DETERMINANTS INVOLVING Kth POWERS FROM SECOND ORDER SEQUENCES

David A. Klarner University of Alberta, Edmonton

INTRODUCTION

Let a_n be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying the difference equation

(1)
$$a_{n+2} = a a_{n+1} - \beta a_n$$
 for $n = 0, 1, ...$

where α and β are fixed complex numbers, for such a sequence we define

It is the purpose of this note to prove

(3)
$$A_k(a_n) = \beta^{nk(k+1)/2} A_k(a_0)$$
,

and give examples of the result.

A DIFFERENCE EQUATION FOR
$$\left[a_{n}^{k}\right]$$
:

Let $(1 - \theta_1 x)(1 - \theta_2 x) = 1 - \alpha x + \beta x^2$, so that $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = \alpha$ and $\theta_1 \theta_2 = \beta$, and assume $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. Carlitz [3] has proved that

(4)
$$p_k(x) / q_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^k x^n$$

where

DETERMINANTS INVOLVING Kth POWERS

April

(5)
$$q_{k}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{k} (1 - \theta_{1}^{i} \theta_{2}^{k-i} x)$$

and $\mathbf{p}_k(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial of degree less than the degree of $\mathbf{q}_k(\mathbf{x}).$ Letting

(6)
$$q_k(x) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{k+1-i}(k) x^i$$

(the constants $a_j(k)$ are polynomials symmetric in θ_1 and θ_2 determined by (5)) we see after multiplying through (4) with $q_k(x)$ (as given in (6)) and equating coefficients of x^n in the right and left members that

(7)
$$a_{n+k+1}^{k} = a_{k+1}(k) a_{n+k}^{k} + a_{k}(k) a_{n+k-1}^{k} + \dots + a_{l}(k) a_{n}^{k}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. We also know from (5) and (6) that

(8)
$$-a_1(k) = (-1)^{k+1} (\theta_1 \theta_2)^{1+2+\ldots+k}$$
 or

$$a_1(k) = (-1)^{k+2} \beta^{k(k+1)/2}$$

Now let k be a fixed natural number and consider for $n \ge 0$,

$$(9) \qquad (-1)^{k} (-1)^{k+2} \beta^{k(k+1)/2} A_{k}(a_{n}) = (-1)^{k} a_{1}(k) A_{k}(a_{n})$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_{n+1}^{k} & a_{n+2}^{k} & \cdots & a_{n+k}^{k} & a_{1}(k) a_{n}^{k} \\ a_{n+2}^{k} & a_{n+3}^{k} & \cdots & a_{n+k+1}^{k} & a_{1}(k) a_{n+1}^{k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+k+1}^{k} & a_{n+k+2}^{k} & \cdots & a_{n+2k}^{k} & a_{1}(k) a_{n+k}^{k} \end{vmatrix} = A_{k}(a_{n+1})$$

The second equality in (9) follows since we have interchanged k columns and multiplied the last column by $a_1(k)$. The last equality follows since appropriate multiples of the first columns can be added to

180

the last column to make it the last column of $A_k(a_{n+1})$; the "appropriate multiples" are the $a_j(k)$ given in (7).

Thus, we have shown

$$(-1)^{k} (-1)^{k+2} \beta^{k(k+1)/2} A_{k}(a_{n}) = \beta^{k(k+1)/2} A_{k}(a_{n}) = A_{k}(a_{n+1})$$
,

so that (3) can be proved by induction on n.

As a corollary to (3) we note that if $\{a_n\}$ satisfies (1), then $a_{qn + p}$, where q and p are non-negative integers, is a second order sequence as well; in fact,

(10)
$$a_{q(n+2) + p} = (\theta_1^q + \theta_2^q) a_{q(n+1) + p} - \beta^q a_{qn+p}$$

for n = 0, 1, ... Hence we can rewrite (3) to obtain

(11)
$$A_k(a_{qn+p}) = \beta^{qnk(k+1)/2} A_k(a_p)$$

.

