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## 1. STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

A famous result of Heilbronn states that for every real irrational $\xi$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ there are infinitely many integers $n$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\xi n^{2}\right\|<\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}}
$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer [3]. In view of our results below we reformulate Heilbronn's theorem as follows: There are infinitely many pairs of integers $m, k$ where $m$ is a perfect square such that the inequality

$$
|\xi m-k|<\frac{1}{m^{1 / 4-\varepsilon}}
$$

holds.
The Pythagorean numbers $x, y, z$ with $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, where additionally $x$ and $y$ are coprime, play an important role in number theory since they were first investigated by the ancients. It is well-known that to every Pythagorean triplet $x, y, z$ of positive integers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}, \quad(x, y)=1, \quad x \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a pair of positive integers $a, b$ with $a>b>0$ corresponds such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 a b, y=a^{2}-b^{2}, z=a^{2}+b^{2},(a, b)=1, a+b \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold ([2], Theorem 225). Moreover, there is a (1,1) correspondence between different values of $a, b$ and different values of $x, y, z$. The object of this paper is to investigate diophantine inequalities $|\xi y-x|$ for integers $y$ and $x$ from triplets of Pythagorean numbers. Since $x^{2}+y^{2}$ is required to be a perfect square - in what follows we write $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$ - we have a essential restriction on the rationals $x / y$ approximating a real irrational $\xi$. So one may not expect to get a result as strong as Heilbronn's theorem. Indeed, there are irrationals $\xi$ such that $|\xi y-x| \gg 1$ holds for all integers $x, y$ satisfying $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$. But almost all real irrationals $\xi$ (in the sense of the Lebesgue-measure) can be approximated in such a way that $|\xi y-x|$ tends to zero for a infinite sequence or pairs $x, y$ corresponding to Pythagorean numbers. In order to prove our results we shall make use of the properties of continued fraction expansions. By our first theorem we describe those real irrationals having good approximations by Pythagorean numbers.

Theorem 1.1: Let $\xi>0$ denote a real irrational number such that the quotients of the continued fraction expansion of at least one of the numbers $\eta_{1}:=\xi+\sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}$ and $\eta_{2}:=$ $\left(1+\sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}\right) / \xi$ are not bounded. Then there are infinitely many pairs of positive integers $x, y$ satisfying

$$
|\xi y-x|=o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square
$$

Conversely, if the quotients of both of the numbers $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are bounded, then there exists some $\delta>0$ such that

$$
|\xi y-x| \geq \delta
$$

holds for all positive integers $x, y$ where $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$.
It can easily be seen that the irrationality of $\xi$ does not allow the numbers $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ to be rationals. The following result can be derived from the preceding theorem and from the metric theory of continued fractions:
Corollary 1.1: To almost all real numbers $\xi$ (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure) there are infinitely many pairs of integers $x \neq 0, y>0$ satisfying

$$
|\xi y-x|=o(1) \quad \text { and } x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square
$$

Many exceptional numbers $\xi$ not belonging to that set of full measure are given by certain quadratic surds:
Corollary 1.2: Let $r>1$ denote some rational such that $\xi:=\sqrt{r^{2}-1}$ is an irrational number. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi y-x|>\delta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $\delta>0$ (depending only on $r$ ) and for all positive integers $x, y$ with $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$.
The lower bound $\delta$ can be computed explicitly. The corollary follows from Theorem 1.1 by setting $\xi:=\sqrt{r^{2}-1}$.

Taking $r=3 / 2$, we conclude that $\xi=\sqrt{5} / 2$ satisfies the condition of Corollary 1.2. Involving some refinements of the estimates from the proof of the general theorem, we find that (1.3) holds with $\delta=1 / 4$ for $\xi=\sqrt{5} / 2$.

Finally, we give an application to inhomogeneous diophantine approximations by Fibonacci numbers. Although $|y \sqrt{5} / 2-x|>\delta$ holds for all Pythagorean numbers $x, y$, this is no longer true in the case of inhomogeneous approximation. By the following result we estimate $\mid \xi y$ -$x-\eta \mid$ for infinitely many Pythagorean numbers $x$ and $y$, where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are given by $F_{k} \sqrt{5} / 2$ and $\pm F_{2 k} / \sqrt{5}$, respectively, for some fixed even integer $k$.
Theorem 1.2: Let $k \geq 2$ denote an even integer. Then,

$$
0<\frac{F_{k} \sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot\left(2 F_{n} F_{n+k}\right)-F_{k} F_{2 n+k}+(-1)^{n} \frac{F_{2 k}}{\sqrt{5}}<\frac{2^{2 n+1}}{5(1+\sqrt{5})^{2 n}}
$$

holds for all integers $n \geq 1$, and we have

$$
\left(2 F_{n} F_{n+k}\right)^{2}+\left(F_{k} F_{2 n+k}\right)^{2} \in \square \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

