In order to close the gap between 1 and 3, we shall prove that  $L_n \neq x^2 - 2^2$  for any  $n$ . Unlike the cases considered above, this case presents a difficulty that precludes the possibility of establishing a bound on  $n$  for all  $k \equiv 2m \equiv 4 \pmod{M}$  for any  $M$ .

**Lemma 3:** If  $3 \nmid g$ , then  $L_{2g \pm 3} \equiv 5F_{2g} \pmod{L_{2g}}$ .

**Proof:** We note first that  $F_{\pm 3} = 2$ . By (3),

$$2L_{2g\pm 3} = L_{2g}L_{\pm 3} + 5F_{2g}F_{\pm 3} \equiv 10F_{2g} \pmod{L_{2g}}.$$

Since  $3 \nmid g$ ,  $L_{2g}$  is odd, and the lemma follows.

**Lemma 4:** If  $3 \nmid g$  and  $t$  is odd, then  $(L_{2gt\pm 3} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) = (5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g})$ .

**Proof:** By (5) and Lemma 3,

$$(L_{2gt\pm 3} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) = (\pm L_{2gt\pm 3} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) = (5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) \text{ or } (-5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g}).$$

We prove that these latter two Jacobi symbols are equal by showing that their product is +1:

$$\begin{aligned} (5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) \cdot (-5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) &= (16 - 25F_{2g}^2 \mid L_{2g}) \\ &= (16 - 5(L_{2g}^2 - 4) \mid L_{2g}) = (36 \mid L_{2g}) = +1. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5:** Let  $u \geq 4$ . Then  $5F_{2^u m} + 2L_{2^u m} \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$   $\begin{cases} \text{if } u \text{ is odd and } m = 1, \text{ or} \\ \text{if } u \text{ is even and } m = 5. \end{cases}$

**Proof:** Let  $m > 0$ . By (1) and (4),

$$F_{2^u m} = F_{2^{u-2} m} L_{2^{u-2} m} L_{2^{u-1} m} \equiv F_{2^{u-2} m} \equiv F_{2^{u-4} m} \equiv \cdots F_{4m} \text{ or } F_{8m} \pmod{8},$$

depending on whether  $u$  is even or odd, respectively. Using (4),  $F_8 = 21$ , and  $F_{20} = 6765$  proves the lemma.

**Theorem 4:** No term of the sequence  $\{L_n\}$  is of the form  $x^2 - 4$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $L_n = x^2 - 4$ . By Lemma 2, we may assume that  $n$  is odd. Now  $\square = L_n + 4$  modulo 25 only if  $n \equiv 13$  or  $17 \pmod{20}$ , and modulo 11 only if  $n \equiv 5, 7, 9 \pmod{10}$ . It follows that  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$ . For  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\square = L_n + 4$  modulo 7 and modulo 47 only if  $n \equiv -3$  or  $13 \pmod{32}$ . However  $L_n + 4$  has period of length 64 modulo 2207, and 13 and 45 are quadratic nonresidues modulo 64; hence,  $n \equiv -3 \pmod{32}$ . Combining this with  $n \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$ , we have  $n \equiv -3 \pmod{5 \cdot 32}$ .

Let  $n = 2gt - 3$ , with  $t$  odd,  $g = 2^u$  if  $u$  is odd, and  $g = 2^u \cdot 5$  if  $u$  is even ( $u \geq 4$ ). We shall use (1), (4), Lemma 5, and the following observation:

$$2L_{2g} = 2(L_g^2 - 2) = 2L_g^2 + 5L_g^2 - L_g^2 = 5F_g^2 + L_g^2. \quad (6)$$

By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_n + 4 \mid L_{2g}) &= (5F_{2g} + 4 \mid L_{2g}) = (5F_{2g} + 2(L_g^2 - L_{2g}) \mid L_{2g}) = (5F_{2g} + 2L_g^2 \mid L_{2g}) \\ &= (L_g \mid L_{2g})(5F_g + 2L_g \mid L_{2g}) = -(L_{2g} \mid L_g)(-1)(L_{2g} \mid 5F_g + 2L_g) \\ &= (L_g^2 - 2 \mid L_g)(2 \mid 5F_g + 2L_g)(2L_{2g} \mid 5F_g + 2L_g) \\ &= (-1 \mid L_g)(5F_g^2 + L_g^2 \mid 5F_g + 2L_g) \quad [\text{by (6)}] \\ &= -(45F_g^2 - (25F_g^2 - 4L_g^2) \mid 5F_g + 2L_g) = -(5 \mid 5F_g + 2L_g) \\ &= -(5F_g + 2L_g \mid 5) = -(2 \mid 5)(L_g \mid 5) = (L_g \mid 5). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_8 = 47 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , by (1),  $L_{16} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , and, by induction,  $L_{2^u} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . Similarly,  $L_{20} = 15127 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , implying  $L_{2^{u,5}} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . Hence,  $(L_g | 5) = (2 | 5) = -1$ , a contradiction.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The idea for this article occurred to the author following receipt by e-mail from Richard André-Jeannin of a much shorter proof of a theorem in my article "Pronic Lucas Numbers" [5]. André-Jeannin's proof did not involve congruences moduli  $L_{2g}$ , where  $g$  is a function of  $n$ , and the absence of such congruences is essential to obtaining the above results. It is the necessity of over-coming this obstacle that suggests that obtaining an analogous result for the Fibonacci numbers may be difficult.

#### REFERENCES

1. Brother U. Alfred. "On Square Lucas Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **2.1** (1964):11-12.
2. A. Baker. *Transcendental Number Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1975.
3. J. H. E. Cohn. "Square Fibonacci Numbers, Etc." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **2.2** (1964):109-113.
4. Ming Luo. "Nearly Square Numbers in the Fibonacci and Lucas Sequences." *Journal of Chongqing Teacher's College* **12.4** (1995):1-5. (In Chinese.)
5. Wayne L. McDaniel. "Pronic Lucas Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **36.1** (1998):60-62.
6. N. Robbins. "Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers of the Forms  $w^2 - 1$ ,  $w^3 \pm 1$ ." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **19.4** (1981):369-73.
7. C. L. Siegel. "Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen" (1929), pp. 209-266. In *Collected Works*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39