EXAMPLES INVOLVING THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCES

When a is the Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, ...\}$, $\beta = -1$ in (3) so that we have 1 l

$$(12) \qquad \begin{vmatrix} F_{n} & F_{n+1} \\ F_{n+1} & F_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{n} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{n+1} , \\ \\ (13) \qquad \begin{vmatrix} F_{n}^{2} & F_{n+1}^{2} & F_{n+2}^{2} \\ F_{n+1}^{2} & F_{n+2}^{2} & F_{n+3}^{2} \\ F_{n+2}^{2} & F_{n+3}^{2} & F_{n+4}^{2} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{3n} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{n+1} 2 , \\ \\ (14) \qquad \begin{vmatrix} F_{n}^{3} & F_{n+1}^{3} & F_{n+2}^{3} & F_{n+3}^{3} \\ F_{n+2}^{3} & F_{n+3}^{3} & F_{n+4}^{3} \\ F_{n+2}^{3} & F_{n+3}^{3} & F_{n+4}^{3} & F_{n+5}^{3} \\ F_{n+3}^{3} & F_{n+4}^{3} & F_{n+5}^{3} & F_{n+6}^{3} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{6n} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & 8 & 27 \\ 1 & 8 & 27 & 125 \\ 8 & 27 & 125 & 512 \end{vmatrix} = 36$$

181

182 DETERMINANTS INVOLVING Kth POWERS

The result in (12) is well known, Brother Alfred proposed (13) as a problem in the very first issue of the Fibonacci Quarterly [1], and Erbacker, Fuchs and Parker proposed (14) in a later issue [5].

If we redefine $a_0 = F_1$, $a_1 = F_2$, ... we have $\{a_n\} = \{u_n\}$ in the standard notation; fixing q = 2 and p = 1 in (11) we obtain for k = 1 and 2,

(15)
$$A_1(u_{2n+1}) = A_1(u_1) = -1$$

(16)
$$A_2(u_{2n+1}) = A_2(u_1) = -18$$

respectively; on the other hand if we fix q = 2 and p = 0 in (11) we have for k = 1 and 2,

(17)
$$A_1(u_{2n}) = A_1(u_0) = 1$$
,

(18)
$$A_2(u_{2n}) = A_2(u_0) = 18$$

respectively. Together (16) and (18) imply

(19)
$$\begin{vmatrix} u_{n}^{2} & u_{n+2}^{2} & u_{n+4}^{2} \\ u_{n+2}^{2} & u_{n+4}^{2} & u_{n+6}^{2} \\ u_{n+4}^{2} & u_{n+6}^{2} & u_{n+8}^{2} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{n+1} \quad 18$$

which has also been proposed as a problem by Brother Alfred [2].

AN EXAMPLE INVOLVING A SEQUENCE OF POLYNOMIALS

Lorch and Moser $\lceil 8 \rceil$ proposed that one prove

(20)
$$\begin{vmatrix} v_n & v_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} & v_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} = x \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $v_0 = 1$ and

(21)
$$v_n = \sum_{v=0}^n {\binom{n+v}{n-v} x^v}$$
 for $n = 1, 2, ...$

April

FROM SECOND ORDER SEQUENCES

In proving (2), Carlitz $\lceil 4 \rceil$ proved

(22)
$$v_{n+2} = (x+2) v_{n+1} - v_n$$
 for $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$;

hence, we can prove (20) and obtain generalizations by using (3). For k = 1 and 2 we have respectively,

(23)
$$A_1(v_n) = A_1(v_0) = x$$
,

(24)
$$A_2(v_n) = A_2(v_0) = 2x^3(x+2)^2$$

A second generalization of this problem was also given by Gould [6].

REFERENCES

- Brother U. Alfred, Problem H-8, Fibonacci Quarterly, Feb., 1963, p. 48.
- Brother U. Alfred, Problem H-52, Fibonacci Quarterly, Feb., 1965, p. 44.
- L. Carlitz, "Generating Functions For Powers of Certain Sequences of Numbers", Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 29, (1962) No. 4, pp. 521-538.
- 4. L. Carlitz, Solution to P-33, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, vol. 4, (1961) No. 3, pp. 310-11.
- 5. J. Erbacker, J. A. Fuchs, F. D. Parker, Problem H-25, Fibonacci Quarterly, Dec., 1963, p. 47.
- H. W. Gould, "A Generalization of A Problem of L. Lorch and L. Moser", Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, vol. 4, (1961) No. 3, pp. 303-5.
- D. A. Klarner, Chapters 3 and 4, "On Linear Difference Equations" (Master's Thesis), University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, pp. 30-49.
- L. Lorch and L. Moser, Problem 33, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, vol. 4, (1961), No. 1, p. 310.

183