It can easily be verified that $\eta_{1}>1$ and $\eta_{2}>1$. One gets $\eta_{2}$ by substituting $1 / \xi$ for $\xi$ in $\eta_{1}$. First we assume that the sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ of quotients from the continued fraction expansion $\eta_{1}=\left\langle a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle$ is not bounded. If $p_{n} / q_{n}$ denotes the $n^{t h}$ convergent of $\eta_{1}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta_{1}-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{a_{n+1} q_{n}^{2}} \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $n_{0}$ is chosen sufficiently large. There exists some positive real number $\beta$ such that $\eta_{1}=1+2 \beta$; particularly we have $\eta_{1}>(1+\beta)\left(1+1 / p_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. By $\eta_{1} q_{n}-p_{n}<1$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}<\frac{p_{n}+1}{\eta_{1}}<\frac{p_{n}}{1+\beta} \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
f(t):=\xi-\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad(t \geq 1)
$$

By straightforward computations it can easily be verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\eta_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any two real numbers $t_{1}, t_{2}$ satisfying $1 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}$ there is some real number $\alpha$ with $t_{1} \leq \alpha \leq t_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(t_{2}\right)-f\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right| \cdot\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|
$$

holds. In the case when $n$ is even let $t_{1}=p_{n} / q_{n}$ and $t_{2}=\eta_{1}$, otherwise put $t_{1}=\eta_{1}, t_{2}=p_{n} / q_{n}$. Thus, for any even index $n \geq n_{0}$ we have $\eta_{1} \geq \alpha \geq p_{n} / q_{n}>1$, where the lower bound 1 follows immediately from $\eta_{1}>1$ and from (2.1). For any odd index $n$ it is clear that $\alpha \geq \eta_{1}$ holds. Therefore one gets

$$
\left|f\left(\eta_{1}\right)-f\left(\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \cdot\left|\eta_{1}-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>1 \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.1), (2.3), and the definition of $f$, the inequality takes the form

$$
\left|\xi-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}-\frac{q_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)\right|<\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2 a_{n+1} q_{n}^{2}}
$$

Put $x:=p_{n}^{2}-q_{n}^{2}, y:=2 p_{n} q_{n}$. For $n$ tending to infinity the positive integers $p_{n}$ are not bounded, therefore we get infinitely many pairs $x, y$ of positive integers. By (2.2), $x>0$ holds for all sufficiently large indices $n$. Putting $x$ and $y$ into the above inequality and applying (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi-\frac{x}{y}\right|<\frac{1}{a_{n+1} q_{n}^{2}}=\frac{4 p_{n}^{2}}{a_{n+1} y^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{2}+y^{2}=\left(p_{n}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} \in \square$. Using (2.2), we compute an upper bound for $p_{n}^{2}$ on the right side of (2.5): $p_{n}^{2}=x+q_{n}^{2}<x+p_{n}^{2} /(1+\beta)^{2}$, or, $p_{n}^{2}<(1+\beta)^{2} x / \beta(2+\beta)<(1+\beta)^{2} x / 2 \beta$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. Moreover, (2.5) gives $|\xi y-x|<y$, from which the estimate $x \leq(1+\xi) y$ follows immediately. Altogether we have proved that infinitely many pairs of positive integers $x, y$ with $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$ exists such that

$$
\left|\xi-\frac{x}{y}\right|<\frac{2(1+\xi)(1+\beta)^{2}}{\beta a_{n+1} y}
$$

holds, where any pair $x, y$ corresponds to some $n$. Finally, we restrict $n$ on integers from a subsequence corresponding to monotonously increasing partial quotients $a_{n+1}$. For $n$ tending to infinity, the assertion of the first part of the theorem concerning $\eta_{1}$ follows from

$$
|\xi y-x|<\frac{2(1+\xi)(1+\beta)^{2}}{\beta a_{n+1}}
$$

Next, if the sequence of quotients from the continued fraction expansion of $\eta_{2}$ is not bounded, we get by the same method infinitely many pairs $x, y$ of integers (where $y$ is even) satisfying $x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$ and

$$
\left|\frac{y}{\xi}-x\right|<\frac{2(1+1 / \xi)(1+\beta)^{2}}{\beta a_{n+1}} \quad\left(2 \beta:=\eta_{2}-1, \eta_{2}=\left\langle a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle\right)
$$

This inequality can be simplified by

$$
|\xi x-y|<\frac{2(1+\xi)(1+\beta)^{2}}{\beta a_{n+1}}
$$

which completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.
In order to show the second part we now assume that both numbers, $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, have bounded partial quotients. It suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi y-x|>\delta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for coprime Pythagorean integers $x$ and $y$ : if $|\xi y-x| \leq \delta$ for $(x, y)>1$, one may divide the inequality by $(x, y)$. Then we get a new pair of coprime integers with

$$
\left(\frac{x}{(x, y)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{(x, y)}\right)^{2} \in \square
$$

which contradicts (2.6). From the hypothesis on $\eta_{1}$ we conclude that there is some positive real number $\delta_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta_{1}-\frac{a}{b}\right|>\frac{\delta_{1}}{b^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive coprime integers $a$ and $b$.
The first assertion we shall disprove states that there are infinitely many pairs of positive coprime integers $x, y$ such that $2 \mid y, x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi y-x|<\delta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}-1\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1) and (1.2) we know that to every pair $x, y$ two integers $a, b$ correspond such that $y=2 a b, x=a^{2}-b^{2}, a>b,(a, b)=1$, and $a+b \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. Again we denote by $f(t)(t \geq 1)$ the function defined above. Using $\eta_{1}>1$ and $a / b>1$ it is clear that $f^{\prime}(t)$ is defined for all real numbers which are situated between $\eta_{1}$ and $a / b$. Therefore, corresponding to $a$ and $b$, a real number $\alpha$ exists satisfying

$$
\left(\alpha-\eta_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right)<0 \text { and }\left|f\left(\eta_{1}\right)-f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right| \cdot\left|\eta_{1}-\frac{a}{b}\right| .
$$

By (2.3) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi-\frac{x}{y}\right|=\left|\xi-\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{2 a b}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \cdot\left|\eta_{1}-\frac{a}{b}\right| . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows it is necessary to distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $\left|\eta_{1}-a / b\right| \geq 1$.
Using $1 / \alpha>0$, we conclude from (2.9) that $|\xi y-x|>y / 2$. For all sufficiently large integers $y$ this contradicts to our assumption from (2.8).
Case 2: $\left|\eta_{1}-a / b\right|<1$.
First, it follows from this hypothesis that $b<a /\left(\eta_{1}-1\right)$. Next, we estimate the right side of (2.9) by the inequality from (2.7):

$$
\left|\xi-\frac{x}{y}\right|>\frac{\delta_{1}}{2 b^{2}}>\frac{\delta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}-1\right)}{2 a b}
$$

Consequently we have, using $y=2 a b$,

$$
|\xi y-x|>\delta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}-1\right)
$$

which again is impossible by our assumption. So we have proved that there are at most finitely many pairs $x, y$ of positive coprime integers satisfying $2 \mid y, x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi y-x|<\delta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}-1\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we may assume that the partial quotients of the number $\eta_{2}$ are also bounded, we get a similar result concerning the approximation of $1 / \xi$ : There are at most finitely many pairs $x, y$ of positive coprime integers with $2 \mid y, x^{2}+y^{2} \in \square$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{y}{\xi}-x\right|<\delta_{2}\left(\eta_{2}-1\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{2}$ denotes some positive real number satisfying

$$
\left|\eta_{2}-\frac{a}{b}\right|>\frac{\delta_{2}}{b^{2}}
$$

for all coprime positive integers $a$ and $b$. Since $\xi$ is positive, the inequality from (2.11) can be transformed into

$$
|\xi x-y|<\delta_{2} \xi\left(\eta_{2}-1\right),
$$

which is satisfied at most by finitely many coprime Pythagorean numbers $x, y$ with $2 \mid y$. By (2.10) we complete the proof of the theorem.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Lemma 3.1: Let $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ denote integers, where $k$ is even. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+k}^{2}-F_{n}^{2}=F_{k} F_{2 n+k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 F_{n} F_{n+k}\right)^{2}+\left(F_{k} F_{2 n+k}\right)^{2} \in \square \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Throughout this final section we denote the number $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ by $\rho$. We shall need Binet's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\rho^{m}-\frac{(-1)^{m}}{\rho^{m}}\right) \quad(m \geq 1) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k$ is assumed to be even, one gets from (3.3):

$$
5\left(F_{n+k}^{2}-F_{n}^{2}\right)=\left(\rho^{n+k}-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\rho^{n+k}}\right)^{2}-\left(\rho^{n}-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\rho^{n}}\right)^{2}
$$
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$$
=\left(\rho^{k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{k}}\right) \cdot\left(\rho^{2 n+k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{2 n+k}}\right)=5 F_{k} F_{2 n+k}
$$

This proves (3.1). Then the second assertion of the lemma follows easily, since one has

$$
\left(2 F_{n} F_{n+k}\right)^{2}+\left(F_{k} F_{2 n+k}\right)^{2}=\left(2 F_{n} F_{n+k}\right)^{2}+\left(F_{n+k}^{2}-F_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{n+k}^{2}+F_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} \in \square
$$

Binet's formula (3.3) is a basic identity which also is used a several times to prove the inequalities in Theorem 1.2. Since $k \geq 2$ is assumed to be an even integer, one gets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{5} F_{k} F_{n} F_{n+k}-F_{k} F_{2 n+k}+(-1)^{n} \frac{F_{2 k}}{\sqrt{5}} \\
=\frac{1}{5}\left(\rho^{k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{k}}\right) \cdot\left(\rho^{n}-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\rho^{n}}\right) \cdot\left(\rho^{n+k}-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\rho^{n+k}}\right)- \\
-\frac{1}{5}\left(\rho^{k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{k}}\right) \cdot\left(\rho^{2 n+k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{2 n+k}}\right)+\frac{(-1)^{n}}{5}\left(\rho^{2 k}-\frac{1}{\rho^{2 k}}\right) \\
=\frac{2}{5}\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho^{2 k}}\right) \frac{1}{\rho^{2 n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that the term on the left side represents a positive real number, which is bounded by $2 / 5 \rho^{2 n}$. By (3.2) from Lemma 3.1, this finishes the proof of the theorem.
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